Long range spatial correlation between two Brownian particles under external driving

Shin-ichi Sasa

Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

Abstract

We study the large distance behavior of a steady distribution of two Brownian particles under external driving in a two-dimensional space. Employing a method of perturbative system reduction, we analyze a Fokker-Planck equation that describes the time evolution of the probability density for the two particles. The expression we obtain shows that there exists a long range correlation between the two particles, of $1=r^2$ type.

1 Introduction

The statistical properties of uctuations at equilibrium are described by equilibrium statistical mechanics. This has been established through experimental measurements carried out to test the theoretical predictions of statistical mechanics. In contrast to the equilibrium case, there is no known general principle determining the statistical properties of uctuations under nonequilibrium conditions. Indeed, it might be thought that it is quite dicult to obtain a universal theoretical framework on nonequilibrium uctuations.

There are many nonequilibrium steady states (NESSs) that settle down into an equilibrium state if one condition, such as the strength of an external driving force or the chem ical potential at a boundary, is controlled. In such NESSs, the statistical properties of uctuations can be elucidated through an approach that seeks to determ ine how equilibrium uctuations are modiled under the in uence of nonequilibrium conditions.

In a pioneering work in this context, K uram oto studied open chem ical systems in 1974 and pointed out that large scale uctuations should be considered separately from them odynam ic uctuations occurring locally in space [1]. W hat is referred to in Ref. [1] as \long range coherence" is found m ore explicitly to appear in the form of long range correlations of uctuations for conserved quantities [2]. Here, there are two classes of system s that exhibit long range correlation. One class consists of system s driven by nonequilibrium boundary conditions. It includes lam inar ow system s [3], tem perature gradient system s [4] and density gradient system s [5]. In such system s, anom alous uctuations originate from the spatial inhom ogeneity of averaged quantities. The power law decay exponents of the spatial correlation for conserved quantities are basically of $1=r^{(d-2)}$ type, but di erent exponents can also be realized through composite e ects [6]. The other type of system s exhibiting long range correlation are locally driven system s. In such system s, the statistical properties of local uctuations di er substantially from those of equilibrium system s. This modi cation yields long range correlation of the form $1=r^d$ in d (2) dimensional systems []. This behavior can be easily understood if we model the time development of a conserved quantity with a phenomenological linear Langevin equation [8, 9] in which the anisotropy of both the current noise intensity and the transportation coecient without detailed balance is assumed.

In this paper, we inquire whether long range correlation of $1=r^d$ type is peculiar to the uctuations of macroscopic variables in driven system s. In general, a chain of correlated twobody interactions among many particles provides a contribution to the correlation function for the density eld. Thus, when we consider the system of a microscopic level (at which particle motion is described), it is reasonable to conjecture that long range correlation appears only in the macroscopic limit. However, if anisotropy with a local violation of detailed balance is the essence of long range correlation in driven systems, it may not be necessary to have a many body system in order to observe such correlation. As an extreme case, a system consisting of two particles under external driving may exhibit long range correlation.

W ith this motivation, quite recently, in a calculation of the steady probability for the positions of two interacting random walkers in a d (2) dimensional lattice under external driving, it has been found that the large distance behavior of the probability, including the existence or non-existence of long range correlation, depends on the choice of the transition rules satisfying the condition of local detailed balance [10]. It is surprising that there is such a dependence, considering the fact that universal relations in the linear response regime do not depend on the precise nature of these rules. Given this situation, we are led to ask, W hich rule is physically meaningful? However, it is di cult to answer this question by considering such transition rules them selves. For this reason, we investigate a physical model that corresponds directly to an experimental system.

In the present paper, we study the large distance behavior of two B row nian particles with a local interaction under nonequilibrium conditions. Speci cally, we consider two B row nian particles in a two dimensional space of tem perature T. Their interaction is characterized by an interaction length . They are driven in one direction, which we choose as the x direction, by a constant external force f, under the in uence of a periodic potential with period `. Let $p_s^{(2)}(r_1;r_2)$ be the steady probability density for the positions of the two particles, $(r_1;r_2)$. Calculating $p_s^{(2)}(r_1;r_2)$ to leading order of in the asymptotic limit jr_1 $r_2 j!$ 1 under the asymption that `, we nd that

$$p_{s}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) = p_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{1})p_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{2})' \frac{c(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{\mathbf{\dot{r}}_{1} + \mathbf{r}_{2}\mathbf{\dot{f}}}; \qquad (1)$$

where $c(r_1; r_2)$ does not depend on $jr_1 r_2 j$ but on the direction of the vector $r_1 r_2$, and $p_s(r)$ is the one body steady probability density for a system without an interaction. Thus, it is found that a long range correlation of $1=r^d$ type appears in a system consisting of two locally interacting particles under external driving.

In order to obtain this result, we apply a method of perturbative system reduction to the Fokker-P lanck equation describing the time development of the probability density in the system described above. Here, what we refer to as \perturbative system reduction" consists of a perturbative calculation designed to obtain a simpler representation of a dynamical system by restricting what we wish to describe. The rst application of perturbative system reduction to reaction di usion system s was carried out by K uram oto and T suzuki [12]. They obtained a complex G inzburg-Landau equation near a H opf bifurcation and subsequently derived the simplest partial di erential equation exhibiting spatially extended chaos [13], which is now called the K uram oto-Sivashinsky equation [11]. These m ethods of derivation have m atured since that time, and the universal structure underlying the calculations has been elucidated [14, 15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the stochastic model we study and describe the basic features of the model. In Section 3, we form ulate a perturbative system reduction by rst reviewing the basic ideas introduced by K uram oto. In Section 4, performing a perturbative expansion of the model, we obtain a reduced model describing the large scale behavior of the system in question. U sing this result, in Section 5, we derive the asymptotic form of the large distance behavior of the steady probability density. Section 6 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2 M odel

W e study them otion of two sm all particles (on the oder of m icro-m eters in radius) interacting with each other in a uid of tem perature T. The particles are con ned to a two dimensional square of length L and are subject to a periodic potential U of period ` in a single direction, which we chose as the x direction. Typical systems with such properties can be realized experimentally [16, 17]. Further, a ow with constant velocity can be used to apply a constant driving force f to the particles in the x direction. In this way, it is possible to experimentally realize NESSs for such a particle system.

Let $(r_1; r_2)$ represent the positions of the particles. We assume that their motion is described by the Langevin equation

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{i} = f \quad \frac{\partial U(\mathbf{r}_{i})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}} \quad \frac{\partial V(\mathbf{r}_{1} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{2})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}} + \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{T}}_{i}(\mathbf{t}); \qquad (2)$$

where r = (x;y), $V(r_1 r_2)$ is an interaction potential with interaction length , (e.g. V(r) = const. for jrj;), and U(r) is a periodic potential satisfying

$$U(r + e_x) = U(r)$$
: (3)

Further, $_{i}$ (t) represents G aussian white noise with zero m ean and unit dispersion. Here, the Boltzm ann constant is set to unity. For simplicity, we assume periodic boundary conditions in both directions and that the system size L is su ciently larger than and `.

In this system, the probability density of the particle positions, $p(r_1;r_2;t)$, obeys the Fokker-P lanck equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^2}{\alpha r_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \qquad fe_x p + \frac{\partial U(r_i)}{\alpha r_i} p + \frac{\partial V(r_1 - r_2)}{\alpha r_i} p + T \frac{\partial p}{\partial r_i} : \qquad (4)$$

A lthough we wish to obtain the steady solution of (4), it seems unfeasible to derive such a solution in exact form. For this reason, we form ulate a perturbation m ethod to extract the large scale behavior of the steady state solution under som e assumptions. Before presenting the analysis, som e preparation is needed.

We rst note that when V $(r_1 \quad r_2) = 0$, the steady state solution of (4) can be derived easily in the form $p_s(r_1;f)p_s(r_2;f)$, where

$$p_{s}(x;y;f) = \frac{1}{Z}I(x);$$
 (5)

with

$$I(x) = \int_{0}^{2} dx^{0} e^{-U(x) + U(x^{0} + x) - fx^{0}};$$
(6)

Here, = 1=T and Z is a norm alization factor that is chosen so that we have

$$Z , dxp_{s}(x;y;f) = ':$$
(7)

For later convenience, we de ne the following quantities:

$$J_{s}(f) = \frac{1}{2} f \frac{\partial U(r)}{\partial x} p_{s}(r;f) \frac{T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p_{s}(r;f)}{\partial x}; \qquad (8)$$

$$s(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{f}) = \log p_s(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{f}); \tag{9}$$

Note that $J_s(f)$ does not depend on r, because $J_s(f)$ corresponds to the one particle steady state probability current for the case V = 0.

3 Form ulation

Next, we consider the e ect of the interaction potential V by rst writing

$$p(r_1;r_2;t) = p_s(r_1;f_1)p_s(r_2;f_2)q(r_1;r_2;t);$$
(10)

where f_1 and f_2 are con guration dependent forces de ned by

$$f_{i}(r_{1};r_{2}) = f = \frac{QV(r_{1} - r_{2})}{Qx_{i}}$$
 (11)

Then, substituting (10) into (4), we obtain the evolution equation of $q(r_1; r_2; t)$ as

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \int_{i=1}^{X^2} \hat{M}_i q \frac{1}{p_s(r_i; f_i)} \frac{dJ_s(f_i)}{df_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} q + \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_i} q ; \qquad (12)$$

where the operator $\hat{M_i}$ is de ned as

$$\dot{M_{i}} = \frac{J_{s}(f_{i})}{p_{s}(r_{i};f_{i})}\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} + \frac{T}{2}\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}}\frac{(r_{i};f_{i})}{\theta x_{i}}\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} + \frac{T}{2}\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta x_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta y_{i}^{2}} :$$
(13)

We study a simple situation where we x the period 'of the periodic potential U (r) and make the range of the interaction longer and longer. We then introduce a small parameter "representing the extent of the scale separation: " = 1. The existence of two typical length scales is account for explicitly by introducing the large scale coordinate $R_i = (X_i; Y_i)$ " r_i and the periodic coordinate $i \mod (x_i; ')$, which expresses the i-th particle position in terms of the phase of the periodic potential. U sing these coordinates, we rewrite U and V as

$$U(\mathbf{r}_{i}) = U(\mathbf{i})$$
(14)

$$V(r_1 r_2) = V(R_1 R_2)$$
: (15)

In a similar way, we further de ne $p_s(i; f_i)$ and $\tilde{s}(i; f_i)$, where

$$f'_{i}(R_{1};R_{2}) = f \qquad "\frac{@V'(R_{1} - R_{2})}{@X_{i}}:$$
(16)

Now, we introduce a slowly varying eld Q (R 1; R 2; t) in such a way that

$$q(r_1;r_2;t) = Q(R_1;R_2;t) + "^{(1)}(_1;_2;Q]) + "^{2(2)}(_1;_2;Q]) + ;$$
(17)

where g(Q) represents the functional dependence of g on Q (R₁;R₂;t). At this stage, Q is not determ ined. A coording to Ref. [15] written by Kuram oto in 1989, Q can be regarded as the coordinate of a point on the slow manifold in the functional space fog. W ith this interpretation, (17) provides a representation of the slow manifold in terms of Q. Here, obviously, such a representation can be chosen arbitrarily. We therefore choose the following convenient form of the time evolution of Q is expressed by

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = "^{(1)}(Q) + "^{2}(Q)(Q) + :$$
(18)

If Q can be determ ined uniquely with this requirem ent, then (18) represents the system reduction we seek. Equations (17) and (18) constitute the basic assumptions of the perturbative system reduction for (12), with the replacements U by U, and so on. Thus, the problem we face is to determ ine whether ${}^{(n)}(_1;_2;\mathbb{Q}])$ and ${}^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}])$ (n = 1;2;) can be determ ined in an essentially unique way. In the next section, we see that indeed this can be done.

4 Analysis

We rst substitute (17) and (18) into (12) and extract all terms proportional to ".We then obtain \circ

⁽¹⁾ ([Q]) =
$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{X^2} \left[M_i^{(0)} \right]_i^{(1)} + M_i^{(1)} Q];$$
 (19)

where the operators $\hat{M_{i}}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{M_{i}}^{(1)}$ are given by

$$\hat{M}_{i}^{(0)} \qquad \frac{J_{s}(f_{i})}{p_{s}(i;f_{i})} \frac{\theta}{\theta}_{i} + \frac{T}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{s}(i;f_{i})} \frac{\theta}{\theta}_{i} + \frac{T}{\theta} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta}_{i}^{2};$$

$$(20)$$

$$\hat{M}_{i}^{(1)} \qquad \frac{J_{s}(f_{i})}{p_{s}(_{i};f_{i})} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho X_{i}} + \frac{T}{2} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho}_{s}(_{i};f_{i})} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho X_{i}} + 2 \frac{T}{2} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho}_{i} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho X_{i}} :$$
(21)

Because $\dot{M_{i}}^{(0)} = 0$, (1) can be obtained only when the solvability condition is satisfied. Thus, we need to nd an explicit form of the solvability condition.

Let us de ne an operator $\hat{L_i}$ as

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{i} = \frac{1}{\underline{\theta}} \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}} \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}} + \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}} \frac{1}{\underline{\theta}} + \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}}$$
(22)

I

Then, using the relation

$$\hat{L}_{i}(p_{s}(i) (i)) = p_{s}(i) (\hat{M}_{i}^{(0)} (i));$$
(23)

for an arbitrary square integrable periodic function ($_{\rm i})$, we obtain $_{\rm Z}$

$$d_{i}p_{s}(i) (M_{i}^{(0)}(i)) = 0:$$
 (24)

From this, the solvability condition for (19) turns out to be

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ &$$

which yields

⁽¹⁾ ([Q]) =
$$X^2_{i=1} J_s(f_i) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_i}$$
: (26)

Under this condition, we can derive (1) of (19) in the form

$$^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2}} a(_{i}; f_{i}) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_{i}} + {}^{(1)} (R_{1}; R_{2}); \qquad (27)$$

where a $(_{i}; f_{i})$ can be calculated explicitly as in Ref. [19], and $^{(1)}(R_{1}; R_{2})$ is an arbitrary function of $(R_{1}; R_{2})$. (The choice of this function does not in uence the result for $^{(n)}$.) Next, we sum up all terms proportional to "². This yields

where

$$M_{i}^{(2)} = \frac{T}{\theta X_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta Y_{i}^{2}} ;$$
 (29)

and we have introduced the dimential mobility d(f) = dJ = df, which is found to be

$$f_{d}(f) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{0} dx I (x) I_{+} (x)}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{0} dx I (x)^{2}};$$
(30)

with

$$L_{+}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{2} d\mathbf{x}^{0} e^{U(\mathbf{x}) - U(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{0})} f\mathbf{x}^{0}$$
(31)

The solvability condition for $^{(2)}$ in (28), which is obtained by multiplying both sides of (28) by d 1d $_2p_s(_1)p_s(_2)$, yields

$${}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2}} \left(f_{i} \right) \frac{\theta^{2} \nabla}{\theta X_{i}^{2}} Q + D (f) \frac{\theta^{2} Q}{\theta X_{i}^{2}} + \frac{T}{2} \frac{\theta^{2} Q}{\theta Y_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta Y_{i}} \frac{\theta \nabla}{\theta Y_{i}} Q \right)$$
(32)

where D is obtained as

$$D(f) = \frac{T}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{0} dx (I(x))^{2} I_{+}(x)}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{0} dx I(x)^{3}};$$
(33)

This quantity clearly represents the di usion constant in the x direction [18]. The derivation here is parallel to that given in Ref. [19]. Under the solvability condition (32), we can obtain (2).

F inally, carrying out a similar calculation, we can determ ine ${}^{(n)}(_1;_2; [2])$ and ${}^{(n)}([2])$ from the terms proportional to n in (12), with (17) and (18). This iterative procedure constitutes the perturbative system reduction.

5 Long range correlation

Recall that our goal is to obtain the steady state solution of the Fokker-P lanck equation (4) under the assumption " 1. Let us express this solution as

$$p_{s}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) = p_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{1})p_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{2})q_{s}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}):$$
(34)

Then, as far as we focus on the large distance behavior of $q_s(r_1;r_2)$, from (10), (17), (18), (26) and (32), we can assume that $q_s(r_1;r_2)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{2} \\ & J_{s}(f) \frac{\partial q_{s}}{\partial x_{i}} + {}_{d}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}} q_{s} + D & (f) \frac{\partial^{2} q_{s}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \frac{T}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} q_{s}}{\partial y_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_{i}} q_{s} & = 0: \quad (35) \end{array}$$

Now, we de ne $(r_1; r_2)$, which represents the non-equilibrium contribution of q_s , through the relation

$$q_s(r_1;r_2) = e^{V(r_1 r_2) + (r_1;r_2)}$$
: (36)

Furthermore, assuming that V is su ciently small, we linearize (35) with respect to α and V. This yields

 X^2

i=

$$J_{g}(f) + \langle D(f) \rangle_{d}(f)T \rangle \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} \qquad \frac{\theta V}{\theta x_{i}} + \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} + \frac{T}{\theta} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta x_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta y_{i}^{2}} = 0: (37)$$

Then, using the Fourier expansion, we can solve for in (37) as

$$(r_1; r_2) = (D _{d}T) \frac{d^2k}{(2)^2} e^{ik(r_1 r_2)} \frac{k_x^2}{D k_x^2 + T k_y^2} \hat{V}(k);$$
 (38)

where

$$\hat{V}(k) = d^2 r e^{ikr} V(r)$$
: (39)

From this result, it is straightforward to derive the asymptotic form

$$(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2})' \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathsf{D}}{(\mathsf{D} \mathsf{T})^{3=2}} \overset{\mathrm{d} \mathsf{T}}{\overset{\mathrm{I}=2}{\mathsf{V}}} (0) \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} \ \mathbf{x}_{2})^{2} = \mathsf{D}}{((\mathbf{x}_{1} \ \mathbf{x}_{2})^{2} = \mathsf{D} + (\mathbf{y}_{1} \ \mathbf{y}_{2})^{2} = \mathsf{T})^{2}}$$
(40)

in the region $jr_1 r_2 j L$. As shown in Ref. [19], the Einstein relation $D = {}_d T$ is violated in general NESSs. Thus, we conclude that there is long range correlation of $1=r^2$ type.

Ζ

6 Concluding rem arks

We have demonstrated that there exists long-range spatial correlation between two interacting B rownian particles under external driving. We have found that this long range correlation is proportional to D $_{\rm d}$ T, which represents the degree of the breakdown of detailed balance. It is quite reasonable to expect that the long range correlation found for this two particle system exists also in m any particle system s, with a quantitative correction arising from m any body elects. It is a future project to study m any body elects by extending approach in the present paper.

The existence of long range correlation of $1=r^d$ type makes it di cult to construct a universal framework for a statistical theory. Let us explain the reason for this by considering N particles in a two dimensional box of length L. We write the N-body steady state distribution for this system as

$$p(fr_ig) = e^{(fr_ig)}$$
(41)

One may naively interpret (fr $_{i}$ g) as e ective energy f of the particles under nonequilibrium conditions, because (fr $_{i}$ g) corresponds to the Ham iltonian of the particle con guration fr $_{i}$ g at equilibrium. W ith this interpretation, there appears an elective long range

interaction potential of $1=r^2$ type. Then, for such system s, simple considerations yield the estimation

hi
$$L^2 \log L$$
 (42)

in the lim it that L ! 1, with xed $L^2=N$. This implies that extensivity, which is the most essential property of them odynam ic systems, does not hold. Such statistical systems are pathological for the following reasons. First, a statistical distribution located in the central region of the system depends sensitively on the nature of the boundary conditions [20]. That is, it is di cult to de ne a bulk region for the system. Second, if can be measured as \energy" using some experimental method, (42) in plies that a signi cant amount of energy can be extracted by merely splitting one system or combining two systems. Because such a situation seems to be unphysical, it is reasonable to conjecture that does not represent an \energy". These conclusions cast doubt on the possibility of realizing a united statistical fram ework.

D espite these seem ingly intractable properties, we wish to seek a universal statistical fram ework for NESS by separating the problem in the following way. First, we propose to check the possibility that large scale uctuations can be distinguished from small scale uctuations in some way. If this can be done, we hope to determ ine whether small scale uctuations, which may deviate substantially from those of an equilibrium system, can be characterized in terms of an energetic quantity. Then, nally, we hope to study large scale uctuations on the basis of the characterization of smalls scale uctuations. Recently, we have made some progress in the characterization of small scale uctuations through an extension of therm odynam ic functions [21, 22]. We now propose to attem pt unifying large scale anom alous uctuations with our therm odynam ic fram ework, going beyond the result of the present study.

In closing this paper, we would like to return to Ref. [1] written by Kuram oto in 1974. The observation m ade there that large scale uctuations should be considered separately led Kuram oto to focus on dynam ical behavior of m acroscopic variables. In particular, when solutions of determ inistic equations for m acroscopic variables describe a rich variety of phenom ena including oscillations and chaos, the understanding of such phenom ena from a dynam ical system point of view may be most important. W ith this realization, Kuram oto naturally was led to study dynam ical system s. This is regarded as the genesis of nonlinear dynam ics as a method for studying nonequilibrium statistical phenom ena. The most im – portant m essage here seem s to be that to form ulate questions that do not conform to the contem porary mainstream can lead to new elds of research. Today, the study of nonlinear dynam ics has been fully developed. Following Kuram oto, we should consider to seek the form ulation of precise and deep questions regarding nonlinear and nonequilibrium systems that do not conform to current trends.

A cknow ledgm ents

It is a great pleasure to dedicate this paper to Prof. Kuram oto on the occasion of his retirem ent from Kyoto University. I have learned the nature of \true study" as well as many scientic ideas from him since the time I was a graduate student under his supervision. I also thank H.Tasaki for extensive discussions on statistical mechanics in NESS.

References

- Y.Kuram oto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 52, 711, (1974); See also N.G. Van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and chemistry, (Elsevier, 1992).
- [2] J.R.Dorfman, T.R.Kirkpatrick and J.V.Sengers, Ann.Rev.Phys.Chem. 45, 213 (1994) and references therein.
- [3] A.Onuki, J.Stat. Phys. 18, 475, (1978).
- [4] I. Procaccia, D. Ronis and I. Oppenheim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 287, (1979).
- [5] H.Spohn, J.Phys. A 16, 4275, (1983).
- [6] A cross-over between types of power law decay with di erent exponents is also observed. See e.g.H.W ada and S.Sasa, Phys.Rev.E 67, 065302, (2003).
- [7] M.Q Zhang, J.S.W ang, J.L.Lebow itz and J.L.Valles, J.Stat. Phys. 52, 1461, (1988).
- [8] P.Garrido, J.Lebowitz, C.Maes and H.Spohn, Phys. Rev. A 42, 1954, (1990).
- [9] G.Grinstein, D.Lee and S.Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1927, (1990).
- [10] H. Tasaki, cond-m at/0407262.
- [11] Y.Kuram oto, Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence, (Springer-Verlag, 1984).
- [12] Y.Kuram oto and T.Tsuzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 52, 1399, (1974); Prog. Theor. Phys. 54, 687, (1975).
- [13] Y. Kuram oto and T. Tsuzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 55, 356, (1976); Y. Kuram oto and T. Yam ada, Prog. Theor. Phys. 56, 679, (1976);
- [14] Y.Kuram oto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 71, 1182 (1984).
- [15] Y.Kuram oto, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 99, 244 (1989).
- [16] T.Harada and K.Yoshikawa, Phys. Rev. E 69, 031113, (2004).
- [17] P.T.Korda, M.B.Taylor and D.G.Gier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 128301, (2002).

- [18] The form given in (33) was rst derived in P.Reimann et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 010602, (2001).] using a formula for stochastic processes.
- [19] K.Hayashiand S.Sasa, Phys.Rev.E 69,066119 (2004).
- [20] F.J.A lexander and G.L.Eyink, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6229, (1998).
- [21] S. Sasa and H. Tasaki, cond-m at/0108365; in preparation.
- [22] K.Hayashiand S.Sasa, Phys.Rev.E 68, 035104(R), (2003).