## U ltrafast initialization and QND -readout of a spin qubit via control of nanodot-vacuum coupling

Ren-Bao Liu, W ang Yao, and L. J. Sham

Departm ent of Physics, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0319

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Ultrafast initialization enables fault-tolerant processing of quantum information while QND readout enables scalable quantum computation. By spatially assembling photon resonators and waveguides around an n-doped nanodot and by temporally designing optical pump pulses, an e cient quantum pathway can be established from an electron spin to a charged exciton to a cavity photon and nally to a ying photon in the waveguide. Such control of vacuum -nanodot coupling can be exploited for ultrafast initialization and QND readout of the spin, which are particularly compatible with the optically driven spin quantum computers.

PACS numbers: 78.67 H c, 03.67 Lx, 42.50 Pq, 78.47.+ p

Vacuum electrom agnetic (EM) uctuation plays an im portant role in quantum dynam ics of nuclei [1], atom s [2], electrons [3], and solid-state quantum structures [4]. Recent advances in opticalm icro-structures, such as m icrospheres [5, 6], m icro-rings [7, 8], m icro-pillars [9], and engineered defects in photonic crystals [10], o er an opportunity of modifying the vacuum EM environment of various systems with a great extent of controllability. Novel ideas have been demonstrated such as engineering the Casim ir force in m icroelectrom echanical system s [11] and assembling sem iconductor quantum dots inside photon resonators for e cient single photon sources [9]. At the same time, modern technology in ultrafast optics allows alm ost arbitrary design of laser pulses for coherent control [12]. Optical control of excitons in quantum dots has become one of the methods for building solid-state processors of quantum information [13, 14, 15].

Possessing ultra-long coherence time, electron spins in quantum dots are among the top candidates for quantum computing [16, 17]. Recent advances in quantum optics experim ents have encouraged the proposals of optical manipulation of spins on the picosecond scale. In particular, Ram an process [15] and optical RKKY interaction [14] m ediated by charged excitons have been proposed for single-spin and two-spin operations, respectively, which constitute a set of gates for universal quantum computing. To make quantum computing com plete, reading (m easurem ent) and writing (initialization) of the qubits are two basic steps. Because the direct coupling between spins and their EM environment is extrem ely weak (which favors the long coherence tim e), spin readout has been a form idable task under investigation [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. In most existing schemes [18, 19, 20, 21, 22], the spin state is rst m apped into an orbit state which is then detected by electric sensors. These readout schemes, limited by the clock speed of the electric m easurem ent and/or requiring localm agnetic control, is not ideally suited for optically operated spin quantum computers. The ultrafast initialization of an individual qubit is essential in quantum error correction

and fault-tolerant quantum computing [25], for the error (entropy) has to be erased as it is generated during processing [26]. M uch less attention has been paid to the speed of initialization [22] than its importance would suggest.

Quantum non-dem olition (QND) measurem ents enable scalable quantum computing in the presence of less than ideal detector e ciency. By contrast, ensem ble m easurem ents for scalable computation m ay be problem atic [27]. In a large fraction of currently known quantum algorithms, especially the sem inal Shor's algorithm for factorization [28] and its relatives, while the term inal state is a superposition of the qubit basis states <sub>x</sub> C<sub>x</sub> jxi (x denotes an N -bit binary number), exponential speedup over the classical algorithms depends on the inform ation retrieval by a single measurement resulting in the projection into a computational basis state. However, implementation of one-shot measurement is di cult. In practice, a readout schemem ay su er from detection ine ciency which may be remedied by repeating the read cycle to gain su cient accuracy. In a QND readout, a state collapses into a certain state jxi after the st reading cycle and rem ains in this state for the repeated cycles, so a multi-shot measurement just gives the same result as a single-shot measurement with 100% e ciency. On the contrary, if the readout is destructive, the entire algorithm has to be rewound from the very beginning, resulting in an ensemble of resultant states is i after readout. If the ensemble measurem ent is an uncorrelated one (i.e., the di erent qubits are independently measured), it cannot distinguish di erent superposition states, e.g., the two states p000i+ p011i+ p110i+ j1001i+ j100i+ j111i and 10000i+ 1010i+ 1010i+ 1111i. Or if the readout is a correlated m easurem ent with coincidence counting, the num ber of counting channels is of the order of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  .

In this letter, we propose a scheme of controlling the coupling between a nanodot and its EM environment both in space and in time to e ect an ultrafast initialization and a QND readout of the qubit represented by an electron spin in a single nanodot. The basic idea is

depicted in Fig. 1. A high-quality microsphere (with Qfactor as high as  $10^6$  $10^8$ ) [6] attached to a nanodot dram atically modi es the EM vacuum in the vicinity of the dot via the coupling between the evanescent wave of the resonancem odes in the cavity and the electronic transitions in the dot. A tapered ber coupled to the microsphere [5] acts as a quantum channel into which a photon stored in the cavity can escape rapidly. The spin qubit in the nanodot is controlled by the R am an processes [15] via the electron-trion transitions which may be detuned far o -resonance from the cavity modes so that the quantum channel cannot act as a source of decoherence. W hen the nanodot-cavity coupling is desired, the trion transitions and the cavity m odes m ay be brought into resonance by the AC Stark e ect of a laser light on the structure, during which time, a tipping pulse ips the electron state to a trion state which, in combination with the cavity m ode, relaxes back rapidly by spontaneously em itting a photon into the quantum channel. A choice of the polarization of the tipping pulse can either (1) transfer the entropy of the spin to the photon qubit in the quantum channel, thus setting the spin qubit in a basis state, or (2) entangle the spin qubit with a photon qubit in the quantum channel, thus enabling the readout of the spin qubit via the photon detection. W hile optical pumping and spontaneous emission have been proposed for spin readout and initialization [24], our computation suggests that the spatial-tem poralengineering of nanodot-vacuum coupling proposed here increases the e ciency by several orders of magnitude, leading to ultrafast initialization and QND-readout which are suitable for, respectively, fault-tolerant and scalable quantum computing.

The detailed optical processes of writing a spin qubit are illustrated in Fig. 2 (a). W ithout loss of generality, we assume that the doped electron is initially in an unpolarized state, i.e., (1) = 0.5j ih j+0.5j+ih+jwhere j i are the two spin states split by a strong m agnetic eld applied in the x-direction. The two degenerate trion states jT i can be excited from j i or j i by a X - or Y -polarized pulses, respectively [29]. The evanescent wave of a relevant whispering-gallery-m ode in the



FIG. 1: (a) Physical structure of the coupling system of a doped nanodot, a microsphere, and a tapered ber. (b) Basic optical processes for reading and writing a spin state. The dotted arrows represent the AC Stark-pulses, the solid arrows with X or Y polarization represent the tipping pulse for writing or reading, respectively, and the wavy arrow represents the spontaneous emission.

cavity is X -polarized in the vicinity of the nanodot, so that when brought within resonance by the AC Stark pulse, the trion states j i and the cavity states j;Ci are coupled into two split trion-polariton states, respectively. A writing cycle consists of four basic steps: (1) An X -polarized AC Stark pulse is adiabatically switched on, bringing the states T + i and j; C i into resonance; (2) A tipping pulse with Y-polarization ips the spin up state j i to the polariton states form ed by j + i and j ;C i; (3) The polariton states relax to the spin down state j i rapidly by emitting a photon into the tapered ber, dissipating the entropy of the system to the EM environment; (4) The AC Stark pulse is adiabatically switched o . Ideally, after the writing cycle, the spin is fully polarized, i.e.,  $^{\prime}$  = j ih jand the entropy (or the quantum information if the electron is initially in a pure state) of the spin is mapped into the quantum channel.

W e tested the delity and duration of the writing processby simulating the master equation of the system with realistic parameters given in the caption of Fig. 2. Fig. 2 (c) shows that a single writing cycle completed within 80 ps produces an alm ost 100% polarized spin from a maxim ally m ixed state. The density m atrix at the end of the cycle is  $^{=}$  0:9945j ih j+ 0:0040j+ ih+ j+  $_{err}$ , where  $_{\rm err}$  is the probability ( 0.15%) of the system remaining in the trion states. The simulation included reasonable estim ates of the decay of the trion and the cavity modes by emitting photons into free-space EM modes. Depending on the polarization of the emitted free-space photon, the trion state relaxes to di erent spin states, which is the main source of the writing error (0:4%). The multi-photon cavity states were included in the num erical calculation, as they renorm alize the AC Stark shift (the real excitation of multi-photon states is negligible due to the o -resonance condition). Inclusion of up to 3-photon states was found su cient to obtain converged results.

The tem poral control of the nanodot-vacuum coupling is provided by the designed shaping of the pump and tipping pulses. The X -polarized AC Stark pulse has an alm ost-square pro le as

$$p_{p}(t) = pe^{i_{p}t} [erf(p(t t_{1})) erf(p(t t_{2}))];$$

[see Fig. 2 (b)]. The spectral width (  $_{\rm p}$  = 0:354 m eV) is set much smaller than the detuning (  $_{\rm p}$  is 5.5 m eV below the j i! J<sup>+</sup> + i transition), so that the e ect due to non-adiabatic switch-on and o is negligible (the error < 0:15%). For the parameters in Fig. 2, the trion state J<sup>+</sup> + i and the cavity state j ;C i are brought into resonance when the pump strength (2  $_{\rm p}$ ) reaches the value 1.21 m eV. As the pump pulse maintains the resonant cavity-dot tunnelling which facilitates the photon escape to the quantum channel, the trion state relaxes very fast (on the time-scale of 10 ps). A duration of the pump pulse t<sub>2</sub> t<sub>1</sub> = 70 ps is found su cient for the total dissipation of the photon. The tipping pulse ideally should





FIG. 2: (a) Detailed optical process for spin initialization. The grey curves are the energies of di erent states versus the Rabifrequency of the AC Stark pulse. The energies of the cavity modes and the trion states are measured from the central frequency of the AC Stark pulse. (b) The Rabi frequencies of the AC Stark pulse and the tipping pulse (am pli ed by a factor 5), and the sweeping frequency of the tipping pulse. (c) Probabilities of spin down and up. Di erent steps of the writing cycle, indicated by 1-4, are distinguished by shadowed areas in (b) and (c). The parameters used are as follows: The Zeem an splitting of the spin  $!_c = 1 \text{ meV}$ , the cavity-trion coupling  $g_{cav} = 0:1 \text{ meV}$ , the cavity-ber coupling = 0:2meV, the detuning of the cavity state j ;C i from the trion state JT + i is = 0.5 m eV, the damping rate of trions = 1 eV, the cavity-free space loss rate  $^{0} = 0.045$  eV (corresponding to Q 3 10'), and the dipole matrix element of the cavity mode is 0.3 times that of the trion state.

be a -pulse for Rabi-oscillation between  $\frac{1}{1}$  i and  $\frac{1}{1}$  + i. Due to the dynam ical nature of the states (dressed by the AC Stark pulse) and the rather sm all polariton splitting ( 0:1 m eV), a perfect -rotation requires an extrem ely long pulse. The solution is to shape a chirped pulse as  $t(t) = te^{i(t) i t}$  sech  $(t(t t_t))$  with the phase sweeping rate -(t) = $_{c}$  tanh ( $_{t}$  (t  $t_{t}$ )) [12]. The frequency of the pulse now will sweep from \_ above \_ to c below. W hen the central frequency t is tuned in between the split polaritons and the sweeping range covers both states, the initial spin state j+ i will be left adiabatically in a superposition of the two polariton states, both of which relax rapidly to the target spin state j i. In simulation, the tipping pulse, with frequency sweeping range  $_{\rm c}$  = 0.4 meV, strength  $_{\rm t}$  = 0.2 meV, and duration 1 = t = 6:58 ps, ips the spin state j+ i to the polariton states with negligible error.

A mere switch of the polarizations of the tipping and

FIG.3: (a) Detailed optical process for spin readout. (b) The R abi frequencies of the AC Stark pulse and the tipping pulse (am pli ed by a factor 10). (c) Probabilities of spin down, spin up, and photon emission, for a spin initially polarized up. Dierent steps of the reading cycle, indicated by 1-4, are distinguished by shadowed ares in (b) and (c). The parameters are the same as in Fig.2.

pum p pulses from (Y;X) to (X;Y), respectively, changes the \w rite" operation to a \read" one. The reading cycle, as illustrated by Fig. 3 (a), includes four basic steps: (1) An X -polarized tipping pulse ips the spin state j+ i to the trion state JI i; (2) A Y-polarized AC Stark pulse adiabatically switched on drives the trion state into resonance with the cavity state j+; C i; (3) The trion state resonantly tunnels into the cavity state and relaxes rapidly back to the spin state *j* i, leaving a photon em itted into the quantum channel; (4) The AC Stark pulse is adiabatically switched o . Suppose that the spin state to be read is +i+j i and the channel is initially in the vacuum state joi. The read process will ideally transform the system into the entangled state j+ ijli+ j ijDi (where jli denotes the photon wave packet em itted into the ber), so the spin state can be read out by m easuring the photon number state with a photon detector. The photon counting doesn't disturb a spin eigenstate, providing a QND measurement of the spin.

Note that the pulse tim ing for reading is di erent from that for writing [see Fig. 3 (b)]. The reading sequence has been designed to m inim ize the real excitation of the multi-photon states, while the writing sequence has been designed to m inim ize the em ission of free-space photons by the trion states. In reading, the Rabi ip process is between steady states and the transition is well separated from the others, the pulse-shaping trick is unnecessary, so the tipping pulse is assumed the sim ple G aussian form  $t(t) = te^{-t(t-t_t)^2 = 2 - t - t}$  with optical area equal . The AC Stark pulse is chosen Y -polarized to avoid direct excitation of the cavity mode.

The reading cycle has been num erically simulated for the same structure as in Fig. 2. The tipping and the AC Stark pulses are set such that 1 = t = 2.19 ps, t = 0.192 meV, t is in resonant with the j i ! jT i transition, p = 0.707 meV, 2p = 2.08 meV, p is 5.5 meV below the j i ! jT i transition, and the duration of the pump pulse is  $t_2$   $t_1 = 50$  ps. A fler a single cycle of reading, an initial state  $\uparrow_0 = j + ih + j$  results in the nal state  $\uparrow_1 = 0.0161j$  in j + 0.9824j + ih + j + $\uparrow_{err}$  with probability 0.9806 of having a photon em itted into the quantum channel [see Fig. 3 (c)], while an initial state  $\uparrow_0 = j$  ih j results in the nal state  $\uparrow_1 = 0.9955j$  ih  $j + 0.0040j + ih + j + \uparrow_{err}$  with probability 0.0015 of having a photon em itted into the quantum channel (not shown). The photon em itted into the

ber can be detected with high e ciency [30]. If the detector has zero dark-count rate and e ciency of 50%, the POVM operators for the reading process are de-

ned as  $\hat{P}$  0:9992j ih j+ 0:5097j+ ih+ j and  $\hat{P}_+$ 0:0008j ih j+ 0:4903j+ ih+ j. W ithin 5 reading cycles, the spin state can be read out with accuracy higher than 97%, and the back-action noise to the spin is less than 10%, while the time duration is less than 0.4 ns, much shorter than the spin decoherence time.

In summary, the coupling between the EM vacuum and nanodots can be custom ized both by spatially assem bling micro-resonators and quantum channels in the vicinity of the dot and by tem poral design of the control optical pulses. Such a control can be em ployed for ultrafast initialization and QND readout of a single electron spin in a doped nanodot. The readout and initialization schemes, proposed here for a speci c ber-microsphere structure, can be implemented in alternative systems such as etched waveguide-resonator structures on sem iconductor surfaces [7, 8] and line- and point-defects engineered in photonic crystals [10]. Though high-Q cavity has been assumed in numerical simulations of the initialization and readout operations, e ciency reduction resulting from lower Q values can be tolerated by recycling the operations for a few times. The schemes proposed here may also be adapted to monitor and control the spin state of a single molecule adsorbed to a cavity- ber structure [31].

This work was supported by ARDA/ARO DAAD19-02-1-0183, NSF DMR-0099572, and QuIST/AFOSR F49620-01-1-0497.

- [1] E.M. Purcell, Phys. Rev. 69, 681 (1946).
- [2] W .E.Lamb, Jr., Rep. Progr. Phys. 14, 19 (1951).

- [4] E.Yablonovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2059 (1987).
- [5] S.M. Spillane, T.J.K ippenberg, O.J.Painter, and K.J. Vahala, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 043902 (2003).
- [6] X.D.Fan, P.Palinginis, S.Lacey, H.L.W ang, and M.C. Lonergan, Opt.Lett. 25, 1600 (2000).
- [7] D. K. Armani, T. J. K ippenberg, S. M. Spillane, and K. J. Vahala, Nature 421, 925 (2003).
- [8] S.C. Hagness, S.T. Ho, and A. Ta ove, J. Lightwave Technology 15, 2154 (1997).
- [9] M. Pelton, C. Santori, J. Vuckovic, B. Zhang, G. S. Solom on, J. Plant, and Y. Yam am oto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 233602 (2002).
- [10] Y. Akahane, T. Asano, B.-S. Song, and S. Noda, Nature 425, 944 (2003).
- [11] H.B.Chan, V.A.Aksyuk, R.N.K leim an, D.J.Bishop, and F.Capasso, Science 291, 1941 (2001).
- [12] D.Goswami, Phys. Report 374, 385 (2003).
- [13] X. Li, Y. Wu, D. Steel, D. Gammon, T. H. Stievater, D.Katzer, D.S.Park, C. Pierm arocchi, and L.J.Sham, Science 301, 809 (2003).
- [14] C. Pierm arocchi, P. Chen, L. J. Sham, and D. G. Steel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 167402 (2002).
- [15] P.Chen, C.Pierm arocchi, L.J.Sham, D.G am mon, and D.G.Steel, Phys. Rev. B 69, 075320 (2003).
- [16] D. Loss and D. P. D iV incenzo, Phys. Rev. A 57, 120 (1998).
- [17] A. Im am oglu, D. D. Aw schalom, G. Burkard, D. P. D i-V incenzo, D. Loss, M. Sherw in, and A. Sm all, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4204 (1999).
- [18] M. Xiao, I. Martin, E. Yablonovitch, and H. W. Jiang, Nature 430, 435 (2004).
- [19] H.-A. Engel, V. Golovach, D. Loss, L. M. K. Vandersypen, J. M. Elzerm an, R. Hanson, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, cond-m at/0309023 (2003).
- [20] R. Ioniciou and A. E. Poescu, quant-ph/0310047 (2003).
- [21] J. M. Elzerman, R. Hanson, L. H. W. van Beveren, B.W itkamp, L.M. K. Vandersypen, and L.P. Kouwenhoven, Nature 430, 431 (2004).
- [22] M. Friesen, C. Tahan, R. Joynt, and M. A. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 037901 (2004).
- [23] F. Jelezko, T. Gaebel, I. Popa, A. Gruber, and J.W rachtrup, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 076401 (2004).
- [24] A. Shabaev, A. L. Efros, D. Gammon, and I. A. Merkulov, Phys. Rev. B 68, 201305 (R) (2003).
- [25] P.W. Shor, in Proceedings of the 37th Annual Symposium on Foundations of C om puter Sciences (IEEE press, Burlington, Vermont, 1996), pp. 56{65.
- [26] D. D iV incenzo, Fortschr. Der Physik 48, 771 (2000).
- [27] R.-B. Liu et al., unpublished (2004).
- [28] P.W. Shor, in Proceedings of the 35th Annual Sym posium on Foundations of Computer Sciences, edited by E.S. Goldwasser (IEEE press, LosA lam itos, California, 1994), pp. 124{134.
- [29] W .Yao, R.B.Liu, and L.J.Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 217402 (2004).
- [30] S. Takeuchi, J. K in, Y. Yam am oto, and H. H. Hogue, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 1063 (1999).
- [31] J.W rachtnup, C. von Borczyskowski, J.Bernard, M.Orrit, and R.Brown, Nature 363, 244 (1993).