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#### Abstract

W e consider a dilute gas of hard spheres in dim ension d 2 that upon collision either annihilate $w$ th probability p or undergo an elastic scattering $w$ ith probability 1 p. For such a system neither $m$ ass, $m$ om entum, nor kinetic energy are conserved quantities. W e establish the hydrodynam ic equations from the Boltzm ann equation description. W ithin the Chapm an Enskog schem e, we determ ine the transport coe cients up to $N$ avier-Stokes order, and give the closed set of equations for the hydrodynam ic elds chosen for the above coarse grained description (density, m om entum and kinetic tem perature). Linear stability analysis is perform ed, and the conditions of stability for the local elds are discussed.


PACS num bers: $05.20 . \mathrm{D} \mathrm{d}, 47 \mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{k}, 45.05 .+\mathrm{x}, 82.20 \mathrm{Nk}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The hydrodynam ic description of a low density gas of elastic hard spheres supported by an underlying kinetic theory attracted a lot of attention already m ore than 40 years ago [1, 2, 3]. It has now becom e a well established description [4]. A key ingredient in the hydrodynam ic approach is the existence of collisional invariants (quantities conserved by the $\{$ instantaneous $\{$ collisions). T he question of the relevance ofa coarse-grained hydrodynam ic approach is therefore $m$ ore problem atic when the kinetic energy is no longer a collisionalinvariant [5]. This is the case of rapid granular ows (that may be m odeled by inelastic hard spheres in a rst approach), where the hydrodynam ic picture, despite including a hydrodynam ic eld associated w ith the kinetic energy density, is nevertheless reliable (see, e.g., $[6,7,8,7]$ and $D$ ufty for a review [10]). It seem $s$ natural to test hydrodynam ic-like approaches further and in $m$ ore extrem e conditions, and investigate a system $w$ here particles react so that there exist no collisional invariants. $T$ he present article, focusing on the derivation of the hydrodynam ic description for such a system, is a rst step in this direction.

T he system we consider is m ade of an assem bly of hard spheres that m ove ballistically betw een collisions. W henever tw o particles $m$ eet, they either annihilate $w$ ith probability p, or undergo an elastic collision w ith probability ( 1 p). T he m odel of probabilistic ballistic annihilation in one dim ension for bim odal discrete initial velocity distributions w as introduced in [11], whereas for higher dim ensions and arbitrary continuous in itial velocity distributions it was considered in 12]. W hen $p=1$, we recover the annihilation model originally de ned by Elskens and Frisch [13], that has attracted som e attention since $114,15,16,17,18,19,20,21]$. In one dim ension (again for $p=1$ ), the problem is well understood for discrete initial velocity distributions [15, 16]. On the contrary, higher dim ensions introduce complications that $m$ ake the problem $m$ uch more di cult to treat [19, 20]. Only a few speci $c$ initial velocity conditions lead to system $s$ that are tractable using the standard tools of kinetic theory [21].

O ur starting point w illbe the B oltzm ann equation, which describes correctly the low density lim it ofgranular gases (see [22] and [23,24] for the elastic case). For annihilation dynam ics, the ratio ofparticle diam eter to m ean free path vanishes in the long-tim e lim it, such that for $d>1$ the Boltzm ann equation is valid at least at late tim es [20, 21$]$. For such a dynam ics, none of the standard hydrodynam ic elds (i.e., m ass, m om entum, and energy) is associated w ith a conserved quantity. T here are therefore three nonzero decay rates, one for each eld. N um ericalevidences show that in the long-tim e lim it, a non $M$ axw ellian scaling solution for the hom ogeneous system appears (hom ogeneous cooling state (HCS) [19, 20], which also exists for inelastic hard spheres [25]). N othing is know n about the stability ofthe latter solution, the only existing result being that in one dim ension, w ith a bim odal initial velocity distribution, clusters of particles are spontaneously form ed by the dynam ics [16]. In view of this situation we develop a hydrodynam ic description for probabilistic ballistic annihilation. T he lim iting case ofvanishing anninilation probability p! 0 gives the know $n$ results for elastic dihute gases [26], whereas the other lim it p! 1 yields the case of pure annihilation.

In order to derive the hydrodynam ic equations, wem ake use of the C hapm an Enskog m ethod. W e thus consider (at least) two distinct tim escales in the system. T he microscopic tim escale is characterized by the average collision tim e and the corresponding length scale de ned by the m ean free path. T he m acroscopic tim escale is characterized by the typicaltim e of evolution of the hydrodynam ic elds and their inhom ogeneities. T he fact that those tw o tim escales are very di erent im plies that on the $m$ icroscopic tim escale the hydrodynam ic elds vary only slightly. T herefore they are on such length-and tim escales only very weakly inhom ogeneous. C om bined w ith the existence of a norm al solution
for the velocity distribution function (i.e., a solution such that all tim e dependence $m$ ay be expressed through the hydrodynam ic elds), this allows for a series expansion in orders of the gradients, i.e., application of the C hapm anEnskog $m$ ethod. The know ledge of the hydrodynam ic equations thus obtained to rst order allows us to perform a stability analysis. Taking the HCS as a reference state, we study the corresponding sm all spatial deviations of the hydrodynam ic elds.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. $\mathbb{I}$ we present the Boltzm ann equation for probabilistic ballistic anninilation, and establish the subsequent balance equations. Sec. III is devoted to the C hapm an $\pm$ nskog solution of the balance equations. For this punpose we consider an expansion of the latter equations in a sm all form al param eter. $T$ he solution to zeroth order provides the hydrodynam ic elds of the HCS. A ssum ing sm all spatial inhom ogeneities, we m ake use of an explicit norm al solution for the velocity distribution function to rst order. This allows us to establish the expression for the transport coe cients and for the decay rates to rst order, and thus the closed set of equations for the hydrodynam ic elds. The technical aspects of the derivations are presented in the A ppendixes while our m ain results are gathered in Eqs. (47). In Sec. IV, we study the linear stability of those equations around the HCS.F inally, Sec. V contains the discussion of the results and our conclusions. Since from the point of view of dissipation probabilistic ballistic annihilation shares som e features $w$ ith granular gases, $m$ aking several parallels betw een those tw o system s w ill prove to be instructive.

## II. BOLTZMANNAND BALANCEEQUATIONS

The Boltzm ann equation for the one particle distribution function $f(r ; v ; t)$ of a low -density system of hard spheres anninilating $w$ th probability $p$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(@_{t}+v_{1} \quad r\right) f(r ; \mathbb{1} ; t)=p J_{a}[f ; f]+(1 \quad p) 屯[f ; f] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the annihilation operator $J_{a}$ is de ned by [20]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& J_{a}[f ; g]=\quad d l^{1} \underset{R^{d}}{ } d v_{2} v_{12} f\left(r ; v_{2} ; t\right) g\left(r ; v_{1} ; t\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the elastic collision operator $J_{c}$ is de ned by 22, 23, 27]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expressions, is the diam eter of the particles, $v_{12}=v_{1} \quad v_{2}$ the relative velocity, $v_{12}=j j_{12} j$ is the H eaviside distribution, b a unit vector joining the centers of tw o particles at collision and the corresponding integral is running over the solid angle, $1=(d)=2=[(d+1)=2]$ where is the gam $m$ a function, and $b{ }^{1}$ an operator acting on the velocities as follow s [28]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{b}^{1} \mathrm{v}_{12}=\mathrm{v}_{12} \quad 2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v}_{12} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{b} ;  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{b}^{1} \mathrm{v}_{1}=\mathrm{v}_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v}_{12} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{b}: \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$S$ ince $J_{C}$ describes elastic collisions, this operator conserves the $m$ ass, $m$ om entum, and energy. On the other hand, $J_{a}$ describes the annihilation process and thus none of the previous quantities are conserved.

In order to w rite hydrodynam ic equations, we need to de ne the follow ing localhydrodynam ic elds:
Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(r ; t)={ }_{R^{d}} d v \underset{Z}{f}(r ; v ; t) ;  \tag{6a}\\
& u(r ; t)=\frac{1}{n(r ; t)}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d v v f(r ; v ; t) \text {; }  \tag{6b}\\
& T(r ; t)=\frac{m}{n(r ; t) k_{B} d}{ }_{R^{d}} d v V^{2} f(r ; v ; t): \tag{6c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n(r ; t), u(r ; t)$, and $T(r ; t)$ are the localnum ber density, velocity, and tem perature, respectively. The de nition of the tem perature follow s from the principle of equipartition of energy. In Eq. 6d), $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzm ann constant
 m om ents $1, \mathrm{~m} v$, and $\mathrm{m}^{2}=2 \mathrm{w}$ th weight given by the Boltzm ann equation (11). W e thus obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{n} u_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}![\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{f}] ;  \tag{7a}\\
& @_{t} u_{i}+\frac{1}{m n} r_{j} P_{i j}+u_{j} r_{j} u_{i}=\quad p_{n}^{1}!\left[f ; V_{i} f\right] ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; d ; \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here we have sum $m$ ation over repeated indices, $u=\left(u_{1} ;::: ; u_{d}\right)$, and

In the balance equations (7), the pressure tensor $P_{i j}$ and heat- $u x q_{i}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i j}(r ; t)=m \underset{R^{d}}{Z} d v V_{i} V_{j} f(r ; v ; t)={ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d v f(r ; v ; t) D_{i j}(V)+\underline{n}_{i j} ;  \tag{9}\\
& \text { Z } \\
& q_{i}(r ; t)={ }_{R^{d}} d v S_{i}(V) f(r ; v ; t) ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{i j}(V)=m \quad V_{i} V_{j} \quad \frac{V^{2}}{d} i j ;  \tag{11}\\
S_{i}(V)=\frac{m}{2} V^{2} \quad \frac{d+2}{2} k_{B} T \quad V_{i}: \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

O ne sees from Eqs. (7) that when the annihilation probability p! 0, all quantities are conserved. In addition, the long tim e solution of the system in this lim it is given by the $M$ axw ell distribution 12].

## III. CHAPMANENSKOG SOLUTION

In order to solve Eqs. 71) , it is necessary to obtain a closed set of equations for the hydrodynam ic elds. This can be done using the $C$ hapm an Enskog $m$ ethod, by expressing the functional dependence of the pressure tensor $P_{i j}$ and of the heat $u x q_{i}$ in term $s$ of the hydrodynam ic elds. $N$ ote that other routes have been developed as well [27, 29]. A thorough com parison of the di erent approaches seem s , how ever, to be a di cult attem pt [29]. In order to apply the Chapm an Enskog $m$ ethod, it is necessary to $m$ ake tw $o$ assum ptions. The rst one is that all tem poral and spatial dependence of the distribution function $f(r ; v ; t) m$ ay be expressed as a functionaldependence on the hydrodynam ic elds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{f}[\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t})]: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hat is the physical justi cation for the existence of such a nom al solution? Suppose that the variations of the hydrodynam ic elds are $s m$ all on the scale of the $m$ ean free path, for exam ple ( $n{ }^{d}{ }^{1}$ ) ${ }^{1} j r \ln n j \quad 1$. Therefore, to rst order the functional dependence of the distribution function $m$ ay be $m$ ade local in the hydrodynam ic elds, leading to the nom alsolution w ritten above. N ote that none of the hydrodynam ic elds is associated w ith a conserved quantity. The theoreticalquestion that arises is to know if the new tim escales thereby introduced by the cooling rates are shorter than what is allowed for the existence of a norm al solution [10]. For su ciently sm all p this should be the case. H ow ever, in the related context of granular gases, this point is not yet quantitatively clari ed and is still sub ject to discussions [10, 30, 31]. The justi cation of the norm al solution $m$ ay be done a posteriori by studying the relevance of the results through the appearance of the HCS, for exam ple [20, 25]. T he second assum ption is based on the existence of (at least) tw o distinct tim escales. Them icroscopic tim escale is characterized by the average collision tim e and the spatial length which is de ned by the corresponding $m$ ean free path. On the other hand, the $m$ acroscopic tim escale is de ned by a typical tim escale describing the evolution of the hydrodynam ic elds and their
inhom ogeneities. The di erence in those tw o tim escales im plies that on the $m$ icroscopic tim escale the hydrodynam ic elds vary only very slightly. Thus, those elds are on such tim e and space scales only very weakly inhom ogeneous. $T$ his allow $s$ for a series expansion in orders of the gradients of the elds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}^{(0)}+\mathrm{f}^{(1)}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{f}^{(2)}+::: ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each power of the form alsm all param eter $m$ eans a given order in a spatial gradient. The form al param eter $m$ ay be seen as the ratio of the $m$ ean free path to the $w$ avelength of the variation of the hydrodynam ic elds. This show $s$ again the idea of the separation of both $m$ icroscopic and $m$ acroscopic tim $e$ and length scales. The $C$ hapm anEnskog $m$ ethod assum es the existence of a tim escale hierarchy, and thus of a tim e derivative hierarchy as well:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{\varrho^{(0)}}{@ t}+\frac{@^{(1)}}{@ t}+2 \frac{\varrho^{(2)}}{@ t}+::: ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a given order in the tem poralhierarchy (15) corresponds to the sam e order in the spatial hierarchy (14). O ne thus concludes that the higher the order of the spatial gradient, the slow er the corresponding tem poral variation. Inserting expansions (14) and (15) in the Boltzm ann equation (1) one obtains

C ollecting the term s of a given order in and solving the equations order by order allow s us to build the C hapm anEnskog solution.
A. Zeroth order

To zeroth order in the gradients, Eq. (16) gives

This equation has a solution, describing the HCS, and which obeys the scaling relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{t})^{\mathrm{d}}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c}): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approxim ate expression for $\mathfrak{f}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{c})$ was established in [12] and is recalled by Eq. (40). In Eq. [18) , $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}=[2=(\mathrm{m})]^{1=2}$ is the tim e dependent them al velocity, and $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u}$. T he existence of a scaling solution of the form (18) seem $s$ to be a general feature that is con $m$ ed num erically (direct $M$ onte-C arlo sim ulations or $m$ olecular dynam ics) not only for ballistic annihilation [20] or granular gases [32], but for the dynam ics of ballistic aggregation as well [33, 34].
$T$ he function $f^{(0)}$ is isotropic. Thus to this order the pressure tensor (9) becom es $P_{i j}^{(0)}=p^{(0)}$ ij, where $p^{(0)}=n k_{B} T$ is the hydrostatic pressure, and the heat ux 10) becom es $q^{(0)}=0$.
$T$ he balance equations (7) to zeroth order read

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{pn}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)} \text {; }  \tag{19a}\\
& @_{t} u_{i}=p r y_{u_{i}}^{(0)} ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; d_{i}  \tag{19b}\\
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{pT}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{(0)} \text {; } \tag{19c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the decay rates are

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] ;  \tag{20a}\\
&{ }^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n} v_{\mathrm{T}}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] ; \quad \text { i }=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{d} ;  \tag{20b}\\
&{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{nk} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Td}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] ; \tag{20c}
\end{align*}
$$

For antisym $m$ etry reasons, one sees from Eq. 20b) that ${ }_{u_{i}}^{(0)}=0$. The two other decay rates are given later on by Eqs. (43).

## B . First order

To rst order in the gradients, the Boltzm ann equation 16) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbb{C}_{t}^{(0)}+J\right] f^{(1)}=\left[\mathbb{C}^{(1)}+v_{1} \quad r\right]^{(P)} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J f^{(1)}=p L_{a}\left[f^{(0)} ; f^{(1)}\right]+(1 \quad p) L_{c}\left[f^{(0)} ; f^{(1)}\right] ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right]=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right] & \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] ; \\
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right]= & \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right] & \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right]: \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

The balance equations (7) to rst order becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho_{t}^{(1)} n+r_{i}\left(n u_{i}\right)=p n_{n}^{(1)} ;  \tag{25a}\\
& \varrho_{t}^{(1)} u_{i}+\frac{k_{B}}{m n} r_{i}(n T)+u_{j} r_{j} u_{i}=p V_{u_{i}}^{(1)} ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; d_{i}  \tag{25b}\\
& \varrho_{t}^{(1)} T+u_{i} r_{i} T+\frac{2}{d} T r_{i} u_{i}=p T_{T}^{(1)} ; \tag{25c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the decay rates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right] ;  \tag{26a}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right]+\frac{1}{\mathrm{nv} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{T}}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right] ; \quad \mathrm{i}=1 ;:::: ; \mathrm{d} ;  \tag{26b}\\
& { }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right]+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{nk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Td}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{(1)}\right]+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{nk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Td}}!\left[\mathrm{f}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{(0)}\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

By de nition we know that $f^{(1)} \mathrm{m}$ ust be of rst order in the gradients of the hydrodynam ic elds; therefore for a low density gas [35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}=A_{i} r_{i} \ln T+B_{i} r_{i} \ln n+C_{i j} r_{j} u_{i}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $A$ i, $B_{i}$, and $C_{i j}$ depend on the elds $n, V$, and $T$. Inserting Eq. 27) in Eq. (21) and making use of Eqs. (13), (18), and (19), one obtains the follow ing set of equations for $A_{i}, B_{i}$, and $C_{i j}$ (see A ppendix (A):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)} \mathrm{T} @_{\mathrm{T}}+{ }_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{n} @_{\mathrm{n}}+(\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{P}) \quad \mathrm{C}_{i j}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}} ; \tag{28b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i} & =\frac{V_{i}}{2} \frac{@}{@ V_{j}}\left[V_{j} f^{(0)}\right] \frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ V_{i}} ;  \tag{29a}\\
B_{i} & =V_{i} f^{(0)} \frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{\varrho V_{i}} ;  \tag{29b}\\
C_{i j} & =\frac{\varrho}{@ V_{i}}\left[V_{j} f^{(0)}\right] \frac{1}{d} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho V_{k}}\left[V_{k} f^{(0)}\right] i_{j} ; \tag{29c}
\end{align*}
$$

and is a linear operator de ned by
where $g$ is either $A_{i}$, $B_{i}$, or $C_{i j}$, and the functionals ${ }_{n}^{(1)},{ }_{u_{i}}^{(1)}$, and ${ }_{T}^{(1)}$ are obtained from Eqs. (26) upon replacing $f^{(1)}$ by $g$. It is possible to show that from Eqs (29) the solubility conditions ensuring the existence of the functions $A_{i}, B_{i}$, and $C_{i j}$ are satis ed (see, e.g., 35]).

## C. N avier-Stokes transport coe cients

The hydrodynam ic description of the ow requires the know ledge of transport coe cients. The concem of the present section is to determ ine the form and coe cients of the constitutive equations. T his can thus be achieved by linking thosem acroscop ic transport coe cients w ith theirm icroscopic de nition. U sing a rst orderSonine polynom ial expansion, it is then possible to nd explicitly the transport coe cients to rst order. This will allow us to express the functions $A_{i}, B_{i}$, and $C_{i j}$ in term $s$ of the transport coe cients, thus determ in ing the distribution function $f{ }^{(1)}$.
$T$ he pressure tensor $m$ ay be put in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i j}(r ; t)=p^{(0)} \quad \text { ij } \quad r_{i} u_{j}+r_{j} u_{i} \quad \frac{2}{d}{ }_{i j} r_{k} u_{k} \quad{ }_{i j} r_{k} u_{k} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}^{(0)}=n k_{B} T$ is the ideal gas pressure, and is the shear viscosity. For a low density gas, the bulk viscosity vanishes; therefore the last term in the pressure tensor $m$ ay be neglected [10, 35, 36]. Fourier's linear law for heat conduction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=r_{i} T \quad r_{i} n ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the therm al conductivity and a transport coe cient that has no analogue in the elastic case. A sim ilar quantity appears for granular gases, which again is non-vanishing in the inelastic case only [26, 37].

The identi cation of Eq . (31) w th Eq. (9) using the result of the rst order calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i j}^{(1)}=R_{R^{d}} d v D_{i j}(V) f^{(1)}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the identi cation of Eq. (32) with Eq. (10) using the rst order calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}^{(1)}={ }_{R^{d}} d v S_{i}(V) f^{(1)}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ain steps of the calculation are show $n$ in A ppendix B, and the result is

$$
\begin{align*}
&=-\quad=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}} ;  \tag{35a}\\
&=-\underset{0}{ }=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}+\frac{\mathrm{d}{ }^{1}}{\mathrm{~d}}\left(2 \mathrm{a}_{2}+1\right) ;  \tag{35b}\\
&= \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~T} 0_{0}}=\frac{2}{23 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)} 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{a}_{2} ; \tag{35c}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $a_{2}$ is the kurtosis of the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{4}{d(d+2)} \frac{1}{v_{T}^{4} n}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V f^{(0)}(V) \quad 1 ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\frac{d(d+2)}{2(d-1)} \frac{k_{B}}{m} 0 ;  \tag{37}\\
0=\frac{d+2}{8} \frac{(d=2)}{(d \quad 1)=2} \frac{p}{\frac{d k_{B} T}{d}} ; \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

are the them al conductivity and shear viscosity coe cients for hard spheres, respectively [38]. $n^{(0)}=n_{n}^{(0)}=0$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}={ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}=0$ are the dim ension less decay rates, where $0=\mathrm{p}^{(0)}=0, \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{p}^{(0)}=\mathrm{nk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T} \cdot \mathrm{T}$ he dim ensionless coe cients , , and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{{ }^{R} \frac{R^{d} d V S_{i}(V) J A_{i}}{R^{R^{d}} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}}} \quad p^{1} \frac{{ }^{R} R^{R^{d}} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}}{R^{d} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}} ;  \tag{39a}\\
& =\frac{1}{0} \frac{R^{d} d V S_{i}(V) J B_{i}}{R^{R^{d}} d V S_{i}(V) B_{i}} \quad p_{0}^{1} \frac{R^{d} d V S_{i}(V) B_{i}}{R^{d} d V S_{i}(V) B_{i}} ;  \tag{39b}\\
& =\frac{1}{0} \underset{R^{d}}{R^{d} d V D_{i j}(V) J C_{i j}} \quad \frac{1}{1} \frac{R_{i j}}{R^{R^{d}} d V D_{i j}(V) C_{i j}} \underset{R^{d} d V D_{i j}(V) C_{i j}}{C} \tag{39c}
\end{align*}
$$

It $m$ ust be em phasized that the above results are still exact $w$ thin the Chapm an $\pm n s k o g$ expansion fram ew ork. H ow ever, the relations (39) and the decay rates (20) cannot be evaluated analytically w thout approxim ations. For this punpose, we rst consider the Sonine expansion for $f^{(0)}$. It was shown that to rst non-G aussian contribution in Sonine polynom ials the distribution $f^{(0)}$ reads (12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{V})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{d}}} \mathrm{M} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{T}}} \quad 1+\mathrm{a}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{4}}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}^{4}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}+2}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}{\mathrm{v}_{T}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d}+2)}{8} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad \frac{V}{V_{T}}=\frac{1}{d=2} e^{V^{2}=v_{T}^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the M axw ellian and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=8 \frac{3^{\frac{p}{2}} \overline{2}}{4 d+6} \frac{1-\frac{p}{p} 8}{p} \overline{2}(d \quad 1): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he coe cient $a_{2} w$ as show $n$ to be in very good agreem ent $w$ ith direct $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations [12]. The relation (40) allow s us to com pute the decay rates (see A ppendix (C) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{d}+2}{4} 1 \quad \mathrm{a}_{2} \frac{1}{16} ;  \tag{43a}\\
& { }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}=\frac{d+2}{8 \mathrm{~d}} 1+\mathrm{a}_{2} \frac{8 \mathrm{~d}+11}{16}: \tag{43b}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we retain only the rst order in a Sonine polynom ial expansion applied to A, B, and C. W e thus have

$$
\begin{align*}
A(V) & =a_{1} M \quad(V) S(V) ;  \tag{44a}\\
B(V) & =b_{1} M(V) S(V) ;  \tag{44b}\\
C(V) & =c_{0} M \quad(V) D(V) ; \tag{44c}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $a_{1}, b_{1}$, and $c_{0}$ are the coe cients of the developm ent. T his allow $s$ us to com pute the relations (39). For this punpose, as already shown the probabilistic collision operator J given by Eq. (22) can be split into the sum of an annihilation operator and of a collision operator. Each contribution $m$ ay thus be treated separately. Therefore we $m$ ake use of previous calculations for the collision process [39]. The calculations for the annihilation operator are shown in A ppendix D, and the nal results read

$$
\begin{align*}
== & p \frac{1}{32 d} 16+27 d+8 d^{2}+a_{2} \frac{2880+1544 d \quad 2658 d^{2} 1539 d^{3} \quad 200 d^{4}}{32 d(d+2)} \\
& +(1 \quad p) \frac{d}{d} 1+a_{2} \frac{1}{32} ;  \tag{45a}\\
= & p \frac{1}{8 d} 3+6 d+2 d^{2} \quad a_{2} \frac{278+375 d+96 d^{2}+2 d^{3}}{32(d+2)}+\left(1 \quad \text { p) } 1 \quad a_{2} \frac{1}{32}:\right. \tag{45b}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne $m$ ay check that these expressions approach the know $n$ elastic values when $p!0][39]$. T he transport coe cients are thus found from Eqs. (35) using Eqs. (37), (38), (42), (43), and (45).

In order to establish the decay rates to rst order, one needs the distribution $f^{(1)}$ (see A ppendix E):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(r ; V ; t)=\frac{3}{n} M(V) \frac{2 m}{d+2} S_{i}(V)\left(r_{i} T+r_{i} n\right)+-D_{i j}(V) r_{j} u_{i}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

D. Hydrodynam ic equations

The pressure tensor and the heat ux de ned by Eqs. (31) and (32), respectively, are oforder 1 in the gradients. T hus their insertion in the balance equations (7) yields contributions of order 2 in the gradients. C onsequently there are
second order term s (so called B umett order) that contribute to the rst order (so called N avier-Stokes order) transport coe cients, and the know ledge of the distribution $f{ }^{(2)}$ is thus necessary. Indeed, use was $m$ ade of the zeroth order relations $P_{i j}=p^{(0)}$ ij and $q_{i}=0$ to establish the balance equation for energy (25d. H ow ever, it was shown in the fram ew ork of the weakly inelastic gas \{ and consequently for an elastic gas \{ that those Bumett contributions were three orders of $m$ agnitude $s m$ aller than the $N$ avier-Stokes contributions [26]. For the sake of sim plicity, we w ill here neglect those second order contributions. For sm all annihilation probabilities p, this approxim ation is thus likely to be justi ed. H ow ever, we have a priori no control on the error $m$ ade $w$ hen the annihilation probability $p$ is close to unity.

The hydrodynam ic N avier-Stokes equations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{nu} u_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{pn}\left[\mathrm{n}{ }^{(0)}+{ }_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}\right] ;  \tag{47a}\\
& @_{t} u_{i}+\frac{1}{m n} r_{j} P_{i j}+u_{j} r{ }_{j} u_{i}=\quad p_{V}{ }_{u_{i}}^{(1)} ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; d ;  \tag{47b}\\
& @_{t} T+u_{i} r_{i} T+\frac{2}{n k_{B} d}\left(P_{i j} r_{i} u_{j}+r_{i} q_{i}\right)=p T\left[{ }_{T}^{(0)}+{ }_{T}^{(1)}\right] ; \tag{47c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the decay rates ${ }_{n}^{(0)}$ and ${ }_{T}^{(0)}$ are given by Eqs. (43a) and 43b] , respectively. $P_{i j}$ and $q_{j}$ are given by Eqs. (31) w ith $=0$, and (32) respectively. The rates $n_{n}^{(1)}, u_{i}^{(1)}$, and $T_{T}^{(1)} \mathrm{m}$ ay be calculated using their de nition (25) and the distribution (46). We nd (see A ppendix E):

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{n}^{(1)}=0 ;  \tag{48a}\\
& { }_{u_{i}}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{T} r_{i} T+\frac{1}{n} r_{i} n \quad u ;  \tag{48b}\\
& { }_{T}^{(1)}=0 ; \tag{48c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{(d+2)^{2}}{32(d \quad 1)} 1+a_{2} \frac{86101 d+32 d+88 d^{3}+28 d^{4}}{32(d+2)}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e thus have a closed set of equations for the hydrodynam ic elds to the N avier-Stokes order.

## IV . STABILITY ANALYSIS

The hydrodynam ic Eqs. 47) form a set of rst order nonlinear partial di erential equations that cannot be solved analytically in general. H ow ever, their linear stability analysis allow $s$ us to answer the question of form ation of inhom ogeneities. The scope of the present study is to nd under which conditions the hom ogeneous solution to zeroth order, i.e., the HCS, is unstable under spatial perturbations. To this end we consider a sm all deviation from the HCS and the linearization of Eqs . (47) in the latter perturbation. Equations (19) give the tim e evolution of the $\mathrm{HC} S$, which is found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{n}_{0} 1+\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{0}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}}  \tag{50a}\\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad 1+\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{0}} \quad \mathrm{~T} \tag{50b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the decay exponents are ${ }_{n}={ }_{n}^{(0)}(0) t_{0}, T={ }_{T}^{(0)}(0) t_{0}$, and the relaxation time $t_{0}{ }^{1}={ }_{n}^{(0)}(0)+{ }_{T}^{(0)}(0)=2$. The subscript H denotes a quantity evaluated in the hom ogeneous state. T he density and tem perature elds of the HCS are thus decreasing $m$ onotonically in tim $e$, with exponents that depend on the annihilation probability through the kurtosis of the velocity distribution. The explicit expression of the decay exponentsm ay be obtained straightforw ardly using Eqs. (43).

The linearization procedure used here follow s the sam e route as the $m$ ethod used for granular gases [26]. W e de ne the deviations of the hydrodynam ic elds from the HCS by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{y} \quad(\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=$ fn; $u ; T g$. Inserting the form (51) in $E q s$. (48) yields di erentialequations with tim e-dependent coe cients. In order to obtain coe cients that do not depend on tim e, it is necessary to introduce the new dim ensionless space and tim e scales de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\frac{1}{2} \text { oH }(\mathrm{t}) \overline{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{t})} \mathrm{r} ;  \tag{52a}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ds} \text { oH (s); } \tag{52b}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the dim ensionless Fourier elds

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{k}() & =\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}()}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}}()} ;  \tag{53a}\\
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}() & =\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H}}()} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}() ;  \tag{53b}\\
\mathrm{k}() & =\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}()}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}()} \tag{53c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

From Eq. 52a), it appears that lengths are $m$ ade dim ensionless $m$ aking use of the tim e dependent $m$ ean free path as a reference scale. M aking use of Eqs. (53) and (52) in Eqs. 47), the linearized hydrodynam ic equations read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} \mathrm{k}()+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} \mathrm{k}()+\dot{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}()=0 \text {; }  \tag{55a}\\
& \frac{@}{@} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}+\frac{\mathrm{d} 1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}+\mathrm{ik} 1 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{u}} \quad \mathrm{k}()+1 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{u}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}()^{\mathrm{i}}=0 \text {; }  \tag{55b}\\
& \frac{@}{@} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{?}}(\quad)=0 \text {; } \tag{55c}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}}$ are the longitudinal and transverse part of the velocity vector de ned by $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}$, $\mathrm{where} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the unit vector along the direction given by k . Eq. 55d for the shear m ode is decoupled from the other equations and can be integrated directly so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{?}}()=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}}(0) \exp [\mathrm{s} ?(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k})] ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{?}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transversal velocity eld $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ? lies in the ( d 1) dim ensional vector space that is orthogonal to the vector space generated by $k$, and therefore the $m$ ode $s$ ? identi es ( $d$ 1) degenerated perpendicular $m$ odes. The longitudinal velocity eld $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ lies in the vector space of dim ension 1 generated by k . Hence there are three hydrodynam ic elds to be determ ined, nam ely the density $k$, tem perature $k$, and longitudinal velocity eld $w_{k_{k}}=w_{k_{k}} b_{k}$. The linear system thus reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ネ } \quad k \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the hydrodynam ic $m$ atrix


FIG. 1: R eal part of the eigenvahes in dim ension less units for probabilistic ballistic annihilation $w$ ith $p=0: 1$ and $d=3$. The dispersion relation obtained from Eq. (57) is represented by a dashed line (labeled $s$ ? ) whereas the three rem ain ing relations obtained upon solving Eq. (59) are represented by continuous lines (labeled $s_{k}$ ).

The corresponding eigenm odes are given by ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}(k)=\exp \left[s_{n}(p ; k)\right], n=1 ;:: ; 3$, where $s_{n}(p ; k)$ are the eigenvalues of M . Each of the three elds above is a linear com bination of the eigenm odes; thus only the biggest real part of the eigenvalue $s_{n}(p ; k)$ has to be taken into account to discuss the lim it of $m$ arginal stability of the parallelm ode of the velocity eld. Figure. 1 show $s$ the realpart of the eigenvalues for $p=0: 1$ and $d=3$ (obtained num erically).

O ne may identify three regions from the dispersion relations. W e rst de ne k ? (dim ensionless) by the condition $\operatorname{Re}\left[s_{\text {? }}\left(k_{\text {? }} ; p\right)\right]=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{?}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\underline{2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}}} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k_{k}$ by $\mathrm{max}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{p}\right)\right]=0$ (the expression for $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is too cumbersom e to be given here); we have $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}<\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$. $F$ igure 2 show $s$ the dependence of $k_{\text {? }}$ and $k_{k}$ as a function of the annihilation probability $p$. Then for all $k>k_{\text {? }}$ all eigenvalues are negative and therefore, according to Eq. (56), correspond to linearly stable m odes. For $k 2 \mathbb{k}_{k} ; k_{\text {? }}$ ] only the shear $m$ ode $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ? of the velocity eld is linearly unstable. In the case of granular gases in dim ension larger than one this region exhibits velocity vortices [25, 40, 41], w ith a possible subsequent non-linear coupling to density inhom ogeneities. From ${ }_{T}^{(0)}={ }_{T}^{(0)}=0$ and Eq. (52b) one may integrate Eq. (19d) in order to nd $T_{H}()=$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}(0) \exp \left[2 \mathrm{p}^{(0)}\right.$ ]. Then equating Eqs. (53b) and (56), m aking use of the latter expression for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( ), of Eq. (57), and ofEq. (53.b) for $=0$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k_{?}}()=u_{k_{?}}(0) \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} k^{2} \quad: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he exponential decay in the reduced variable translates into a pow er-law-like decay in the original variablet [since the exponent $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{t})$ depends itselfon tim e]. Indeed, the integration ofE q. (19d) yields $=\ln \left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}(0)\right]=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}$, which we replace in Eq. (61) and $m$ ake use of the hom ogeneous solution $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( t ) given by Eq. (50b) in order to nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k_{?}}(t)=u_{k_{?}} \text { (0) } 1+p \frac{t}{t_{0}} \quad \frac{k^{2}}{4 t_{0}} \text {; } \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 2: W avenum ber $\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in dim ensionless units as a function of the annihilation probability p for $\mathrm{d}=3$.
where $t_{0}=t_{0}=_{H}(0)$ is the dim ensionless relaxation time. In the linear approxim ation the perturbation of the transversal velocity eld therefore decays even if $s$ ? $(k ; p)>0$. The rescaled $m$ odes $w$ ith $k<k_{k}$ are linearly unstable.

H ow ever, a crucial point is that for any real (nite) system, the wave num bers are larger than 2 =L (assum ing
 which increases with time as $1=n$. This low er cuto therefore inevitably enters into the stable region $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $>\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$, so that an instability $m$ ay only be a transient e ect. In other words, an unstable mode associated with a given value of $k$ corresponds to a perturbation at a wavelength which increases $w$ ith tim $e$ in real space, and ultim ately becom es larger than system size. H ow ever, at late tim es, the $K$ nudsen num ber de ned as the ratio of $m$ ean free path (which is proportional to $k_{m}$ in) over system size, becom es large, which should invalidate a N avier-Stokes-like description. Sim ilarly, the present coarse-grained approach is a priori restricted to low enough values ofk. G iven that $k_{\text {? }}$ increases quite rapidly $w$ ith $p$ (see $F$ ig. (2), the stable region $k>k_{\text {? }} m$ ight correspond to a \non-hydrodynam ic" regim ew hen $p$ is larger than som e (di cult to quantify) threshold. C onclusions conceming the stability of the system for such param eters rely on the validity of the hydrodynam ic description (which could be tested by M onte Carlo or m olecular dynam ics sim ulations) which is beyond the scope of the present article.

At this point, we conclude that the system $m$ ay exhibit transient instabilities, but safe statem ents $m$ ay only be $m$ ade for very low values of $p$ for which $k_{\text {? }}$ is low enough to guarantee that the hydrodynam ic analysis holds. The stable region is then ultim ately $m$ et irrespective of system size.
$W$ ith the above possible restrictions in $m$ ind, it is instructive to consider the countenpart of $F$ ig. 1 for $\backslash$ large" values of (see $F$ ig. (3). Forp $>0: 893:::$, we obtain the unphysical result that som e eigenvalues increase and diverge upon increasing $k$. A n a priori sim ilar de ciency was reported for the inelastic $M$ axw ellm odelw here som e transport coe cients | which are obtained exactly w ithin the C hapm an Enskog $m$ ethod diverge for strong dissipation [32].

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we construct a hydrodynam ic description for probabilistic ballistic annihilation in arbitrary dim ension d 2, where none of the hydrodynam ic elds can be associated with a conserved quantity. The m otivation is not only to discuss the possibility of large scale instabilities in such a system, but also to provide the starting point for further (num erical) studies centered on the applicability of hydrodynam ics to system $s$ in which there are no collisional invariants. To this aim, we consider the low density and long-tim e regim es in order to make use of the Boltzm ann equation w th the hom ogeneous cooling state (HCS) as a reference state. The Chapm an Enskog $m$ ethod


F IG . 3: Realpart of the eigenvalues in dim ensionless units for probabilistic ballistic annihilation $w$ ith $p=0: 95$ and $d=3$. The gure caption is the sam e as for Fig. (1.
then allow s us to build a system atic expansion in the gradients of the elds, w ith an associated tim escale hierarchy. $W$ e consider only the rst $(\mathbb{N}$ avier-Stokes) order in the gradients to build the hydrodynam ic equations describing the dynam ics of probabilistic ballistic annihilation. T he transport coe cients and decay rates are established from the $m$ icroscopic approach neglecting B umett contributions and restricting ourselves to the rst non-G aussian term in a Sonine expansion. We then linearize the hydrodynam ic equations around the HCS. The subsequent dispersion relations inform on the range of the perturbation's $w$ avelength and time scales for which the system $m$ ay exhibit density inhom ogeneities.

Interestingly, the behavior of the dispersion relations and of the wave numbers $k_{\text {? }}$ and $k_{k}$ is qualitatively sim ilar to its countenpart obtained for (inelastic) granular gases [26, 42]. This leads us to conclude that som efeatures of those m odels do not depend on the details of the dynam ics, but rather on the param eter controlling the dissipation (referring to the existence of non conserved quantities) in the system, nam ely, p or ( $1^{2}$ ) in the case of granular gases, where is the restitution coe cient]. H ow ever, a speci c feature of our $m$ odel is that the $m$ ean free path increases rapidly w ith time. C onsequently, even if the stability analysis leads us to the conclusion that this feature drives the system in a region where the hom ogeneous solution with a vanishing ow eld is stable, the associated $K$ nudsen num bers $m$ ay be too large to validate our coarse-grained approach. At very sm all values of p, how ever, the stable region $(k>k$ ? $w$ ith the notations of Sec. IV should be relevant, but then, the e ects of transient instabilities in the case where the system is large enough to allow for $k_{m}$ in $<k_{\text {? }}$ or $k_{m}$ in $<k_{k}$ seem di cult to assess.

A nother point to em phasize is the am plitude of the dissipation in the system, which appears through the decay rates of the hydrodynam ic elds. A gain, there $m$ ust be a clear separation between the $m$ acroscopic tim e scales described by those decay rates, and between the $m$ icroscopic tim e scales. This separation of scales is required in the hydrodynam ic approach in order to $m$ ake use of the hydrodynam ic elds $n, u$, and $T$ that are associated $w$ ith nonconserved quantities. The decay rates having the dim ension of the inverse of a tim e, their inverse thus de nes a tim e scale. If those decay rates increase, the associated tim e scales decay. In our case, we clearly introduce three such tim e scales that are supposed to be macroscopic; one for each nonconserved eld. It is therefore required that the $m$ axim um of these decay rates de nes a $m$ acroscopic tim e scale that is $m$ uch bigger than the $m$ icroscopic one. N evertheless those decay rates increase as a function of the anninilation probability and hence the decrease of the associated tim e scale. O ne question that arises is to determ ine for $w$ hich value of $p$ the sm allest tim e scale introduced by the decay rates is of the order of the $m$ icroscopic tim e scale $\{$ which increases as a function of tim e because of the decreasing density of particles rem aining in the system. W hen this is the case, the hydrodynam ic description becom es irrelevant and one $m$ ay not $m$ ake use of the elds $n, v$, and $T$ any $m$ ore. A s the param eter $p$ controls the dissipation in the system, the question at hand here \{left for future work $\{$ is rem in iscent of the controversial issue of the validity
of hydrodynam ics for granular gases with \low " coe cients of restitution.
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$$
\text { APPENDIX A: INTEGRALEQUATIONSFOR A } A_{i}, B_{i}, A N D C_{i j} T O F I R S T O R D E R
$$

U sing the norm al solution (13), the scaling form (18), and the balance equations (19) one $m$ ay rew rite the right hand side of Eq. (21) in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{(0)}+J\right] f^{(1)}=A_{i} r_{i} \ln T+B_{i} r_{i} \ln n+C_{i j} r_{i} u_{j}+p f^{(1)} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V_{i}=v_{i} \quad u_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}=f^{(0)}{\underset{n}{(1)}}_{@^{@} f^{(0)}}^{@ V_{i}} V_{T}{\underset{u}{i}}_{(1)}^{u_{i}}+\frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ T} T_{T}^{(1)} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}=V_{i} T \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ T} \frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ V_{i}} ;  \tag{A3a}\\
& B_{i}=V_{i} f^{(0)} \frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ V_{i}} ;  \tag{A3b}\\
& C_{i j}=\frac{@}{@ V_{i}}\left[V_{j} f^{(0)}\right]+\frac{2}{d} T \frac{@ f^{(0)}}{@ T} i_{i j}: \tag{A3C}
\end{align*}
$$

The velocity dependence off ${ }^{(0)}$ occurs only through $V=V_{T}$. Because of the norm alization the tem perature dependence of the function $f^{(0)}$ is of the form $T{ }^{d=2} \bar{f}^{(0)}\left(V=T^{1=2}\right)$, and one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \frac{@ \mathrm{f}^{(0)}}{@ \mathrm{~T}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varrho}{@ V_{i}}\left[V_{i} f^{(0)}\right]: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The insertion of Eq. (A4) in Eqs. (A3) yields the relations (29).
U sing the scaling form (18) and by de nition of the decay rates 20) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}{\underset{\mathrm{T}}{(0)} \quad \mathrm{nT}^{1=2}:}^{2} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \frac{@}{n ; T}_{@ T}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{2} \underset{n ; T}{(0)} ; \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \frac{@_{n ; \mathrm{T}}^{(0)}}{@ \mathrm{n}}={ }_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{T}}^{(0)} \text {; } \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{n ; T}^{(0)}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)} ;{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)} \mathrm{g}$. U sing the form (27) in the left hand side of the Boltzm ann equation (21) and $m$ aking use of the relations (A6) and (A 7) one obtains after som e calculations the relations (28).

APPENDIX B:EQUATIONSFORTHETRANSPORTCOEFFICIENTS

A swew ill apply a Sonine expansion, the sym m etry properties of $(N)$ and $B(V)$ are the sam e as those of $S(V)$, whereas the properties of $C(V)$ are the sam e as those of $(V)$. Thus the insertion of Eq. (27) in Eq. (33) yields

The identi cation of Eqs. B1] and [31) yields (see e.g. 36])

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{(d \quad 1)(d+2)}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V D_{i j}(V) C_{i j}(V): \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (28d) over $V$ in $R^{d}$ w ith weight $1=\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1)(d+2) D_{i j}(V) \text { and } m \text { aking use of Eq. B2) one obtains }\end{array}\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{h} p_{T}^{(0)} T \varrho_{T} p_{n}^{(0)} n @_{n}+{ }^{i}=\frac{1}{\left(d 1^{1}(d+2)\right.}{ }_{R^{d}} d V D_{i j}(V) C_{i j}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functionaldependence analysis show sthat $n @_{n}=0$ and $T @_{T}==2 . U$ sing the de nitions (11) forD $\mathrm{ij}(\mathrm{V}$ ) and (29d) for $C_{i j}(V)$, it is possible to com pute the right hand side of (B3) which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} p_{T}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{d}_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V m V^{2} f^{(0)}: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the hydrostatic pressure $p^{(0)}=n k_{B} T$ with the de nition (6d) for the tem perature, and dividing Eq. B4b by 0 we nally obtain Eq. 35al.

The insertion of Eq. (27) in Eq. (34) gives

The identi cation of Eqs. B5 and y2 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{d T}_{Z^{R^{d}}}^{Z} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}(V) ;  \tag{B6a}\\
& =\frac{1}{d n}_{R^{d}}^{d V} S_{i}(V) B_{i}(V): \tag{B6b}
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that $\in 0$ is due to the annihilation process. Integrating Eqs. (29al) and 29b) over $V$ on $R^{d} w$ ith weight $S_{i}(V)=d$ and $m$ aking use of Eq. B6), then $m$ aking use of $T @_{T}(T)=3 T=2, T @ T(n)=3 n=2$, and $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{n} @_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n})=0$ obtained from functional dependence analysis, it follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2 p_{T}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{T} \frac{1}{2} p_{n}^{(0)} n \quad \frac{1}{d}_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}(V) ;  \tag{B7a}\\
& =\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} p_{T}^{(0)}} p_{n}^{(0)} \frac{1}{n} p_{T}^{(0)} T \quad \frac{1}{d}_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V S_{i}(V) B_{i}(V): \tag{B7b}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eqs. (29a), (29b), (12), and (42) one $m$ ay calculate the integrals appearing in the right hand side ofE qs. (B7) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{r^{d T}}{Z^{R^{d}}} d V S_{i}(V) A_{i}(V)=\frac{d+2}{2} \frac{n k_{B}}{m}\left(2 a_{2}+1\right) ;  \tag{B8a}\\
& \frac{1}{d n}{ }_{R^{d}}^{d V} S_{i}(V) B_{i}(V)=\frac{d+2}{4} \frac{1}{{ }^{2} m} 2 a_{2}: \tag{B8b}
\end{align*}
$$

The insertion of Eqs. B8 in B7) yields Eqs. 35b and 35d.

## APPENDIX C:EVALUATIONOF $\mathrm{n}^{(0)}$ AND $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}$

The decay rates (20a) and (20d) m ay be com puted using the de nition (8) and Eqs. (40) and (41) . W e rst change variables to $c_{i}=V_{i}=V_{T}, i=1 ; 2$, then to $c_{12}=c_{1} \quad Q$ and $C=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=2$ in order to decouple the integrals. N ext, the integrals being isotropic $w$ ith a sym metric weight, only even powers of the com ponents of $C$ and $c_{12} w$ ill give nonzero contributions. Thus the term $S(C 16)^{2}$ in the integrals becom $\mathrm{CC}^{2} \mathrm{c}_{12}^{2}=\mathrm{d}$. F inally, the resulting integrals $m$ ay be com puted using the follow ing relation [20]: if we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n p}^{0}=\frac{1}{d}_{R^{2 d}}^{Z}{d c_{12} d C} e^{c_{12}^{2}=2} e^{2 C^{2}} C_{12}^{n} C^{p} \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{n p}=h C_{12}^{n} C^{p} i=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{R^{2 d}}^{Z} d c_{12} d C e^{c_{12}^{2}=2} e^{2 C^{2} C_{12}^{n} C^{p} 1+a_{2} C^{4}+\frac{1}{16} C_{12}^{4}+\frac{d+2}{2 d} C^{2} C_{12}^{2}} \\
(d+2) C^{2} \frac{d+2}{4} C_{12}^{2}+\frac{d(d+2)}{4} ; \tag{C2}
\end{array}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.M_{n p}^{0}=2^{(n} p\right)=2 \frac{[(d+n)=2][(d+p)=2]}{(d=2)^{2}} ;  \tag{C3}\\
\frac{M_{n p}}{M_{n p}^{0}}=1+\frac{a_{2}}{16 d} d\left(n^{2}+p^{2}\right) \quad 2 d(n+p)+2 n p(d+2): \tag{C4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (C 3) and C4) m ay be easily veri ed using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d x \dot{x} j^{n} e^{x^{2}}=\frac{d=2}{(d+n)=2} \frac{[(d+n)=2]}{(d=2)} ; \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 \mathrm{R}^{+}$. W e thus obtain the decay rates to zeroth order (43).
APPENDIXD: EVALUATIONOF , , AND

U sing the rst order Sonine expansion 44), Eqs. (39) reduces to

The denom inators of Eqs. (D) are straightforw ard to com pute using the form ula (C5). W e thus nd

The collision operator $J$ de ned by Eq. (22) is $m$ ade of the sum of an annihilation operator $L_{a} w$ ith weight $p$ and of an elastic collisional operator $L_{c} w$ ith weight ( $1 \quad \mathrm{p}$ ). U sing previous calculations for $L_{c}$ [39], we thus obtain the elastic gas contributions proportional to (1 p) in the right hand side of Eqs. (45).

The follow ing com putations are technically sim ple, but lengthy. W e shall thus only give the $m$ ain steps. The annihilation contributions, written ${ }^{a}$, ${ }^{a}$, and ${ }^{a}$, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.a=\frac{2}{(d+2)\left(d \quad \frac{1}{Z}\right) n_{0}}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V D_{i j}(V) L_{a} M_{i j}\right]+\quad a^{0} ;  \tag{D3a}\\
& \left.a=\quad a=\frac{2 m^{3}}{d(d+2) n_{0}}{R^{d}}^{d} d V S_{i}(V) L_{a} M S_{i}\right]+\quad a^{0} \text {; } \tag{D3b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{a}$ is given by Eqs. (23) and (2), and

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{0} & =\frac{2}{(d+2)(d \underbrace{1}_{Z}) n_{0}}{ }_{R^{d}} d V D_{i j}(V) M D_{i j}] ;  \tag{D4a}\\
a^{0}=\quad a^{0} & =\frac{\left.2 m^{3} Z_{R^{d}}^{d(d+2) n_{0}} d V S_{i}(V) M S_{i}\right]:}{} \tag{D4b}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{Z} \tag{D5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $X$ and $Y$ are arbitrary functions, changing variables to $C_{i}=V_{i}=V_{T}$ for $i=1 ; 2$, then changing variables to $\mathrm{C}_{12}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad \mathrm{Q}$ [in the follow ing we adopt the notation $\mathrm{C}_{12}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{12} ;::: ; \mathrm{C}_{12 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ ] and $\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)=2$ in order to decouple the integrals, replacing under the integral sign for sym $m$ etry reasons the relations ( $\left.C \quad{ }_{1 G}\right)^{2}$ by $C^{2} C_{12}^{2}=d$, and using
where i and j can be chosen arbitrarily in the set $f 1 ;::: ; d g$ and $F, G$ are arbitrary isotropic integrable functions, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =\frac{1}{d(d \quad 1) d^{p} \overline{2}} \frac{(d=2)}{\frac{d+1}{2}} H_{1}\left(a_{2} ; d\right)+\quad a^{0} ;  \tag{D7a}\\
a=\quad a & =\frac{1}{d d^{p} \overline{2}} \frac{(d=2)}{\frac{d+1}{2}} H_{2}\left(a_{2} ; d\right)+\quad a^{0} ; \tag{D7b}
\end{align*}
$$

where
with ij and ${ }_{i j}$ that are functions ofd and $a_{2},{ }^{k}$ and ${ }^{k}$ being the sets of allowed values for the pairs ( $i ; j$ ) de ning
 integrals in the rst sum of the right hand side of Eq. D 8) $m$ ay be com puted using the form ula (C 5). The integrals in the second sum $m$ ay be com puted using the particular case $i=j=k=l$ of the form ula

$$
M_{i j k 1}^{(a)} \quad \begin{align*}
& R^{d} \tag{D9}
\end{align*} d x \dot{x}^{n} e^{a x^{2}} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}=b^{(a)} \quad i j k l+\frac{1}{3}(1 \quad i j k 1)(i j k 1+i k j 1+i l j k):
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(a)}=\quad d=2 \frac{3}{4} \frac{(d+n)(d+n+2)}{d(d+2)} \frac{[(d+n)=2]}{(d=2)} \frac{1}{a^{(d+n+4)=2}}: \tag{D10}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eqs. (C 5) and w5 we nd $a^{0}=a^{0}=0$. The calculation can thus be perform ed and we obtain the rst term $s$ in the right hand side of $E$ qs. (45).

$$
\text { APPENDIX E:THEDISTRIBUTION } \mathrm{f}^{(1)}
$$

Using the form (27) for $f^{(1)}$ and the rst order Sonine expansion 44] one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(r ; V ; t)=M \quad(V)\left[a_{1} S_{i}(V) r_{i} T+b_{1} S_{i}(V) r_{i} n+c_{0} D_{i j}(V) r_{j} u_{i}\right]: \tag{E1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $a_{1}, b_{1}$, and $c_{0} m$ ay be expressed as functions of the transport coe cients, thus determ ining $f{ }^{(1)}$. Eq. B2) in which we insert the Sonine expansion (44) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad \frac{1}{(d \quad 1)(d+2)}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V D_{i j}(V) M(V) D_{i j}(V)  \tag{E2}\\
& =\quad \frac{n}{2} ; \tag{E3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have $m$ ade use of the de nitions (11) and (41).
Proceeding in a sim ilar way with Eq. B6) and (44) it follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& =a_{1} \frac{1}{d T}{ }_{R^{d}}^{Z} d V S_{i}(V) M(V) S_{i}(V)  \tag{E4}\\
& =a_{1} \frac{d+2}{2} \frac{n k_{B}}{m^{2}} ;  \tag{E5}\\
& =b_{1} \frac{1}{d n}{ }^{Z} d V S_{i}(V) M(V) S_{i}(V)  \tag{E6}\\
& =\quad \underline{Q} \frac{d+2}{2} \frac{1}{m^{d}}: \tag{E7}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing in Eq. E1) the coe cients $c_{0}, a_{1}$, and $b_{1}$ obtained from Eqs. E3, E5), and E7), one obtains the distribution (46).

The zeroth order and rst order distributions $f^{(0)}$ and $f^{(1)}$ being known, it is possible to com pute the rst order decay rates (26]. The procedure is sim ilar to the calculation of the zero order decay rates of A ppendix [C. We rst change variables to $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{i}=1 ; 2$, then to $\mathrm{c}_{12}=\mathrm{c}_{1} \quad \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)=2$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.!A f^{(0)} ; B f^{(1)}\right]=n \frac{(d=2)}{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{{ }^{p}}{2} \frac{d+2}{4} \frac{V_{T}}{2} \frac{d}{d} 1 \quad \frac{1}{T} r_{i} T+\frac{1}{n} r_{i n} I_{1}+r_{j} u_{i} I_{2} ; \tag{F1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! $[\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}]$ is de ned by Eq. $[8],(\mathbb{A} ; \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{f}(1 ; 1) ;\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}^{2} ; 1\right) ;\left(1 ; \mathrm{V}_{1}^{2}\right) ;\left(\mathrm{N}_{2_{\mathrm{i}}} ; 1\right) ;\left(1 ; \mathrm{V}_{1_{1}}\right) \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)$, and

In the above integrals, $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ are expressed as fiunctions of C and $\mathrm{c}_{12}$. Then, in order to com pute those integrals one needs the follow ing additional relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}^{(a)} \quad d x \dot{R}_{R^{d}} j^{\eta} e^{a x^{2}} x_{i} x_{j}=M_{i j}^{(a)} ; \tag{F4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(a)}=\quad d=2 \frac{d+n}{2 d} \frac{[(d+n)=2]}{(d=2)} \frac{1}{a^{(d+n+2)=2}}: \tag{F5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing sum $m$ ation over repeated indioes, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j k}^{(a)} M_{i k}^{\left(a^{0}\right)}=M^{(a)} M^{\left(a^{0}\right)}{ }_{i j} ; \tag{F6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k 1}^{(a)} M_{k 1}^{\left(a^{0}\right)}=d M^{(a)} M^{\left(a^{0}\right)}: \tag{F7}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the de nition D9) one can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i j k 1}^{(a)} M_{k l}^{\left(a^{0}\right)}=\frac{d+2}{3} b^{(a)} M^{\left(a^{0}\right)}{ }_{i j} ;  \tag{F8}\\
& M_{i k l m}^{(a)} M_{j k l m}^{\left(a^{0}\right)}=\frac{d+2}{3} b^{(a)} b^{\left(a^{0}\right)}{ }_{i j} ;  \tag{F9}\\
& M_{k l m n}^{(a)} M_{k l m n}^{\left(a^{0}\right)}=\frac{d(d+2)}{3} b^{(a)} b^{\left(a^{0}\right)}: \tag{F10}
\end{align*}
$$

For sym $m$ etry reasons, $m$ any of the term $s$ in the integrals $F 2$ ) and ( 3 3) vanish upon integration. N evertheless, the expressions are very cum bersom e and the use of sym bolic com putation program $s$ is appreciable [43]. Equations. [C 5), (D9), (F4), and F6) \{ F9) thus allow us after a lengthy but technically sim ple calculation to nd the decay rates to rst order (26].
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