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#### Abstract

T he properties of the ground state and excitations of a four-com ponent $H$ ubbard-like m odel are studied by the Lieb-Schultz-M attis approach. It is proven rigorously that the ground state of the m odel is nondegenerate and excitations are gapless at all band lings.


PACS num bers: PACS num ber(s) :71.10. Fd, 71.10.-w, 71.30.+h

The nature of the ground state and excitations of strongly correlated electron ic system splays an im portant role in our understanding of various fascinating phenom ena such as m etal-insulator transition, high-tem perature superconductivity and colossal m agnetoresistance. Recently, there has been much interests in the studies of correlated electrons w ith orbital degree of freedom [1]. In the case ofdouble orbitaldegeneracy, a SU (4) theory w as proposed as an ideal lim it. [2].

A though there exists few rigorous results for general m any-body H am iltonians, signi cant progress has been m ade in one spatial dim ension. In 1961, Lieb, Schultz, and $M$ attis (LSM) proved [B] a rem arkable theorem : For the spin $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg antiferrom agnetic chain, the ground state is nondegenerate and the energy spectrum is gapless. This theorem has been extended recently to a hierarchy of generalized $H$ eisenberg $m$ odels [4, 5] w ith high ranking sym $m$ etries, including the $S U$ (4) model. It is of interest to obtain results for system s where the kinetic term $s$ caused by nearest neighbor hopping is not negligible. F or the tw o-com ponent $H$ ubbard $m$ odel, the non-degeneracy of the ground state w as show $n$ by Lieb [G] and gaplessness at 1/2 lling by Yam anaka, O shikawa, and A eck (YOA) [7]. The extension of these results to four com ponent H ubbard-type $m$ odels is valuable because such $m$ odels not only describe electrons $w$ th double orbital degeneracy but also two layer system s w ithout inter-layer hopping. Experim ental realization of four com ponent or two-band Hubbard model in one dim ension includes quasi one dim ensional $m$ aterials such as $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ amdNaV $\mathrm{O}_{5}$ [8].

In this work, we consider a four-com ponent H ubbard m odelde ned on a one-dim ensionallattioe w ith length $L$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=t^{X} \quad C_{a}^{+}(x) C_{a}\left(x^{0}\right)+U^{X} n_{a}(x) n_{a^{0}}(x) \\
& \left\langle x_{i}^{a} x^{0}\right\rangle \quad X \underset{x^{2}}{\substack{a<a^{0}}} \\
& +V^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a} 0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right) ;  \tag{1}\\
& \underset{\substack{a ; a^{0} \\
\left\langle x ; x^{0}\right\rangle}}{ }
\end{align*}
$$

where $a ; a^{0}=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; x$ identi es the lattice site, and $h x ; x_{i}$ stands for nearest neighbor sites. $c_{a}^{+}(x)$ creates a ferm ion of com ponent $a$ on site $x$; and $n_{a}(x)=$ $C_{a}^{+}(x) C_{a}(x)$ is the corresponding num ber operator. The on-site interaction is assum ed to be repulsive. The four com ponents can be related to electrons w ith doubly orbital degeneracy. Let us de ne the spin com ponents by up (") and down (\#) and the orbital com ponents by top and bottom. Then the four possible electron singly occu-
 j \# i.

P revious literature on such system s has largely concentrated on the strong coupling lim it of this H am irtonian, whereby it is mapped onto a SU (4) H eisenberg $m$ odel[ $[9,10]$ and related $m$ odels aw ay from $S U$ (4) sym $m$ etry [11, 12]. For the $S U$ (4) H eisenberg $H$ am iltonian, it has been established that there are gapless excitations at crystalm om entum $K==2$ and [2, [9, 10]: In the case ofno orbital degeneracy, it is well known that due to the existence of the charge gap for any $U>0$; the low energy physics of the H ubbard m odel is essentially identical to the SU (2) H eisenberg m odel. It is of interest to investigate if this is the case for the $S U$ (4) case also. In particular, much insight can be obtained if exact and rigorous results are available to com plem ent approxim ate results using $m$ ethods like bosonization [13].

In the follow ing, we rst prove that the ground state of the H am iltonian (1) w ith periodic boundary condition is nondegenerate w hen the totalnum ber ofelectrons is $\mathrm{N}=$ $4 n \mathrm{w}$ ith n being an odd integer. W e then study whether the excitations are gapless for di erent band lling.

To show nondegeneracy of the ground state, we shall apply a simpli ed version of Lieb and Mattis' $m$ ethod in proving the absence of ferrom agnetism in onedim ensional itinerant electron lattioe models [14, 15]. $F$ irst, we notioe that, according to the representation theory of $L$ ie algebra $A_{3}$, for any multiplet, there is alw ays one state lying in the subspace of zero weight
$(0 ; 0 ; 0)$, which is spanned by the vectors $w$ ith equal num ber of di erent kinds of ferm ions. Therefore, by introducing operators $\hat{C}_{a}^{y}(x)=c_{a}^{y}\left(x_{1}\right) c_{a}^{y}\left(x_{2}\right) \quad{ }_{a}^{y}\left(x_{n}\right) w$ th $x_{1}<x_{2}<\quad$ in,$x e$ can $w$ rite the ground state $w$ avefunction as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j o i={ }^{X} \quad W \quad \hat{C}_{1}^{y}(x) \hat{C}_{2}^{y}\left(x^{0}\right) \hat{C}_{3}^{y}\left(x^{\infty}\right) \hat{C}_{4}^{y}\left(x^{\infty}\right) j 0 i ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where stands for the set $f x ; x^{0} ; x^{\infty} ; x^{\infty} g$. O bviously, $\quad 0$ belongs to the subspace $V_{0}(n)$, in which each vector has the sam e num ber $n$ of $a=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and 4 types of ferm ions. $T$ he totalnum ber ofexpansion term $s$ in Eq. (2) is $\left(C_{L}^{n}\right)^{4}$.

In term $s$ of this basis of vectors, we are able to write Ham iltonian (1) into a matrix $H$ which has the follow ing characteristics: (i) All of its o -diagonal ele$m$ ents are either zero or $t$, although its diagonal elem ents $m$ ay have di erent signs. The reason why odiagonal elem ents all have the same sign is due to the fact that, in H am iltonian (1), only hopping term s betw een nearest-neighbor sites are present. In one dim ension, such hopping $w$ ill not change the positional order of the ferm ions except at the boundary. H ow ever, if periodic boundary condition is used for n odd, then the boundary hopping will not cause sign problem s. (ii) Furthem ore, $H$ is also irreducible. Nam ely, for any pair of indices $m$ and $n$, there is a positive integer $M$ such that the $m$ atrix elem ent $H^{M} \quad \mathrm{mn}$ is nonzero since the chain is connected by ferm ion hopping. Therefore, any pair of con gurations $\hat{C}_{1}^{y}(x) \hat{C}_{2}^{y}\left(x^{0}\right) \hat{C}_{3}^{y}\left(x^{0}\right) \hat{C}_{4}^{y}\left(x^{00}\right) j 0 i$ and $\hat{C}_{1}^{y}(y) \hat{C}_{2}^{y}\left(y^{0}\right) \hat{C}_{3}^{y}\left(y^{\infty}\right) \hat{C}_{4}^{y}\left(y^{00}\right) j$ ji in subspace $V_{0}(n)$ are connected by an appropriate num berM offerm ion hoppings.

To such a Hem itian matrix, we are able to apply the well-known Perron $F$ robenius theorem [16]. It tells us that the expansion ooe cients in Eq. (2) have the same sign and hence, 0 is nondegenerate in subspace $V_{0}(n)$. To show that it is globally nondegenerate, we need to determ ine its quantum num bers by the continuity argu$m$ ent. A diabetically tuming $o$ the interactions of H am iltonian (1), we reduce it to a tight-binding $H_{0}$, whose ground state in $V_{0}(n)$ is a spin-orbital singlet. It im plies that 0 is also a spin-orbital singlet and hence, is globally nondegenerate.

N ext we study the nature of excitations for the case of $\mathrm{N}=4 \mathrm{n}$ w th n odd, focusing on whether they are gapless. Follow ing LSM [3] and Y OA [7], we consider the state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{P} i=\exp i \frac{2}{L}_{x=1}^{X^{L}} x P(x) \text { joi } \hat{o}(P) j \text { oi; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where operator $P(x)=P \quad v n(x)$; and the coe cients $v$ are to be chosen by the reasoning below. Our aim is to prove gaplessness. In order to do so, we want jpi to be orthogonalto $j$ oi and to have an energy expectation value which equals the ground state energy in the $\lim$ it L ! $1: T$ he operator $\hat{O}(\mathrm{P})$ \boosts" the crystal m om entum of each component particle by $2 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}$ : In order to preserve periodic boundary condition, $v$ has to
be an integer. The state $j$ p ithen has a crystalm om entum of $K=\frac{2}{L}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{x}=1}{\mathrm{~L}} P(\mathrm{x})$ relative to that of the ground state. Since the H am iltonian is translationally invariant, and the ground state is non-degenerate, it has a de nite crystalm om entum. Thus, a su cient condition for the orthogonality condition is $K \in 2 m \quad$; where $m$ is any integer, i.e., $K$ should not be a reciprocal lattice vector. $T$ he expectation energy of $j p i$ is given by

$$
E_{P}=h_{P} H_{j} i=h_{0} H_{j o i}^{0}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}=\hat{O}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{H} \hat{\mathrm{O}}:
$$

Since the interacting part of $H$ commutes with $\hat{O}$ the only di erence betw een $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ and H is in the tight binding part, and is given by a phase rotation of each $c(x)$ ! $e^{\text {i2 } v}{ }^{x=L} C(x)$ :This results in a change of the tight binding am plitude

$$
t!t_{x ; x+1}^{()}=t^{\mathrm{i} 2} v=\mathrm{L} ; \quad x \notin \mathrm{~L}
$$

and $\left.t_{\mathrm{L} ; 1}^{( }\right)=$te $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2} \mathrm{v}$ (L ${ }^{1)=\mathrm{L}}$ : Because v is chosen to be an integer, periodic boundary condition is preserved, and this last bond will rem ain equivalent to all the other bonds. As a result, the di erence $H^{0} \quad H \quad$ will vanish as $L$ ! 1 : M ore precisely, using $P(x)=n_{1}(x)$ as an exam ple, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{P} \quad E_{0} & =t\left(e^{i 2=L} \quad 1\right)^{X} h_{1}^{y}(x) c_{1}(x+1) i+c: c: \\
& =2 t\left(\cos \frac{2}{L} \quad 1\right)^{x} h_{1}^{y}(x) c_{1}(x+1) i
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line follows from $h_{1}^{y}(x) c_{1}(x+1)$ i being real for a non-degenerate ground state. A ctually, this $m$ ethod can only tell us that there is at least one low lying state $w$ ith energy at $m$ ost of order $1=\mathrm{L}$ higher than the ground state. Thus, the \gaplessness" $m$ ay be due to having true gapless excitations or a discrete num ber of sym $m$ etry breaking states which are degenerate in the them odynam ic lim it [4, 17]. For this reason, our results below should all.be taken im plicitly as assum ing there is no discrete sym $m$ etry breaking such as lattioe translation.

In particular we consider states generated by the follow ing four operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) ; \\
& \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) ; \\
& \mathrm{P}_{3}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{2}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{3}(\mathrm{x}) ; \\
& \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{2}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{3}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{n}_{4}(\mathrm{x}): \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

For general band lling, the crystal $m$ om entum $K$ for all 4 states $w$ ill not be equal to a reciprocal lattioe vector, and they are all orthogonal to the ground state, and there are gapless excitations at $K_{i}$ generated by $P_{i}$. B and llings equal to $1=4(\mathbb{N}=L), 1=2(\mathbb{N}=2 L)$, and $3=4 \mathbb{N}=3 \mathrm{~L})$, how ever, $m$ ust be discussed separately.
(i) 1/4- lled case: the ground state is a SU (4) singlet and we have $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{4}=\mathrm{N}=4=\mathrm{L}=4$, where $N_{a}={ }_{x} n_{a}(x)$. The crystal $m$ om enta of the 4 states given by Eq. (4) are then $=2 ; ; 3=2$; and 2 respectively. T hus all 4 states are mutually orthogonal to each other, w ith the rst three also orthogonal to the ground state. T he fourth state cannot be concluded to be orthogonal to the ground state. $N$ ote that except for the non-interacting lim it, the crystalm om entum for each avor is not a conserved quantity, only the total crystal m om entum. T herefore, other com binations like $2 \mathrm{n}_{1}$ for exam ple cannot be show $n$ to be orthogonal to $n_{1}+n_{2}$ :

O ur analysis establishes that at least at m om enta $=2$; ; $3=2 \quad=2$; there exists gapless excitations. In the large $U$ lim it, where the 4 -com ponent $H$ ubbard $m$ odel is $m$ apped into an $S U$ (4) sym $m$ etric $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel, it is known exactly that there are gapless excitations at $\mathrm{K}=0$; $=2$; ; and $3=2$ and now here else. W hile our m odi ed LM S approach cannot say anything about K = 0 and cannot rule out gapless excitations at other K ; 斗 supports that the gapless $m$ odes are pinned at these $K$ values for all $U$ :
(ii) 3/4- led case: this case is equivalent to the $1 / 4-$ led case by particle-hole sym $m$ etry.
(iii) 1/2- lled case: the ground state is again a singlet and we have $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{4}=\mathrm{N}=4=\mathrm{L}=2$. T he 4 states from Eq. (4) now have $m$ om enta $K=; 2 ; 3$, and 4 : Thus we can establish that gapless excitations must exist at $\mathrm{K}=$ :

W hile gapless excitations are conclusively shown by the above analysis, they do not tell us much about the excitations beyond the crystal mom entum. To try to understand these excitations $m$ ore, we rst consider the single band $H$ ubbard $m$ odel. By sim ilar approach, one can show that tw isted wavefiunctions, for both spin up and down,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{n} i=\exp i \frac{2}{L}_{x=1}^{X^{L}} x n(x) j o i ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

have crystal m om entum $\mathrm{K}=$ at $1 / 2$ lling and are orthogonal to the ground state. In the non-interacting lim it, crystalm om entum for each spin is conserved, and $h_{n \|} j_{n \#} i=0$; so there are two distinct gapless modes. In this lim it, the gapless excitation $w$ ith $K=$ is a single particle-hole excitation of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ for one of the spins: $T$ hese can then be cast in spin sym $m$ etric com bination and spin antisym $m$ etric com binations to give gapless charge and spin excitations. For non-zero $U$; the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel at 1/2 lling always has a charge gap and only spin excitations are gapless. C orrespondingly, only total crystal m om entum is conserved and $j_{n n} i$ and $j_{n_{\#}} i$ no longer have to be orthogonal. Indeed, their overlap, $h_{n_{n}} j_{n_{\#}} i$ is nonzero as veri ed by num erical calculations. Rew rite

$$
\mathrm{n}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{n}+\mathrm{n}_{\#}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{"} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\#}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} ;
$$

one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp i \frac{2}{L}_{x=1}^{X^{L}} x n "(x)=\exp i_{L^{L}}^{x=1} X^{L} x n(x) \\
& \quad \exp \quad i \frac{2}{L}_{x=1}^{X^{L}} x S_{z}(x)=\hat{O}(n) \hat{O}\left(S_{z}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

In the strong interaction $\lim$ it, $U$ ! 1 ,each site tends to be singly occupied, $n(x)!1$, so what left is the spin uctuation $S_{z}(x)$. For nite $U$, it would seem that $n_{1}$ generates both charge and spin excitations. H ow ever, in order for gaplessness, it $m$ ust be that the overlap with charge excitations must vanish in the therm odynam ics lim it. W hile we cannot show this rigorously, we can get a partial understanding by considering the single m ode approxim ation state
$j_{1} i=X_{x=1}^{X^{L}} \exp (i x) n_{1}(x) j_{0} i=\frac{1}{2} n() j_{0} i+\mathscr{S}_{z}() j_{0} i:$
So h ${ }_{1} j_{1} i=\frac{1}{4} h e(\quad) e() i+{ }^{D} S_{z}(\quad) S_{z}()^{E}$ : Due to the charge gap, the density-density correlation is nite while the spin-spin correlation diverges as L! $1: T$ hus there is no spectralweight for $j_{1} i$ to be in a charge excitation. C onversely, our proof of gaplessness at shows that the spin-spin correlation function $m$ ust diverge at :

The tw isted operatorexp $\quad i \frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{L}=1} \mathrm{XS} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{x})$, isw hat Lieb, Schultz, and M attis used in their paper (Ref. [3]) to show gapless excitation in the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel. It cannot be show $n$ to be orthogonalto the ground state in the $H$ ubbard $m$ odelbecause it has crystalm om entum 0 . Instead $n(x) m$ ust be used instead of $S_{z}(x): T$ he fact that $\hat{O}(n)$ gives a stronger result than that $\hat{O}\left(n_{"}+n_{\#}\right)$ and $\hat{O}\left(n^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{\#}\right)$ was noted by Y am anaka, O shikaw a, and A eck [7].

Retuming to the 4 -com ponent $H$ ubbard $m$ odel, we discuss the analogous situation for the case of $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}=4$ : In this case, our proof show s gapless excitations for $=2$ and :In the non-interacting lim it, there are 4 orthogonal choices for $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ corresponding to w hether we use $\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}$; and $n_{4}$; and they generate a single particle-hole excitation of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Linear com binations of these can be taken to form charge, spin, orbital, and spin-orbitalexcitations, the last three corresponding to the 3 diagonalgenerators of SU (4): For U 0; the fourn states are not orthogonal, and whether there are 3 or 4 gapless m odes will depend on whether there is a charge gap (the other three are related by sym $m$ etry so they $m$ ust be allgapless) [13]. $T$ he \pinning" of gapless excitations at $K=2 k_{F}$ of the non-interacting system was used by YOA as a generalized de nition ofLuttinger's $T$ heorem in 1D. In analogy to the 2-com ponent $H$ ubbard $m$ odel, the gaplessness im plies the spin-spin correlation function, the orbital-orbitalcorrelation functions, and the spin-orbital-spin-onbital correlation functions $m$ ust diverge at $K=2$ : In the strong
coupling lim it, charge uctuations are frozen, and we are de nitely left with 3 gapless excitations, which are the three states w th $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{4}=\mathrm{N}=4$ in the 15 representation of $S U(4)$ :For $K==4 k_{F}$; the gapless excitations for the non-interacting system $s$ are pairs of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ particle-hole excitations. The correlation functions that diverge at $4 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ are those corresponding to 4 -particle G reen's functions.

For lling factor $N=p=q$; $w$ ith $p$ and $q$ integers, the orthoponality condition that $K \& 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{w}$ ill be satis ed for $\mathrm{v}=1 ; 2$;::;q 1: A ccordingly there w ill be at least $q \mathrm{~m}$ om entą of gapless excitations. In the noninteracting lim it, the $\quad v=r$ gapless excitations are $r$ particle-hole pairs. If we assum e no broken translational invariance due to interactions (w hich should be the case for the $H$ ubbard $m$ odelw ith only on site repulsion), then gapless excitations at these $m$ om enta persist when $U 0$ [7].

In sum $m$ ary, we studied properties of the ground state
and excitations of the four-com ponent H ubbard m odel, in which electrons carry spin as well as onbital degrees of freedom. C onsidering cases where total num ber of electrons $\mathrm{N}=4 \mathrm{n}$ w ith n odd for periodic boundary condition, we show ed rigorously that the ground state of this system is nondegenerate. U sing the tw ist operators as speci ed in Eq. (4), we addressed the issue of the existence of gapless excitations. W e show ed that aw ay from the lling factor $1 / 4,1 / 2$, and $3 / 4$, the state produced by acting the tw ist operators (e.g., Eq. (4) ) on the nondegenerate ground state is orthogonal to the ground state and its variationalenergy approaches to the ground state energy in the therm odynam ic lim it. For the lling factor equals to $1 / 4$ and $3 / 4$, we show ed that gapless excitations exist at crystalm om enta $=2 ; ~ ; 3=2 \quad=2$.
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