Doquantum dotsallow one access to pseudogap K ondo physics?

John Hopkinson , Karyn Le Hur, and Emilie Dupont

D epartem ent de Physique, Universite de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

A bstract

For the last decade[], tunable quantum dot systems have allowed the investigation of K ondo physics wherein the quenching of a single spin on an artical atom a ects the conductance. The pseudogap K ondo model (pK m) featuring a density of states () = C j $^{\rm r}$ j, introduced by W itho and Fradkin [2] in 1990 was predicted to exhibit K ondo-like physics above a critical value of the K ondo coupling, $J_{\rm c}$, which several groups have shown by numerical renormalization group (RG) is nite for ${\rm r}<\frac{1}{2}$. G onzalez-B uxton et al [3] showed that the strong coupling limit of the particle-hole symmetric model leads to a non-trivial $\frac{(1-{\rm r})}{2}$ phase shift at low temperatures indicating incomplete screening of the localmoment, while away from particle-hole symmetry (p-hs) one generically ow stowards a ground state with . We examine the implications of this model for quantum dots whose leads are Fermi-liquid-like, yet possess a tunneling density of states (TDOS) which is suppressed at the Fermi-energy as a power law.

K ey words:
pseudogap K ondo m odel, quantum dots, quantum critical point

M any of the unusual features arising in strongly correlated electron systems have been attributed to the proxim ity to quantum critical points. In particular, heavy ferm ion system s are thought to feature a competition between RKKY and Kondo interactions, within the context of a K ondo lattice model, leading to (an increasingly heavy) metallic antiferrom agnetic phase which is suppressed as a function of pressure, chemical substitution ormagnetic eld to produce a cone of non-Ferm i liquid physics preceeding a (decreasingly heavy) m etallic state. Unfortunately, despite decades of intensive research on the K ondo lattice model, the detailed nature of its solution remains a subject of some debate. A sim plerm odelexhibiting a quantum phase transition between a state with localm om ents and spin-screened state, the pKm, was introduced in Ref. 2 and studied extensively by subsequent groups [3[5]. This paper explores the possibility that this model could be experim entally realizable in a quantum dot system.

Resonant levellim itW e consider the sm alldot, weak tunneling lim it in which the dot is a collection of discrete levels of average spacing E, an order of magnitude sm aller than the charging energy, E_c (O $(\frac{e^2}{2c})$), of the dot (e: electron charge; C: capacitance of dot-lead system). The energy to add or subtract an electron from the dot can be estimated as $E_c = E_c (Q_G, n+1)$ $-E_{c}(Q_{G}, n) = (n + \frac{1}{2} - C_{G}V_{G})\frac{e^{2}}{c}$, where n is the initial (nal) number of electrons on the dot for + (-), V G is an external gate voltage coupled capacitively (C G) to the dot. A resonant condition periodic in the num ber of electrons on the dot corresponds to $E_c^{+(i)} = 0$, $E_c^{(+)} = \frac{e^2}{C}$. For tem peratures satisfying $E < T < E_c$, the physics of the dot is dom in a ted by C ou lom b blockade. For tem peratures below E, one can write the current through the dot in the single level Landauer-Buttiker (elastic scattering) form,

$$I = \frac{2e}{h} \sum_{(d)}^{2p} \frac{4(f_L()) f_R())_L g_{\bar{D}} f_T^2 d}{(d)^2 + (f_L + f_R)^2 j_{\bar{D}} f_T^2} :$$
(1)

 $f_{L(R)}$ () is the Ferm i function of the left (right) lead,

Corresponding Author: Universite de Sherbrooke, QC, CA Phone: (819) 821-8000 ext. 3043 Fax: (819) 821-8046

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This work was supported by N SERC , FQ R N T and C IA R .

we have assumed that we are at su ciently low T that the intervals f D; Eg contribute weakly so we can neglect multiple states and have chosen a power-law dot-lead hybridization () = $_0$ $\frac{1}{D}$ $\frac{1}{D}$ where $_L$ = $_R$ = $_0$ = $(r+1)t_kt_k{_0}$ =(2D) (t_k : dot-lead hopping, j j < D). Implicit is the assumption of equivalent leads and dot-lead couplings, and that the effect of electron-electron interactions within the leads is completely captured by a renormalization of the TDOS of the conduction electrons, (in the spirit of the one-dimensional (1D) wire RG of Nazarov and Glazman [6]). Using (1) we can calculate the bare conductance ($G_0 = \frac{dI}{dV}j_{1:0}$). Notice that at T = 0 the manifestation of Coulomb blockade is an in nitely sharp transmission amplitude at resonance ($_d$ = 0).

K ondo lim it For T < E, directly between two resonant points, with an odd number of spins on the dot, the sym m etric condition $E_c^{(+)} = E_c^{(-)} = \frac{e^2}{2C}$ allows one to write H = $^{-}$ $_{k}$ ($_{k}$ $_{k}^{y}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ + (t $_{k}$ $_{k}^{y}$ $_{k}^{y}$ d + h x:)) + $d n_d + U n_{d\#} n_{d"}$, where c_k destroys a conduction electron of momentum k, spin , from lead and we have de ned an e ective on-site Hubbard repulsion U = -2 d, where d is the energy of the highest occupied level on the dot. This model is the pseudogap Anderson model (pAm) studied in Ref. 3 with a reduced regime of validity (D! E_c in the energy sum mation). In the \lim_{d} in odd dot energy), U + d (energy of 1st excitation) >> 0, T the Schrie er-W ol transform ation leads to [3] H $_K$ = $_{k;k^0}$ $(J_{k;k^0}c_k^Y - C_{k^0}S + V_{k;k^0}c_k^Y - C_{k^0})$, where the K ondo coupling, $J_{k,k^0} = 2(\frac{1}{j_d j} + \frac{1}{j_{J+d} j})t_k t_{k^0}$, and the potential scattering V $_{k\,;k^0}=\frac{1}{2}\,(\frac{1}{j_{\,d\,j}}\,\frac{1}{j_{\,U\,+\,\,d\,j}})t_k\,t_{\!k^0}$ vanishes at the sym m etric point U = -2 $_d$.

Following G lazm an and Ra kh [7], the e ects of correlation in the leads (r= 0 for free electrons) is to decrease the e ective conductance relative to unitarity at the K ondo $\lim it as G = G_0 \sin^2()$, a result one m ight have anticipated from K ane and Fisher's [8] repulsive interactions in Luttinger liquids (there G_0 ! gG_0 , g: Luttinger param eter). In the K ondo lim it, Ref. 3 found that at p-hs, the pK m ows to a strong coupling xed point corresponding to the U = 0 lim it of the pAm provided exceeds a critical value which increases monotonically with r to diverge at $\frac{1}{2}$. The phase shift of this m odel at the Ferm ienergy is $=\frac{1}{2}(1 \text{ rsgn}())[3,4]$. However, this strong coupling xed point is unstable with respect to the potential scattering term V. At the sym m etric point, V = 0, one should [5] enter the particle-hole sym m etric xed point below the K ondo $E \left(\frac{J - J_c}{J}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$. If $V \in 0$, for 0 < r < r = 0.375, the initial ow istowards the p-hs xed point. Below a tem perature scale T $\circ = j \frac{V}{F} \frac{1}{F} T$ the problem ows to an asym m etric strong coupling xed point [5] w hose phase shift[3] about the Ferm ienergy is .W hile such a phase shift would be opaque to conductance measurements,




Fig.1.A schem atic sketch for r= 0.2 of the conductance of the C oulom b blockade valley (a) at p-hs U = -2 $_{\rm d}$; (b) for V $\rm 6$ 0.

it is interesting to note that in A hanorov-B ohm experim ents[9] a ux corresponding to a phase-shift of has been apparently observed, and remains unexplained.

In Fig.1 thee ect ofp-hsbreaking is sketched.W hile one expects to see evidence of K ondo physics (r< r) at low tem peratures of height comparable to the resonant peak, the width of the peak (in V $_{\rm G}$) will similarly sharpen at T! 0 to approach a —function at T=0, unlike at r=0.W hile single-walled metallic carbon nanotubes can be created with a su ciently small power law TDOS, their 1D nature introduces new complications. The relation of these results with those of 1D treatments[10] where to our know ledge no critical K ondo coupling has yet been found will be discussed elsewhere[11]. However, a small power-law TDOS (due to interactions between electrons) could be obtained with 2D leads with a non-negligible resistance[12].

References

- For a review see: L.K ouwenhoven and L.G lazm an, Physics W orld, Vol. 14, No. 1, 33 (2001).
- [2] D.W itho et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1835 (1990).
- [3] C.G onzalez-Buxton et al., P hys.R ev.B 57, 14254 (1998).
- [4] K .Chen et al., J.Phys.Cond.M att.7, L491 (1995).
- [5] K. Ingersent, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11936 (1996).
- [6] Yu.V.Nazarov et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.91, 126804 (2003).
- [7] L.I.G lazm an and M.E.Ra kh, JETP Lett.47,452 (1988);T.K.Ng and P.A.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett.61,1768 (1988).
- [8] C.L.Kane et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 15233 (1992).
- [9] Y. Jiet al., Science 290 779 (2000).
- [10] K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B 59, R11637 (1999); P. Frojih and H. Johannesson, Phys. Rev. B 53, 3211 (1996); A. Furusakiand N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 892 (1994); E. H. Kim, cond-mat/0106575.
- [11] J. Hopkinson et al., cond-m at/0407165.
- [12] E. Slot et al. cond-m at/0403385; A. Bachtold et al., Phys. Rev.Lett.87,166801 (2001); A. N. Cleland et al., ibid.64 1565 (1990); G. L. Ingold et al. in Single charge tunneling, ed. H. Grabert et al., Plenum Press 1992.