Role of doped holes on a U (1) spin liquid

Ki-Seok Kim

Korea Institute of Advanced Study, Secul 130-012, Korea (D ated: D ecem ber 27, 2021)

We investigate a role of doped holes on a U (1) spin liquid. Utilizing an elective Lagrangian in the staggered ux phase of a SU (2) slave boson theory for high T_c cuprates, we show that the doped holes (holons) can result in a zero mode of a nodal ferm ion (spinon) in a single instanton potential. Thus instanton excitations are suppressed. As a consequence decon nement of spinons and holons is expected to occur at T = 0 K in underdoped superconducting phase. Further, an instanton in the presence of the ferm ion zero mode induces a ferm ion mass. The mass results in antiferrom agnetism (AFM). Thus the AFM of the nodal ferm ions is expected to occur st with the d wave superconductivity (dSC) in underdoped cuprates. Despite the coexistence the AFM has little e ect on the dSC. As a result a superconductor to insulator transition in underdoped region is found to fall in the XY universality class.

PACS num bers: 74.20 M n, 73.43 N q, 11.10 K k

Recently Senthil and Lee claim ed that physics of the pseudogap (PG) phase is described by a U(1) spin liguid (U1SL)[1, 2]. They conjectured that hole doping to a non-magnetic Mott insulator resulting from an antiferrom agnetic M ott insulator causes high T_c superconductivity [1]. In the scenario [1] the non-m agnetic Mott insulator is considered as a U1SL described by m assless D irac ferm ions (spinons carrying only spin $\frac{1}{2}$) interacting via non-com pact U (1) gauge elds. In this context the PG phase as a non-m agnetic M ott insulator is described by the UISL. Hole (represented as holons carrying only electric charge + e) doping to the U1SL leads to the superconductivity. When the holons are condensed, the superconducting (SC) state emerges. This SC state is considered as the Higgs-con nem ent phase in the context of a gauge theory [3]. Internally charged particles such as spinons and holons are con ned to form internal charge neutral particles such as electrons. Senthil and Lee also considered an unhappy case when the PG phase is not described by the U1SL owing to instanton excitations[1]. Even in this case they claim ed that a "Mott transition" critical point between the PG insulating state and the SC phase can be considered as a decon ned quantum critical point [4] and the quantum critical point can be described by the U1SL. This is because the instanton excitations can be suppressed by critical uctuations of the holons[1]. As a result large region of the PG phase is considered in a quantum critical phase associated with the UISL. In both cases the U1SL is expected to describe the PG phase.

In this paper we revisit the problem of hole doping to the U1SL.We show that doped holes (holons) can result in a zero mode to a massless D irac ferm ion (spinon) in a single instanton potential. As a result instanton excitations are suppressed. D econ nement of the spinons and holons is expected to occur at T = 0 K in underdoped SC phase. Further, an instanton in the presence of the ferm ion zero mode induces a ferm ion mass. The mass results in antiferrom agnetism (AFM). Thus the AFM of the nodal ferm ions is expected to coexist with the d wave superconductivity (dSC) in underdoped cuprates. Despite the coexistence the AFM has little e ect on the dSC. As a result a superconductor to insulator transition in underdoped region is found to fall in the XY universality class.

The problem of hole doping to the U1SL is exam ined in the context of a SU (2) slave boson theory developed by Lee, W en, and cow orkers [5]

$$Z = D_{i}Dh_{i}DU_{ij}Da_{0,i}^{k}e^{\prod_{0}^{k}dL};$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} X_{i} (@ ia_{0,i}^{k})_{i}$$

$$\frac{J_{eff}}{2} X_{i,j>} X_{i} U_{ij} + h\pi;$$

$$X + h_{i}^{y}(@ ia_{0,i}^{k})h_{i}$$

$$i X + t_{eff} h_{i}^{y}U_{ij}h_{j} + h\pi;$$

$$(1)$$

Here $i = \frac{p_1}{2}$ f_i is a SU (2) spinor of spinons

b_{li} ij , that of holons. U $_{\rm ij}$ = ij and $h_i =$ b_{2i} ij ij is an order parameter matrix, where i_{j} is a hopping order parameter and ij, a d wave pairing order param eter. $a_{0,i}^k$ is utilized to guarantee the single occupancy constraint in the SU (2) slave boson theory [5]. This can be considered as a time component of SU (2) gauge elds. Jeff and teff result from the Heisenberg coupling and hopping terms in the t J Ham iltonian, respectively. This Lagrangian has a local SU (2) sym m etry under SU (2) rotations of 0_i = W ${}_i$ ${}_i$, h^0_i = W ${}_ih_i$ and $U_{ij}^{0} = W_{i}U_{ij}W_{j}^{Y}$. Here W_{i} is an element of SU (2) group. The local SU (2) symmetry originates from the symmetry of a spin " spinon and a spin # anti-spinon at half lling [6]. As a result of the SU (2) sym metry many U (1) ground states [7] such as a staggered ux phase, d wave pairing state, and rotated states between the two are degenerate [5]. Wen and Lee extended this symmetry away from half lling. In underdoped region the U (1) ground states are expected to remain nearly degenerate. Thus it is necessary to visit these U (1) states in order to calculate a free energy. Various U (1) states are represented by various order parameterm atrixes U_{ij} . O ur aim is achieved by including phase uctuations of the order parameters. In this SU (2) representation phase uctuations of the order parameters can be easily taken via introduction of the holon doublets [5].

Following W en and Lee, we consider the staggered ux phase as an ansatz for the PG state. This phase is represented by a special order parameter matrix U_{ij}^{SF} = A $^{3}\exp[i(1)^{i+j}]_{0}^{3}$] with $_{0} = A \cos_{0}$ and $_{0} =$ A sin $_{0}$ [5]. Here $_{0}$ and $_{0}$ are saddle point values of the hopping and pairing order parameters. In this representation the SU (2) gauge sym m etry is reduced to U (1) sym metry. We consider SU (2) gauge uctuations which represent phase uctuations of the order param eters beyond the mean eld level. Then it's su cient to consider only one massless component of the SU (2) gauge elds in the low energy lim it [5]. a^1 and a^2 become massive via the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. Only the third com ponent a^3 of the SU (2) gauge eld remains massless. As a result a low energy e ective Lagrangian is proposed to be in the staggered ux phase [5]

$$Z = D D z D a^{3} e^{-R} d^{3} xL;$$

$$L = (0 \frac{i}{2} a^{3-3}) + \frac{1}{2g} j(0 \frac{i}{2} a^{3-3}) z f + V(z) + G^{k} z^{y-k} z + \frac{1}{2e_{in}^{2}} f = a^{3} f : (2)$$

Here is a 4 component massless D irac ferm ion with an isospin index = 1;2, and z, a phase eld of the holon doublet. V (z) is an e ective potential for easy plane anisotropy, resulting from contributions of high energy ferm ions [5]. g^1 x is a phase sti ness of the holon eld with hole concentration x, and G x, a coupling constant between the spinon and holon isospins. $^{\rm k}$ acts on the SU(2) isospin space. ${\rm e}_{\rm in}$ is an e ective internal charge. In the staggered ux phase the spinon has a massless relativistic spectrum near the nodal points and thus the massless Dirac Lagrangian is obtained [5]. An e ective Lagrangian for the holon eld is given by the non-linear model with the easy plane anisotropy [5]. Here anisotropy in the phase sti ness of space and time components [5] is neglected. Consideration of the anisotropy does not seem to be important in our decon nem ent problem . A coupling between the spinon and holon isospins is expected to result from gauge interactions m ediated by the time component of the SU (2) gauge elds. Sim ilar consideration can be found in Ref. [5]. This interaction leads to short range interactions between the isospins. Thus ferm ion-ferm ion, boson-boson, and ferm ion-boson isospin interactions are expected. The two previous ones are not considered in this paper because they are expected to be not important for instanton physics. But more detailed investigation for these interactions is required. Only the interaction between the spinon and holon isospins is taken. A swill be seen below, this residual interaction plays an important role on instanton excitations. P resence of this isospin interaction results in a ferm ion zerom ode in a single instanton potential. The kinetic energy of the gauge eld results from particle-hole excitations of high energy quasiparticles.

Now we consider an instanton excitation. In the absence of hole doping (or extrem ely underdoping) an e ective eld theory is given by $Q \ge D_3$. In the large N lim it with the avornum ber N $Q \ge D_3$ is known to have a conform ally invariant critical xed point[2]. Here ele et al. claim ed that at this xed point instanton excitations are irrelevant and thus compactness of the gauge eld, irrelevant, too. As a consequence decon nem ent of the spinons is expected to occur[2]. This decon ned quantum critical phase is described by the m assless D irac ferm ions interacting via the non-compact U (1) gauge eld. This phase is the U (1) spin liquid (U ISL) as discussed in the introduction. The U ISL is a candidate for a non-m agnetic M ott insulator.

Next we consider hole doping to the UISL. Doped holes are represented by the holons and the e ective eld theory for the holons is given by the non-linear model as discussed earlier. For the time being we consider only the non-linear model. In the non-linear model an instanton is represented by a hedgehog con guration (usually dubbed skymion) of the isospins[4]. In the context of quantum antiferrom agnets the non-linear model was recently revisited by several groups [4, 8, 9]. It is known that bosonic spinons are con ned in both the Neel order and valance bond solid order (VBS)[4]. The Neel state is described by condensation of the spinons. This phase corresponds to the Higgs-con nem ent phase in the context of a gauge theory [3]. The spinons are con ned to form a meson (here, magnon). The VBS state is described by condensation of instantons in the presence of Berry phase [4]. This makes the spinons disordered. This phase corresponds to the con nem ent phase [3]. A spinonantispinon bound state, an exciton em erges. There is no decon nem ent of the spinons in both phases. How ever, it was argued that the Berry phase causes destructive interference for the instanton excitations and this can make the instanton excitations irrelevant at the quantum criticalpoint between the Neelorder and VBS order[4]. As a result decon nem ent of the bosonic spinons is expected to occur at the quantum critical point. This claim is difcult to apply to the present case because there is no Berry phase term in the Lagrangian Eq. (2). Recently the present author claim ed that in the absence of the

Berry phase the decon nement can occur at the quantum critical point[8]. In the study [8] the author showed that the quantum critical point is governed by the XY xed point in the case of N = 2 Abelian Higgs model which is an elective action for the quantum antiferromagnet with the easy plane anisotropy. Here N is the avor number of the bosonic spinons. At the XY xed point an elective magnetic charge is expected to be in nite. Thus the instanton excitations are suppressed. In the present case the elective Lagrangian of the holon eld with the easy plane anisotropy is also given by the N = 2 Abelian Higgs model. Thus the decon nement of

N = 2 A belian Higgs model. Thus the decon nement of the holons are expected to occur at the quantum critical point in association with a superconductor to insulator transition driven by holon condensation.

W eask a role of the massless D irac ferm ions on the decon nem ent of the non-linear model. Presence of the m assless D irac ferm ions strengthens the decon nem ent tendency at the quantum critical point. Thus the U1SL is still expected to appear at the superconducting critical point. But in this paper we focus on a region away from the quantum critical point, especially the SC phase. In the PG phase the holons are massive and thus they can be safely integrated out. As a result usual M axwell kinetic energy of the internal gauge eld is obtained. The low energy e ective eld theory is obtained to be $Q \in D_3$. P hysics associated with the QED $_3$ is well discussed in Ref. [1, 2] and brie y introduced in earlier parts. Thus we focus on the SC phase. As discussed earlier, the SC state has been considered as the Higgs-con nem ent phase [3]. But we show that the underdoped SC state is not the Higgs-con nem ent phase but the decon nem ent phase. As will be seen below, the coupling to the holons results in a zero mode to the massless D irac ferm ion in the presence of a single instanton potential. As a consequence the instanton excitations are killed by the ferm ion zero mode. Decon nem ent in the underdoped SC phase is expected to occur.

In order to show existence of the ferm ion zero m ode, we solve an equation of motion in the presence of a single monopole potential $a^{3,int}$ and corresponding hedgehog con guration $I_k^{int} = \frac{1}{2}z^{y-k}z$ [10]

$$(0 \quad \frac{i}{2}a^{3;int 3}) + 2GI_{k}^{int k} = E \quad (3)$$

This equation of motion is similar to that in Ref. [11]. In SU (2) gauge theory of massless D irac fermions and adjoint Higgs elds interacting via SU (2) gauge elds, Jackiw and Rebbi showed that a D irac equation coupled to isospins of the Higgs elds has a fermion zero mode in a magnetic monopole potential[11]. A main di erence between our study and the previous one is that only one massless component a³ is considered in our case. If only the third component of the SU (2) gauge eld is admitted in the previous work [11], the equation of motion is the sam e as ours. Following Jackiw and Rebbi, we can prove that there exist a fermion zero mode[12]. The coupling between the spinon and holon isospins is very crucial for 3

existence of the zero mode. If the term is ignored, the ferm ion zero mode is not found [13]. Since the coupling constant G is proportional to hole doping x, there exists no ferm ion zero mode at half lling. It is shown that there exists only one zero mode in this case [11, 12]. As a result the instanton excitations in the presence of the ferm ion zero mode lead to a mass term in the e ective Lagrangian [14] for the nodal ferm ion

$$L = (0 \frac{i}{2}a^{3}) + m$$
 (4)

with mass m [14]. Here the internal U (1) gauge eld is now non-com pact ow ing to suppression of the instantons, which results from the ferm ion zero mode. Instanton contribution leads to the mass for the D irac ferm ion owing to the zero mode [14, 15]. The mass corresponds to an antiferrom agnetic m om ent of the nodal ferm ion [13, 16]. This antiferrom agnetism (AFM) is expected to exist in underdoped SC phase. In other words, the AFM of the nodal ferm ions coexists with the d wave superconductivity (dSC) in underdoped cuprates [17]. The mass can be considered as an evidence of the decon nem ent in underdoped SC phase. Thus if the AFM is observed in the dSC, the decon nem ent of the spinons and holons is expected to occur in the phase. M any recent experin ents have reported the coexistence of the AFM and dSC [18]. In summary, without the isospin coupling of the Dirac ferm ion to the holon the ferm ion zero mode is not found [13]. Thus the superconductivity obtained by the holon condensation is considered as the Higgscon nement phase. But owing to the coupling the underdoped SC state is the decon nem ent phase. This is re ected as the coexistence between the AFM % AFM and dSC .

Including the non-linear model with the easy plane anisotropy and performing a standard duality transformation [4], we obtain an elective Lagrangian in the presence of electromagnetic eld A

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L} &= \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{i} \mathbf{0}^{n}) \quad \mathbf{n}^{2} \mathbf{\hat{f}} + \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{i} \mathbf{c}_{\#}) \quad \mathbf{\mu}^{2} \mathbf{\hat{f}} + \nabla (\mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j}^{*} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j}^{*} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \\ &= \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{0}^{n}) \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{c}_{\#}) \mathbf{A} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{c}^{n} \mathbf{\hat{f}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{c}_{\#} \mathbf{\hat{f}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2 \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{in}}^{2}} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{a}^{3} \mathbf{\hat{f}} \quad \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{a}^{3}) \quad (\mathbf{0}^{n} \quad \mathbf{c}_{\#}) \\ &+ \quad (\mathbf{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}^{3} \quad \mathbf{3}) \quad + \mathbf{m} \quad : \qquad (5) \end{split}$$

Here "(#) is a vortex eld with isospin "(#) (an isospin "(#) meron eld)[4] and c_{"(#)}, its corresponding vortex gauge eld mediating interactions between the vortices. V (j "j j #) is an elective potential including vortex mass and self interactions. g^1 x originates from the phase still ness with the hole concentration x. A term y_m ("", "# + "," #) does not exist in our elective Lagrangian, where y_m is an instanton fugacity [4, 8]. An instanton excitation ", "# for the holon elds. But

TABLE I:Scenario

М	I (x <	x _c) QCP	$(x = x_c)$	dSC (x_c <	x)
Case 1 PI	M (U19	SL) PM	(U1SL)	ΑFΜ	
Case 2	ΑFΜ	ΡM	(U1SL)	AFM	

the skyrm ion excitation is suppressed by the ferm ion zero mode originating from the coupling between the spinon and holon isospins. Instead it generates the ferm ion mass resulting in the AFM owing to the ferm ion zero mode.

Since the Dirac fermion elds are massive, they are safely integrated out. As a result the M axwellkinetic energy $L_a = \frac{1}{2e^2} j_{e}^2$ $a^3 j^2$ with $e^2 = \frac{24 \text{ m}}{N}$ [16] is generated. Integrating over the internal gauge eld a^3 , we obtain a mass term for the vortex gauge elds, i.e., $\frac{e_{eff}^2}{2} j_{e}$, $c_{\#} j$ with an elective internal charge $e_{eff}^2 = \frac{e_{in}^2 e^2}{e_{in}^2 + e^2}$. In (2 + 1)D the mass is a relevant parameter in the renormalization group sense. Thus, in the low energy limit, we can set $c_{\#} = c_{eff} - c_{eff}$ and the set internal set is a balance of the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set of the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set is a set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set in the set in the set in the set is a balance of the set in the set is a set in the set is a set in the set in the set in the set in the set is a set in the set in t

$$L_{SC} = j(0 \quad ic) \ "f + j(0 \quad ic) \ "f + V (j \ "j \] \ "j \]$$

$$i2A \ (0 \ c) \ + \frac{1}{2} \ cf: \qquad (6)$$

In the coupling i2A (@ c) an electric charge 2 originates from both the b_1 and b_2 bosons. This 2e electric charge infers that a vortex quantum is $\frac{hc}{2e}$ in the SC state. A lthough the underdoped SC state is argued to be the decon nement phase in the present paper, the vortex quantum is not $\frac{hc}{e}$ but $\frac{hc}{2e}$. This is the vortex (m eron) discussed in Ref. [19]. When the vortices are condensed,

- [1] T. Senthil and Patrick A. Lee, cond-m at/0406066.
- [2] M.Hermele et al., cond-mat/0404751; references therein.
- [3] E. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, phys. Rev. D 19, 3682 (1979).
- [4] T. Senthilet al, Science 303, 1490 (2004); T. Senthilet al, cond-m at/0311326.
- [5] P.A. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. B 57, 6003 (1998); P.A. Lee and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 68, 024516 (2003); J. Kishine et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 064526 (2002).
- [6] I.A eck et al, Phys.Rev.B 38, 745 (1988); E.D agotto et al, Phys.Rev.B (R) 38, 2926 (1988).
- [7] These U (1) ground states are physically equivalent and thus represent one physical state.
- [8] K i-Seok K im , cond-m at/0406511.
- [9] D.Yoshioka et al., cond-m at/0404427.
- [10] L.H.Ryder, Quantum Field Theory (2nd., Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [11] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3398 (1976).
- [12] Following Jackiw and Rebbi[11], one nds that there is one di erence in the time derivative part of the Dirac equation between ours and theirs. Since only the third component a³ is considered in our Lagrangian, the monopole potential is not expected to appear in the time

only M axwell term for the electrom agnetic eld is available. Insulating phase is expected to occur. When the vortices are not condensed, m ass of the electrom agnetic eld appears. As a result superconductivity occurs. This superconductor to insulator transition is expected to fall into the XY universality class. The above holon vortex Lagrangian is dual to the non-linear model with a non-compact U (1) gauge eld in Eq. (2). This Lagrangian was recently studied by the present author using a renorm alization group analysis[8]. In the study the author show ed that the quantum critical point is governed by the stable XY xed point. Thus the superconductor to insulator transition falls into the XY universality class[17]. This seem s to be consistent with experiments for YBCO [20].

W e sum marize two possible scenarios in Table 1. In the table M I denotes M ott insulator, QCP, quantum critical point, and PM, param agnetism . x_c is a critical hole doping. Comparing our scenario with that in Ref. [1] (discussed in the introduction), one can easily notice that the underdoped dSC is dimensity interpreted as discussed in this paper.

To sum marize, we found that the AFM of the nodal ferm ions can coexist with the dSC in agreement with experiments[18]. This is an evidence of a decon ned SC phase in the underdoped cuprates. Both the decon nement and AFM originate from the fact that a ferm ion zero mode exists in a single instanton potential when the ferm ions are coupled to Higgs elds[11]. Despite the coexistence we argue that the superconductor to insulator transition falls into the XY universality class[17] consistent with experiments[20].

derivative part of the equation of motion. As a result the operator de ned in Eq. (A 12b) of the paper[11] does not have a gauge potential in the time derivative part for our case. The space derivative parts remain same. Our nal result corresponding to Eq. (A 13) in the study [11] is obtained to be

$$(\theta + \frac{1}{2}G^{-})g^{+} = 0;$$

$$(\theta_{x} - \frac{1}{2}A\hat{x} + \frac{1}{2}G^{-}\hat{x})g^{+} = 0;$$

$$(\theta_{y} - \frac{1}{2}A\hat{y} + \frac{1}{2}G^{-}\hat{y})g^{+} = 0:$$

Here all quantaties are de ned in the paper[11]. A is a monopole potential and , a hedgehog con guration of the isospins. g^+ is associated with a ferm ion zero mode. The isospins of the holon elds t an instanton potential of the gauge eld and form a hedgehog con guration. The hedgehog con guration of the isospins results in an additional potential to the D irac ferm ion, which originates from the coupling between the spinon and holon isospins. This potential causes the ferm ion zero mode.

[13] J.B.Marston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1166 (1990).

- [14] T. Schaefer and E. Shuryak, Rev. M od. Phys. 70, 323 (1998); references therein.
- [15] Generally, local interactions like ()² are introduced by the instanton excitations in the presence of the ferm ion zero mode[14]. These interactions are irrelevant at the quantum critical point[2]. These local interactions are not considered here.
- [16] D on H.K im and Patrick A.Lee, Annals Phys. 272, 130 (1999).
- [17] K i-Seok K in , Sung-Sik Lee, Jae-H yeon E om , and Sung-H o Suck Salk, cond-m at/0404527.
- [18] J. E. Sonier et al, Science 292, 1692 (2001); Y. Sidis et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4100 (2001); H. A. Mook et al, Phys. Rev. B 64, 012502 (2001); M. H. Julien et al, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144508 (2001); S. O no et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 638 (2000); Ch. N iederm ayer et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3843 (1998).
- [19] P.A.Lee and X.-G.W en, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224517 (2001)
- [20] F.S.Nogueira, Phys.Rev.B 62, 14559 (2000); references therein; D.J.Lee and I.D.Lawrie, Phys.Rev.B 64, 184506 (2001); references therein.