Escort density operators and generalized quantum information measures

Jan Naudts

D epartem ent Fysica, U niversiteit Antwerpen, U niversiteitsplein 1,2610 Antwerpen, Belgium E-mail Jan Naudts@uaac.be

0 ctober 7, 2021

A bstract

Param etrized families of density operators are studied. A generalization of the lower bound of Cramer and Rao is formulated. It involves escort density operators. The notion of exponential family is introduced. This family, together with its escort, optimizes the generalized lower bound. It also satis es a maximum entropy principle and exhibits a thermodynamic structure in which entropy and free energy are related by Legendre transform.

1 Introduction

The exponential family of probability distributions plays a central role in statistical mechanics, where it is called the Boltzm ann-Gibbs distribution. In a previous paper [1] the author has proposed a generalization of this family, involving the notion of deformed exponential functions. It is called the exponential family because it involves a function, which is used to deform the exponential function. The present paper extends this work to the quantum en echanical context, solving some of the discussive resulting from working with non-commuting operators. Other discussive that can arise (unbounded operators, operators that are not trace-class, ...) are not discussed at all. However, none of the latter technicalities arise if the Hilbert space Hof quantum en echanical wavefunctions is assumed to be nite dimensional.

Them otivation for this work is twofold. At one hand the canonical ensemble, although dominant, is not the only ensemble that occurs in statistical physics. Hence the present generalization might have practical applications. In fact, in nonextensive them ostatistics [2], which involves the -exponential family with $(u) = u^q$, many such applications are claimed. But because of the generality of the present approach applications in statistics and in information theory are possible as well. At the other hand the formulation of a generalization might

provide new insights into the existing theory. Of particular interest is a better understanding of the problems around the denition of a quantum information manifold \mid see [3] for a recent attempt in this direction. Further work is needed both on the fundamental level and in the direction of noting applications.

The paper is organised as follows. The next section generalizes the well-known lower bound of C ram er and R ao in quantum context. In Section 3 a su cient condition for optim ality of this lower bound is presented. Section 4 introduces the -exponential family of density operators. In Section 5 appropriate notions of entropy and of relative entropy are dened. Section 6 contains the obvious example of powerlaw distributions. In Section 7 the -exponential family is shown to satisfy a maximum entropy principle. The associated structure of Legendre transforms is revealed. The nalsection contains a short discussion of results.

2 E scort density operators and the lower bound of C ram er and R ao

Fix a Hilbert space H . Recall that a density operator of H is a positive operator which is trace-class, with trace equal to 1. Let us consider families of density operators () $_{2\,\mathrm{D}}$, with parameters = (1 ; $^{\mathrm{N}}$;) in some open domain D , subset of R $^{\mathrm{N}}$. It is assumed that , seen as a function of its parameters , is su ciently smooth so that its derivatives @ =@ $^{\mathrm{k}}$ are well-de ned operators.

Consider two fam ilies of density operators () $_{2\,\mathrm{D}}$ and () $_{2\,\mathrm{D}}$ with common parameter domain D . The two fam ilies are not related any further. Still, is called the escort density operator of . A verages with respect to the two fam ilies of density operators are denoted by

$$hAi = Tr A \qquad resp. [A] = Tr A:$$
 (1)

For $\sin p$ licity let us assume that is invertible. A generalized Fisher inform ation g () is defined by

$$g_{k1}() = Tr \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{1}{k}$$
 (2)

The N -by-N -m atrix may contain complex entries. However, it is positivede nite.

Let be given bounded self-adjoint operators H $_1\mbox{;}~~_{\rm N}$ Hand assume that a function F () exists for which

$$h_{k}i = \frac{g_{F}}{g_{k}}; \quad k = 1; \quad N:$$
 (3)

In physical applications these operators H_k are the macroscopic observables like Ham iltonian, total magnetization, particle number, The parameters

 $_{\rm k}$ could be inverse temperature, external magnetic eld, chemical potential (multiplied with inverse temperature), The function F is minus the M assieu function (free energy multiplied with inverse temperature). The well-known lower bound of C ram er and R ao can now be generalized as follows

Proposition For arbitrary complex numbers u^k and v^m is

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^{k}\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{H}_{1}\right]-\left[\mathbf{H}_{k}\right]-\left[\mathbf{H}_{1}\right]\right)}{\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{m}\mathbf{v}^{n}\frac{\mathbf{e}^{2}\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{e}^{m}\mathbf{e}^{n}\mathbf{e}^{n}}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{m}\mathbf{v}^{n}\mathbf{g}_{mn}\left(\cdot\right)};$$
(4)

Proof The proof is based on Schwarz's inequality. Let

$$X_k = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\theta}{a^k}$$
 and $Y_k = H_k$ [H_k]: (5)

Then one has

$$\overline{u}^k v^m [Y_k X_m^y] \qquad \qquad u^k \overline{u}^1 [Y_k Y_1] \overline{v}^n v^m [X_n X_m^y] : \qquad \qquad (6)$$

The lh.s. evaluates to

$$\overline{u}^{k}v^{m} \left[Y_{k}X_{m}^{y}\right]^{2} = \overline{u}^{k}v^{m} \left(H_{k} - H_{k}\right) \frac{\theta}{\theta^{m}} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \overline{u}^{k}v^{m} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{k} - H_{k}\right) \frac{\theta}{\theta^{m}}^{2}$$

$$= \overline{u}^{k}v^{m} \frac{\theta}{\theta^{m}} h H_{k} \dot{1}$$

$$= \overline{u}^{k}v^{m} \frac{\theta^{2}F}{\theta^{m}\theta^{k}}^{2} \qquad (7)$$

E valuation of the rh.s. of (6) is straightforward. The result can be written as (4).

3 Optimalescort families

The lower bound (4) is said to be optimal if equality holds whenever $u^k = v^k$ for all k. The exponential family is known to optimize the usual version of the lower bound of C ramer and Rao. escort density operators are allowed in the generalized lower bound (4). One can therefore expect that other families than the exponential one can optimize the lower bound provided that the escort family is chosen in a suitable way. Explicit examples of such families are discussed in the next section. Here, a suicient condition for optimizing (4) is derived.

P roposition A su cient condition under which the escort family () $_{2\,\rm D}$ optim izes (4) is that there exists functions G () and Z () such that

$${}^{1}\frac{\theta}{\theta^{k}} = \frac{\theta}{\theta^{k}} \quad {}^{1} = Z ()\frac{\theta}{\theta^{k}} \quad G () \quad {}^{1}H_{1} :$$
 (8)

Proof In order to show this point rst notice that

$$Tr \frac{1}{a} \frac{\theta}{a^k} = \frac{\theta}{a^k} Tr = 0; (9)$$

Hence, (8) implies that

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial k} = [H_k] : \qquad (10)$$

One then calculates

$$g_{k1}() = Tr \frac{1}{e} \frac{e}{e^{k}} \frac{e}{e^{1}}$$

$$= Z()^{2}Tr [H_{k}] H_{k} [H_{1}] H_{1}$$

$$= Z()^{2} [H_{k}H_{1}] [H_{k}] [H_{1}] :$$
(11)

On the other hand is, using (8),

$$\frac{e^{2}F}{e^{k}e^{-1}} = \frac{e}{e^{k}}hH_{1}i$$

$$= Tr\frac{e}{e^{k}}H_{1}$$

$$= Z()Tr \frac{eG}{e^{k}}H_{1}$$

$$= Z()H_{k}]H_{1} H_{k}H_{1} : (12)$$

Combining (11,12) gives

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^{k}\overline{\mathbf{u}^{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{H}_{1}\right]-\left[\mathbf{H}_{k}\right]-\left[\mathbf{H}_{1}\right]\right)}{\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{n}\mathbf{u}^{n}\frac{e^{2}F}{\left[e^{m}\mathbf{u}^{n}\right]^{2}}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{m}\mathbf{u}^{n}\mathbf{g}_{mn}\left(\right)};$$
(13)

4 -exponential fam ily

Fix a positive nondecreasing function (u), de ned for u 0.0 se it to de ne another function, denoted exp (u), by the relations

$$\frac{d}{du} \exp (u) = \exp (u)$$
 and $\exp (0) = 1$: (14)

It is called a deform ed exponential [4]. Its inverse in (u) is a deform ed logarithm . As before, a set of H am iltonians H_1 ; N; His xed. The -exponential fam ily is de ned in term s of these H am iltonians by

=
$$\exp (G())^k H_k)$$
: (15)

It is assumed that these operators are trace-class. Normalization ${\tt Tr}=1$ determines the function G ().

$$H_{k} = k (n) = k (n)$$
 (16)

Then also is diagonal in the same basis and one has

$$_{n} = \exp G()^{k} (n) _{n} :$$
 (17)

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k} = \exp G() + k (n) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial k} + k (n) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial k} + k (n) = n$$

$$= () \frac{\partial G}{\partial k} + H_k = n :$$
(18)

U sing this last result it is now straightforward to verify that the condition for optimality (8) is satisfied with escort family and normalization given by

$$=\frac{1}{Z()}$$
 () and $Z()=Tr()$: (19)

5 Entropy and relative entropy functionals

The -exponential family is the basis for formulating a generalized them ostatistics [5, 6]. The derivation of the them odynamical structure requires the introduction of an entropy functional I () and of relative entropy I (jj). The classical version of these quantities is found in [1], although the way of presenting here is slightly dierent.

An appropriate de nition of entropy is

Let us shortly analyse this expression. Introduce a function given by

$$\frac{1}{(u)} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{x}{(xu)} = \frac{1}{u} \ln (u) \frac{1}{u} dw \ln (w)$$
 (21)

Note that (u) is a positive increasing function of u. One has

$$I() = Tr ln():$$
 (22)

This expression looks fam iliar. In case of the natural logarithm is (x) = x. This implies that also (x) = x. Then (20) reduces to the conventional de nition. From (22) follows also that I () 0 because in () 0.

Relative entropy, also called divergence, is de ned by

$$I(jj) = I(j) I(j) Tr(j) ln(j)$$
: (23)

Its basic property is the following.

Proposition For any pair of density operators and is

ProofFrom

$$\frac{d}{du}u \ln u = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \times \int_{1}^{Z_{u}} dv \frac{1}{(xv)} \frac{1}{(xu)}$$
 (25)

follows that the function $f(u) = u \ln (u)$ is convex. Hence K lein's inequality (see e.g. [7], 2.5.2)

Tr
$$f()$$
 $f()$ $()$ (26)

im plies

I () + I () Tr()
$$\ln$$
 + $\frac{1}{()}$ 0: (27)

The proof follows now from the identity

$$\ln u = \ln u + \frac{u}{(u)} - \frac{1}{(1)}; \tag{28}$$

This result can be used to prove concavity of the entropy functional I ().

Corollary For any satisfying 0 1 is

$$I (+ (1)) I () + (1) I ():$$
 (29)

ProofLet = + (1). Applying twice the proposition, in combination with de nition (23), one obtains

$$I() I() Tr() ln():$$
 (30)

By taking a convex combination of these two expressions (29) follows.

Finally, note that a short calculation shows that

$$I + u^{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta^{k}} + I + u^{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta^{k}} = \frac{1}{Z(t)} u^{k} u^{l} g_{k1}(t) + o(u^{2}) \sharp (31)$$

This expression links relative entropy to generalized Fisher information.

6 Example

The obvious example is obtained by taking $(u) = u^q$, with 0 < q < 2 (q = 1 corresponds with the standard case). In this case the notations \ln_q and \exp_q are used instead of \ln_q resp. exp. A short calculation gives

$$\ln_{q}(u) = \frac{1}{1 - q} u^{1 - q} - 1 :$$
 (32)

This particular de nition of deform ed logarithm has been introduced in [8]. An easy integration gives $(u) = (2 q)u^q$. Hence, in this case the functions and di er only by a constant factor. The resulting entropy functional is

I () =
$$\frac{1}{2 \text{ q}} \text{Tr } \ln_{q}() = \frac{1}{2 \text{ q} \text{ q}} \frac{1}{1} \text{ Tr }^{2 \text{ q}} 1 :$$
 (33)

This is, up to some changes in notation and a proportionality factor 1=(q-1), the entropy studied in the context of T sallis' therm ostatistics [2]. The corresponding expression for relative entropy is

I (jj) =
$$\frac{1}{(q-1)(2-q)}$$
Tr $(q-1)^{2-q}$ $(q-2)^{2-q}$ + $(q-2)^{2-q}$: (34)

7 Variational principle and duality

Let us now show that the -exponential family satis es a maximum entropy principle.

Proposition Let () be a -exponential fam ily of density operators with two-by-two commuting H am iltonians H $_1$; N; H and with averages hH $_k$ i equal to the gradients of the potential F (). There exists a constant F $_0$ such that

$$F() = F_0 + m \inf Tr^{k} H_k I ()g:$$
 (35)

The m in im um is attained for = . In particular, F () is a convex function of and = m axim izes I () under the constraint that $Tr^k H_k = Tr^k H_k$.

ProofFrom I(jj) O follows

But one has

In () = G ()
$${}^{k}H_{k}$$
: (37)

Hence one obtains

0 I () I () + Tr()
$$^{k}H_{k}$$
: (38)

This proves that for all

$$Tr \, {}^{k}H_{k} \, I \, () \, Tr \, {}^{k}H_{k} \, I \, ()$$
: (39)

N ext note that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial k} = h H_k i = \frac{\partial}{\partial k} h H_l i I ()$$
 (40)

because one has

From (40) follows that there exists a constant F $_{0}$ for which

$$F() = F_0 + {}^{1}hH_1i I()$$
 (42)

holds for all . This nishes the proof.

Introduce dual coordinates k (dual to k) by (see (3))

$$_{k} = hH_{k}i = \frac{\varrho F}{\varrho^{k}}; \qquad (43)$$

They satisfy (use (11, 12))

$$\frac{\partial_{1}}{\partial_{k}} = \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial_{k} \partial_{1}} = Z() H_{k} H_{1} = \frac{1}{Z()} g_{k1}(); \qquad (44)$$

These are the orthogonality relations between the two sets of coordinates. The dual of relation (43) is

$$k = \frac{\theta}{\theta k} I \quad (): \tag{45}$$

Indeed, using (41, 44) one obtains

$$\frac{e}{e_{k}} I () = \frac{e^{-1}}{e_{k}} \frac{e}{e^{-1}} I () = Z () g^{k1} \frac{e^{2} F}{e^{-1} e^{-m}} ,$$
 (46)

where g^{k1} is the inverse matrix of g_{k1} . Next use (11) to obtain (45).

Let E () = I (). Then one can write (42) as (putting $F_0 = 0$)

$$F() + E() = {k \choose k}$$
 (47)

This shows that F () and E () are each others Legendre transform . This generalizes the well-known result of standard therm ostatistics that free energy is the Legendre transform of equilibrium entropy.

8 Sum mary and discussion

This paper introduces the notion of —exponential family of density operators . It depends on an arbitrary non-decreasing non-negative function —of the positive reals and on a set of H amiltonians H $_{\rm K}$. The analogy with the standard exponential family is enhanced by using the notion of deformed exponential function exp . The denition is motivated by showing that the —exponential family, together with a family of escort density operators, optimizes a generalized version of the well-known lower bound of C ramer and R ao.

Generalized de nitions of entropy (20) and of relative entropy (23) have been given. The de nitions are such that the exponential family of density operators satis es a maximum entropy principle and that the function F () and entropy I () are each others Legendre transforms. The relation between F () and relative entropy is

$${}^{k}\text{Tr H}_{k} \quad \text{I ()} = \text{F ()} + \text{I (} \ddot{\eta} \text{)}; \tag{48}$$

The latter has the interpretation that free energy is m in im alin equilibrium (i.e., when =).

The assumption has been made that the Hamiltonians H_k are two-by-two commuting. As a consequence, the quantum information 'manifold' () is still abelian. This is clearly too restrictive for a fully quantum -mechanical theory. Further work is needed to remove this restriction.

R eferences

[1] J.N audts, E stim ators, escort probabilities, and phi-exponential families in statistical physics, arX iv m ath-ph/0402005.

- [2] C.T sallis, Possible Generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs Statistics, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479-487 (1988).
- [3] R.F. Streater, Duality in quantum information geometry, Open Sys. & Information Dyn. 11, 71-77 (2004).
- [4] J N audts, D eform ed exponentials and logarithm s in generalized therm ostatistics, arX iv:cond-m at/0203489, P hysica A 316, 323-334 (2002).
- [5] J.N audts, Generalized them ostatistics and mean-eld theory, arX iv cond-m at/0211444, Physica A 332, 279-300 (2004).
- [6] J.N audts, G eneralized therm ostatistics based on deform ed exponential and logarithm ic functions, arX iv cond-m at/0311438, P hysica A 340, 32-40 (2004).
- [7] D. Ruelle, Statistical Mechanics (W. A. Benjamin Inc., 1969)
- [8] C.T sallis, W hat are the numbers that experiments provide? Quimica Nova 17, 468 (1994).