Density functional theory description of hole-trapping in SiO₂: a successful self-interaction-corrected approach.

M ayeul d'A vezac, M atteo C alandra, and Francesco M auri

Laboratoire de M ineralogie-C ristallographie, case 115, 4 place Jussieu, 75252, P aris œdex 05, France

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

W e present a self-interaction-corrected (SIC) density-functional-theory (DFT) approach for the description of systems with an unpaired electron or hole such as spin 1/2 defect-centers in solids or radicals. Our functional is easy-to-im plement and its minimization does not require additional computational e ort with respect to ordinary DFT functionals. In particular it does not present multi-minimina, as the conventional SIC functionals. We successfully validate the method studying the hole self-trapping in quartz associated to the Al substitutional impurity. We show that our approach corrects for the well known failures of standard DFT functionals in this system.

PACS num bers: 71.15 M b, 61.72 Bb, 71.55-i

W hen an electron, a hole, or an electron-hole pair is introduced in an insulator, its wavefunction can have different degrees of localization. It can be localized (selftrapped) on a single atom /bond or it can be delocalized on a larger scale. Trapped electron or hole centers occur in very di erent system s. The F-centers in alkali halides are param agnetic centers form ed by electron defects trapped on negative ion vacancies in the crystal. They can be obtained by introducing a stoichiom etric excess of alkalim etal atom s or ionizing the crystal with radiation [1]. Trapped hole defects are found in alkaline earths oxides (M]⁰ centers) [2][3] upon substitution of the divalent alkaline earth atom with a monovalent one.

Several examples of self-trapping occur in silica. Holes and electrons induced by ionizing radiation self-trap in am orphous $\operatorname{SiO}_2[4]$ but not in quartz[5]. In quartz, bcalization of holes is achieved via the substitution of Si with a trivalent atom (e.g. Al)[6]. Similarly, electron self-trapping is obtained substituting a Si with a pentavalent atom (e.g. P). Self-trapped holes or electrons in quartz can also be obtained by substituting Si with G e and by removing or adding an electron with ionizing radiation. Excitons self-trap both in am orphous Silica and in quartz[4].

The study of self-trapping of centers in both am orphous and crystalline SiD₂ is a subject of important technological implications. The creation of self-trapped centers in SiD₂ due to ionizing radiation or high intensity UV light determ ines the degradation of UV-transm itting

bers (for e.g. UV lithography). Moreover self-trapped defects are partly responsibles for the failure rate of MOS devices which use an orphous SiO_2 as an insulating layer.

From a theoretical point of view, the description of trapped defects is particularly challenging [6] since a standard density functional theory (DFT) approach based on the local spin density approximations (LSDA) or on its improved version, the spin polarized generalized gradients approximations (SPGGA), often fails to reproduce the localization of the defect wavefunction. Unrestricted Hartree Fock (UHF) calculations [6, 7] usually correctly reproduces the self-trapping. However the UHF description of the defect-free system is usually less accurate than that obtained with DFT.Also, UHF is computationally more dem anding.

DFT predicts most F-centers in alkali halides to be delocalized [1]. In the case of SiO_2 , electron self-trapping is correctly described by DFT, whereas holes are found delocalized [6, 8]. A prototype example are neutral Al centers in SiO₂. The structure of pure silica is composed of corner-sharing [SiO₄] tetrahedrons. The Aldoping occurs as a substitution of a Si in the tetrahedral structure, resulting in consequentialm odi cations of electronic and geom etric properties. The A 1-Si substitution introduces in the system a hole which, according to electron spin resonance, is localized on one of the surrounding oxygen. On the contrary, and in clear disagreem ent with experimental ndings, DFT using standard functionals predicts a hole wavefunction delocalized over the four surrounding oxygens [6, 8]. For this reason the description of hole trapping on Alimpurities in Silica has been de ned \a challenge for density functional theories" [6].

As suggested by several authors [6, 8] the failure of DFT in describing self-trapping in general, and self-trapping on Al centers in particular, might be due to the incomplete cancellation of the unpaired-electron self-interaction. This cancellation occurs exactly in UHF.A possible solution to the problem might be to use self-interaction corrected (SIC) functionals[9, 10]. It is indeed well known that SIC functionals can describe electronic states which are not reproduced by LSDA /SPGGA functionals[11]. Unfortunately, the implementation of DFT functionals with self-interaction corrections on each orbital, besides being technically complex, leads to multimin a problem s and som etimes degrades the results obtained with standard functionals [12].

In this work we show that SIC functionals are indeed a rem edy to the failure of DFT in describing Aldefects in Silica. We present an easy-to-implement SIC functional for one-particle spin 1=2 defects in solids. In our SIC functional approach we apply the self-interaction correction to the unpaired electron only. We treat the closed shell system formed by the remaining 2N electrons using standard DFT functionals but imposing the conditions that up and down electrons with the same orbital quantum numbers have identical spatial wavefunctions. Our method is free from the multiminim a problem found in previous SIC implementations and its computational cost is equal to that of ordinary DFT functionals. We validate the method against experimental data by calculating optimized geometries and hyper ne coupling parameters of Aldefects in silica.

We consider a system of 2N + 1 electrons with $N_{*} = N + 1$ up electrons and $N_{\#} = N$ down electrons. The wavefunction of the ith electron (=";#) is j_{i} i. Throughout the paper we assume the wavefunctions j_{i} i to be orthonormal. We write $n(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} j_{r}rj_{i}$ if and n(r) = n(r). The magnetization density is $m(r) = n_{*}(r)$.

In spin polarized density functional theory the total energy functional is:

$$F[f_{i}g] = T[f_{i}g] + E_{KS}[n_{*};n_{\#}] + X X + ij(h_{i}j_{j}i_{ij})$$
(1)
= ";# i;j=1

where T [f_ig] = $\frac{1}{2}^{P} P_{i}^{N} h_{i} j j_{i} i$ is the single particle kinetic energy and $E_{KS}[h_{*};n_{\#}] = E_{ext}[h] + E_{H}[h] + E_{xc}[h_{*};n_{\#}]$. The functionals $E_{ext}[h]$, $E_{H}[h]$ and $E_{xc}[h_{*};n_{\#}]$ are the external potential and the Hartree and exchange-correlation functionals respectively [13]. A tom ic units are used throughout the paper. Lagrange multipliers $_{ij}$ are included to impose the wavefunction orthonormalization condition. The ground state energy is obtained by minimizing the total energy functional, namely by imposing $\frac{F[f_{i}g]}{h_{i}j} = 0$, for each i and . U pon derivation of the total energy functional respect to h_{i} j we obtain:

$$\frac{F}{h_{i}j} = \frac{X}{2} + V_{KS} j_{i}i + j_{j}j_{j}i \qquad (2)$$

where $V_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{E_{KS}[n_{*};n_{*}]}{n_{*}(\mathbf{r})}$.

The Hartree functional $E_{\rm H}$ in F [f i g] contains a selfinteraction term for each electron. If the exact functional is used, these terms are exactly canceled by an identical term (with opposite sign) included in $E_{\rm xc}$. When approxim ate forms of $E_{\rm xc}$ are used, a self-interaction is introduced in F [f i g], since $E_{\rm xc}$ no longer cancels the self interaction terms in $E_{\rm H}$ exactly. This self-interaction is unphysical and must be subtracted. Since there is one spurious self-interaction term per electron, the elim ination of all the terms leads to an orbital-dependent correction in the functional. The resulting K ohn-Sham equations are not invariant anym ore for a unitary transform a-

tion in the subspace of occupied orbitals, and the minin ization of the functional leads to multi-m in in a problem s. W hen DFT calculations give a good description of the system without the spin 1=2 center (i.e. pure SiO₂) the relevant self-interaction is typically the unpaired electron's one[6, 8]. As a consequence we propose to subtract the self-interaction only for the unpaired electron. More speci cally, we remove only the self-interaction term associated with the magnetization density m (r). Indeed, using standard DFT functionals, the Kohn-Sham eigenstates are such that j_i"i' j_{i#}i and m (r) ' j_{n+1} if (for which eigenstates we have $i_{ij} = i_{ji}$, where i_{ij} are the K ohn and Sham eigenvalues). As it will be shown below, this strategy eliminates both the orbital dependence of the equations and the multim in in a problem.

A straightforward way to subtract, at least partially, the self-interaction was developed by Perdew and Zunger (SPZ) [9]. Applying this approach to the unpairedelectron leads to the de nition of a new self-interaction corrected functional (denoted SPZ), F_{SIC} [f i g] = F [f g] + F_{SPZ} [n_{*};n_#], where

$$F_{SPZ}[n_{*};n_{*}] = E_{H}[n] = E_{xc}[n;0]$$
 (3)

T his am ounts to subtracting the self-interaction term associated with the magnetization density from both the Hartree and from the exchange correlation functionals.

Besides the SPZ scheme, in this work we propose a new SIC functional (denoted US), de ned as F_{SIC} [f i g] = F [f i g] + F_{US} , where

$$F_{US}[n_{"};n_{\#}] = E_{H}[m] = E_{xc}[n_{"};n_{\#}] + E_{xc}[n_{"} m;n_{\#}]$$
(4)

namely, (i) we subtract the unpaired electron selfinteraction from the Hartree functional and (ii) we replace the exchange correlation functional for the 2N + 1electrons system with the one for the 2N electrons system without the unpaired electron.

Unfortunately, the US or PZ self-interaction corrected functionals are not su cient by them selves to obtain physically relevant densities. Due to the quadratic dependence (with a negative sign) of E_H respect to m (r), the two functionals tend to maxim ize everywhere jn (r) j by separating the spin up and spin down densities. As a consequence j i"i becomes very di erent from j i#i for i N and m (r) is not approximately equal to jrrj $N + 1^n$ if. This unphysical solution can be eliminated by introducing a second constraint on the 2N-electrons system, i.e. the system without unpaired electron. We impose that up and down electrons with the same orbital quantum num bers have identical spatial wavefunction (spin-restricted solution):

$$j_{i;"}i = j_{i;\#}i = j_{i}i$$
 for $i = 1; ...; N$ (5)

$$j_{N+1}"i = j_{N+1}i$$
 (6)

In this way the total energy becomes a function of f $_{i}g$:

$$F_{SIC} [f_{i}g] = T [f_{i}g] + E_{KS} [n_{"};n_{\#}] + \frac{N_{X}^{+1}}{K^{+1}} + F_{SIC} [n_{"};n_{\#}] + \frac{ij (h_{i}j_{j}i_{ij})}{i;j=1}$$
(7)

where the _{ij} Lagrange multipliers are used to enforce the orthonormalization conditions. $F_{SIC}[n_*;n_{\#}]$ is the SIC correction in the SPZ (eq. 3) or in the US (eq. 4) scheme. M inimization of the total energy functional can be achieved by imposing $\frac{F}{h_{ij}} = 0$ for i = 1; ...; N + 1. Functional derivation respect to h_{ij} leads to:

$$\frac{F_{SIC}}{h_{N+1}j} = \frac{2}{2} + V_{SIC}^{"} j_{N+1}i + V_{N+1j}j_{j}i_{j}i_{N+1}i_{N+1j}j_{j}i_{N+1}i_{N+1j}j_{j}i_{N+1}i_{N+1j}i_{N+1}i_{N$$

The potential V_{SIC} is is defined as $V_{SIC}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{F_{SIC}}{n(\mathbf{r})}$, where F_{SIC} is F_{SPZ} or F_{US} depending on which subtraction scheme is adopted (eq. 3 or eq. 4).

Equations 8 and 9 form a set of self-consistent equations coupled via the terms involving Lagrange multipliers. The condition of $\frac{F_{SIC}}{h_{ij}} = 0$ is equivalent to the minimization of the corresponding functional. For xed ionic positions we minimize the SPZ and US functionals with the constraints in eq. 5,6 using the the gradients given in eq. 8 and 9 and the CarParrinello [14, 15] method with a damped molecular dynamics approach [16]. We used combined electronic and ionic dynamics for the geometry optimizations.

W e simulate the structure of an Aldefect in Silica using a neutral cell of 72 atoms (one Alatom, 23 Si and 48 O).W e perform electronic structure calculations [15, 17] using DFT in the spin polarized generalized gradient approximation and the Perdew-Burke-Emzerhof (PBE) functional [18] corrected for self-interaction as in eq. 3 and in eq. 4.W e use norm conserving pseudo-potentials [19]. The wave functions are expanded in plane waves using a 70 Ry cuto .W e sam ple the Brillouin zone with the point and we im pose the hrj i to be real [20].

The results of geom etrical optim ization using di erent functionals are illustrated in tables I and II. We labeldelocalized geom etry a structure in which the hole wavefunction is delocalized on the four O atom s surrounding the Alone. On the contrary a localized geom etry is a structure in which the hole wavefunction is localized on one of the four surrounding O xygens. For a given functional we indicate the structure corresponding to an absolute m inim um with stable and to a local minim um (but not the absolute one) with metastable. The structure not corresponding to any minim um is called unstable. The PBE

TABLE I: Stability of of localized and delocalized geom etries with di erent functionals. In parenthesis we indicate the energy di erence between the m etastable/unstable structure and the stable one. W ith PBE we use the US m inim alenergy structure as the localized geom etry. W ith US we use the PBE m inim alenergy structure as the delocalized geom etry.

	D elocalized geom etries		Localized geom etries		
Bond	PBE [18]	SP Z	SP Z	US	UHF [6]
AHO (1)	1.744	1.742	1.938	1.957	1.924
АЮ (2)	1.744	1.742	1.713	1.710	1.688
A 1-0 (3)	1.753	1.748	1.706	1.705	1.703
Al+O (4)	1.753	1.748	1.719	1.715	1.689
Si-0 (1)	1.613	1.596	1.756	1.793	-
Si O (2)	1.613	1.596	1.604	1.602	-
Si O (3)	1.618	1.595	1.595	1.595	-
Si0 (4)	1.618	1.595	1.601	1.601	-

TABLE II: Bond-lengths (A) around the substitutional A lim - purity using unrestricted H artree Fock and density functional theory with functionals PBE [18], SPZ and US.

stable structure[6] is a delocalized geom etry, in qualitative disagreem ent with UHF. The SPZ stable structure is delocalized. However a metastable localized solution occurs at slightly higher energy (1.3 mRyd). The US stable structure is localized, in agreem ent with the UHF results. We did not nd any metastable solution using the US functionaland in particular the delocalized structures found with PBE or SPZ are unstable. Finally, to judge the e ects of the spin-restricted prescription, we compute the total energy di erence between the localized and the delocalized structures using PBE with the constraint of eqs. 5 and 6. We obtain 45.6 mRyd which is very close to the unrestricted result of 43.9 mRyd given in table I, i.e. the spin-restricted condition weakly a ects the total energy di erences.

D elocalized geom etries are tetrahedral structures with A HO and SHO bond-lengths very close to the A HO distance (1:73A) in A PO₄ and to the SHO bond-lengths in quartz (1:61A), respectively. The localization of the hole on one particular oxygen (labeled O (1) in tab. II) leads to a distorted tetrahedral structure with two elon-gated A HO (1) and SHO (1) bonds, while all the others A HO bonds are only slightly smaller than in the debcalized case. The A HO and SHO bond-lengths of stable U S and m etastable SPZ structures are in good agreem ent

with UHF.

Geom:	PBE	US	SP Z	US	UHF [6]	
Fun:	PBE	PBE	SP Z	US	UHF [6]	Exp.
	-17.0	-72.9	-96.9	-89.5	-89.3	-85.0
0(1)	8.46	36.3	48.3	44.6	44.6	41.2
	8.55	36.7	48.6	44.8	44.7	43.8

TABLE III: A nisotropic hyper ne param eters (G auss) of the 17 O (1) atom . The rst row \G eom :" indicates the functional used to determ ine the geom etry, the second row \Fun:" the functional used in the hyper ne coupling calculation. In this table SPZ geom etry refers to the metastable localized structure obtained with the SPZ functional (see text).

To validate our SIC methods against experiment we com pute hyper ne param eters using the form alism developed in ref. [21]. The hyper ne interaction includes an isotropic part (Ferm i contact) and an anisotropic (dipolar) part. The isotropic part measures the spin density at the nucleus and it is non-zero only for wavefunctions containing s-wave components [21]. The hole state, both in the localized and delocalized solutions, corresponds to the 0 2p lone-pair state. Thus the Ferm i contact is mainly due to the spin polarization of the doubly occupied 0 2s state. Since the spin-restricted conditions (eqs. 5 and 6) suppresses such spin polarization, the Ferm i contact term cannot be computed with our spinrestricted SIC functionals [22]. On the other hand, we can access the anisotropic terms since they capture the p like component of the electron wavefunction which is weakly a ected by the spin-restricted condition [22]. In table III we report the principal values of the dipolar part for ¹⁷0 atom s. Our im plem entation of the SIC functional substantially improves the PBE results, giving a dipolar part very close to the UHF results and the experim ental data.

In this work we have presented a very e ective and easy-to-im plem ent self-interaction corrected approach. It can be applied to hole or electron spin-1/2-centers in solids. We have validate our method by calculating energetics, geometries and hyper ne couplings of neutral Al substitutional defects in quartz. Our SIC approach corrects the known de ciencies [6, 8] of standard DFT functionals and gives results in good agreem ent with experiments and with the self-interaction free UHF calculations. Thus the functional proposed in this work solves the problem [6] of describing the behavior of hole selftrapping in silica in the fram ework of density functional theory. Finally we note that our approach can also be applied to molecular systems such as spin 1/2 radicals which represents a fundam ental subject of research in chem istry and biochem istry.

We acknowledge illuminating discussions with C.

Cavazzoni. The calculations were performed at the ID R IS supercomputing center (project 031202). M.C. was supported by M arie Curie Fellow ships under Contract No. IH P-H PM F-C T-2001-01185.

- G. Mallia, R. Orlando, C. Roetti, P. Ugliengo and R. Dovesi, Phys. Rev. B 63, 235102 (2001)
- [2] A. Lichanot, C. Larrieu, R. Orlando and R. Dovesi, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 59, 7 (1998).
- [3] O.F.Schimmer, J.Phys.Chem.Solids 32, 499 (1971)
- [4] A.J.Fisher, W.Hayes, and A.M. Stoneham
- [5] W .Hayes and T.J.L.Jenkin, J.Phys.C 19, 6211 (1986)
- [6] J.L gsgaard and K.Stokbro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2834 (2001)
- [7] R. Dovesi, R. Orlando, C. Roetti, C. Pisani and V. R. Saunders, phys. stat. sol. (b) 217, 63 (2000)
- [8] G. Pacchioni, F. Frigoli, D. Ricci, and J. A. Weil, Phys. Rev. B, 63 054102 (2000)
- [9] J.P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).
- [10] U.Lundin and O.Eriksson, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 81, 147 (2001).
- [11] A. Svane and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 37, 9919
 (1988)
- [12] S.G odecker and C.J.Um rigar PRB 55, 17565 (1997)
- [13] R.O. Jones and O.G unnarsson, Rev. M od. Phys. 61, 689 (1989)
- [14] R. Car and M. Parrinello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55,2471 (1985)
- [15] C. Cavazzoni and G. L. Chiarotti, Computer Physics Communication 123, 56-76, (1999).
- [16] F. Tassone, F. Mauri, and R. Car, Phys. Rev. B 50, 10561-10573 (1994)
- [17] PARATEC (PARAllel Total Energy Code) www.nersc.gov/projects/paratec.
- [18] JP Perdew, K Burke, M Emzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)
- [19] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- [20] In absence of an external magnetic eld the manybody wavefunction is real. However using the SPZ functional (but not the US functional) with a complex wavefunction we found a localized solution having 32.1m Ry lower energy than that obtained with a real wavefunction. Such complex solution is non-physical. For instance, its hyperne dipolar principal values are non-axially symmetric [(-49.0, 11.6, 37.4) G auss] in stark contrast with the experimental ndings and with the fact that a p-like real wavefunction should lead to an axially symmetric dipolar part.
- [21] C.G.Van de W alle and P.E.Blochl, Phys.Rev.B 47, 4244 (1993)
- [22] The O (1) Ferm icontact interaction in the US geometry is -39.5 G auss with PBE while we get 0.004 G auss with the same functional but imposing the spin-restricted condition. The corresponding anisotropic principal values are (-72.9, 36.3, 36.7) G auss and (-66.8, 33.3, 33.5) G auss, respectively.