Collisional cross sections and momentum distributions in astrophysical plasmas: dynamics and statistical mechanics link

F. Ferro^{1,2}, and P. Quarati^{1,3,y}

¹D ipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, I-10129 Torino, Italy ²INFN - Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy ³INFN - Sezione di Cagliari, I-09042 Monserrato, Italy (D ated: 22nd M arch 2024)

We show that, in stellar core plasm as, the one-body momentum distribution function is strongly dependent, at least in the high velocity regime, on the microscopic dynamics of ion elastic collisions and therefore on the elastic collisional cross sections, if a random force eld is present. We take into account two cross sections describing ion-dipole and ion-ion screened interactions. Furtherm ore we introduce a third unusual cross section, to link statistical distributions and a quantum elect originated by the energy-momentum uncertainty owing to many-body collisions, and propose a possible physical interpretation in terms of a tidal-like force. We show that each collisional cross section gives rise to a slight peculiar correction on the M axwellian momentum distribution function in a well de ned velocity interval. We also ind a possible link between microscopical dynamics of ions and statistical mechanics interpreting our results in the fram ework of non-extensive statistical mechanics.

PACS num bers: 51.10.+ y, 95.30 D r, 05.20.-y K eywords: non-extensive statistical mechanics, astrophysical plasm as, many-body collisions, quantum uncertainty

I. IN TRODUCTION

In a dense neutral plasm a like, for instance, the astrophysical plasm a of a stellar core with m ean potential energy of about the sam e order of m agnitude as therm al energy [1, 2], a random m icroscopical electric eld (usually called electric m icro eld) arises at any spatial point. Its origin does not rely on correlations between particles in the plasm a, and indeed it is present also in ideal plasm as of statistically independent particles; rather, it is due to local thermal uctuations in the position of ions [3]. Commonly, the micro eld strength is not negligible, being of the same order of magnitude of the Coulom beld of a unit charge at the characteristic W igner-Seitz radius. AsR om anovsky and Ebeling [4] pointed out, the dynam ic enhancem ent on nuclear fusion rates due to electric ranelds is large only in very dense stars like white dom dwarfs; on the contrary, its importance inside the Sun's core is presently believed to be lim ited.

We show that a random eld of generic nature (random electric orm agnetic m icro elds belong, am ong others, to this category) may play a crucial role, as it in uences the upper tail of the one-body stationary momentum distribution function of ions in a dense neutral stellar plasma, leading to slight deviations from a pure M axwellian distribution. Furtherm ore, di erent elastic collisional cross sections am ong interacting ions could in uence signi cantly the tail of the distribution, and each one provides corrections in a characteristic velocity range only. We want to stress here that, in astrophysical plasmas, many di erent collisional processes could be active at the same time, provided that we consider different velocity intervals. Besides, for instance, the usual pure C oulom b interaction (described by the well-known R utherford cross section form ula), many di erent screening potentials have been proposed since long time, in order to provide e ective models for several astrophysical conditions [5]. Therefore, screening and many-body e ects, whose importance relies on the fact that they strongly enhance therm onuclear reaction rates, are also im portant, lying on a kinetic fram ework, as they modify the collisional cross section between ions [6].

In this paper we analytically derive the one-body distribution function of momentum starting from a kinetic equation in which we set three cross sections of interest in dense and weakly non-ideal plasm as. Our calculations are based on the existence of a random force eld F, which can be justiled either by the theory of electric micro elds (as outlined in Refs. [3, 4]), or the theory of dissipative random forces in the approach of Langevin equation for B row nian motion (see, for example Ref. [7]), and can be originated from density uctuations in the plasm a. We are urged in this line of research also by the E instein's criticism to the Boltzm ann probability relation, based on the argument that statistical mechanics might only be justiled in terms of classical or quantum microscopic dynamics [8].

In the rst part of our paper (Sections II, III and IV) we use a kinetic approach to describe the motion of particles submitted to a generic random force eld with nite relaxation time (i.e. not -correlated). The stationary solution can be expressed in terms of collisional cross sections and collisional frequencies; therefore we may es-

E lectronic address: fabrizio.ferro@ polito.it

^yE lectronic address: piero quarati@ polito.it

tablish a link between the type of particle collisions and the form of stationary distribution functions that can differ from the equilibrium M axwellian function. Then, we de ne the parameter q characterizing the deform ation factor of our distribution and we calculate it in terms of known physical quantities. Interpreting this deform ation on the physical ground of non-extensive statistical m echanics, and T sallis statistics as a special case [9], the parameter q can be expressed in terms of dynam ical quantities like cross section, ion-correlation parameter and plasm a parameter. A dynamical realization of non-extensive statistics and, in general, of superstatistics has been completed by Beck [10] by using stochastic di erential equations with spatio-tem porally uctuating parameters.

In the second part (Section V), we consider the quantum energy-momentum uncertainty due to contemporaneous interaction among many particles of plasma. We use the Kadano -Baym ansatz [11] and follow the approach of Galitski and Yakim ets [12] that leads to the appearance of power-law tail in the momentum distribution function. This function shows an enhanced tail at high momentum depending on the collision frequency and the collisional cross section. As a consequence, we show a link between non-extensive statistical mechanics and dynamics, by evidentiating the type of microscopic elastic collisions acting am ong particles and how the collision frequency is related to momentum distributions. Then we investigate the cross section that reproduces the non-extensive distribution function, limiting ourselves, for simplicity, to the case q > 1, and we show that the corresponding interaction looks like a tidal-like force superim posed on the two-body attraction, giving a ^p ^m_p, where "_p is the relacollision cross section ("p) tive kinetic energy. The quantum approach that we follow along our discussion relies on equilibrium conditions of the system ; therefore our nal result is a real equilibrium (not m etastable or stationary) distribution function that diers from the equilibrium Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The existence of such an unusual equilibrium function is due to the quantum uncertainty as it is shortly described in Section V.

II. KINETIC EQUATION UNDER A GENERALIZED RANDOM FORCE

A kinetic equation describing electrons in a plasma under an external electric eld, in which collisions between electrons and neutral atoms are present, was derived by Chapman and Cow ling [13], by Spitzer [14] and by Golant, Zilinskij and Sacharov [15]. They express the actualone-body velocity distribution function as a form al series,

$$f'(v) = f + f_1 + f_2 + \dots;$$

where f = f(v) is the isotropic component (with v = jv), while f_1, f_2 and so on describe next orders of an isotropy induced by the external eld. In addition, f (v) m ay be only a slight perturbation of the M axw ellian distribution function.

Here, we adopt their equation in order to derive the momentum stationary distribution of ions, but we replace the external electric eld with a generalized random force F and we focus on the isotropic function f only. The elastic collisional cross sections that we are considering describe the interaction among ions and among ions and electric dipoles of polarized neutral compounds of the W igner-Seitz spheres. All these cross sections will be discussed in the next sections.

Thus, considering the plasm a component consisting of ions of mass m , the kinetic equation reads [15]

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{F^2}{2 2} \frac{df}{dv} + vf + \frac{k_B T}{dv} \frac{df}{dv} = 0; \quad (1)$$

where v is the modulus of the relative velocity between two ions, is their reduced mass, (v) is the collisional frequency, $k_B\,T$ is the thermal energy and , which is dened as

$$= 2 \frac{2}{m^2}$$
;

is the energy transfer coe cient (or an average value of it).

Let us discuss brie y the origin of the double sign in the term containing the random force F in Eq.1. The quantity

$$D_{\rm F} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{{\rm F}^2}{2 2}$$
;

is the perturbation on the di usion $\cos e$ cient of the system due to the force F , and the corresponding particle ux is given by

$$J_{\rm F} = D_{\rm F} n \frac{df}{dv} ;$$

n being the ion particle density of the plasma. If F were an electric micro eld, i.e. F = eE (with e equal to the electric charge of one ion), the corresponding sign would be positive, thus enhancing the actual di usivity of the system, while in the opposite case, the total di usivity would drop. Therefore, we introduce the double sign since we want to deal with the most general situation, in which either sub-di usivity or super-di usivity may be signi cant.

The analytical solution of Eq. 1 reads

$$f(v) = f(0) \exp \left(\frac{z_v}{0} \frac{v^0}{k_B T \frac{2}{3} \frac{F^2}{2}} \right)^{\#}$$
; (2)

where the constant f $\left(0\right)$ should be calculated through the norm alization condition

4
$$dv^{0}v^{2}f(v^{0}) = 1$$
:

Let us de ne now a characteristic strength of the generalized random eld F as

$$F_{C} = \frac{p}{k_{B}T}$$

Then, if the condition

$$F^{2} = F_{C}^{2}$$
; (3)

holds, i.e. if the random force is negligible, Eq. 2 gives the M axwellian distribution function at temperature T. The central point is that, in this case, the M axwellian function is the solution of the kinetic equation 1 regardless of any assumption about the collisional frequency of the plasm a.

On the contrary, if the condition in Eq.3 fails, the form of the solution f (v) is determined by the explicit dependence of the collisional frequency on relative velocity v. The (v) frequency is itself a function of the collisional cross section (v), as

$$(v) = nv (v) :$$
 (4)

Thus, in this case, Eq. 2 leads to a M axwellian provided that we choose the $(v) = {}_0v {}^1$ cross section (${}_0$ being a suitable constant), and that we renorm alize the tem perature of the plasm a in the following fashion,

$$k_{\rm B} T_{\rm e} = k_{\rm B} T - \frac{2}{3} \frac{F^2}{n^2 \frac{2}{0}};$$
 (5)

where T_e is an elective temperature which will be of central in portance in our subsequent discussion.

III. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF THE KINETIC EQUATION

In the following, we discuss the e ect of three di erent cross sections, $_0$, $_1$ and $_2$, whose explicit functional dependence on relative velocity, together with that of their collisional frequencies, is respectively,

$$1(v) = 1$$

$$_{1}(v) = n_{1}v;$$
 (/)
 $_{2}(v) = _{2}v$

$$_{2}(v) = n_{2}v^{2};$$
 (8)

where $_0$, $_1$ and $_2$ are dimensional constants. We shall discuss in Section IV the physicalm eaning of the previous cross sections in dense astrophysical plasm as.

W e state the hypothesis of absence of interference between the three collision types, namely we assume that the total collisional frequency could be cast in the following approximate fashion,

$$2^{2} = 2^{2} + 2^{2} + 2^{2}$$
;

because di erent types of collision act signi cantly only in separate velocity intervals as evident from the functional dependencies reported in Eqs. 6, 7 and 8.

Let us express now the solution of Eq.2 as

$$f(v) = f(0) \exp[I(v)];$$
 (9)

where we have de ned the integral function

$$I(v) = \frac{Z_{v}}{0} \frac{v^{0} dv^{0}}{k_{B} T \frac{2}{3} \frac{F^{2}}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + c_{1} v^{02} + c_{2} v^{04}}}$$
$$= \frac{Z_{v}}{k_{B} T \frac{V^{0} dv^{0}}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + c_{1} v^{02} + c_{2} v^{04}}}; \quad (10)$$

with $c_1 = (_1 = _0)^2$, $c_2 = (_2 = _0)^2$ and $= T_e = T = 1$, according to Eqs. 5, 6, 7 and 8.

From Eq. 10, we immediately obtain

$$I(v) = \frac{v^2}{2k_BT} - \frac{1}{2k_BT} = \frac{1}{2k_BT} = \frac{1}{2k_BT}$$
 (11)

where

$$I_{1}(v) = \int_{0}^{U_{v^{2}}} du \frac{1}{c_{2}u^{2} + c_{1}u + \cdots + 1};$$
 (12)

Let us de ne now the following parameter,

$$K = \frac{c_1^2}{4c_2} + + 1;$$

whose sign is physically relevant as we are about to show .

If K $\,<\,$ 0, Eq. 12 gives, apart from an unimportant numerical term ,

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{5^{p} \frac{1}{5^{2}}}} \ln \frac{2c_{2}v^{2} + c_{1}}{2c_{2}v^{2} + c_{1} + 2^{p} \frac{1}{5^{p} \frac{1}{5^{2}}}} + \text{const};$$

which in turn, through Eqs. 11 and 9, yields our rst result (for K < 0)

$$f(v) / \exp \frac{\frac{v^2}{2k_B T}}{\frac{2c_2 v^2 + c_1}{2c_2 v^2 + c_1 + 2} \frac{p}{\frac{f(j_2)}{f(j_2)}} \frac{\frac{1}{4k_B T}}{\frac{p}{f(j_2)}} \frac{1}{\frac{4k_B T}{f(j_2)}} + \frac{1}{4k_B T} \frac{p}{f(j_2)} \frac{f(j_2)}{f(j_2)}}{\frac{p}{f(j_2)}}$$

In this case, the cross section $_1$ dom inates and the generalized random force eklF does not play any role in the region of interest for fusion reaction rate calculations in astrophysical plasmas, as the perturbation from the M axwellian function vanishes in the limit v ! +1 (nevertheless, it can be of interest in studies of some atom ic processes like radiative recombination, whose cross section increases as v goes to zero and that, therefore, has rates sensibly modiled by slight corrections at low velocity).

As far as astrophysical plasm as are concerned, a more interesting physical situation occurs when K > 0, namely, if the condition

$$\frac{T_{e}}{T} > \frac{\frac{4}{1}}{\frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{0} \frac{2}{2}} = \frac{\frac{4}{1}}{\frac{4}{4} \frac{2}{0} \frac{2}{2}};$$
(13)

holds. Condition 13 is fulled providing the force eld F is

$$F > n \frac{3}{2} k_B T \frac{4}{1} \frac{4}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2}$$
; (14)

in case of super-di usivity, or instead

$$F < n = \frac{3}{2} k_B T = \frac{4 \frac{2}{0} \frac{2}{2} + \frac{4}{1}}{\frac{2}{2}};$$
 (15)

when considering sub-di usivity.

From Eq.12 we obtain

$$I_{1}(v) = \frac{1}{K} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{v^{2}}{du} \frac{r}{\frac{C_{2}}{K}} \frac{r}{u} + \frac{c_{1}}{\frac{2}{K}} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{t}{K} \frac{1}{c_{2}} + 1$$
$$= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{K}} \int_{C_{2}}^{1} \arctan \frac{r}{\frac{C_{2}}{K}} \frac{r}{v^{2}} + \frac{c_{1}}{\frac{2}{K}} \int_{C_{2}}^{2} \arctan \frac{r}{c_{2}} \frac{c_{2}}{k} \frac{1}{v^{2}} + \frac{c_{1}}{\frac{2}{K}} \int_{C_{2}}^{2} \frac{1}{c_{2}} \arctan \frac{c_{1}}{2} \frac{c_{2}}{k} \frac{1}{v^{2}} + \frac{c_{1}}{\frac{2}{K}} \frac{1}{c_{2}} \frac{1$$

Starting from Eqs.11, 12 and 16 we can express I(v) as a form alseries of powers of v^2 ,

$$I(v) = \frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e} + \frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e}^2 + \frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e}^3 +$$

where

$$= \frac{2}{3} \frac{F^2}{2n^2} \frac{2}{\frac{1}{4}};$$

and

$$= \frac{8}{9} \frac{F^2 k_B T}{^3 n^2} - \frac{2}{4} \frac{1}{0} 1 - \frac{4}{1} \frac{1}{2 2} + \frac{16}{27} \frac{F^4}{^2 4 n^4} - \frac{2}{6} \frac{2}{6};$$

both being jj j 1.

Therefore, the nalform of the one-body distribution function under generalized random elds reads

f(v) / exp
$$\frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e}$$

= $\frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e} exp \frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e} exp \frac{v^2}{2k_B T_e}^{3\#}$;

that corresponds to an energy probability factor

$$f("_{p}) / \exp \frac{\frac{"_{p}}{k_{B}T_{e}}}{\exp \frac{"_{p}}{k_{B}T_{e}}} \exp \frac{\frac{"_{p}}{k_{B}T_{e}}}{\exp \frac{"_{p}}{k_{B}T_{e}}} \exp \frac{(m_{p})^{3}}{(17)}$$

where $"_p = p^2 = (2)$ is the centre-of-m ass kinetic energy, given the linear momentum p = v.

It is noteworthy that our result in Eq.17 may be related at least for small deform ations to the non-extensive distribution function at the same e ective temperature T_e [9]

$$f(\mathbf{m}_{p}) / 1 (1 q \frac{\mathbf{m}_{p}}{k_{B} T_{e}} ; (18)$$

where q is called the entropic parameter. As can be straightforwardly shown after som emanipulations, in the low deform ation lim it $(q \ 1)_p^{\mu} = (k_B T_e) ! 0$, Eq. 18 reduces to Eq. 17, provided that $= (1 \ q)=2$. Thus, this condition establish a link between the entropic parameter q and our parameter that comes from micro eld strength and cross sections. We point out that, in the same lim it, also other distributions of generalized statistics have the same behaviour, as explained in Ref. [23].

W e recall that in recent past we have show n that, if the generalized random force is due to an electric m icro eld distribution, the param eter can be related to the nonextensive (T sallis) entropic param eter q and the follow ing analytical expression can be derived,

$$=\frac{1}{2}q = 12^{2}4;$$

where is the plasm a parameter and is a dimensionless parameter accounting for ion correlations in the ionsphere m odel (0.4 < < 1) [16].

⁷ From Eq. 17 it follows that there exist three different intervals of relative velocity in which the evaluated corrections due to the random eld are su ciently large to be noteworthy. First of all, we observe that if "p k_BT_e, the dominant factor is exp["p=(k_BT_e)], namely the M axwellian factor characterized by the cross section $_0$. The exponential factor with the parameter, corresponding to the collisional cross section $_1$, becomes not negligible with respect to the M axwellian only when "p k_BT_e = j j it is also often called the D nuyrenstein factor. Finally, the third term exp[("p=k_BT_e)³] arises when "p j = j_{B}T_e; as we shall sketch in Section V, it can be related with quantum energy-momentum uncertainty e ects in dense astrophysical plasm as.

In conclusion of this section, let us sum marize that if the random force eld is absent or negligible, in spite of the presence of whatever kind of collisional cross sections, all stationary states which are solutions of the kinetic equation have an analytical expression that coincides with the equilibrium M axwellian distribution function. Therefore, the non-extensive statistical description, at least in a classical fram ework, requires as a rst condition that particles be subjected to a su ciently strong random force eld and, as second condition, that a constant collisional cross section (or depending on higher positive powers of velocity) should act among the particles of the system.

IV. COLLISIONAL CROSS SECTIONS IN ASTROPHYSICAL PLASMAS

W e want to discuss the physical meaning of the collisional processes related to $_0$, $_1$ and $_2$, de ned in Section III and inserted into the kinetic equation in order to derive the one-body distribution function.

The cross section $_0$ (v), de ned in Eq. 6, is the most important one as it originates the well-known M axwellian distribution function even in presence of a generalized random eld. That the solution of the kinetic equation (Eq. 1), at rst order, be the M axwellian function is our rst unavoidable requirement, because the actual kinetic solution for F = 0 is indeed the M axwellian, and we are dealing only with slight corrections.

Following Ref. [17] we can state that, starting from an interaction force that depends on distance as r^s, the corresponding cross section is $(v) / v^{4=(s \ 1)}$. Therefore, in the case of the cross section $_0(v) / v^1$, the underlying force goes like r⁵, while the potential energy is proportional to r⁴, and we can interpret it as the cross section due to the interaction between an ionic charge and an electric dipole induced by the ion on the neutral system of charges com posing a D ebye sphere [15].

On the contrary, if we considered a pure C oulom b interaction due to a force F_c / r^2 (with s = 2), it would give a collisional cross section proportional to v^4 ; however, this case is not physically suitable in presence of an intensive random force eld because of induced divergences in the distribution function at sm all velocities. K rook and W u showed in the past [18] that collisional cross sections going like v^1 and v^3 always produce, after a su cient long time, a M axwellian distribution; however their system is not subjected to a random force eld.

The cross section $_1$ (v), de ned in Eq. 7, has been introduced by Ichim anu [19] in the fram ework of an ion-sphere m odel for non-ideal and weakly-coupled plasm as with a parameter of order unity, and with a small num – ber of ions inside the D ebye sphere. In these physical conditions the collisional cross section, directly derived from the pure C oulom b one, is constant according to the approxim ations of the m odel and it can be cast in the sim ple form

$$_{1}$$
 (v) 2 (a)²;

where is the correlation factor already introduced in Section III and a is the interparticle distance. The correction due to $_1$ on the probability function of energy is of order exp[$\frac{\hat{n}}{p} = (k_B T_e)^2$], and shows the same behaviour of the so called non-extensive corrective factor (see Ref. [20]).

The cross section $_2$ (v) will be described in the next section.

V. EFFECTS OF QUANTUM ENERGY-MOMENTUM UNCERTAINTY ON THE EQUILIBRIUM DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

Here we introduce simple arguments to synthetically explain the meaning and justify the use of the cross section $_2$ (v) de ned in Eq.8 and, at the same time, to show a possible link between quantum energy-momentum uncertainty and non-extensivity.

Quantum energy-momentum uncertainty in weakly non-ideal dense stellar plasm as in uences therm onuclear rates. In fact, in classical physics, energy " and momentum p (or $"_p = p^2 = 2$, with reduced m ass) are linked by the dispersion relation $("; "_p) =$ (" **"**). Nevertheless, if the particles cannot be considered free, " and "p are independent variables. For instance, an ion tunnelling the Coulomb barrier during a therm onuclear fusion reaction can interact simultaneously with many other particles. In this case, the dispersion relation is given by the function (";"p) de ned using the ansatz of Kadano and Baym [11]. Under equilibrium conditions, and this time without any random force eld, the energy and m om entum generalized distribution function can be written as

$$f(";"_p) = \frac{n(")}{(";"_p)};$$

with

$$(";"_{p}) = \frac{\text{Im}^{R} (";"_{p})}{(" \quad "_{p} \quad \text{Re}^{R})^{2} + (\text{Im}^{R})^{2}};$$

where n(") is the particle number distribution and $^{\rm R}$ (";"_p) is the mass operator for the one-particle retarded G reen function.

Galitski and Yakim ets [12] (see also Refs. [21, 22]) derived that the quantum energy-momentum indeterm indeterminacy and a non-zero value of Im $^{\rm R}$ lead to the non-exponential tail of the energy probability factor f (" $_{\rm p}$).

W e lim it ourselves to the case of a dispersion relation of Lorentz type,

$$(";"_{p}) = \frac{1}{(" - m_{p})^{2} + 2} \frac{(";"_{p})}{(" - m_{p})^{2} + 2} (";"_{p});$$

with

$$(";"_{p}) = \sim {}^{T}_{coll}(";"_{p}) = \sim n_{t}("_{p}) \frac{2^{"}}{2}$$

where $T_{coll}(";"_p)$ is the total collision frequency and $t_{t}("_p)$ is the collisional cross section.

Let us m ake the example of a pure Coulom b interaction. We have that

$$(";"_p) = \frac{2 - ne^4}{m_p^2} \frac{2"}{m_p^2}$$
;

6

and the momentum distribution becomes

$$f("_{p}) = d"f(";"_{p}) = d"\frac{n(")}{(";"_{p})} = d"\frac{n(")}{(";"_{p})} = \frac{2}{3^{-2}} \frac{p_{m_{p}}}{(k_{B}T)^{3-2}} [f_{M B}("_{p}) + f_{Q}("_{p})]; (19)$$

with

$$f_{M B}("_{p}) = \exp - \frac{"_{p}}{k_{B}T}$$
;

and

$$f_{Q} ("_{p}) = \frac{2}{3} C (k_{B} T)^{3=2} \frac{1}{m_{p}^{4}};$$

where ${\tt C}\,$ is a constant depending on the density ${\tt n}\,.$

At high m om enta the last term in Eq. 19 can be m any orders of m agnitude greater than the rst one and represents an enhancem ent of the tail, with im portant consequences in the calculations of nuclear fusion rates.

We want to verify if, by using a certain elastic collision cross section, we could obtain from the quantum uncertainty e ect the non-extensive T sallis distribution, lim iting ourselves, for sim plicity, to the case of entropic parameter q > 1 [9].

Following (and adapting to our present needs energy uctuations instead of temperature uctuations) the approach outlined by Beck and Cohen [23], we may state that any non-M axwellian energy probability function should be cast in the form of a Laplace transformation of the function $_{\rm f}$ (E;"_p) which describes the non ideality of the system [24],

$$f("_p) = \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} dE \exp \frac{E}{k_B T} f(E;"_p):$$

The function $_{f}(E;"_{p})$ must be assumed to be a G amma- (or 2 -) function, in order to obtain that f ("_p) be a non-extensive (I sallis) distribution [10, 23].

Let us compare this integral with the integral of Eq. 19, that can be written explicitly as $f("_p) = d"exp("=k_BT)$ (";"_p). Quantum uncertainty and non-extensivity are two di erent and distinct causes of deform ation of the M axwell-B oltzm ann distribution. Nevertheless they give the same e ect if the m icroscopic interaction among the particles (i.e. the collisional cross section) is of a particular nature as we discuss below.

Let us pose attention to the physical property of interest is the width'D_f of the $_{f}(E; "_{p})$ distribution; it can be shown that for the non-extensive distribution function, the width is $D_{NE} = \frac{n^{2}}{p}$, while for the quantum uncertainty the $D_{Q} = \frac{2}{("_{p})"_{p}}$ relation holds, where $("_{p})$ is the collisional cross section. If we now in pose that super-extensivity and quantum uncertainty give the same physicale ect on distribution functions, we should require that $("_{p}) / \frac{p}{r_{p}} or$, in terms of relative velocity, $(v) = \frac{1}{2}(v) / v$. Thus, the cross section $\frac{1}{2}$ that we have used in Section III, is strongly related with both

quantum and non-extensive statistical e ects. The nonextensive and the G alitski -Y akim ets distributions result given by the sam e expression.

Let us recover the behaviour of the interaction force responsible of the cross section $("_p)$ $p = \frac{p}{m_p}$. We can write its dependence on the relative coordinate r of the two interacting particles as [17]

$$F(r) = f_0 \frac{r}{R_0}^{s}$$
;

where f_0 is a dimensional constant, R_0 is a characteristic distance of the two-body center of mass with respect to a given origin and s is a negative or positive integer.

De ning the collisional cross section as

with

 $= d^2;$

;

d
$$f_0 \frac{1 = (s_1 f_0)}{\frac{1}{p_1 f_0}}$$

in order to have the requested behaviour of $("_p) / {}^p m_p$, we must set s = 3. Let us recall that the case s = 3 is, from the point of view of the orbit di erential equation of motion, one of the integrable cases, with solutions given in term s of elliptical functions [25].

Therefore the interacting force responsible of the collisions that lead to $("_p)$ $p = m_p$ reads

$$F_{Q}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{array}{c} (& & & & \\ f_{Q_{0}} & \frac{r}{R_{0}} & r & R_{0} \\ 0 & & r > R_{0} \end{array}$$

where the cut-o is needed in order to avoid divergences of the potential energy.

W e m ay argue that the force F_Q (r) can be understood as a tidal-like force [26] if we assume that an attractive central force of intensity f_{Q_0} , centered at a distance R_0 from the center of m ass of the two interacting particles separated by a distance r, is superim posed. The tidallike force acts globally over all the particles of the system. This is the dynam ical requirement to recover the non-extensive (T sallis) distribution in the framework of quantum energy-momentum uncertainty. It is worth and peculiar to remark that by applying the virial theorem to this case we obtain a negative kinetic energy, which is, in fact, understandable and admissible by the uncertainty principle.

W e derive the analytical expression of q by equalling the complete expressions of D $_{\rm N~E}\,$ and D $_{\rm O}$. W e obtain

$$q = 1 + \frac{(\sim c)^2 n^2 (_1)^2}{2^5 (c^2)} \frac{R_0^3}{f_{Q_0}};$$

where n is the plasm a density and $_1$ is of order of unity [22].

The correction to the unity can be thought due to the many-body e ect over the two-body interacting system .

As an example, let us make the following numerical approximate evaluation of f_{Q_0} : if the correction is of order of ten per cent, the density n 10^{14} fm³, and R₀ 10^5 fm, we obtain, for a proton plasma (c^2 460 MeV),

 f_{Q_0} 10¹² M eV = fm :

Before concluding this section we remark that the non-extensive distribution usually describes m etastable states or stationary states of non-equilibrium systems. On the contrary, in this case, quantum uncertainty with collisional cross section $("_p) \xrightarrow{p_m} p$ gives a distribution function which belongs to an equilibrium state, although di erent from the M axwell-Boltzm ann distribution. O ther generalized distributions have been recently proposed [27]. For situations with sm all deform ation our argum ent are valid also for these distributions.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

W e have set a kinetic equation suitable to describe the stationary states of a weakly non-ideal plasm a of a stellar core subject to generalized random forces. Provided that a random force satisfying condition 14 for superextensivity or 15 for sub-extensivity is present, the momentum distribution function can be cast in the simple fashion of Eq. 17 in which, besides the well-known M axwellian factor, other terms also contribute.

The momentum distribution function is form ally identical to the non-extensive distribution (when q > 1) expanded in powers of $(1 \quad q)$ for small deformation. An analytical expression of q, the entropic parameter, can be derived in terms of the elastic collision cross sections acting among the particles of the system. We have stressed that in physical conditions as, for example, stars with & 1, many collisional processes may be active, maybe at the same time, and that each one of them is described by a cross section with a dependence over velocity stronger (proportional to v¹, v⁰ or even / v) than the simple C oulom b scattering (proportional to v⁴). This fact is intimately related to statistical many-body e ects and represents a link between dynamics (the type of two-body elastic collisions) and statistical mechanics (the momentum distribution function of the stationary states involved).

Finally, in the fram ework of a quantum many-body description of the equilibrium state, considering the energymomentum uncertainty due to the non-commutativity of position and momentum operators, we have found that if the collisional cross section ("p) behaves like $p_{\rm mp}$, the distribution function coincides with the non-extensive (I sallis) distribution function with q > 1. The requested behaviour of the cross section ("p) is due to an interaction similar to a tidal-like force. Therefore the analogy between quantum uncertainty e ect on the distribution and non-extensive e ect is achieved provided that an overall attractive interaction is superim posed to the two-body interaction. This represents again a possible link between dynam ics and statistical mechanics.

- D.D.Clayton, \Principles of Stellar Evolution e Nucleosynthesis", The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1968.
- [2] C.E.Rolfs and W S.Rodney, \Cauldrons in the Cosmos", The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988.
- [3] C.A. Iglesias, Phys. Rev. A 27 (1983) 2705.
- [4] M. Yu. Rom anovsky and W. Ebeling, Physica A 252 (1998) 488.
- [5] S. Ichim anu, Rev. M od. Phys. 65 (1993) 255; V. Castellaniet al., Phys. Rep. 281 (1997) 309.
- [6] F.Ferro, A. Lavagno and P. Quarati, Eur. Phys. J. A, 2004 (to be published).
- [7] L.E.Reichl, \A modern course in Statistical Physics", 2nd Ed., John W iley & Sons, New York, 1998.
- [8] A.Einstein, Annalen der Physik 33 (1910) 1275.
- [9] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52 (1988) 479; G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia, A. Rapisarda Eds., \N on Extensive Thermodynamics and Physical Applications", Physica A 305, n. 1-2 (2002); A. Lavagno and P.Quarati, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 13 (2002) 569; http://tsallis.cat.cbpfbr/biblio.htm

- [10] C.Beck, Phys.Rev.Lett.87 (2001) 180601.
- [11] L.Kadano and L.P.Baym, \Quantum Statistical Mechanics", Benjamin, New York, 1962.
- [12] V.M.Galitski and V.V.Yakimets, JETP 51 (1966) 957, Soviet Phys. JETP, 24 (1967) 3.
- [13] S.Chapm an and T.G.Cow ling, \The m athem atical theory of non-uniform gases", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [14] L. Spitzer, Jr., \Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium ", J.W iley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [15] V.E.Golant, A.P.Zilinskijand I.E.Sacharov, \O snovy zikiplasm y", M IR Ed., Moscow, 1983.
- [16] M. Coraddu et al., Brazilian Journal of Physics, 29 (1999) 153.
- [17] D. R. Present, \K inetic Theory of Gases", M c-G raw H ill, New York, 1958.
- [18] M. Krook and T. T. W u, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 1107.
- [19] S. Ichim anu, \Statistical Plasm a Physics", Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1992.
- [20] D. D. Clayton et al., Astrophys. J., 199 (1975) 194;

G.Kaniadakis et al, Physica A, 261 (1998) 359.

- [21] A.Abrikosov, L.Gor'kov and I.Dzyaloshinskii, \Quantum Field Theory Methods in Statistical Physics", Prentice Hall, New York, 1962; N.L.Aleksandrov, Phys. of Plasmas, 5 (1998) 5; A.N. Starostin, V.I. Savchenko and N.J.Fisch, Phys. Lett. A, 274 (2000) 64.
- [22] A.N. Starostin et al., Physica A, 305 (2002) 287.
- [23] C.Beck and E.G.D.Cohen, Physica A 322 (2003) 267.
- [24] M. Coraddu et al., in Perspectives in TheoreticalNuclear Physics, W orld Sci., Singapore, 2001, nucl-th/0012002.
- [25] H. Goldstein, \Classical Mechanics", Addison-Wesley Publ.Co., Reading, 1965.
- [26] H. Lamb, \Hydrodynam ics", Dover Publ, New York, 1945.
- [27] G.Kaniadakis and M.Lissia Eds., News and Expectations in Therm ostatistics", Physica A 340, n.1-3 (2004).