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#### Abstract

The present resonant x-ray scattering from each of monoclinically-split single domains of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ has critically enhanced contrast between $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ ions strong enough to lead to unambiguous conclusion of the charge-order pattern of its low-temperature phase below $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}$. The zig-zag type charge-order patterns in the $a b$-plane previously confirmed have four kinds of configurations ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) and the stacking sequence along the $c$-axis is determined as the AAA'A' type by comparison with model calculations. By assigning the A and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ configurations to Ising spins, one can reasonably understand the previously discovered "devil's staircase"-type behavior with respect to the modulation of the layer-stacking sequences at high pressures and low temperatures, which very well resembles the global phase diagram theoretically predicted by the ANNNI model.


PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 61.10.-i

Since the discovery of the spin-Peierls-like phase transition of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}$ [1], its low-temperature structure has been a controversial question. $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is well described by a system of quarter-filled two-leg spin ladders [2, 3, 4], running along the $b$-axis of its orthorhombic structure above $T_{\mathrm{c}}(a=11.3, b=3.65, c=$ $4.8 \AA$ ). All vanadium ions have a nominal valence state of $+4.5\left(\mathrm{~V}^{4.5+}\right)$ at room temperature; one electron is distributed on one $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{V}$ rung parallel to the $a$-axis. At $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ undergoes a novel cooperative phase transition associated with its charge disproportionation as $2 \mathrm{~V}^{4.5+} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{4+}($ spin state $\mathrm{S}=1 / 2)+\mathrm{V}^{5+}(\mathrm{S}=0)$ [5], lattice dimerization as indexed by a $2 a \times 2 b \times 4 c$ supercell [6] and spin-gap formation $(\Delta=9.8 \mathrm{meV})$ [6, 7]. The primal analysis of its low-temperature structure based on the space group $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}^{18}$-Fmm2 [8, 9] suggested three different electronic states of the V sites, the charge-ordered $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$, $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ and disordered $\mathrm{V}^{4.5+}$. However, such a charge distribution is incompatible with experimental results by ${ }^{51} \mathrm{~V}$ NMR 5] and resonant x-ray scattering (RXS) measurements 10]. Furthermore, ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ NMR spectral measurements 11] showed eight independent Na sites, in contrast to only six Na sites led by the space group Fmm2. Thus, the low temperature (LT) structure and its related charge distribution (charge-order pattern) of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ have long been a hot issue. In 2002, Sawa et al. succeeded in observation of Bragg peak splitting below $T_{c}$, leading to the fact that the LT phase is monoclinic 12, 13]. It was then determined by them that the LT monoclinic unit cell is constructed as $(a-b) \times 2 b \times 4 c$ with the space group
$\mathrm{C}_{2}^{3}$-A112 as shown in Fig. 11 By taking into account two kinds of monoclinically-split domains, they obtained a completely different structure from the previously conjectured one with the Fmm2. The bond valence sum method applied to the new structure results in that the V sites are clearly categorized into two groups as the charge-ordered $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ with a zig-zag pattern in a ladder as seen in Fig. 1 where a $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ pyramid containing $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ is colored dark while one for $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ bright. The most left two $\mathrm{VO}_{5}$ pyramid linkage shared with each corner forms a ladder running along the $b$-axis. Thus obtained LT structure is consistent with the previous resonant x-ray [10] and NMR [5, 11] data, in striking contrast to the structure previously reported, which included the disordered $\mathrm{V}^{4.5+}$ sites 8, 9. Figure 1 also shows four kinds of chargeorder patterns in the $a b$ plane (called A, A', B and B') possibly obtained from the charge-ordering process upon phase transition in which one electron equally shared by two V ions in a rung above $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ is localized at either of two V ions below $T_{\mathrm{c}}$. In the A pattern, for example, the $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ is located at each rung within a ladder in a zig-zag manner. All ladders have such a zig-zag charge-order but the relationship between two adjacent ladders causes four kinds of patterns in a single layer as displayed. Since the layer-stacking direction along the $c$-axis becomes quadruple below $T_{\mathrm{c}}$, the sequence of these four kinds of layers is a central issue to be solved for explaining all of the observed physical properties, consistently. Recently Sawa et al. 12] carried out an x-ray diffraction experiment to collect intensity data from a mixed domain sample and to


FIG. 1: The zig-zag type charge-order pattern with respect to configuration of the $\mathrm{V}^{4+} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (black) and $\mathrm{V}^{5+} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (white) pyramids laying in the ab-plane previously confirmed 12, 13]. There are four kinds of possible in-plane configurations as denoted as A, A', B and B'. Dotted and solid lines represent the crystallographic unit cells, orthorhombic ( $a \times b \times c$ ) above $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}$ and monoclinic ( $a_{\mathrm{m}} \times b_{\mathrm{m}} \times c_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) below Tc.
analyze them based on the monoclinic symmetry. Thus they reported that the $A B A$ ' $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ stacking sequence gave the best fit to the observation. However, there is another candidate for a possible stacking sequence as $\mathrm{AAA}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ which also satisfies the space group A112. It should be noted that in the domain-averaged intensity-data analysis, the intensity for a combination of the sequences $A B A{ }^{\prime} B^{\prime}+B A B ' A '$ with an equal domain distribution is found to be the same as that of $\mathrm{AAA}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}+\mathrm{BBB}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$. This fact means that a unique structure cannot be obtained unless intensity data is collected from a monoclinic single domain. Such a problem was also pointed out by Grenier et al. who made the RXS study with domain averaged data 14]. In spite of such intensive experimental studies for last several years, however, the LT structure and charge-order pattern of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ have not been determined satisfactorily. Once a single domain is avail-


FIG. 2: Observed peak-splitting of the 020 fundamental Bragg reflection directly evidences the orthorhombic-tomonoclinic phase transition at $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}$. The splitting angle $0.07^{\circ}$ is consistent with the previous reports [12, 13]. The integrated intensity ratio of the monoclinically-split domains 1 and 2 gives their volume ratio of 55:45.
able and the charge contrast between $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ is observable enough near the absorption edge of V ion, it should be easy to distinguish between the stacking sequences $A B A$ 'B' and $A A A$ 'A'. In this paper, we have reported unambiguously determined charge-order pattern based on our RXS experiments by using each of two kinds of monoclinically-split single domains.

The RXS measurements were performed by synchrotron x-rays at beam lines BL-4C and 9C at Photon Factory of KEK. Incident x-rays were monochromatized with a $\operatorname{Si}(111)$ double crystal monochromator. X-ray energy was varied across the V K-absorption-edge (5.47 keV ) which was calibrated with the absorption edge of a $V$ metal foil. A very small single crystal of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with a size of $58 \times 92 \times 36 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}(a \times b \times c)$ grown by the same method as previously reported [1, 15] was mounted on a diamond sample holder [16] by using a very small amount of silicone grease so as not to apply any physical stress. Its $c$-axis was set perpendicular to the diamond surface

Figure 2 shows the peak profiles of the 020 fundamental Bragg reflection taken with $E_{\mathrm{i}}=5.453 \mathrm{keV}$ below and above $T_{\mathrm{c}}, 7 \mathrm{~K}$ and 37 K , respectively. In this paper, indexing of reflection is based on the orthorhombic lattice above $T_{\mathrm{c}}$. As previously reported 12, only b-axis in the orthorhombic phase monoclinically moves while both $a$ - and $b$-axes retain their directions. Therefore, one can see the peak splitting associated with such an


FIG. 3: Observed energy spectra of superlattice reflections $\frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{4}$, coming from domain 1 (upper) and domain 2 (lower). The dotted data show the observed energy spectra while solid and broken curves represent the calculated intensities based on $A A A^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ and $A B A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ sequences, respectively. An inset in the upper panel shows the monoclinic splitting of the superlattice reflections which made the present RXS possible to unambiguously determine the charge-order pattern in the LT phase.
orthorhombic-to-monoclinic phase transition. The monoclinic peak splitting resulting from two domains (hereafter called domain 1 and domain 2) starts at $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ with the evolution of the splitting with decreasing temperature. The splitting angle is almost saturated to $\Delta \omega=0.07^{\circ}$ at the lowest temperature which is consistent with the previous reports 12, 13]. The ratio of integrated intensities between domains 1 and 2 directly gave a volume ratio of the two domains as about 55:45 in the present experiment.

Superlattice reflections also showed such a monoclinic splitting so that their energy spectra were measured for each of two domains as a function of incident x-ray energy $\left(E_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ across the K-absorption edge. The constraint of the present diffraction geometry allowed us to access to the following nine superlattice reflections, $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{\overline{1}}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{4}$, where the en-
ergy spectra were measured for each of both domains 1 and 2 at 7 K . Figure 3 displays such an energy spectrum of the $\frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{4}$ (domain 1) and the $-\frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{4}$ (domain 2) [17]. Dots in the upper and lower panels represent the experimental data for domains 1 and 2 , respectively. One can clearly see remarkably different energy spectra between two domains. The inset in the upper panel of Fig. 3 shows the monoclinic splitting of this superlattice reflection measured at $E_{\mathrm{i}}=5.47 \mathrm{keV}$ where the most distinct difference between two domains was observed due to the critically enhanced contrast between $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ near the absorption edge. A systematic measurement was also made on other eight superlattice reflections.

In order to compare these observed energy spectra with calculations, we carried out the model calculation for the AAA 'A' and $A B A$ 'B' stacking sequences. The present structure factor calculation was based on the results of the structural analysis performed by Sawa et al. 12] and Ninomiya 13]. We used the same anomalous scattering factor $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ and f " of $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{5+}$ ions as well as absorption factor as those used by Nakao et al. 10, 18] Only two parameters, i.e., a scaling factor and an extinction correction parameter, were adjustable in the calculation. The Lorentz, temperature, and absorption factors were also taken into account.

Solid and dotted curves in Fig. 3 represent the calculated results based on the $\mathrm{AAA}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{ABA}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ sequences, respectively. One can notice that the AAA'A' model reproduces the present observation much better than ABA'B'. In particular, the AAA'A' model very well reproduces the critical enhancement of the intensity on domain 1 and the weak intensity on domain 2 . We also calculated the energy spectra of each domain of the other eight superlattice reflections and three fundamental reflections. All calculated spectra agree with observation very well and systematically. This fact leads to the unambiguous conclusion that the charge-order at the low temperature phase of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is the $\mathrm{AAA}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ' sequences along the $c$-axis.

It is essentially important that such obtained sequence AAA'A' simply consists of only two states A and A'. There is a striking experimental fact recently found in $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ which may support the $A A A$ 'A' model. Ohwada et al. discovered the "devil's staircase"-type behavior of the phase diagram of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at high pressures and low temperatures 19, 20]. The "devil's staircase"-type behavior is originally derived from a well-known theoretical ANNNI (Axial Next Nearest Neighbor Ising) model [21] forming a simple cubic lattice with Ising spins at each corner. Spins laying on the (001) plane are arranged ferromagnetically while along the [001] direction the first nearest neighbor inter-layer interaction is ferromagnetic $\left(J_{1} \geq 0\right)$ and the second nearest one is antiferromagnetic $\left(J_{2} \leq 0\right)$. These competitive interactions cause a frustration. This model surprisingly produces various types of higher-order commensurate phases with various types of
spin modulations along the [001] layer-stacking direction as functions of temperature $(T)$ and the interaction ratio $-J_{2} / J_{1}=\kappa$. For example, the phases with stacking modulations $q=0,1 / 4,1 / 5$ and $1 / 6$ have spin configurations along the [001] direction as $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \cdots$ (all up configuration), $\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \cdots$ (up-up-down-down), $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \cdots$ (up-up-up-downdown) and $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \cdots$ (up-up-up-down-down-down), respectively. The temperature-pressure phase diagram previously observed in $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ [19, 20] very well resembles the $T-\kappa$ global phase diagram of the ANNNI model. It is quite reasonable to understand that the low-temperature structure with the AAA'A' sequence determined by the present RXS experiment corresponds to the phase of $q=$ $1 / 4$ with $\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$ configuration of the ANNNI model. The previously observed other phases with wave vectors $q_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $1 / 5,1 / 6$ and 0 are also easily understood by the stacking sequences $A A A A^{\prime} A^{\prime} \cdot \cdots$, AAAA'A'A'... and AAAA. . . , respectively.

By applying the RXS method to a monoclinically-split domain of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, we have succeeded in unambiguous determination of the charge-order pattern of its lowtemperature phase below $T_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{~K}$. Observed energy spectra of nine sets of superlattice reflections show an excellent agreement with the calculation based on AAA'A' model and rule out the $A B A$ 'B' model. Such an experimental fact that a combination of only two states of A and A' forms the low-temperature phase offers a clue to understand the devil's staircase type behavior found in the pressure-temperature phase diagram of $\mathrm{NaV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with an aid of the ANNNI model. Further interesting issue is a microscopic mechanism for the AAA'A' sequence stabilized by a delicate balance between the first neighbor ferro-interaction favoring the A-A configuration and the second neighbor antiferro-one favoring A-A' causing frustration.
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