# M agnetism of 3d transition m etal atom on Au(110)-(1 2) and Au(111) surfaces

WeiFan<sup>a</sup>, Xin-GaoGong<sup>b;a</sup>,

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, 230031-Hefei, P.R.China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Fudan University, 200433–Shanghai, P.R.China

#### A bstract

We calculate the magnetism of 3d transition-metal atoms on Au  $(110)-(1 \ 2)$  and Au (111) surfaces based on the Density Functional Theory. Our results show that the surface relaxation enhances the orbitalm on ents of left-end elements (T i,V) and quenches the orbital moments of right-end elements (Fe,C o,N i) on the Au  $(110)-(1 \ 2)$  surface. The middle elements (C r,M n) of the group have large spin moments on the two surfaces because of the strong electronic exchanged and correlated interactions.

Key words: Density Functional Theory, Surface, Magnetism, PACS: 75.70 Ak, 75.70 Rf, 75.75.+ a, 71.15 Mb

#### 1 Introduction

The magnetism of nano-structures on a surface is a current interesting topic in material science and surface science due to their potential applications to the high-density magnetic recording and the memory devices. The magnetism of a single magnetic atom on a surface is important to understand the magnetism of materials with more complex structures. The reduced dimensionality and coordination number for surface enhance the magnetism of surface and absorbed atom s. The magnetism of absorbed atom s have been studied in experiments [1{5], theoreticals model and calculations of (DFT) density functional theory [6{10].

The magnetism of materials has close relation with material structure and vice versa, such as large magnetic moment stabilizes structures of clusters doped with magnetic impurities [13]. Both experiments and theories have reported that the atom s absorbed on surface have large orbital moments compared with

the atom s in the bulk [9,10]. Besides the reduced coordination number, the broken global symmetry (Space group) can also enhance orbitalm on ents of the absorbed atom s, such as the broken from three-dimensional translation symmetries in the bulk to the two-dimensional translation symmetries on the surface makes the orbital quenching uncompleted. The broken of local symmetries (Point group) generally quenches the orbitalm on ents e ciently because the lost of local symmetries can e ciently lift the degeneracy of the ground state. The orbital polarization, spin-orbit coupling and electronic correlated interaction also have signi cant contributions to orbitalm on ents. Some of DFT calculations with the orbital polarization term have obtained correct orbitalm on ents of the atom s absorbed on surface [6{10]. However the orbital polarization generally overestim ates the magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) ofm agnetic materials [10,12].

The surface deform ation induced by absorbed atoms generally changes the symmetries and strength of crystal eld. The orbital moment will have signinic cant changes because orbital moment is close related to the symmetries and strength of the crystal eld. In this work we calculate the magnetism of a single absorbed 3d atom on Au (110)–(1 2) and Au (111) surfaces. The Au (110)–(1 2) surface reconstructs from the Au (110) surface by missing Au rows every others and has one-dimensional troughs along the closed packed [110] direction. Our results show the orbital moments of the left-end elements T i and V increase if they relax together with surface and decrease for the left-end elements of the 3d group such as T i, V, C o and N i have smaller values than those of the middle elements such as C r and M n. The absorbed 3d atom s in the middle of this group still keep the large spin moments and close to their values of individual atom s due to the strong electronic exchanged and correlated interactions.

## 2 Computation M ethods

W e calculate the m agnetism of the 3d transition m etal atom s from Tito Cu which are absorbed on Au (110)-(1 2) and Au (111) surfaces based on the D ensity Functional Theory [15,16] using the M ethods of Projection of Augm entation W ave (PAW) [17] with the plane-wave base-set and the Perdew-Burke-E mzerhof G G A exchange-correlate potential [18]. The program is the VASP code written by the computational materials science group at V ienna university [19{22]. The initial structures are constructed by placing the 3d atom on the hollow sites of the Au (111) and Au (110)-(1 2) surface in the trough (Fig. 1). The initial surface constructs with 28 Au atom swith 4 atom ic layers. The atom s in the layer of the slab bottom are unrelaxed. At the rst step we optim ized the lattice constant 4.079A of the slab by having changed the lattice constant and kept the slab unchanging. The total-energy minimum applied the RMM-DIIS algorithm [19] with plane-wave energy cuto 269.561eV and the 6x6x6 M onkhorst-Pack K points. The size of the supercell is (11.523A 8.148A 15.52A). The initial structures are relaxed using the conjugate-gradient M ethods with points used as the Brillouin-zone sam pling in the corresponding calculations of the electronic structure. Based on the relaxed structures, we calculate the electronic structures of N i chains using the RMM-DIIS algorithm [19] with the (6 6 1) Monkhorst-Pack grids sam pling the Brillouin zone. If the changes of the total energies are smaller than 0.0001eV between two electronic self-consistent (SC) steps the SC-loops break, and 0.001eV between two ion-steps the program s stop. The W igner-Seitz radiuses 1,323A is set for Ti, V, Cr, Mn, 1,302A for Fe and Co 1,286A for N i, 1.312A for C u to calculate the magnetic moments. The energy cuto s of plane waves are set to 229.9eV for Ti, V and Cr, 269.9eV for Mn, 267.9eV for Fe, 268.0eV for Co, 269.6eV for Ni, 273.2eV for Cu atoms. We set the Methfessel Paxton smearing width equal to 0.20eV to accelerate the speed of convergence. We choose the [110] direction as the quantization axis.

We emphasize the in uence of surface deform ation on the orbitalm om ents of the absorbed atoms. The orbital polarization interaction om its in this work but still include the spin-orbit coupling interaction. We obtain smaller orbitalm om ents compared with pervious DFT calculation including the orbital polarization interaction [26].

## 3 Results and Discussion

At rst we calculate the magnetic moments of the absorbed 3d atoms on the Au (110)-(1 2) and Au (111) surfaces with the spin-orbit coupling interactions and non-collinear calculations [29]. We also calculate the magnetism of the free standing 3d atoms by removing the surface atoms and keeping the other parameters unchanged. From Fig. 2, we can see that the spin moments reach the maximum in the middle of the group. The large spin moment of the absorbed M n atom is about 4.163  $_{\rm B}$  on the Au (110)-(1 2) surface. We can see from the left panel of the gure that the spin moment of the absorbed C r atom is the second largest when including the spin-orbit coupling interactions and non-collinear calculations, and alm ost zero w ithout including them.

O ur results show that the spin m om ents of the absorbed atom s are smaller than those of the free standing atom s (Fig. 2) due to the hybridizing interactions. The magnetic m om ents of the absorbed atom s near the two ends of 3d elements group such as T i,V ,C r,N i decrease the larger values com pared with the middle atom s of the group such as M n,Fe,C o. Thus our results show that the hybridization weakens the e cient of the H und rule. The localized 3d states of the absorbed 3d atom s will be delocalized when they are embedded in the environments of the extended surface states. The weakened coulomb interactions between electrons in the delocalized states will produce more double-occupations and decrease spin moments of the absorbed 3d atoms. Our results also show that the absorbed Cr and Mn atoms are more like free standing atoms than the other 3d atoms because of stronger exchanged and correlated interactions. The decreases of their spin moments are smaller than those of the other 3d atoms due to the hybridization with surface. We can nd that the spin moment of the absorbed T i atom decreases alm ost to zero when it absorbs on the Au (111) surface. The changes for the spin moments on the Au (111) surface are similar to those on the Au (110)–(1 2) surface across the group.

Both the electronic correlations and the crystal eld have signi cant in uence on the orbitalm om ents of the absorbed 3d atom s. If the electronic correlation is stronger than the crystal elds, the orbitalm om ent is large, otherw ise sm all. The absorbed Ti,V,Co atom s have visible orbital moments 0.086 , 0.082 and 0.092 , on the Au (110)–(1 2) surface, and 0.071 , and 0.247 , on the Au (111) surface respectively. Cabria, et al obtained larger orbital m om ent (about 0.5 , ) of Fe and Co atom s absorbed on Au (001) surface using the spin polarization relativistic KKR methods including the orbital polarization term [26]. The usual exchange-correlate potentials (LDA or GGA) underestim ate the electronic correlations such as the Coulomb correlation and the orbital polarization, thus the obtained orbital moments in this work are generally sm all compared with experimental values [23{26] although our work includes the spin-orbital coupling interaction. The sm all values of orbital moments of the absorbed Cratoms in our calculations are not related to the crystal eld but to its electronic structure. In the individual Cr atom, ve 3d-electrons half- 11the 3d states, the total orbitalm om ent is very sm all. The large spin moment, sm all orbital moment and the weak interaction with the surface all in ply that the absorbed Cratom is just like an individual atom.

G enerally, the material structures are close related to the material magnetism. The smaller atom ic nearest neighbor distance leads to the larger exchanged integralJ. The strong magnetic correlation of dimension of sent atom senhances the magnetism of the materials. The distances of surface atoms are generally smaller than those in bulk. Thus the magnetism of surface are generally stronger than that in bulk. The supported atoms on the surface are in the environment simminary to the surface atoms. Based on the same logic, the supported atoms on the surface have possibly strong magnetism.

The lack of the orbital polarization isn't the obstacle to the study of the structure in uence on the orbital moments. In order to clearly illustrate the e ects of the crystal deform ations (or the cubic distortions), we compare the results on the deform ed surface with that on the prefect Au (110)-(1 2) surface. The absorbed 3d atom s on the prefect surface still modify their positions to reach their stable positions, although the surface atom s are xed. We nd from F ig. 3 that the surface relaxations generally quench the orbital moments of the absorbed 3d atom s except for the absorbed V and T i atom s. The changes of the orbital moments in response to the relaxations are closely related to the changes of the depth of the 3d atom s embedded in the trough of the reconstructed A u (110) surface. The absorbed atom s are deeper in the through, their orbital moments are quenched to sm aller values due to the stronger crystal

led. The absorbed V atom rises above the top row of the trough after the relaxations and its orbitalm on ent enhances, opposes to the orbital quenching for the other 3d atom s except for the absorbed T i atom. This is due to the weaker crystal eld above the surface than that in the trough. The absorbed T i atom has alm ost the same height as the top row after the relaxations and its orbitalm on ent slightly increases. T hus our results indicate that the surface relaxation decreases the orbitalm on ents of the absorbed 3d atom s with the excess half-led 3d states and increases the orbitalm on ents of the absorbed atom s with less half-led 3d states on Au (110)-(1 2) surface.

The 3d density of states of the absorbed 3d atoms on the two surfaces are shown in Fig. 4. From this qure, the large spans between the spin-up and spindown peaks in ply the large electronic correlations for the Mn, and Cr, and Fe atom s.This is why the magnetism of the absorbed Cr, Mn, Fe atom s are similar to the magnetism of their individual atoms. The 3d DOS of the absorbed Fe hasm ore contributions to the totalD 0 S at Ferm ienergies. The electrons near Ferm i energy form a glue which sticks atom s in bulk together [27,28] and also dom inates the electron transports of m aterials. The absorbed Ti, V, Fe and Co atom s with large 3d DOS at the Ferm i energies all have strong interactions based on the calculations of the interaction energies. On the contrary, the absorbed Mn, Cr, Cu atom s with sm all 3d DOS at the Ferm i energies have weak interactions with the two surfaces [29]. Our results also show that the 3d DOS at Ferm i energies are also closely related the orbitalm om ents of the absorbed 3d atom s. The absorbed  $Ti_{i}V$ , Co atom s on the Au(110)-(1 2) surface and V, Co atom s on the Au (111) surface with large 3d DOS at the Ferm ienergies have large orbitalm om ents. W e get the sam e argum ents on the magnetism of N i, (n=1-5) chains in our parallel research [29]. It is valuable to note that we can not infer the large orbitalm om ent for the absorbed Fe atom based on our results. It can be rescued by the introductions of the orbitalpolarization term [23] or the correction of the on-site coulom b energy U.

The STM [14]experiments of 3d atoms absorbing on Au (111) surface show that the elements (Ti, Co, Ni) near the two ends of the group have obviously K ondo resonances and for the middle elements not. The intense of STM spectrums at zero bias voltage is proportional to the local density of state (LDOS) at the Ferm i energy. The STM experiments indicate the large LDOS near the Ferm i energy for the absorbed Ti, Co and Ni atoms. The density functional theory with the LDA or GGA exchange-correlate potential generally doesn't completely include the K ondo correlations. The K ondo resonance and K ondo e ects origin from the screening of the conducting electrons on the local spin [30,31]. The ground state is the K ondo single state with total zero spin [32]. Thus, the screening e ect is an important aspect for the K ondo resonance. A lthough the calculations of DFT can not show the completely screening to the local spin, we still hope to nd the partial screening. Fig. 5 shows the partial screening e ect to the local spin for the absorbed C o atom.

## 4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we calculate the magnetism of 3d transition m etals on Au (110)–(1 2) surface and Au (111) surface based on the D ensity Functional Theory with P roject A rgum ent W ave M ethods and plane wave base-sets. Our results show that the spin m on ents of the two-side elements of the 3d group such as T i, V, Co and N i have smaller values than those of the m iddle elements such as C r and M n. The absorbed 3d atom s in the m iddle of this group still keep the large spin m on ent similar to their individual atom s due to the strong electronic correlation. The surface relaxations generally deform the surface and m odify the positions of the absorbed atom s. If the relaxations lift the absorbed atom out of the trough, the orbitalm on ents enhance due to weaker lattice elds. If the relaxations m ake the absorbed atom s deeper embedded in the trough the orbitalm on ents quench to smaller values due to the stronger lattice elds.

A cknow ledgem ents

W Fan thanks Professor Q Q Zheng, Z Zeng and Dr. JL W ang for useful discussion. W Fan is supported by Center for computational science, Hefei Institutes of Physical Science, Chinese A cademy of Sciences; Nature Science Foundation of China under G rant N os 10374091 and 90103038, K now ledge Innovation Program of Chinese A cademy of Sciences under K JCX 2-SW -W 11. The jobs run on the SG I-3900 parallel computer at Center for computational science, Hefei Institutes of Physical Science, Chinese A cademy of Sciences. X G G ong is supported by Nature Science Foundation of China, the special funds for major state basic research and GAS projects.

#### References

- [1] K D G ross, D R iegel, and R Zeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 1176.
- [2] K D G ross, D R iegel, and R Zeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 3044.
- [3] M C Hanf, C Pirri, JC Peruchetti, D Bolm ont, and G.Gewinner, Phys.Rev. B36 (1987) 4487.
- [4] D Riegel, L Buern ann, K D G ross, M Luszik-Bhadra, and S N M ishra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 316.
- [5] JEOrtega and FJH in pselPhys. Rev. B47 (1993) 16441.
- [6] V S Stepanyuk, A N Baranov, W Hergert and P Bruno, Phys. Rev. B68 (2003) 205422.
- [7] K.W ildberger, V.S.Stepanyuk, P.Lang, R.Zeller, and P.H.Dederichs, Phys. Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 509.
- [8] V.S.Stepanyuk, W. Hergert, P. Rennert, K. Wildberger, R.Zeller and P.H.Dederichs, Phys. Rev. B54 (1996) 14121.
- [9] I. Cabria, B. Nonas, R. Zeller, and P.H. Dederichs, Phys. Rev. B65 (2002) 054414.
- [10] O .H jortstam, J.Trygg, JM .W ills, B.Johnansson, and O .Eriksson, Phys.Rev. B53 (1996) 9204.
- [11] O .H jortstam, K Baberschke, JM .W ills, B.Johnansson, and O.Eriksson, Phys. Rev. B55 (1997) 15026.
- [12] J. Trygg, JM. W ills, B. Johnansson, and O. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 2871.
- [13] X G G ong and V ijay K um ar, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 17701.
- [14] T. Jam neala, V. Madhavan, W. Chen and M. F. Crommie, Phys. Rev. B61 (2000) 9990.
- [15] P Hohenberg and W Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B864.
- [16] W Kohn and LJ.Sham, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) A 1133.
- [17] P E B lochl, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 17953.
- [18] J.P.Perdew, K.Burke, and M.Emzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3865.
- [19] G K resse and J Furthmuller, C om put. M ater. Sci. 6 (1996) 15.
- [20] G K resse and J Furthmuller, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 11169.
- [21] G K resse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 1758.
- [22] D Hobbs, G Kesse, J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 62 (1994) 11556.

- [23] M SSB rooks and PJK elly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 1708.
- [24] I.V. Solovyev, A. I.Liechtenstein, K. Terakura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5758.
- [25] Olle Eriksson, M S.S.Brooks and Borje Johansson, Phys. Rev. B. 41 (1990) 7311.
- [26] B Nonas, I.Cabria, R.Zeller, and P H Dederichs, T.Huhne and H.Ebert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 2146 (2001) 2146.
- [27] S.W. Daw and M. I.Baskes, Phys. Rev. B29, (1984) 6443.
- [28] F Ercolessi, J.B. Adams, EuroPhys. Lett. 26 (1994) 583.
- [29] The work is parallel to our another work in which we have calculated the magnetism of one-dimension Ni chains where the noncollinear magnetsm is important. This is why we have introdoed the noncollinear calculation in this work.W.Fan and X.G.Gong, (unpublished).
- [30] J.K ondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 32 (1964) 37.
- [31] A.C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions Cambridge University Press (1993).
- [32] K G W ilson, Rev. M od. Phys. 47 (1975) 773.



Fig. 1. The schematic diagram of a 3d transition metal atom absorbed on the Au  $(110)-(1 \ 2)$  surface. The trough is along the [110] direction. The norm all of the facet of the trough is the [111] direction. The adatom absorbs on an hollow site in the trough. The white spheres are the Au atom s of the surface, the gray sphere the absorbed adatom.



3d atoms and the free standing 3d atoms. The left panel shows the results for Au (110)–(1 2) surface, the right panel for the Au (111) surface. The dot-dash line Fig. 2. The spin (solid lines) and orbital (dot lines) moments of the absorbed show s the collinear result for A u (110)–(1 2) surface.



Fig. 3. The e ects of relaxation on the orbital m on ents of the absorbed 3d atom s.  $L_d^0$  and  $L_d^1$  are the orbital m on ents before and after relaxations illustrated by the short dots line with open circles and the solid line with lled squares.  $Z_d^0$  and  $Z_t^0$  (superscript 0) are the average Z coordinates before relaxations, and  $Z_d^1$  and  $Z_t^1$  (superscript 1) after relaxations. The subscript 'd' represents the absorbed atom s and 't' the top-row atom s of the reconstructed Au (110) surface. The Z coordinates m easure from the second surface layer. 11



Fig. 4. The 3d densities of state (DOS) for the 3d atom s absorbed on Au (110)–(1 2) (solid lines) and Au (111) (dot lines) surface.



Fig. 5. The magnetic density of the absorbed Co atom which is the components along the quanta axis [110] and perpendicular to the surface. The magnetic density is de ned as  $m(r) = n \sim , \sim$  is the Pauli Matrix. The gure shows the component along the quantization axis. The contour values are 0.002/A<sup>3</sup> for the left panel and -0.002/A<sup>3</sup> for the right panel