Therm odynamics and tim e{averages

A.Carati

April 14, 2024

ABSTRACT

For a dynam ical system far from equilibrium, one has to deal with em – pirical probabilities de ned through tim e{averages, and them ain problem is then how to form ulate an appropriate statistical therm odynam ics. The com – m on answer is that the standard functional expression of Boltzm ann-G ibbs for the entropy should be used, the em pirical probabilities being substituted for the G ibbs measure. O ther functional expressions have been suggested, but apparently with no clear mechanical foundation. Here it is shown how a natural extension of the original procedure em ployed by G ibbs and K hinchin in de ning entropy, with the only proviso of using the em pirical probabilities, leads for the entropy to a functional expression which is in general di erent from that of Boltzm ann {G ibbs. In particular, the G ibbs entropy is recovered for em pirical probabilities of P oisson type, while the T sallis entropies are recovered for a deform ation of the P oisson distribution.

Running title: Therm odynam ics and tim e{averages

Universita di Milano, Dipartimento di Matematica Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano (Italy) E-mail: carati@mat.unimi.it

1 Introduction

C lassical equilibrium statistical mechanics is a well established subject. One deals with a dynamical system de ned by a Ham iltonian function H on a phase space M, which is provided with a suitable probability measure (typically Lebesgue measure). Having xed a value U of the mean energy, one then correspondingly de nes a conditional probability (the G ibbs measure) on M. To obtain statistical therm odynamics, the classical procedure of G ibbs and K hinchin (see [1]) consists rst of all in identifying the external work W as $W = \langle eH \rangle d$, where $\langle \rangle$ denotes average with respect to G ibbs measure, and the Ham iltonian has been assumed to depend on an external parameter. A corresponding expression for the exchanged heat Q is thus obtained, as Q = dU W, and this nally allows to introduce entropy in the standard therm odynamic way.

However one often deals with situations in which the relevant probability measure is di erent from that of G ibbs. Typically this occurs when the probability is de ned dynamically in terms of time (averages (so journ times) and the naltime is not long enough for equilibrium to have been attained (statistical mechanics far from equilibrium). In such a case, follow ing Poincare, Boltzm ann and Einstein one can assume that the expectations should be computed in terms of time (averages.

The problem is then to determ ine which is the correct expression for the therm odynam ic functions in such a situation, and for this it is su cient to provide an expression for the entropy. M any people accept the thesis that entropy should be de ned by the classical form ula of G ibbs with the only proviso that the empirical probabilities (tim e{averages) should be substituted for the G ibbs m easure. O ther people, particularly T sallis, suggest instead that di erent form ul should be used, but a m echanical foundation for such form ul is apparently lacking.

In the present paper we show how the standard procedure to de neentropy recalled above can be implemented also if, in the expression for the external work, the averaging is performed through the empirical probabilities rather than through G ibbs measure. In particular, the standard G ibbs entropy is obtained for empirical probabilities of Poisson type, while the T sallis entropies are recovered for a deformation of the Poisson distribution. The implementation of the G ibbs (K hinchin procedure requires how ever to previously de ne a suitable mathematical setting for dealing with the em – pirical probabilities. This is dealt with in the rst two sections. The thermodynamics is then developed, and the two cases leading to the G ibbs and the T sallis distributions are nally considered. Som e open problems are also mentioned. The style of the paper will be quite informal, with no striving for strict mathematical rigour.

2 A priori probability

Suppose one has a map : M ! M (for example the time { ow of an autonom ous Ham iltonian system at time t) in a given phase space M, and we are interested in computing time {averages of a dynam ical variable A (x) (a real function on M):

$$A(x_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^N}{n=1} A(x_n)$$
 for N 1;

the sequence fx_ng being de ned by the recurrence $x_{n+1} = (x_n)$. The number N thus plays the role of the \setminus nal" time, and since now on will be thought of as a xed parameter. One can divide the space M into a large number K of disjoint cells Z_j (such that M = [Z_j), and one has then

A (x₀) '
$$X^{K} A_{j} \frac{n_{j}}{N}$$

where A_j is the value of A in a point x 2 Z_j, and n_j is the number of times the sequence fx_ng visits Z_j. It is clear that n_j depends on x_0 so that, if a certain probability distribution is assigned for the initial data x_0 , correspondingly n_j turns out to be a random variable with a certain distribution function $F_j(n)$, which will depend both on the dynamics (i.e. on the map) and on the distribution of the initial data. So one can speak in general of the \a priori probability P that the cell Z_j will be visited a number of times n_j n:"

$$P(n_{j} n) = F_{j}(n)$$
 (1)

In the following, in order to simplify the discussion, it will be supposed that such a probability does not depend on the cell Z_j (i.e. $F_j(n) = F(n) 8j$). The general case can also be easily dealt with. With an abuse of notation we will denote by $F(n_j)$ the probability that the cell Z_j is visited a number of times n_j , following the common attitude of using the same letter both for a random variable and for its value.

A central point in the discussion is that the time (average A (x_0) is itself a random variable, so that it is meaningful to consider its expectation. Denoting by < > expectation with respect to the a priori distribution, one has then

$$< A > = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} A_{j} < n_{j} > :$$

A step forward is made if one supposes that the expectation of n_j can be computed by a formalism analogous to the G rand C anonical one, i.e. if one introduces the

H ypotes is 1 The quantities $n_{\,j}$ are independent random variables, conditioned by $n_{\,j}$ = N .

U sing this hypothesis, the expectation of A can be computed as

$$< A > = \frac{1}{N} X \qquad A_{j} < n_{j} > = \frac{1}{N} X \qquad A_{j} < n_{j} > = \frac{1}{N} X \qquad A_{j} - \frac{R}{N} R^{n_{i} = N} n_{j} dF(n_{1}) \qquad A_{k}F) (n_{k}) \qquad A_{k}F)$$

In particular, introducing the function

$$Z (;A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\frac{1}{N} \int_{j}^{P} n_{j}A_{j}} dF(n_{1}) \qquad d_{k}F) (n_{j})$$

which generates the moments of the random variable A, one obtains

$$< A > = \frac{1}{N} (\log Z (; A)) = 0$$
 (3)

3 Conditional Probability and Large Deviations

U sually in statistical therm odynam ics one does not deal directly with the a priori probability, because it is generally assumed that the time (average of a certain m acroscopic quantity, typically the total energy, has a given value, which should play the role of an independent variable. So we consider the total energy, which we now denote by ", and its time (average " = 1 = N " $_jn_j$, and we impose on the numbers n_1 ; $_K$ the condition

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} u_{j} n_{j} = U = const :$$

A ctually in such a way one meets with a large deviation problem, because one usually also assumes that one has

$$U \in \langle " \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{K}} "_{j} \langle n_{j} \rangle ;$$

and furtherm one that U < "> is large, against the law of large num bers. One is thus in an extrem ely unlikely situation, where the information on the value U of "turns out to have a great relevance, by conditioning the expectations of the other quantities of interest. The problem we discuss in the rest of the present section is indeed how to compute such a conditional probability, or a posteriori probability, as it is also called. U sing the tool of the m om ent function, the a posteriori expectation of A , denoted by < A $>_{\rm U}$, is given by

$$< A >_{U} = \frac{1}{N} (\log Z (; A; U))_{= 0};$$
 (4)

where the moment function Z is de ned by

$$Z (;A;U) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} dA \exp(A) \qquad dF (n_1) \qquad d_kF) (n$$

$$R \qquad (A \frac{1}{N} A_{j}n_{j}) (U \frac{1}{N} "_{j}n_{j}) (N n_{j}); (5)$$

(x) being the usual D irac's function. Here, use was made of the familiar form ula for the conditional probability, namely the form ula P ((A)j(B)) = P ((A) (B))=P ((B)), where the event (B) consists in having xed the time { average of the energy, " = U.

To compute the moment function we use the familiar representation for the D irac's function, i.e. $L_L^L dk \exp(ikx)$! (x) as L! 1. O ne has then

$$Z^{L} \quad Z^{L} \quad Z$$

$$Z (;A;U) = \lim_{L!+1} dk_{1} dk_{2} dA \exp(A)$$

$$Z \quad Z \quad L \quad L \quad R$$

$$dF (n_{1}) \quad d_{k}F) (exp i(U \quad \frac{1}{N} X \quad "_{j}n_{j})k_{1} + i(N \quad n_{j})k_{2}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} P A_{j}n_{j}$$

$$Z^{L} \quad Z^{L}$$

$$= \lim_{L!+1} dk_{1} \quad dk_{2} \exp(ik_{1}U + ik_{2}N)$$

$$Z \quad Z \quad L \quad L$$

$$dF (n_{1}) \quad d_{k}F) (n \exp n_{j}(\frac{A_{j}}{N} + i\frac{"_{j}k_{1}}{N} + ik_{2}) :$$

Notice that in the last integral there appears the Laplace transform of the distribution function F (n). Now we make the following

Hypotesis 2 De ning (z) by

$$z_1$$

exp(zn)dF(n) = exp((z));

we suppose that (z) is analytic in the half plane < z > 0 (this is true if the random variables n_j are supposed to be in nitely divisible).

Then one has

$$Z (; A; U) = 0 \qquad 1$$

$$Z^{L} \qquad Z^{L} \qquad 0 \qquad 1$$

$$\lim_{L ! + 1} dk_{1} \qquad dk_{2} \exp^{0} ik_{1}U + ik_{2}N + \frac{X}{N} \qquad \frac{A_{j}}{N} + \frac{i^{"}_{j}k_{1}}{N} + ik_{2}A :$$

$$j \qquad (6)$$

An asymptotic expression for the integral (6) can be given through the steepest descent method. One has to nd the values of k_1 and k_2 which satisfy the system

$$\begin{cases} 8 \\ \leq U \\ = \\ N \end{cases} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{P}{j} "_{j} \circ \frac{A_{j}}{N} + i \frac{"_{j}k_{1}}{N} + i k_{2} \\ P \\ j \circ \frac{A_{j}}{N} + i \frac{"_{j}k_{1}}{N} + i k_{2} \end{cases}$$
(7)

O ne can show that such values exist provided one m akes the further assum ption (to which we plan to come back in the future)

U < "> :

It then turns out that such values are in aginary, so we denote them by $ik_1 =$ and $ik_2 =$. In addition, one also has to compute the determ inant detH (;) of the second derivatives, which gives

detH (;) =
$$\frac{X}{j_{k}^{k}} \frac{\frac{\pi^{2}}{j_{k}^{k}} \frac{\pi^{2}}{N^{2}} \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{N}$$
 (8)

0 ne has thus

Z(;A;U)'
$$\frac{\exp U + N + \frac{P}{j} + \frac{A_j}{N} + \frac{n_j}{N} + \frac{n_j}{N}}{\det H(j)}$$
: (9)

O byiously, to obtain bounds on the errors, one should give an estim ate of the quantity detH (;), but this depends on the functions " and (z). From now on, we suppose that the denom inator in (9) can be neglected in the computations of the expectations. This will be checked to be true, in all the examples to be considered below. Thus, for the expectation one has the form ula

$$\langle A \rangle_{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \log Z & (; A; U) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ = & 0 & 0 & U + 0 & N + \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & \frac{"_{j}}{N} + & \frac{A_{j}}{N} + \frac{0 & \frac{"_{j}}{N} + 0 & A \\ j & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which nally, using (7), becomes

$$< A >_{U} = \frac{1}{N} X_{j} A_{j} \frac{}{N} \frac{}{N} + :$$
 (10)

W ith this result, the form of the expressions (7) and (10) shows that the quantity ${}^{0}("_{j} = N +)$ plays the role of the mean number of times the cell Z_j is visited. Indeed, in terms of the quantities

$$j_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{0}("_{j} = N +);$$
 (11)

such relations can be written as $N = {P \atop j}, U = {P \atop j}, J = N$ and $\langle A \rangle_U = A_{j j} = N$. The quantity j m ay be called the mean occupation number of cell Z_j.

4 The Therm odynam ics

The expression (10) solves the problem of computing the conditional expectation, but one can ask for the meanings of the two quantities and . Let us consider the problem of . To this end it is convenient to introduce as an indipendent variable, instead of z_j , the quantity $_j = {}^0(z_j)$, and this naturally leads to introducing in place of its Legendre transform h de ned as usual by

$$h(_{j}) = _{j}z_{j} + (z_{j})_{j} = _{(z_{j})}$$

Notice that, while $_{j}$ has the meaning of a mean occupation number (conditioned on U), the quantity $_{j}$ just plays the role of a parameter, in the same sense as z_{j} does. In particular, the quantities $_{j}$ do not need satisfy any condition related to normalization, or the xing of an energy value. One has then

Proposition 1 The values $j = {}^0("_j = N +), i = 1; :::; K, correspond to a maximum of the function$

$$S(_{1}; \dots; _{K}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \stackrel{X^{K}}{h}(_{j}); \qquad (12)$$

constrained to the surfaces j = N and $"_{jj} = N = U$.

Proof. One simply considers the function $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S$ (=N) $J \stackrel{\text{P}}{=} J \stackrel{\text{P}}{=} J$, and from (0, G) = 0 one gets

$$h^{0}(_{j}) = \frac{"_{j}}{N} + :$$
 (13)

But now, from the Legendre duality, one has

$$h^{0}(_{j}) = \frac{"_{j}}{N} + (_{j}) = \frac{}{_{j}} = \frac{}{_{N}} \frac{"_{j}}{_{N}} + ;$$

from which the thesis follow s. One easily checks that one actually deals with a maximum . Q \to D .

A sa corollary there follows that the maximum of S (divided by N) is indeed the therm odynamic entropy, and =N the inverse tem perature, or at least an integrating factor of the exchanged heat. In other terms, the therm odynamic entropy Sth (;) turns out to be given by

up to an additive constant.

In fact, suppose now that the values "j depend on som e external param - eter, say . Then @ "j is the reaction force needed to keep the parameter xed when the system is in cell Zj of the phase space, so that the quantity @ "jd is the (instantaneous) work performed on the system when the external parameter is changed by a quantity d . For the macroscopic work W , namely the expectation of the time {averaged instantaneous work, using relation (10) one then obtains the expression

$$W = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j} (0)^{-1} \frac{1}{j} d$$
 :

By de nition, the exchanged heat is then

$$Q^{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}} dU \qquad W$$

$$= d^{0} \frac{1}{N} X_{j} J^{A} \frac{1}{N} X_{j} Q^{-1} d$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} X_{j} J^{A} J^{-1} Q^{-1} d$$

On the other hand, from (13) one has $"_j=N = (h^0(_j)) = 0$, so that one nds

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{h^{0}(j)} \frac{d_{j}}{j} - \frac{X}{d_{j}}$$
$$= \frac{N}{M} \frac{d^{0}}{d^{0}} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{j} h(j)^{A} = \frac{N}{M} \frac{dS^{th}}{j};$$

because in our hypotheses N is kept constant, so that P = 0.C onceming the physical meaning of , we have no clear idea at the moment.

5 The Gibbs distribution

Them ost natural choice for the distribution function that one m ight consider is that of Poisson, namely

$$F(n_j) = \sum_{k=n_j}^{X} \frac{e^p}{k!} p^k;$$

where p is a positive parameter. This corresponds to assuming that the successive visits of a given cell are independent events.

The Laplace transform then has the form

$$\sum_{0}^{\mathbb{Z}^{1}} e^{nz} dF = e^{p} \sum_{n=0}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} e^{nz} \frac{p^{n}}{n!} = \exp(pe^{z} p);$$

which in particular exhibits the well known fact that the distribution is in nitely divisible. One has thus

$$(z) = pe^{z} p;$$

and

$$j = {}^{0}(\frac{"j}{N} +) = pe e {}^{"j=N}$$
:

The condition $P_{j} = N$ then gives $p = N = Z(), where Z() = P_{j}$ is the usual canonical partition function, and $= N \cdot T$ hus, for the m ean occupation number one has the usual G ibbs form ula

$$\frac{j}{N} = \frac{e^{j}}{Z(j)}$$
:

W ith this result, the value of the denom inator in (9) can be computed, and one gets

a quantity which tends, for ! 0, to the fam iliar expression for the canonical speci c heat C_V . So, if the speci c heat C_V is an extensive quantity of the same order of m agnitude as U, as usual for the system s dealt with in statistical mechanics, it follows that the steepest descent method gives the correct answer. In addition, in computing the expectation of A the denom inator gives a contribution equal to

which is of order O (1) for quantities A of m acroscopic type, and so can be safely ignored.

Coming nally to the computation of the entropy, one rst has to compute the quantity $h() = z + pe^{z}$ pusing $= pe^{z}$, i.e. $z = \log + \log p$, so that one has $h() = {}^{0}(z) = \log + + \log p$. Thus for the entropy one nds the expression

$$S = \sum_{j \text{ log } j}^{X} + N (1 + \log p) \quad K p;$$

i.e., up to an additive constant, the classical expression of Boltzmann (or rather the analogous one of G ibbs involving the global phase(space).

6 The in nitely divisible distributions and the T sallis entropies

The in nitely divisible distributions are characterized according to the following theorem (see the classical handbook [2] of Feller)

Theorem 1 The function exp((z)) is the Laplace transform of an innitely divisible distribution if and only if (0) = 0 and (1)ⁿ (n)(z) > 0, 8z 2 R⁺ and 8n 2 N.

Perhaps, the simplest choice consists in considering the inverse powers of z. Taking into account norm alization conditions, one is then naturally led to consider the one{parameter family, parameterized by > 0, which, for any positive constant p, is given by

$$(z) = p 1 + \frac{z}{2} p :$$
 (14)

Notice that it reduces to the Poisson distribution in the lim it $\ ! + 1$.

The mean occupation number j is then given, using (11), by

$$j = p 1 + \frac{"j}{N} + -$$

Now, it turns out that this coincides, with a suitable relabeling of the parameters, with the T sallis distribution of index q (see [3]),

$$j = C (1 + q (q - 1)''_{j})^{\frac{q}{1 q}}$$

if one sets

$$=\frac{1}{q-1}$$
:

Notice that, in term softhe parameter q, the one {parameter family (14) can also be written in a form reminiscent of the Poisson distribution, namely

$$(z) = pe_q^z p;$$

where e_q^z is the q deformation of the exponential introduced by T sallis, namely

$$e_q^x = (1 + (1 - q)x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$$
:

The fact that the mean occupation number, as a function of energy, is a q{distribution is due to the fact that the entropy (12) corresponding to distribution (14) essentially coincides with that of T sallis. In fact, from (14) one has

$$j = p 1 + \frac{z_j}{2}$$
;

so that, computing z_i as a function of i_i , one gets

$$h(j) = (+1) \frac{1}{j} p^{\frac{1}{+1}} p^{\frac{1}{+1}} j p$$

Apparently, the entropy $S = {}^{P} h(_{j})$ thus obtained does not have the form of the T sallis entropy, even if is expressed in terms of q as above. However the coincidence (apart from an inessential multiplicative constant) is obtained if the present entropy is written in terms of the quantities $p_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{1=q}q^{1=q-1} {}^{1=q}_{j}$ and if the mean energy is computed in terms of \escort" probabilities (see [4]), namely p_{j}^{q} , i.e. essentially our $_{j}$. Indeed, in terms of the variables p_{j} and of the parameter q the present entropy S reads

$$S(p_1; :::; p_K) = C(q) \frac{p}{j} \frac{p}{j} \frac{p}{j} \frac{p}{j} \frac{p}{j}^{q}}{q};$$

where we have introduced the constant C (q) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} pq^{(q-1)=q}$. This expression di ers from that of T sallis only by a multiplicative constant, so that obviuosly both entropies produce by maxim ization the same distribution.

In a such a way the expressions of the T sallis entropies are recovered. The main di erence seems to be that the present procedure, in virtue of its statistical{mechanics foundation, does not require any jumping between probabilities and escort probabilities.

7 Conclusions

We believe we have given a consistent procedure to de ne a therm odynamic entropy for o {equilibrium situations. A characteristic feature of the present approach is that it follows the same e scheme of G ibbs and K hinchin, which consists in nding an integrating factor for the exchanged heat, with no mention of information theory at all. We are well aware how ever of the fact that another problem remains open, because in general there exist in nitely many integrating factors, so that a further requirement is needed in order to uniquely determine the therm odynamic entropy. This fact was particularly emphasized for example by Ehrenfest and Caratheodory (see [5]). Notice that such a requirement involves the question of the extensivity property of entropy. These interesting problems are left for possible future studies. A nother subject for further study is the dependence of the entropy on the number N of iterations, i.e. on time.

The second peculiarity of the present approach concerns the essential ingredient through which probability was introduced, namely the probability distribution F (n_j) for the number of visits of cell Z_j, against the common use of interpreting the numbers n_j=N them selves somehow as probabilities. It has been shown how therm odynamics turns out to be dened in terms of the function (z) uniquely associated to the distribution F (n_j). Notice that the treatment developed here can be easily extended to the nonhom ogeneous case, in which the probability distribution F depends on cell Z_j. In such a case the function (z) too depends on j as does the function h. One has then for example $j = {0 \atop j} ("_j = N +)$ and S (1;:::; K) = h_j(j), and everything remains essentially unchanged.

References

- [1] A. I. Khinchin, M athem atical foundations of statistical M echanics, D over Publications (New York, 1949);
 J.W. G ibbs, E lem entary principles in statistical m echanics, D over Publications (New York, 1960).
- [2] W .Feller, An introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2, John W iley and Sons (New York, 1966).
- [3] C.Tsallis, J.Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988).
- [4] C. Beck, F. Schlogl, Thermodynamics of chaotic systems, Cambridge U P. (Cambridge, 1993).
- [5] C. Caratheodory, Math. Ann. 67, 355 (1909), also in Gesammelte mathematische Schriffen, n. 27, p. 131, Munchen Beck (1954);

C.Caratheodory, Sitz.Preuss.Ak.W iss., 39 (1925), also in Gesam – melte mathematische Schriften n.28, p.167, Munchen Beck (1954); P.Ehrenfest, Phys.Z. 15, 657 (1914), also in Collected Papers, North-Holland P.C. (Amsterdam, 1959).