On the equation of state of a dense colum nar liquid crystal

H.H. Wensink

Van 't Ho Laboratory for Physical and Colloid Chemistry, Debye Institute, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands (Dated: March 22, 2024)

An accurate description of a columnar liquid crystal of hard disks at high packing fractions is presented using an improved free-volume theory. It is shown that the orientational entropy of the disks in the one-dimensional uid direction leads to a dimensional high-density scaling pressure compared to the prediction from traditional cell theory. Excellent quantitative agreement is found with recent M onte-C arbo simulation results for various therm odynamic and structural properties of the columnar state.

PACS num bers: 61.30.C z, 61.30.St, 05.20.Jj

The lyotropic columnar liquid crystal state, characterized by a two-dimensional hexagonal stacking of columns each with a liquid-like internal structure, has received considerable attention in recent years both in experim ental colloid science [1, 2] and computer simulations [3, 4]. The recently developed colloidal systems of polymergrafted gibbsite platelets are known to show a rst order phase transition from a spatially hom ogeneous nem atic to an inhom ogeneous colum narphase upon densi cation [2]. The columnar signature of the latter is evidenced by its bright Bragg-re ections for visible light and it has been con med on a more rigorous basis using Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) m easurements [2]. Colloidal platelets constitute an emerging eld of interest and future e orts can be put into reducing their polydispersity and manipulating columnar textures by means of a magnetic eld, both aim ed at making high-quality single-dom ain colum nar structures. These may be candidates for the production of e.g. colloidal photonic crystals [5].

In this Letter we consider a simple but accurate theoretical description inspired by cell theory, which was rst applied to spatially ordered liquid crystals by Taylor, Hentschke and Herzfeld [6, 7]. By incorporating the rotational freedom of the disks into the fram ework we are able to quantizatively account for both them odynam ic and structural properties of the phase along its entire stability range. The theory is shown to be universal, i.e. independent of the generic shape or the length-to-diam eter ratio of the disks. For this reason, the results are expected to be signi cant for ongoing experim ental studies on colloidal platelet system s. In particular, know ledge of the osm otic pressure m ay be useful for analyzing sedim entation experim ents [8] w hereas structural predictions m ay prove helpful for the interpretation of SAXS results on dense system s of platelets [9].

To describe the properties in the two positionally ordered dimensions of the columnar liquid crystal we assume the particles to be conned within discrete com -

partm ents represented by hexagonal tubular cells which form a close-packed structure according to the classical free-volume (\cell") theory [10, 11]. The disks are allowed to take any position within the cell but they may not share the cell with another particle or penetrate an ad jacent one. The cell approach will be com bined with an appropriate description of the one-dimensional uid behaviour along the colum nar direction. We start from the traditionalTonksm odel [12] applied to a linear uid of N hard disks with diam eter D and thickness L whose centres of m ass can m ove freely on a line with length '. Since the disks are allowed to rotate freely around their centres ofm ass, the e ective excluded thickness $\Sigma_{i;j}$ between two adjacent disks i and j is an orientation-dependent quantity, i.e. $L_{i;j}(i; j) > L$, in terms of the solid angle . W eassign x_k to the position of particle k on the line and x the rst and last particle at $x_1 = 0$ and $x_N = '$, respectively. The con gurational integral for this system in the macroscopic lim it L='! 0 is then formally written as

$$Q_{N} = \frac{1}{V^{N} N !} Q_{N}^{or}$$
 ' $L_{tot}(_{1}; ...; _{N})^{N}$; (1)

with V the therm alvolum epertaining to the translational and orientational kinetic degrees of freedom . The brackets denote an orientational average according to some unknown orientation distribution function (ODF) f() which is normalized according to f()d 1. Note that Q_N is proportional to an N-dimensional free volum e with Γ_{tot} being the total occupied length for a given orientational con guration, expressed in terms of the following sum

$$\Sigma_{tot}(_{1}; :::; _{N}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} \Sigma_{k;k+1}(_{k}; _{k+1}): \quad (2)$$

Eq. (1) is di cult to analyze rigorously so we shall approxim ate it as follows

$$Q_{N}$$
 ' $\frac{w}{V^{N}N!}Q_{N}^{or}$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}D_{tot}(_{1};\ldots;_{N})_{f()}^{E}$;

which is assumed to be justilled for the strongly aligned orientational congurations we expect in a dense colum -

Electronic address: h.h.wensink@chem.uu.nl



D/L=10

0.7

φ*

0.8

0.9

FIG.1: (a) Equations of state for the columnar phase. The solid curve is the prediction from the present cell model, the dashed one is the traditional cell pressure, given by Eq. (16). The sym bols correspond to the simulation data from Zhang et al. [4] for D = L = 10 (crosses) and D = L = 20 (triangles). The inset shows the pressure in the dilute regime near the columnarnem atic (\m elting") transition at 0:48. (b) D in ensionless chem ical potential $\sim = \ln [v_0 = V_{cp}]$ from simulations (dotted curves) and cell theory (solid curves). The prediction from the traditional cell model, indicated by the dashed curve, is independent of D = L.

0.90

0.85

60

50 40

20

10 0

0.5

(b)

D/L=20

0.6

 $\beta \tilde{\mu}_{30}$

nar state. Further sim pli cation in this respect can be achieved by neglecting the dependency of the excluded length on the azim uthal angle ' of the particles. To this end we shall consider an e ective disk thickness, which is determ ined solely by the polar de ection angle between the particles' sym m etry axis and the line unit vector. For sm all angles this quantity is given by

0.54

0.75

0.80

 ϕ^*

50

40

 30 \tilde{P}

20

10

0

0.70

(a)

$$\tilde{L}_{e} = L + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D}{L} j j + O(^{2}) ;$$
 (3)

up to leading order in . The factor 1=2' in Eq. (3) is included explicitly to approximately restore the azimuthal dependency of Γ_e . Setting the factor equal to unity yields the maximum Γ_e at xed polar angles, which is only realized if ' for two adjacent disks is exactly . In reality, ' is random ly distributed between 0 and 2 so that the total excluded length is usually way below its maximum value. The orientationally averaged total occupied length is approximated by the following meaneld expression:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} D & E & D & E \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right)$$

where the ODF obeys $\operatorname{com} m$ on uniaxial symmetry and depends only on the polar angle . The con gurational integral then $\sin p \ln p$ becomes

$$Q_{\rm N} = \frac{N}{V^{\rm N} N!} Q_{\rm N}^{\rm or} 1 \qquad 1 + \frac{D}{2L} hj j_{\rm f()}^{\rm N};$$
 (5)

in terms of the linear density = N L='. Following O n-sager [13] the orientational con gurational integral Q $_{\rm N}^{\rm or}$ reads

$$Q_{N}^{or} = \exp N \operatorname{hln}[4 f()]_{\underline{i}()}; \qquad (6)$$

and the total Helm holtz free energy $F_{uid} = \ln Q_N$ of the modi ed Tonks uid is given by a superposition of the ideal, orientational and con gurational entropic contributions:

$$\frac{F_{uid}}{N} = \ln[V] + f()\ln[4 f()]d$$

$$\ln 1 \quad 1 + \frac{D}{2L} f()jjd ; (7)$$

with $= 1 = k_B T$. The therm odynam ic equilibrium ODF can be obtained by a functional minimization of the free energy with respect to the orientational distribution under the normalization restriction. A fler some algebra we arrive at the following closed expression for the normalized ODF:

$$f() = \frac{2}{4} \exp[j];$$
 (8)

where depends on the density and the disk aspect ratio D = L via

$$=\frac{3D}{2L}\frac{1}{1}$$
; (9)

Since 1 for su ciently anisom etric disks (D = L = 1)the ODF is sharply peaked around = 0, as we expect. The divergence of at close packing (= 1) indicates that the disks are forced to orient parallel to the director in this limit. Inserting Eq. (8) and straightforw and integration yields an explicit free energy in terms of the density . Taking the standard derivative with respect to the density then gives the (dimensionless) pressure PL of the one-dimensional uid:

$$PL = 3 = (1);$$
 (10)

which is exactly three times the original Tonks pressure [12]. This result implies that the orientational connement of the disks inside the columns gives rise to an additional entropic contribution 2 = (1) to the total pressure. Note that the derivation of Eq. (10) closely resembles the origin of the quasi-ideal pressure of the nem atic state P 3N = V within the G aussian scaling Ansatz for the ODF [14, 15], although both have a dimentiphysical basis.

The description of the columnar phase in the two positionally ordered dimensions is analogous to that of a 2-D (hexagonally) ordered con guration of N disks. A coording to the non-correlated version of the cell model the con gurational integral of the N-particle system can be approximated by [16]:

$$Q_{N}^{\text{cell}} = dr^{N} \exp[U(r^{N})]' \quad dr \exp \frac{1}{2}u_{\text{cell}}^{nn}(r)$$

where $u_{cell}^{nn}(\mathbf{r})$ is the potential energy between the particle and its nearest neighbours. For hard interactions the second phase space integral is simply the (2-D) free volume of the particle in the cell. A sum ing that the nearest neighbours constitute a perfect hexagonal cage, this free volume is given by $V_{free} = \frac{1}{3}$ ($_{c}$ D) $\hat{f} = 2$ with $_{c}$ the nearest neighbour distance. The con gurational integral then becomes (ignoring all irrelevant contributions)

$$Q_{\rm N}^{\rm cell}$$
 ($V_{\rm free}$)^N / 1 $_{\rm c}^{1 \ 2N}$; (11)

in terms of the dimensionless spacing $_{c} = _{c}=D$. Applying the condition of single-occupancy (i.e. one array of disks per column) we can use $_{c}$ to relate the linear density to the three-dimensional volume fraction via

$$= \frac{2}{c}; \qquad (12)$$

with $= = _{cp}$ the volum e fraction norm alized to its close-packing value $_{cp} = = 2^{p}\overline{3}$.

The total excess H elm holtz free energy of the colum nar state is obtained by adding the cell contribution to the Tonks excess free energy. O m itting all constant term s we arrive at

$$\frac{F_{tot}^{ex}}{N} = 2 \ln \frac{1}{1} \qquad \ln [l] = 2 \ln l c^{1};$$
(13)

representing the orientational, Tonks' and cell contributions, respectively. Inserting Eq. (12) and m inim izing the free energy [20] with respect to the cell spacing

c yields a third-order consistency equation with com plicated solutions. Expanding the physical solution near close-packing in term s of 1 up to leading order yields

$$_{c} = 1 + \frac{1}{5} (1) + 0 [(1)^{2}];$$
 (14)

Substituting its closed form into the free energy Eq. (13) and taking the appropriate derivative gives a $\sin -$ ilar expansion for the total dimensionless pressure P' =



FIG.2: Normalized inter-and intracolum nar spacings, $_{\rm c}$ =D and $_{\rm n}$ =L respectively, as a function of . Solid lines are theoretical predictions, the symbols follow from simulations for D =L = 10 (crosses) and D =L = 20 (triangles). The dotted curve follows from the traditional cellm odel and denotes both spacings. Inset: A verage polar angle relative to the internal angle ($_{\rm int}$ L=D) plotted versus .

 $P v_0 = _{CP}$ (with v_0 the disk volume), i.e.

$$P' = \frac{5}{1} + 6:4 + 1:128(1) + O[(1)^{2}]; (15)$$

indicating that the high-density scaling pressure, i.e. the leading order contribution, is essentially di erent from the classical cell prediction $3=(1 \)$ for hard spheres [17, 18]. The latter result is completely analogous to our result for perfectly aligned disks and can be reproduced directly from Eq. (13) by om itting the orientational contribution given by the rst term. The \traditional" cell pressure is given by

$$P^{\sim} = \frac{3}{1 ()^{1=3}} = \frac{3}{1} + 4 + 0 (1)$$
 (16)

In Fig. 1(a) we have plotted the abovem entioned cell equations of state, i.e. Eq. (16) and the closed-form analog of Eq. (15), along with the ones obtained from M onte-C arlo simulations on cut-spheres by Zhang et al. [4]. The quantitative agreem ent between the present cell description and the simulations signi cantly im proves upon densi cation. Above 0:8 our prediction is found to m atch the simulations within 1%. Obviously, the discrepancy is much larger in the dilute regime (< 0:6) where the cell m odel, at least the sim plest version considered here, is no longer quantitatively reliable.

The chem ical potentials are depicted in Fig. 1(b). These are readily obtained from the absolute free energy and pressure of the cell model via the Legendre transform = (F + PV) = N and by means of a G ibbs-D uhem integration of the pressure ts obtained from the sim – ulation data [4]. Again, close agreement is found between both. The values at melting are found to di er only by $\sim 0.3k_BT$ and $0.6k_BT$ for D = L = 20 and D = L = 10 respectively, indicating that the cell prediction is surprisingly e cacious throughout the present of the cacious throughout the present of the cacious throughout the present of the cell prediction is surprisingly end of the cacious throughout the present of the cell prediction of the cacious throughout the present of the cell prediction is surprisingly end of the cacious throughout the present of the cell prediction is surprisingly end of the present of the cell prediction is surprisingly end of the present of the cell prediction is surprisingly end of the present of the pr

The \splitting" of the curves for both aspect ratios is $\sin ply$ due to the orientational entropy [second term in Eq. (7)], $F_{or}=N = 2 \ln 2$, which depends explicitly on D =L via Eq. (9) and therefore gives rise to a di erent intercept at the melting volume fraction.

Let us now focus on the spacings between the columns $_{c}$ and the average disk spacing inside the columns $_{n}$

n = L = 1, which follows directly from Eqs. (12) and (14):

$$n = 1 + \frac{2}{5}(1) + 0[(1)^{2}]; (17)$$

C om paring with Eq. (14) we see that the intracolum – nar distance $_n$ between the disks grows faster than the intercolum nar one $_c$. The expansion of the colum – nar structure is therefore anisom etric. This behaviour is quite di erent from the classical model for which $_c$ $_n$ = $^{1=3}$ indicating an isom etric expansion upon lowering . In Fig. 2 the predicted spacings, given by the closed-form analogs of Eqs. (14) and (17), are com pared with simulation results. Unlike the pressure, the prediction for the colum nar spacings rem ains surprisingly accurate even in the regime close to the colum narnem atic transition. Quantitatively, theory and simulation are found to agree within 1% over the entire colum – nar stability range.

To assess the degree of orientational order in the dense colum nar state we consider the ratio of the average polar angle hj ji to the internal angle $_{int}$ L=D, i.e. hj ji= $_{int}$ = 2D=L. Substituting Eqs. (9) and (17) reveals a simple proportionality with respect to the intracolum nar spacing, i.e. hj ji= $_{int}$ ' (4=3) ($_n$ 1). This tells us that the disks are only marginally perturbed away from their parallel orientations since the average $\langle o$ -parallel" de ection angle does not exceed the internal angle of the disk. The full result, included in Fig. 2, explicitly shows that this situation remains up to the colum nar-nem atic transition, located around 0:48.

From this we conclude that the orientational freedom of the disks is extrem ely sm all throughout the entire colum – nar stability regim e. M oreover, the dom inance of nearparallel con gurations gives an a posteriori justi cation for the m ean- eld asym ptotic analysis presented here.

In conclusion, we have constructed a modi ed cell theory for the colum nar state by explicitly accounting for the rotational freedom of the disks. Our approach constitutes a signi cant quantitative in provem ent over the traditional one which, contrary to the case of a hardsphere FCC-crystal [18], appears to be inappropriate for a colum nar liquid crystal even in the regim e near closepacking. The theory does not only quantitatively predict the therm odynam ics of the colum nar state, as evidenced by the pressure and chem ical potential, but also structural features in term s of the characteristic colum – nar spacings.

Future work could be aim ed at applying the present approach to a dense smectic-A phase of hard spherocylinders by considering a two-dimensional uid of rotating rods [6]. A Ithough the theory is expected to be less successful here, it would be intriguing to verify its quantitative merits for a lyotropic smectic phase. Furtherm ore, the cell description could be re ned in the regime close to the melting transition by introducing more advanced cell theories which include e.g. cooperative motion of the columns [19]. The fact that the simulation pressures in Fig. 1 (a) remain insensitive to the aspect ratio throughout the entire colum nar stability range is surprising and supports the notion that the columnar phase is dom inated by cell-behaviour up to the melting transition, albeit in a more sophisticated fashion than we described here.

I am grateful to H enk Lekkerker, A ndrei P etukhov and G ert Jan V roege for stim ulating discussions and a critical reading of the m anuscript. Jeroen van D uijneveldt and Shu-D ong Zhang are kindly thanked for providing their sim ulation data.

- [L] A.B.D.Brown, C.Ferrero, T.Narayanan, and A.R. Rennie, Eur. Phys. J.B 11, 481 (1999).
- [2] F. M. van der Kooij, K. Kassapidou, and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, Nature 406, 868 (2000).
- [3] J.A.C. Veem an and D. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. A 45, 5632 (1992).
- [4] S.D. Zhang, P.A. Reynolds, and J.S. van Duijneveldt, J.Chem. Phys. 117, 9947 (2002).
- [5] V.L.Colvin, MRS Bull. 26, 637 (2001).
- [6] M.P.Taylor, R.Hentschke, and J.Herzfeld, Phys.Rev. Lett. 62, 800 (1989).
- [7] R. Hentschke, M. P. Taylor, and J. Herzfeld, Phys. Rev. A 40, 1678 (1989).
- [8] D. van der Beek, T. Schilling, and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, J. Chem. Phys. (to be published).
- [9] A.V. Petukhov, private com munications.
- [10] H. Eyring and J. Hirschfelder, J. Phys. Chem. 41, 249 (1937).

- [11] J. E. Lennard-Jones and A. F. Devonshire, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) p.53 (1937).
- [12] L.Tonks, Phys. Rev. 50, 955 (1936).
- [13] L.Onsager, Ann.N.Y. A cad. Sci. 51, 627 (1949).
- [14] T.Odijk, Liq.Cryst.1, 97 (1986).
- [15] T.Odijk and H.N.W .Lekkerkerker, J.Phys.Chem. 89, 2090 (1985).
- [16] J.G.Kirkwood, J.Chem. Phys. 18, 380 (1950).
- [17] W .W .W ood, J.Chem .Phys. 20, 1334 (1952).
- [18] B.J.Akker, W.G.Hoover, and D.A.Young, J.Chem. Phys. 49, 3688 (1968).
- [19] B.J.A kler, W.G. Hoover, and T.E.W ainwright, Phys. Rev.Lett. 11, 241 (1963).
- [20] By de nition, the ideal free energy (In) does not depend on the cell spacing and therefore drops out of the free energy m inim ization.