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#### Abstract

Y}\)

W e consider a model of a D -dim ensional tethered manifold interacting by exchuded volum e in $R^{d}$ with a single point. U se of intrinsic distance geom etry provides a rigorous de nition of the analytic continuation of the perturbative expansion for arbitrary $D, 0<D<2$. Its oneloop renorm alizability is rst established by direct resum $m$ ation. A renorm alization operation $R$ is then described, which ensures renom alizability to all orders. The sim ilar question of the renorm alizability of the self-avoiding $m$ anifold (SAM) Edwards $m$ odel is then considered, rst at one-loop, then to allorders. $W$ e describe a short-distance $m$ ulti-localoperator product expansion, which extends $m$ ethods of local eld theories to a large class of $m$ odels $w$ ith non-local singular interactions. It vindicates the direct renom alization $m$ ethod used earlier in part I of these lectures, as well as the corresponding scaling law s.


[^0]1. INTERACTING MANIFOLD RENORMALIZATION:A BRIEFAIST O R Y

A s can be seen in the set of lectures in this volum e,which presents an extended version of [1], the statistical $m$ echanics of random surfaces and $m$ embranes, or $m$ ore generally of extended ob jects, poses fundam ental problem $s$. T he study of polym erized m em branes, which are generalizations of linear polym ens 2,3] to tw o-dim ensionally connected netw orks,
 sim ulations 9 ,10]. From a theoretical point of view, a clear challenge in the late eighties was to understand self-avoidance (SA ) e ects in membranes.

T he m odel proposed in 11, 12] aim ed to inconporate the advances $m$ ade in polym er theory by renorm alization group ( RG ) m ethods into the eld of polym erized, or tethered, mem branes. A s we saw in part I of these lectures, these extended ob jects, a priori two-dim ensional in nature, are generalized for theoretical purposes to intrinsically D \{ dim ensionalm anifolds w ith intemal points $\times 2 R^{D}$, em bedded in extemald-dim ensional space w ith position vector $\Upsilon(x) 2 R^{d}$. The associated continuum $H$ am ittonian $H$ generalizes that of Edw ards for polym ens (2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} d^{D} x r_{x} \Psi(x)^{2}+\frac{b^{Z}}{2} d^{D} x d^{D} x^{0} d r(x) r\left(x^{0}\right) ; \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith an elastic G aussian term and a self-avoidance two-body -potentialw ith interaction param eter $b>0$. For $0<D<2$, the $G$ aussian $m$ anifold $(b=0)$ is crum pled w ith $a$ G aussian size exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{2 \mathrm{D}}{2} \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a nite $H$ ausdor dim ension

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}=D=0=2 D=(2 \quad D) ; \tag{1:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the niteness of the upper critical dim ension $d^{?}=2 d_{H}$ for the $S A$-interaction allow $s$ an "-expansion about $d$ ? 11 \{13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
"=4 D \quad 20 d \tag{1:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1}$ R .C. B allwas actually the rst to propose, while a postdoc in Saclay in 1981, the extension of the Edw ardsm odel to $\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ anifolds, $w$ ith the aim, at that tim $e$, to better understand polym ers! (unpublished).
perform ed via the direct renorm alization $m$ ethod adapted from that of des $C$ loizeaux in polym er theory [14], as we explained in part I.

O nly the polym er case, with an integer intemal dim ension $D=1$, can be $m$ apped, follow ing de Gennes [15], onto a standard eld theory, nam ely a ( $\left.{ }^{2}(\mathbb{r})\right)^{2}$ theory for an n -com ponent eld ( $(\underline{C})$ in extemal d-dim ensional space, w ith $\mathrm{n}!0$ components. This is instrum ental in show ing that the direct renom alization $m$ ethod for polym ers is $m$ athe$m$ atically sound [16], and equivalent to rigorous renom alization schem es in standard local eld theory, such as the Bogoliubov \{P arasiuk \{Hepp Z immerm ann (BPHZ) construction [17]. Form anifold theory, we have to dealw ith non-integer intemaldim ensions D , D \& 1, and no such $m$ apping exists. Therefore, tw o outstanding problem $s$ rem ained in the theory of interacting $m$ anifolds: (a) the $m$ athem aticalm eaning of a continuous intemaldim ension D ; (b) the actual renom alizability of the perturbative expansion of a $m$ anifold $m$ odel like (1.1), im plying the scaling behavior expected on physical grounds.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 1: (a) A $D$ m anifold interacting $w$ ith an im purity located at point 0 in $R^{d}$; (b) interaction $w$ ith an Euclidean hyperplane of dim ension $D^{0}$ in $R^{d^{0}}$, w ith $d^{0}=d+D^{0}$.

In 18], a sim plerm odelw as proposed, of a crum pled $m$ anifold interacting by excluded volume with a xed Euclidean subspace of $R^{d}$ 19]. The simpli ed $m$ odel $H$ am iltonian introduced there reads:

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{D} x r_{x} \Psi(x){ }^{2}+b^{Z} d^{D} x^{d} x(x) ;
$$

$w$ ith a pointw ise interaction of the $G$ aussian $m$ anifold $w$ ith an im purity located at the origin ( F ig. 1a). N ote that this H am iltonian also represents interactions of a uctuating
(possibly directed) $m$ anifold with a non uctuating $D^{0}-$ Euclidean hyperplane of $R^{d+D^{0}}$, $r$ then standing for the coordinates transverse to this subspace ( $F$ ig. 1b). The excluded volum e case ( $b>0$ ) parallels that of the $E d w$ ards $m$ odel 1.1) for SA $m$ anifolds, while an attractive interaction ( $b<0$ ) is also possible, describing pinning phenom ena. T he (naive) dim ensions of $r$ and $b$ are respectively $[x]=[x] w$ th a G aussian size exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (2 D ) }=2 \text {; } \tag{1:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $[b]=[x$ "] with
" D d:

For xed D and , the param eter d (or equivalently ") controls the relevance of the interaction, $w$ th the exclusion of a point only e ective for $d \quad d^{?}=D=$. Note that in this $m$ odel the size exponent is not $m$ odi ed by the local interaction and stays equal to its $G$ aussian value 1.G), whereas the correlation functions obey (non-G aussian) universal scaling law s.

For D = 1, the m odel is exactly solvable 18]. For D 1, the direct resum $m$ ation of leading divergences of the perturbation series is possible for model (1.5) and indeed validates one-loop renorm alization [18]. This result was also extended to the Edwards m odel (1.1) itself 20].

A study to all orders of the interaction $m$ odel (1.5) was later perform ed in 21], 22]. A $m$ athem atical construction of the $D$-dim ensional intemal $m$ easure $d^{D} x$ via distance geom etry $w$ ithin the elastic $m$ anifold was given, w ith expressions for $m$ anifold Feynm an integrals which generalize the -param eter representation of eld theory. In the case of the $m$ anifold $m$ odel of [18], the essential properties which $m$ ake it renorm alizable to all orders by a renorm alization of the coupling constant were established. This led to a direct construction of a renorm alization operation, generalizing the BPHZ construction to m anifolds (see also [23] 24].)

Later, the fullE dw ards $m$ odelof self-avoiding $m$ anifolds 1.1) w as studied by the sam e $m$ ethods, and its renorm alizability established to allorders 25], 26]. E ective calculations to second order in " (\tw o-loop" order) were perform ed in 27]. The large order behavior of the Edwards m odel (1.1) was nally studied in 28].

The aim of part II of these notes is to review som e of these developm ents.
2. MANIEOLD MODELW ITH LOCAL INTERACTION

### 2.1. P erturbative expansion

In this chapter, we study the statisticalm echanics of the sim pli ed modelH am iltonian (1.5). T he m odel is described by its (connected) partition function

$$
Z=V^{1^{Z}} D[\mathbb{E}] \exp (\quad H)
$$

(here $V$ is the intemal volum e of the $m$ anifold) and, for instance, by its one-point vertex function

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})=Z=d^{D} x_{0} h e^{i \widetilde{K} r\left(x_{0}\right)} i_{i} \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the (connected) average $h \quad i$ is perform ed $w$ ih $(1.5)$ :
Z Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})=V^{1} \quad D[\mathbb{x}] \exp (\quad H) \quad d^{D} x_{0} e^{i \widetilde{K} x\left(x_{0}\right)}: \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hese functions are all form ally de ned via their perturbative expansions in the coupling constant b:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}=1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{(\mathrm{~b})^{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{~N}!} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a sim ilar equation for $\mathrm{Z}^{(0)} \mathrm{w}$ ith coe cients $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(0)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})={\underset{N}{N}=1}_{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{I}}}^{\frac{(\mathrm{b})^{N}}{N!} Z_{N}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K}): ~} \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2: Interaction points $x_{i}$; insertion point $x_{0}$ for the extemalm om entum $\mathbb{K}$.
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ has the path integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\frac{1}{V}^{Z} \quad \mathrm{dP}_{0} \quad d^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{d}} \underset{\Psi(x)}{\mathrm{N}} \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $G$ aussian path $m$ easure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dP} \mathrm{O}_{0}=\mathrm{D} x(\mathrm{x}) \exp \left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right) \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{D} x r_{x} \Psi(x){ }^{2}: \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here is no translational invariance in this theory, since the origin is selected by the presence of the im purity. The $m$ easure $d P_{0}$ thus includes integration over global translations of the $m$ anifold in $R^{d}$. The rst term is then simply $Z_{1} \quad 1$; so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=b+O\left(b^{2}\right): \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he term of order $N, Z_{N}$, is a G aussian average involving $N$ interaction points $X_{i}$ ( $F$ ig. 2) :

By Fourier transform ing the distribution in $d$-space

$$
{ }^{d} \mathscr{x}(x)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{d}} \operatorname{dxp} \quad i \widetilde{K} \mathbb{X} ;
$$

one gets

For a $G$ aussian $m$ anifold $w$ ith $w$ eight (2.7) (2.9) we have:
$T$ his $G$ aussian $m$ anifold average is expressed solely in term $s$ of the $G$ reen function

$$
G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \tag{2:13}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{2} A_{D} \dot{x} \quad y \jmath^{2} ;
$$

solution ${ }^{2}$ of

$$
x G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)={ }^{D}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \tag{2:14}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

w th $2=2 \mathrm{D}$, and $A_{D}$ a norm alization:

$$
\left.A_{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{D}(2 & D
\end{array}\right)=2\right]^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{D} & ]^{1} ; \tag{2:15}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$w$ here $S_{D}$ is the area of the unit sphere in $D$ dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D}=\frac{2^{D=2}}{(D)}: \tag{2:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing, it is im portant to preserve the condition $0 \ll 1$ (i.e., $0<\mathrm{D}<2$ ), corresponding to the actual case of a crum pled $m$ anifold, where ( $G$ ) is positive and ultraviolet (UV) nite.

Perform ing nally the Gaussian integral over the $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ independent real variables $\widetilde{K}_{i} ;(i=1 ; \quad$; $N \quad 1)$ yieds [18]:
where the $m$ atrix [ $i_{j}$ ] is sim ply de ned as
w ith respect to the reference point $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the perm utation sym $m$ etry betw een the N points being restored in the determ inant.

The integral representation of $Z_{N}^{(0)}$ is obtained from that of $Z_{N}$ by multiplying the integrand in 2.17) by $\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{K}^{2}{ }^{(0)}\right)$ w ith :
and integrating over one $m$ ore position, $\mathrm{x}_{0},(\mathrm{~F}$ ig. 2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{N}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})=V^{1}(2)^{(N)} 1\right) d=2 \sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{Z}} d^{D} x_{i} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{K}^{2} \quad(0) \quad \operatorname{det}[i j]_{1 ; j N 1} \quad \frac{d}{2}: \tag{2:20}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{(0)}(\widetilde{\mathrm{K}})=\mathrm{V}^{1} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x}_{1} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}^{2} 01: \tag{2:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he resulting expressions are quite sim ilar to those for the Edw ards $m$ anifold $m$ odel 20].
2 In part I we used the notation $G(x \quad y) \quad A_{D} \dot{x} \quad y J^{2}$ for the (positive) solution of the slightly di erent equation $\quad x G\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right)=2^{D}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right)$; while hereafter in II we shall use the proper $N$ ew ton-C oulom b potential 2.13), in view of the underlying electrostatic representation.

### 2.2. Second virial coe cient

In this section, we im agine the $m$ anifold to be of nite intemal volum $e V=X^{D}$, and de ne two dim ensionless interaction coe cients, the excluded volum e param eter $z$, and the second virial coe cient $g$, as

$$
\begin{gather*}
z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(2 A_{D}\right)^{d=2} b X^{D} \quad(2 \quad D) d=2
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2:22}\\
g=\left(2 A_{D}\right)^{d=2}(Z) X^{D} \quad(2 \quad D) d=2: \tag{2:23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Because of 2.9), the perturbative expansion of the fill interaction param eter $g$ starts as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=z+O\left(z^{2}\right): \tag{2:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore precisely we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=x^{X} \quad(1)^{N}{ }^{1} z^{N} I_{N} \tag{2:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N!} Z_{N} \quad\left(2 A_{D}\right) \quad(N \quad 1) d=2 X^{(N \quad 1) "} I_{N} \tag{2:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to get rid of cum bersom e factors. N ow the dim ensionless integral $I_{N}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}=\frac{1}{N}^{Z}{ }_{v^{0}{ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{M}}}^{d^{D} x_{i}(\operatorname{det} D)^{d=2} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{2:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith integrations over rescaled coordinates, in a unit intemal volum $\mathrm{V}^{0}=\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{D} V=1$; D is the sym $m$ etric $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) m$ atrix with elem ents $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & i ; j & N & 1)\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{i i}=\dot{x}_{i N}{ }^{f}{ }^{D} \\
& D_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{iN}} \jmath^{\mathrm{J}}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{j \mathrm{~N}} \underset{\mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{D}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{D}} \text {; } \tag{2:28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we set $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ :

## 2.3. $R$ esum $m$ ation of leading divergences

In this section we analyse the leading divergence ofeach $I_{N}$ for $"=D \quad(2 \quad D) d=2=$ D $\quad d>0 . W$ e have $I_{1}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} v^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{v}^{0} d^{D} \mathrm{x}_{1} d^{D} \mathrm{x}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} j^{(2 \quad \mathrm{D}) \mathrm{d}=2}: \tag{2:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are interested in evaluating the pole at " $=0$. It is easily extracted as 18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}, \frac{1}{2}_{v^{0}}^{Z} d^{D} x_{1}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z_{D}} d y y^{1+"}=\frac{S_{D}}{2^{\prime \prime}} \tag{2:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=x_{1} \quad x_{2} j$.
$T$ he structure of divergences of the generic term $I_{N} w i l l$ be studied in detail in the next sections. They will be show $n$ to be only local divergences, obtained by letting any interaction point subset coalesce. H ere, the leading divergence is evaluated as follow s.
$T$ he determ inant in 2.27) is sym $m$ etrical w ith respect to the $N$ points, so we can, for a given i $2 \mathrm{f1}$; $\quad \mathrm{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$; and w ithout loss of generality, consider the $\backslash \mathrm{H}$ epp sector" $x_{i}!x_{N}$, hence $\dot{x}_{i n} j!0$. We then have $D_{i i}=\dot{x}_{i N} J^{D}$, while for any other j2 f1; ;N 1g; jもi,

$$
D_{i j}, \frac{1}{2} \dot{j}_{i N} \rho^{D} \quad x_{i N}: x^{\dot{x}_{j N}} \rho^{D}+O\left({ }^{2}\right):
$$

U sing $=(2 \quad D)=2$ and the notation m in ( $; 1 \quad$ ), we can $w$ rite the leading term of this equation, which depends on the position of, $0<D<2$; with respect to 1 , as

$$
D_{i j}=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{in}} j \quad 0 \quad:
$$

W hen expanding the determ inant detD $w$ ith respect to colum $n i$ and line $i$, we encounter either the diagonal term $D_{i i}=\dot{x}_{\mathrm{in}} \mathcal{J}=O\left({ }^{2}\right)$, or non diagonal term softype $D_{i j} D_{i k}=$ $0 \quad 2+2$. Thus $D_{\text {ii }}$ dom inates and we can write in the sector $x_{i}!x_{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} D \prime D_{i i} \quad \operatorname{det} D=i=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{in}} \rho \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \operatorname{det} \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{i} ; \tag{2:31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\operatorname{det} D=i$ is the reduced determ inant of order $(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$, in which line $i$ and colum $n$ i have been rem oved, hence the point i itself. By sym $m$ etry, in any other sector $x_{i}!x_{j}$, we have sim ilarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{i j} \jmath^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{D} \quad \operatorname{det} \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{i}: \tag{2:32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Am ong the $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$ possible pairs $(i ; j)$ we de ne an arbitrary ordered set of $N \quad 1$ pairs $P=f(i ; j) ;=1 ; \quad ; N \quad 1 g ;$ such that the distances $j x x_{j} j=y \quad$ ! 0 de ne
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that the precise value of the upper $\lim$ it for $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}<1$, is im m aterialw hen evaluating the pole part.
a sector $y_{1} \quad y_{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}$ Y1. In this lim it, applying the rule (2.32) successively from $=1$ to $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ yields a determ inant factorized as

$$
\operatorname{detD},{ }_{=1}^{\text {NY }}{ }^{1} Y^{2} D
$$

$T$ he contribution of the sector $P$ to the integral $I_{N}$ is given by the iteration of 2.30) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}!} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{~N}} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)!{\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D}}}{"}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}: \tag{2:33}
\end{align*}
$$

The num ber of distinct sectors of $N \quad 1$ ordered pairs P chosen am ong N points equals $N!(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)!2^{\mathbb{N}} \quad 1$, whence the leading divergence of $I_{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N},{\frac{S_{D}}{2^{\prime \prime}}}^{N}: \tag{2:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this order, the dim ensionless excluded volum e param eter $g$ 2.23) thus reads

$$
\begin{align*}
g & =X^{X}(1)^{N}{ }^{1} z^{N} I_{N}, X_{N=1}^{X}(1)^{N}{ }^{1} z^{N} \quad \frac{S_{D}}{2 N}{ }^{N} 1^{N}  \tag{2:35}\\
& =\frac{z}{1+z \frac{S_{D}}{2 N}}:
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4. C om parison to one-loop renorm alization

The Taylor-Laurent expansion of param eter $g$ to rst orders is obtained from (225) and 2.30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=z \quad z^{2} I_{2}+\quad=z^{2} \frac{S_{D}}{2^{\prime \prime}}+\quad: \tag{2:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is associated w ith a W ilson function

$$
\begin{align*}
W(g ; ") & =x \frac{@ g}{@ X}=" z \frac{@ g}{@ z}  \tag{2:37}\\
& =" z \quad z^{2} S_{D}+\quad=" g^{2} \frac{S_{D}}{2}+\quad:
\end{align*}
$$

The xed point $g$ such that $W(g ; ")=0$ is $g=2^{2 "}=S_{D}$ and precisely corresponds to the lim it of 2.35)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z!+1)=\frac{2 "}{S_{D}}=g: \tag{2:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore interestingly, the (truncated) ow equation 2.37)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad(g ; ")=" z \frac{@ g}{@ z}=" g \quad g^{2} \frac{S_{D}}{2} ; \tag{2:39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith boundary condition (2.24), has precisely the solution $g=z=\left(1+z \frac{S_{0}}{2 "}\right)$ : So we see that the resum $m$ ation (2.35) to all orders of leading divergences is exactly equivalent to the one-loop renorm alization group equation, as displayed in 2.39). Thus the one-loop renorm alizability of the $m$ anifold $m$ odel has been directly established by direct resum $m$ ation of the perturbation expansion 18].
$T$ his is con $m$ ed by consideration of the vertex function (2.2). The sam e evaluation of (220) gives, after successive contractions of pairs ofpoints in the determ inants in 2.19), 2.20), the leading divergence:

w th them atrix elem ent $01=2 G\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x}_{0} & \left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) . \text { The (connected) vertex function 2.3), 2.5) }\end{array}\right.$ can thus be resum $m$ ed at this order as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{(0)}(\widetilde{K}) & =X^{\mathbb{N}} \frac{(b)^{N}}{N!} Z_{N}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})  \tag{2:41}\\
& =\frac{b}{1+z^{\frac{S_{D}}{2 N}}} V^{1} \quad \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{K}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x}_{0} d^{D} \mathrm{x}_{1} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{K}^{2}\left(2 G\left(x_{0} \quad x_{1}\right)\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ otice that, at rst order, $\mathrm{Z}^{(0)}$ is determ ined from 2.21) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})=\mathrm{bZ}_{1}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~b}^{2}\right) \\
& =b V^{1} V_{v}^{Z} d^{D} x_{0} d^{D} x_{1} \exp \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{K}^{2}\left(2 G\left(x_{0} x_{1}\right)\right)+O\left(b^{2}\right) ; \tag{2:42}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore the resum $m$ ation of leading divergences in (2.41) am ounts exactly to replacing

$$
\mathrm{b}!\frac{\mathrm{b}}{1+\mathrm{z} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{D}}}{2^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

in the rst order correlation fiunction (2.42). Owing to (2.22), this is indeed equivalent to replacing the bare dim ensionless interaction param eter $z$ by the renorm alized one $g=$ $z=\left(1+z \frac{S_{D}}{2^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, in com plete agreem ent with 2.35) above.


Fig. 3: P assage from Euclidean coordinates $x_{i}$ to the com plete set of squared distances $a_{i j}$.

### 2.5. A nalytic continuation in $D$ of the Euclidean m easure

Integrals like 2.17) or 2.20), w ritten w ith $C$ artesian coordinates, are a priorim eaningful only for integer D. Up to now, we have only form ally extended such integrals to non-integer dim ensions. A ctually, an analytic continuation in $D$ can be perform ed by use of distance geom etry 22]. T he key idea is to substitute for the intemalE uclidean coordinates $x_{i}$ the set of allm utual (squared) distances $a_{i j}=\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right)^{2}$ (Fig. 3).
$T$ his is possible for integrands invariant under the group of Euclidean motions (as in 2.17) and 2.20)). For $N$ integration points, it also requires, before analytic continuation, D to be large enough, i.e., D N 1 , such that the $N \quad 1$ relative vectors spanning these points are linearly independent.

W e de ne the graph $G$ as the set $G=f 1 ;::: ; N g$ labelling the interaction points. Vertices i2 G w illbe rem nants of the originalE uclidean points after analytic continuation, and index the squared distance $m$ atrix $\left[a_{i j}\right]$. The change of variables $\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{G}}$ ! a $\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]_{\substack{i<j 2 \mathrm{j} \\ i ; 2}}$ reads explicitly 22]:
w ith the m easure

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}^{(D)}(a){ }_{\substack{i<j \\ i ; j 2 G}}^{Y} \operatorname{da}_{i j}{\underset{N}{(D)} \quad P_{G}(a)^{\frac{D N}{2}} ; ~}^{(a)} \tag{2:44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=j$ j $\mathfrak{j}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }_{N}^{(D)} & { }^{{ }^{\text {IVY }}}{ }^{2} \frac{S_{D} K}{2^{K+1}} \\
K=0
\end{array}
$$

$\left(S_{D}=\frac{2^{D=2}}{(D=2)}\right.$ is as before the volum e of the unit sphere in $R^{D}$ ), and

The factor ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{D})}$ (2.45) is the volum e of the rotation group of the rigid sim plex spanning the points $x_{i}$. The $\backslash C$ ayley $M$ enger determ inant" 29] $P_{G}(a)$ is proportional to the squared Euclidean volume of this simplex, a polynom ial of degree $N \quad 1$ in the $a_{i j}$. The set a of squared distances has to fullll the triangular inequalities and their generalizations: $P_{K}$ (a) 0 for all subgraphs $K \quad G$, which de nes the dom ain of integration $A_{G}$ in (2.43).

For reald $>j_{G} j 2=N \quad 2, d_{G}^{(D)}(a)$ is a positive $m$ easure on $A_{G}$, analytic in $D$. It is rem arkable that, as a distribution, it can be extended to 0 D j j 2 [22]. For integer D $\quad j \operatorname{j}$ 2, although the change of variables from $x_{i}$ to $a_{i j}$ no longer exists, Eq. (2.44) still reconstructs the correct $m$ easure, concentrated on $D$-dim ensional subm anifolds of $R^{N} \quad{ }^{1}$, i.e., $P_{K}=0$ if $D$ jk 2 22]. For exam ple, when $D$ ! 1 for $N=3$ vertices, we have, denoting the distances $\ddot{\mu} j j={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}}$ :
which indeed describes the 6 possibilities for nested intervals in $R$, w ith degeneracy factors 2 corresp onding to the reversal of the orientation.

A nother nice feature of this form alism is that the interaction determ inants in 2.17) and 2.19) are also $C$ ayley $-M$ enger determ inants! W e have indeed

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
i j \tag{2:48}
\end{array}\right]_{1} \quad i ; j N \quad 1=P_{G}(a)
$$

where a $\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i<j}$ i,j2G is obtained by sim ply raising each squared distance to the power . $W$ e arrive for (2.17) and (2.2才) at the representation of $\backslash F e y n m$ an diagram s" in distance geom etry:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{N}=\underset{A_{G}}{Z} Z_{G}^{(D)} I_{G} ; I_{G}=P_{G}(a) \quad{ }^{\frac{d}{2}} \\
& Z_{N}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})={\underset{A G[f 0 g}{ }}_{d}^{A_{G[f 0 g}^{(D)} I_{G}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K}) ;} \\
& I_{G}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})=I_{G} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{K}^{2} \frac{P_{G[f 0 g}(a)}{P_{G}(a)} ; \tag{2:49}
\end{align*}
$$

which are D -dim ensionalextensions of the Schw inger -param eter representation. W enow have to study the actualconvergence of these integrals and, possibly, their renorm alization .

### 2.6. A nalysis of divergences

Large distance infrared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) divergences occur for $m$ anifolds of in nite size. O ne can keep a nite size, preserve sym $m$ etries and avoid boundary e ects by choosing as a $m$ anifold the $D$-dim ensionalsphere $S_{D}$ ofradius $R$ in $R^{D+1}$. This am ounts 22] in distance geom etry to substituting for $P_{G}(a)$ the \spherical" polynom ial $P_{G}^{S}(a) \quad P_{G}(a)+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{2} a\right)$, the second term providing an $\mathbb{R}$ cut-o, such that $a_{i j} 4 R^{2}$. In the follow ing, this $\mathbb{R}$ regularization $w$ illsim ply be ignored when dealing w th short-distance properties, forw hich we can take $P_{G}^{S} \quad P_{G}$. This was also the case when evaluating leading divergences in the sections above.

T he com plete description of the possible set of divergences is then obtained from the follow ing theorem of distance geom etry 29]:

Schoenberg's theorem. For $0 \ll 1$, the set $a=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i<j j}$ can be realized as the set of squared distances of a transform ed sim plex in $R^{N \quad} \quad{ }^{1}$, whose volum $e P_{G}(a)$ is positive, and vanishes if and only if at least one of the $m$ utual original distances itself vanishes, $a_{i j}=0$.
$T$ his ensures that, as in eld theory, the only source ofdivergences in $I_{G}$ and $I_{G}^{(0)}$ is at short distances. W hether these UV singularities are integrable or not will depend on whether the extemal space dim ension $d<d^{?}=D=$ or $d>d^{?}$.

### 2.7. Factorizations

The key to convergence and renorm alization is the follow ing short-distance factorization property of $P_{G}(a)$. Let us consider a subgraph $P \quad G$, w ith at least tw o vertices, in which we distinguish an elem ent, the rootp ofP, and let us denote by $G \overline{\bar{p}} \mathrm{P} \quad$ (GnP) [fpg the subgraph obtained by replacing in $G$ the whole subset $P$ by its root $p$. In the original Euclidean form ulation, the analysis of short-distance properties am ounts to that of contractions of points $x_{i}$, labeled by such a subset $P$, tow ard the point $x_{p}$, according to: $x_{i}()=x_{p}+\left(x_{i} \quad x_{p}\right)$ if i $2 P$, where $!0^{+}$is the dilation factor, and $x_{i}()=x_{i}$ if $i z P$. This transform ation has an im m ediate resultant in term s of $m$ utual distances: $a_{i j}!a_{i j}()$, depending on both $P$ and $p$. U nder this transform ation, the interaction polynom ialP $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{a})$ factorizes into [22]:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{G}(a())=P_{P}(a()) P_{G \overline{\overline{\bar{p}}}^{P}}(a)  \tag{2:50}\\
1+O\left(^{2}\right):
\end{array}
$$



Fig. 4: Factorization property 2.50).
w th $=\mathrm{m}$ in $(; 1 \quad)>0$ and where, by hom ogeneity, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{I}))=2\left(\mathrm{FP} \mathrm{j}^{1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a})\right.$. $T$ he geom etrical interpretation of 2.50 ) is quite sim ple: the contribution of the set $G$ splits into that of the contracting subgraph $P$ multiplied by that of the whole set $G$ where $P$ has been replaced by its root p ( F ig. 4), all correlation distances betw een these subsets being suppressed. The factorization property (2.50) is the generalization, to an arbitrary set $P$ of contracting points, of the factorization encountered in (2.32) for the contraction of a pair of points. This is sim ply, in this interacting $m$ anifold $m$ odel, the rigorous expression of an operator product expansion 22].
$T$ he factorization property (2.50) does not hold for $=1$, preventing a factorization
 factorized integrand, does factorize as:


This fact, explicit for integer $D$ with a readily factorized $m$ easure ${ }_{i} d^{D} x_{i}$, is preserved 22] by analytic continuation only after integration over relative distances betw een the two \com plem entary" subsets P and $\mathrm{G} \overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{P}$.

### 2.8. R enorm alization

A rst consequence of factorizations (2.50) and 2.51) is the absolute convergence of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{(0)}$ for " $>0$. Indeed, the super cial degree of divergence of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ (in distance
units) is (N 1)", as can be read from 2.49), already ensuring the super cial convergence when " > 0. The above factorizations ensure that the super cial degree of divergence in $Z_{N}$ or $Z_{N}^{(0)}$ of any subgraph $P$ of $G$ is exactly that of $Z_{j p}$ itself, i.e., ( $f=j 1$ " $>0$. By recursion, this ensures the absolute convergence of the $m$ anifold Feynm an integrals. A com plete discussion has recourse to a generalized notion of $H$ epp sectors and is given in 22]. In the proof, it is convenient to rst consider D large enough where $d_{G}^{(D)}$ is a non-singularm easure, w ith a xed considered as an independent variable $0 \ll 1$, and to then continue to $D=22,0<D<2$, corresponding to the physical case.

W hen $"=0$, the integrals giving $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{(0)}$ are (logarithm ically) divergent. A nother consequence of Eqs. (2.50) and 2.51) is thus the possibility to devise a renorm alization operation $R$, as follow s. To each contracting rooted subgraph ( $P$; $p$ ) of $G$, we associate a Taylor operator $T_{(P ; p)}$, perform ing on interaction integrands the exact factorization corresponding to 2.50):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{(\mathbb{P} ; p)} I_{G}^{(0)}=I_{P} I_{G \overline{\overline{\mathrm{p}}^{P}}}^{(0)} ; \tag{2:52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly $T_{(\mathbb{P} ; p)} I_{G}=I_{P} I_{G \overline{\bar{p}} P}$. As in standard eld theory 17], the subtraction renor$m$ alization operator $R$ is then organized in term sof forests a la $Z \mathrm{imm}$ em ann. In $m$ anifold theory, we de ne a rooted forest as a set of rooted subgraphs ( $\mathbb{P} ; p$ ) such that any two subgraphs are either disjoint or nested, i.e., never partially overlap. E ach of these subgraphs in the forest $w$ illbe contracted tow ard its root under the action (2.52) of the corresponding Taylor operator. W hen two subgraphs P $\quad P^{0}$ are nested, the sm allest one is contracted rst tow ard its root $p$, the root $p^{0}$ of $P^{0}$ being itself attracted tow ard $p$ if $p^{0}$ happened to be in $P$. This hierarchical structure is anticipated by choosing the roots of the forest as com patible: in the case described above, if $p^{0} 2 P$, then $p^{0} \quad p . F$ inally, the renorm alization operator is written as a sum over all such com patibly rooted forests of $G$, denoted by $F$ :

$H$ ere $W$ is a necessary com binatorial weight associated with the degeneracy of com patible rootings, $W(F)=Q_{\substack{p \text { root } \\ \text { of } F}} 1=-P(p) j w$ ith $P(p)$ being the largest subgraph of the forest $F$ whose root is $p$. An im portant property is that, with com patible roots, the Taylor operators of a given forest now com $m$ ute 22]. The renorm alized am plitudes are de ned as Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{R}{ }_{N}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K}) \quad d_{G[f 0 g}^{(D)} R \quad\left[I_{G}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})\right]: \tag{2:54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sam e operation $R$ acting on $I_{G}$ leads autom atically by hom ogeneity to $R$ [ $\left.I_{G}\right]=0$ for If $j 2$. We state the essential result that now the renorm alized Feynm an integral (2.54) is convergent: $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(0)}<1$ for " = 0. A com plete proof of this renorm alizability property is given in 22] the analysis being inspired from the direct proof by Bergere and Lam of the renorm alizability in eld theory of Feynm an am plitudes in the representation 80 .

The physical interpretation of the renom alized am plitude (2.54) and of 2.53) is sim ple. Equations (2.51) and (2.52) show that the substitution for the bare am plitudes (2.49) of the renorm alized ones (2.54) am ounts to a reorganization to all orders of the original perturbation series in b , leading to the rem arkable identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{(0)}(\mathbb{K})=X_{N=1}^{X} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~b}_{R}\right)^{N}}{N!} Z_{N}^{R}{ }_{N}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K}) ; \tag{2:55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the renorm alized interaction param eter $b_{R}$ is sim ply here ( $m$ inus) the connected partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{Z}: \tag{2:56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his actually extends to any vertex fiunction, show ing that the theory is $m$ ade perturbatively nite (at " $=0$ ) by a full renorm alization of the coupling constant binto $Z$ itself, in agreem ent with the de nition of the second virial coe cient $g$ (423) above. From this result, one establishes the existence to all orders of the $W$ ilson function 2.37)

$$
W(g ; ")=x \frac{@ g}{@ X}_{b} ;
$$

describing the scaling properties of the interacting $m$ anifold for " close to zero, and which has a nite $\lim$ it up to $"=0$ [2k]. For " $>0$, an $\mathbb{R}$ xed point at b>0 yields universal excluded volum e exponents; for " < 0, the associated UV xed point at $\mathrm{b}<0$ describes a localization transition.

This dem onstrated how to de ne an interacting $m$ anifold $m$ odel $w$ ith continuous intemal dim ension, by use of distance geom etry, as a natural extension of the Schw inger representation for eld theories. Furthem ore, in the case of a pointw ise interaction, the m anifold m odel is indeed renorm alizable to all orders. The m ain ingredients are Schoenberg's theorem ofdistance geom etry, insuring that divergences occur only at short distances for ( nite) $m$ anifolds, and the short-distance factorization of the generalized Feynm an am plitudes. This provided probably the rst exam ple of a perturbative renorm alization established for extended geom etricalob jects 22]. This opens the w ay to the renorm alization theory of self-avoiding $m$ anifolds, which we now sketch.

## 3. SELF-AVOID $\mathbb{I N G}$ M AN $\mathbb{F} O L D S \& E D W A R D S M O D E L S$

### 3.1. Introduction

In this part, we concentrate on the renom alization theory of the $m$ odel of tethered self-avoiding $m$ anifolds (SAM ) 11,12], directly inspired by the $E$ dw ardsm odel forpolym ers [

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=k_{B} T=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{D} x r_{x} r(x)^{2}+\frac{b}{2}^{Z} d^{D} x d^{D} x^{0} d^{d}(x) r\left(x^{0}\right) ; \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an elastic Gaussian term and a self-avoidance two-body -potential with excluded volum e param eter $b>0$. N otioe that in contrast with the local interaction model (1.5) studied in $x 2$, the interaction here is non-local in $\backslash m$ anifold space" $R^{D}$.

The nite upper critical dim ension (u.c.d.) $d^{?}$ for the SA interaction exists only for m anifolds w th a continuous intemal dim ension $0<\mathrm{D}<2$. For D ! 2, $\mathrm{d}^{\text {? }}$ ! +1 . Phantom $m$ anifolds $(b=0)$ are crum pledw ith a nite $H$ ausdor dim ension $d_{H}=2 D=(2$ D ), and $d^{?}=2 d_{H}$. The "-expansion about $d^{?}$ perform ed in [11, 12,13], and described in part I above, was directly inspired by the des C loizeaux direct renorm alization (D R ) m ethod in polym er theory [14]. But the issue of the consistency of the $D R m$ ethod rem ained unanswered, since for $D \in 1$, model 3.1) cannot be mapped onto a standard ( $\left.{ }^{2}(x)\right)^{2}$ local eld theory.

The question ofboundary e ects in relation to the value of the con guration exponent also requires som e study [13]. It caused som e confusion in earlier publications [11,12, 13]. In part I of these lectures, we showed that a nite self-avoiding patch em bedded in an in nite $G$ aussian $m$ anifold has exponent $=1$ for any $0<D<2$; $D$. Here the cases of closed or open $m$ anifolds $w$ ith free boundaries $w i l l$ be considered.

### 3.2. R enorm alizability to rst order

The validity ofRG $m$ ethods and of scaling law $s w a s$ rst justi ed at leading order in " through explicit resum $m$ ations in 2d], in close analogy to the procedure described in $\times 2: 3$ above for the -interaction im purity $m$ odel. $W$ e shall not repeat all the argum ents here, but com $m$ ent on som e signi cant results.

Let us consider the spatial correlation function $[x(x) \simeq(0)]^{2}:$ For a G aussian (in nite) $m$ anifold it equals

In the presence of self-avoidance, it is expected to scale as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(x) x(0)]^{2} / \dot{x} x^{2} ; \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a swelling exponent $\quad 0=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & D\end{array}\right)=2$ for $d \quad d$. It can be directly evaluated by resum $m$ ation of leading divergences 20]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(x) r(0)]^{2}=d \frac{2}{S_{D}(2 \quad D)} \dot{x} \hat{j}{ }^{\mathcal{D}} \quad 1+\frac{a}{\#} b_{D} \dot{x} x j^{\prime \prime=} 2^{a_{0}=a} \text {; } \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b_{b}$ is sim ply the bare interaction param eter b conveniently dressed by coe cients

$$
\left.b_{D}=\left(2 A_{D}\right)^{d=2} b=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4=S_{D}(2 & D
\end{array}\right)\right]^{d=2} b ;
$$

and where $a_{0}$ and a are two universal coe cients 40]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a_{0}=\frac{S_{D}^{2}}{D} \frac{2}{2} ; \quad a=S_{D}^{2} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2} D \frac{{ }^{2}(D=(2 \quad D))}{(2 D=(2} \quad D\right)\right) ; \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling behavior (3.3) is then directly recovered from (3.4) in the large distance or strong self-avoidance $\lim$ it $\operatorname{bjx} j^{\prime \prime}=2!+1$, with a value of the swelling exponent at rst order in ":

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2 \quad D}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{0}}{a} \frac{"}{2} ; \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or explicitly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{2 \quad D}{2} 1+\frac{"}{2} \frac{1}{2 D} 1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D} \frac{{ }^{2}(\mathrm{D}=(2 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{(2 \mathrm{D}=(2} \mathrm{D}\right)\right){ }^{1}\right) \text {; } \tag{3:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w th the result (324) of part I.
Sim ilarly, for a m anifold of nite volum $\mathrm{EV}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{D}}$, one de nes a dim ensionless excluded volum e param eter $z$, as in part I of these lectures, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=b_{D} X^{2 D} \quad(2 D) d=2=\left(2 A_{D}\right)^{d=2} b X^{"=2}: \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne nds an e ective size of the $m$ em brane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=[x(X) x(0)]^{2}=X_{0}(z ; ") d \frac{2}{S_{D}(2 \quad D)} X^{2} D \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}(z ; ")$ is the swelling factor w ith respect to the $G$ aussian size $[x(X) \quad r(0)]_{0}^{2}$ (3.2), as introduced in part I, Eq. (3.1). T he direct resum $m$ ation of leading divergences to allperturbative orders gives 20]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}(z ; ")=1+\frac{a}{n} b_{D} X^{"=2^{a_{0}=a}=1+\frac{a}{n} z^{a_{0}=a}: ~} \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At rst order in z , we recover

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(z ; ")=1+\frac{a_{0}}{n} z+O\left(z^{2}\right) ; \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the perturbative result (2.44) of part I.
W e also intoduced in part I, Eqs. (3.7-9), the dim ension less second virial coe cient g

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\quad\left(2 R^{2}=d\right) \quad d=2 \frac{Z_{2 ; c}}{Z_{1}^{2}} ; \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2 ; c}$ are respectively the (connected) $1-m$ anifold and $2-m$ anifold partition functions. The sam e direct resum $m$ ation of leading divergences in perturbation theory gives for $g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{z}{1+a z="} ; \tag{3:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a rst order expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=z \quad z^{2} a="+\quad ; \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent with I. Eqs. (3.16-17) [a was noted as $a^{0}{ }_{D}$ there.]
It is interesting to observe the follow ing fact, key to a rigorous approach to renorm alizablity to rst order. The RG ow equations were obtained in part I. Eqs. (3.4) (3.19) (3.22) from rst order results (here II. (3.11), (3.14)) for the scaling functions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
W(g ; ")=X \frac{@ g}{@ X}=\frac{"}{2} z \frac{@ g}{@ z}=\frac{"}{2} z \quad z^{2} a+\quad \frac{"}{2} g^{2} \frac{a}{2}+O\left(g^{2}\right) \\
X \frac{@}{@ X} \ln X_{0}(z ; ")=\frac{"}{2} z \frac{@}{@ z} \ln X_{0}(z ; ")=\frac{1}{2} a_{0} z+\quad \frac{1}{2}=a_{0} g+O\left(g^{2}\right): \tag{3:16}
\end{array}
$$

W hen truncated to this order, their solutions are exactly the resum $m$ ed expressions (3.13) and 3.10). Tuming things around, the direct resum $m$ ation of leading poles in " indeed establishes one-loop renorm alizability [16,20].

### 3.3. R enorm alizabilty to all orders

W e brie y describe below the form alism that allow s to prove the validity of the RG approach to self-avoiding $m$ anifolds, as well as to a larger class of $m$ anifold $m$ odels $w$ ith non-local interactions. (See [22], [26], for further details.). This form alism is based on an operator product expansion involving multi-local singular operators, which allow s a system atic analysis of the short-distance ultraviolet singularities of the Edw ards m odel. At the critical dim ension $d^{?}$, one can classify all of the relevant operators and show that the $m$ odel (3.1) is renorm alizable to all orders by renorm alizations (i) of the coupling b; and (ii) of the position eld $r$. A s a consequence, one establishes the validity of scaling laws for in nite $m$ embranes, as well as the existence of nite size scaling laws for nite $m$ em branes. The latter result ensures the consistency of the D $R$ approach.

A peculiar result, which distinguishes $m$ anifolds $w$ ith non-integer $D$ from open linear polym ers w ith $\mathrm{D}=1$, is the absence of boundary operator $m$ ultiplicative renom alization, leading to the general hyperscaling relation for the con guration exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \quad d ; \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for nite SAM w ith $0<D<2, D \in 1 . N$ ote that this hyperscaling value is also valid for closed linear polym ers (see, e.g., 31].) This result is valid for closed or open $m$ anifolds w ith free boundaries, and has the sam e origin as the result $=1$ obtained in part I for a nite SA patch embedded in an in nite manifold (see I. x2:2:2 and x2:2:3:)

### 3.4. P erturbation theory and dipole representation

A s in part I, the partition function is de ned by the functional integral:

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}[\mathbb{x}(\mathrm{x})] \exp \quad \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{x}]=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} \quad:
$$

It has a perturbative expansion in b, form ally given by expanding the exponential of the contact interaction

$$
\begin{align*}
Z= & Z_{0} X_{N=0}^{X} \frac{(b=2)^{N}}{N!} Z_{i=1}^{2 N} d^{D} x_{i}{ }_{a=1}^{N} d\left(x\left(X_{2 a}\right) \quad x\left(x_{2 a} \quad 1\right)\right)_{0} \\
& Z_{0} X_{N=0}^{X} \frac{(b=2)^{N}}{N!} Z_{N} ; \tag{3:19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ is the partition function of the $G$ aussian $m$ anifold（hence $Z_{0} \quad 1$ ），and $h \quad i$ denotes the average $w$ ith respect to the $G$ aussian $m$ anifold $(b=0)$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\quad 0))^{\dot{⿱ 一 土}}{\frac{1}{Z_{0}}}^{Z} D[x(x)] \exp \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{D} x\left(r_{x} x(x)\right)^{2} \quad(\quad): \tag{3:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hysical observables are provided by average values of operators，which m ust be in－ variant under global translations．U sing Fourier representation，localoperators can alw ays be generated by the exponential operators（or vertex operators），of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iqq} x(z)}: \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In perturbation theory the eld $\Psi(x)$ w illbe treated as a m assless free eld and them om enta q will appear as the \charges＂associated with the translations in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ．Translationally invariant operators are then provided by \neutral＂products of such local operators，

T he perturbative expansion for these observables is sim ply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{Z}_{N=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{(b=2)^{N}}{N!} Z_{N} \quad\left(f q_{1} g\right): \tag{3:23}
\end{align*}
$$

Each function in（3．19）and（3．23）can itself be written in term of two exponential operators as
$V$ iew ing again the $m$ om enta $\widetilde{K}_{1}, \widetilde{K}_{2}$ as charges assigned to the points $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ ，the bi－local operator（324）corresponds to a dipole，w ith charges $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1}=\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{2}=\widetilde{\mathrm{k}}$ ，integrated over its intemal charge $\mathbb{K}$ ．W e depict graphically each such dipole as


Fig．5：The dipole representing the interaction in（3．24）．

Sim ilarly, the product of bi-local operators in 3.19) and 3.23) can be written as an ensemble of N dipoles, that is as the product of 2 N vertex operators w ith N \dipolar constraints"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{f} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}=(2)^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{2 \mathrm{a}} 1+\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; \tag{3:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then integrated over all intemal charges $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a=1}^{N} d\left(\Upsilon\left(X_{2 a}\right) \quad \Upsilon\left(X_{2 a} \quad 1\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{Z N} \frac{d^{d} \widetilde{K}_{i}}{(2)^{d}}{ }_{a=1}^{N} C_{a} £ \widetilde{K}_{i} g_{i=1}^{2 N} e^{i K_{i} x\left(x_{i}\right)}: \tag{3:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ roducts of such bi-local operators and of extemal vertex operators, as in (3.23), are depicted by diagram s such as that of F ig. 6 .


Fig. 6: D ipole and charges representing bi-localoperators and extemalvertex operators in 323).

The $\underset{P}{G}$ aussian average in 3.19), 3.26) is easily perform ed, and $w$ ith the neutrality condition ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} \widetilde{K}_{i}=0$, we can rew rite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{e^{i \mathbb{K}_{i} x\left(x_{i}\right)}} 0=\exp \frac{1}{2}_{i ; j}^{X} \widetilde{K}_{i} \widetilde{K}_{j} G\left(X_{i} \quad x_{j}\right) ; \tag{3:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith, as before, the translationally invariant two-point function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} h r\left(x_{i}\right) r\left(x_{j}\right)^{2}{\dot{i_{0}}}=\frac{\dot{x}_{i} x_{j}{ }^{\mathcal{J} D}}{(2 \quad D) S_{D}}: \tag{3:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration over the $m$ om enta $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{i}$ then gives for the $N$ 'th term of the perturbative expansion for the partition function Z (3.19) the \m anifold integral"

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=(2)^{N d=2} \int_{i=1}^{2 N} d^{D} x_{i} \quad f x_{i} g^{\frac{d}{2}} ; \tag{3:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $f x{ }_{i} g$ the determ inant associated $w$ th the auxiliary quadratic form (now on $R^{2 N}$ ) $Q f k_{i} g \quad{ }_{i ; j=1}^{2 N} k_{i} k_{j} G\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)$ restricted to the $N$-dim ensional vector space de ned by the $N$ neutrality constraints $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{i}=0$. $\mathrm{fx}{ }_{i} \mathrm{~g}$ is given explicitly by the determ inant of the $N \quad N$ matrix $a b$ (w ith row and colum ns labeled by the dipole indiges $a ; b=$ 1; ; $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$
$=\operatorname{det} a b ; a b=G\left(x_{2 a} 1 ; x_{2 b}\right)+G\left(x_{2 a} ; x_{2 b}\right) \quad G\left(x_{2 a} 1 ; x_{2 b}\right) \quad G\left(x_{2 a} ; x_{2 b} 1\right):$

Sim ilarly, then'th term in the perturbative expansion of the $P$-point observable 3.23) is
$l m$ is the $(m) m$ inor of the $(P+N) \quad(P+N) m$ atrix
$N$ ote that a proper analytic continuation in $D$ of (3.29) and 3.31) is insured, as in Section 2 above, by the use of distance geom etry, where the Euclidean m easure over the $x_{i}$ is understood as the corresponding $m$ easure over the $m$ utual squared distances $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\text {J }}$, a distribution analytic in D 22].

## 3.5. $S$ ingular con gurations and electrostatics in $R^{D}$

The integrand in (3.29) is singular when the determ inant vanishes, $f x{ }_{i} 9=0$; or unde ned if the latter becom es negative. The associated quadratic form $Q \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}=$ ${ }^{2 N} k_{i} k_{j} G\left(x_{i} ; x_{j}\right)$, restricted by the $N$ neutrality constraints $C_{a} f k_{i} g: k_{2 a}+k_{2 a} \quad=0 ; a=$ $1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}$, is exactly the electrostatic energy of a gas of 2 N scalar charges located at points $x_{i}$ in $R^{D}$, and constrained to form $N$ neutral pairs a of charges (dipoles). For such a globally neutral gas, the Coulombenergy is minim al when the charge density is zero everywhere, i.e., when the non zero charges $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ aggregate into neutral \atom s ". W hen $0<D<2$, because of the vanishing of the C oulom b potential at the origin, $G(0)=0$, the corresponding $m$ in im al energy is furtherm ore zero, which im plies that the quadratic form $Q$ is non-negative, and thus its determ inant is also non-negative: 0.

Singular $f x_{i} g$ con gurations, $w$ ith $=0$, still exist when $Q$ is degenerate, which happens when som e dipoles are assem bled in such a way that, w ith appropriate non-zero


Fig. 7: The notions of \atom s" and \m olecules", built up from dipoles.


Fig. 8: A general diagram with two extemal points and three intemal dipoles, representing bi-local interactions a (a); \m olecules" describing singular con gurations w ith one (b), tw ○ ( $c, d$ ) and three (e) \atom $s^{\prime \prime}$. (b,c,d) give UV divergences, (e) does not.
charges, they still can build neutral atom $s$. This requires som $e$ of the points $x_{i}$ to coincide and the corresponding dipoles to form at least one closed loop ( $F$ ig. 7). This ensures that the only sources ofdivergences are short-distance singularities, and extends the Schoenberg theorem used above.

### 3.6. M u lti-local O perator $P$ roduct Expansion

A singular con guration can thus be viewed as a connected $\backslash m$ olecule" (Fig. 7), characterized by a set $M$ of \atom $s^{\prime \prime} p$ with assigned positions $x_{p}$, and by a set $L$ of links a betw een these atom $s$, representing the dipolar constraints $C_{a}$ associated $w$ th the
$\left.{ }^{d}\left(x\left(x_{2 a}\right) \quad x_{\left(x_{2 a}\right.} 1\right)\right)$ interactions. For each $p$, we denote by $P_{p}$ the set of charges $i$, at $x_{i}$, close to point $p$, which build the atom $p$ and de ne the relative (short) distances $y_{i}=x_{i} \quad x_{p}$ fori2 $P_{p}$ (Fig. 8).

The short-distance singularity of $\quad d=2$ is then analyzed by perform ing a sm all $y_{i}$ expansion of the product of the bilocal operators a for the links a 2 L , in the G aussian $m$ anifold theory (Eq. 3.23)). This expansion around $M$ can be written as a multi-local operator product expansion (MOPE)
where the sum runs over allmulti-local operators of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f x_{p g}=d^{Z} d_{p 2 M}^{n}:\left(r_{r}\right)^{q_{p}} \quad d\left(r \quad \Upsilon\left(x_{p}\right)\right) \quad A_{p}\left(x_{p}\right): \tag{3:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $A_{p}\left(x_{p}\right) \quad A^{\left(r_{p} ; s_{p}\right)}\left[r_{x} ; x^{\left.\left(x_{p}\right)\right]}\right.$ is a local operator at point $x_{p}$, which is a com bination of powers of $x$-derivatives and eld $₫$, of degree $S_{p}$ in $\Upsilon\left(X_{p}\right)$ and degree $r_{p} \quad S_{p}$ in $r_{x}$. $\left(r_{x}\right)^{q_{0}}$ denotes a product of $q_{p}$ derivatives w th respect to $x$, acting on ${ }^{d}(x)\left(X_{p}\right)$ ). The sym bol \: :" denotes the norm al product subtraction prescription at $x_{p}$ ( $w$ hich, in a $G$ aussian average, am ounts to setting to zero any derivative of the propagator $G_{i j}$ at coinciding points $x_{i}=x_{j}=x_{p}$ ). For Card (M) $\quad M \quad j>1$, 3.34) describes the most general M jłody contact interaction betw een the points $x_{p}$, w ith possible inserted local operators $A_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)$ at each point $x_{p}$. For $\mathbb{M} j=1$, it reduces to a local operator $A_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)$.
$T$ he coe cient associated w ith the operator in the MOPE, C ::: fyig, can be w ritten as an integral over the $m$ om enta $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{i}$ :
where $C^{A_{p}} f_{y_{i}} ; \mathbb{K}_{i} g$ is a monom ial in the $f_{y_{i}} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{i} g^{\prime} s$, associated $w$ th the operator $A_{p}$, of sim ilar global degree $r_{p}$ in the $f y_{i} g^{\prime} s^{\prime}$, and $s_{p}$ in the $f \widetilde{K}_{i} g^{\prime} s$. The product ${ }^{0}$ is over all constraints a 2 L but one.

TheM OPE 3.33) follow s from the expression 3.24) in term sof free eld exponentials plus constraints, and is established in 26].

(a)

(b)

Fig. 9: \M olecules" M producing (a) the one-body local elastic term $=:\left(\mathrm{r} \Upsilon_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}:$, and (b) the two-body SA interaction term $={ }^{d}\left(\Upsilon_{\mathrm{p}} \Upsilon_{\mathrm{p}^{0}}\right)$.

### 3.7. P ow er counting and renorm alization

TheM OPE (3.33) allow sus to determ ine those singular con gurations which give rise to actual UV divergences in the $m$ anifold integrals 3.29) or 3.31). Indeed, for a given singular con guration $M$, by integrating over the dom ain where the relative positions $y_{i}=x_{i} \quad x_{p}$ are of order $\dot{y}_{i} j^{<}$, we can use the MOPE 3.33) to obtain an expansion of the integrand in (3.23) in powers of . Each coe cient C ::: gives a contribution of order ! , w ith degree! given by power counting as
with $0=(2 \quad D)=2<1$ and $r_{p} \quad S_{p}$. W henever! $\quad 0$, a UV divergence occurs, as a factor multiplying the insertion of the corresponding operator .

At the upper critical dim ension $\mathrm{d}^{?}=2 \mathrm{D}=0,!$ becom es independent of the num ber
 G aussian theory.

O nly three relevant multi-local operators , with! 0 and such that the corresponding coe cient does not vanish by sym $m$ etry, are found by sim ple inspection. Two of these operators are $m$ arginal $(!=0)$ at $d^{?}:$ (i) the one-body localelastic term $:\left(r \Upsilon_{p}\right)^{2}:$, obtained for $M \quad j=1$ ( $q=0, r=s=2$ ); (ii) the two-body $S A$ interaction term ${ }^{d}\left(\Upsilon_{p} \Upsilon_{p_{0}}\right)$ itself, obtained through singular con gurationswith $\mathrm{M} ~ \mathrm{j}=2$ atom s (and with $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{s}=0$ for $p$ and $p^{0}$ ) (see Fig. 9).

A third operator is relevant with ! = D, i.e., the identity operator 1 obtained when $\mathfrak{M} j=1(q=r=s=0)$. It describes insertions of local \free energy" divergences
along the $m$ anifold, proportional to the $m$ anifold volum $e$, which factor out of partition functions like 3.19), to cancel out in correlation functions 3.23), as already explained in part I, x2:22:

The above analysis deals w th super cial UV divergences only. A com plete analysis of the general UV singularities associated w ith successive contractions tow ard \nested" singular con gurations can be perform ed 26], using the sam e techniques as in 22] (II. $x 2: 8 \mathrm{above}$. A basic fact is that an iteration of the MOPE only generates multi-local operators of the sam e type (3.34).

The results are 26]: (i) that the observables 3.23) are UV nite for $d<d^{?}$ (D), and are $m$ erom orphic functions in $d$ with poles at $d=d^{\text {? }}$; (ii) that a renorm alization operation $R$, sim ilar to the subtraction operation of $2: 8$ above 22], can be achieved to rem ove these poles; (iii) that this operation am ounts to a renorm alization of the $H$ am iltonian 3.1).
$M$ ore explicitly, the renom alized correlation functions ${ }_{l=1}^{P} e^{i q_{1} x_{R}\left(z_{1}\right)}{ }_{R}$ have a nite perturbative expansion in the renorm alized coupling $b_{R}$, when $\quad R$ is the average wr.t the renom alized H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{R}=k_{B} T=\frac{1}{2} Z^{Z} d^{D} x r_{x} \varkappa_{R}(x)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} b_{R} \quad=2 Z_{b} \quad d^{D} x^{Z} \quad d^{D} x^{0} d \mathscr{r}_{R}(x) \quad \Upsilon_{R}\left(x^{0}\right): \tag{3:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is a renorm alization (intemal) $m$ om entum scale, necessary for in nite $m$ anifolds, " = 4D $2 \mathrm{~d}_{0} ; \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{b}_{R}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ are respectively the eld and coupling constant renor$m$ alization factors, singular at $"=0$.

At rst order, one nds by explicitly calculating $C^{(r x)^{2}}$ and $C$ that 26]

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=1+\left(2 A_{D}\right) \quad d=2 \frac{b_{k}}{n} \frac{S_{D}^{2}(2 \quad D)}{2 D} ; \\
\left.\left.Z_{b}=1+\left(2 A_{D}\right) \quad d=2 \frac{D_{k}}{"} \frac{S_{D}^{2}}{2} \frac{2(D=(2}{} \quad D\right)\right) \\
\left(2 D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & D))
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

w ith $A_{D}=\left[S_{D}(2 \quad D)=2\right]^{1}$. For quantities which do not stay nite in the in nitem anifold lim it $V$ ! +1 , like partition functions, a shift in the free energy (i.e., an \additive conterterm " in $H_{R}$ ), proportional to $V$, is also necessary.

E xpressing the observables of the SAM model 3.1) in term sof renorm alized variables $\mathscr{r}^{=}=\mathrm{Z}^{1=2} \mathscr{x}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad{ }^{"=2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}=2}$, one can derive in the standard way RG equations
 $\mathbb{R}$ xed point $b_{R}^{?} /$ " such that $W\left(b_{R}^{?}\right)=0$ is found for $">0$. It govems the large distance
behavior of the SA in nite $m$ anifold, which obeys scaling law $s$ characterized by the size exponent. The value obtained in this approach, $=\left(\frac{b_{R}^{?}}{2}\right)$, coincides $w$ ith that obtained at rst order in " in Eq. 3.7) above 11-13, 20] 3
3.8. $F$ in ite size scaling and direct renorm alization

The direct renom alization form alism considered in part I, and in II. x3:2 above, deals $w$ th $n$ ite $m$ anifolds $w$ ith intemal volum $e V$, and expresses scaling fiunctions in term s of a dim ensionless second virial coe cient ( $\overline{\text {.12) }} \mathrm{g}=\left(2 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{d}\right){ }^{\mathrm{d}=2} \mathrm{Z}_{2 ; \mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)^{2}$, where $\quad Z_{1}(V)\left(=Z=Z_{0}\right)$ and $Z_{2 ; c}(V)$ are respectively the one- and (connected) two$m$ embrane partition functions, and $R$ is the e ective radius of the $m$ em brane.
$W$ hen dealing $w$ ith a nite closed $m$ anifold (for instance the $D$-dim ensional sphere $S_{D}$ (II. x2:6 and [22]), characterized by its (curved) intemalm etric, them assless propagator $G$ gets m odi ed. N evertheless, from the short-distance expansion of $G$ in a generalm etric, 5 one can show that the short-distance MOPE (3.33) rem ains valid. The expansion then extends to $\mathrm{multi-local}$ operators of the form ( $\overline{34}$, with local operators $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{x}$ ) which $m$ ay involve the $R$ iem ann curvature tensor and its derivatives, $w$ ith appropriate coe cients C ::: 26]. Still, the coe cients for those operators that do not involve derivatives of the $m$ etric stay the sam e as in Euclidean at space.

At $d^{?}, \mathrm{UV}$ divergences still com e with insertions of relevant $m$ ulti-local operators with! 0 . W hen $0<D<2$, the operators involving curvature are found by power counting to be all irrelevant. Thus the at in nite membrane counterterms Z and Z still renorm alize the (curved) nite $m$ em brane theory. Standard argum ents parallel to those of [16] for polym ers then help to establish the direct renorm alization form alism (see [26]). The second virial coe cient $g(b ; V)$ (as any dim ensionless scaling function) is $U V$ nite once expressed as a function $g_{R}\left(b_{R} ; V^{D}\right.$ ) of $b_{R}$ (and ). Then the scaling functions, when expressed in term s of $g$, obey RG ow equations, and stay nite up to $"=0$. The existence of a non-trivial $\mathbb{R}$ xed point $b_{R}^{?}$ for " $>0$ implies that in the large volum e or strong interaction lim it, $b V V^{"=2 D}$ ! +1, $g$ reaches a nite lim it $g^{?}=g_{R}\left(b_{R}^{?}\right.$ ) (independent of ${ }^{D}$ ), and so do all scaling functions. This is just direct renorm alization, Q ED .

4 On can notice the identity betw een coe cients in the renorm alization factors $\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{b}$ above, and (3.5).
${ }^{5}$ The expansion at the origin of the $m$ assless propagator $G$ on a curved $m$ anifold reads in R iem ann norm alcoordinates $G(x)^{\prime} G(x) \frac{j \times j^{2}}{2 D}:(r r)^{2}:$, with $G(x) / \dot{x} \jmath^{D}$ the propagator in in nite at space, and next order term so ( $\dot{x}^{j} j^{4} D$ ) proportional to the curvature and subdom inant for $D$ < 2; the norm alproduct (: :) is still de ned w.r.t. in nite at space, and gives explicitly for a nite $m$ anifold $w$ th volumeV $:(r r)^{2}:=1=V$.

### 3.9. H yperscaling

Let us rst consider a closed $m$ anifold. A s mentioned above, the renom alization of partition functions for a (nite) SAM requires a shift ( $f_{D} X^{D}$ ) of the free energy, proportional to the $m$ anifold volum e $V$, and corresponding to the integration of a local contact divergence in the bulk. The con guration exponent is then de ned by the scaling of the partition function ${ }^{6}$
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}(V)=Z_{0}{ }^{1} \quad D[x]{ }^{d}(x(0)) e^{H} f_{D} V \quad V \frac{1}{D}: \tag{3:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

A consequence of the absence for closed SAM, for $0<D<2$, of relevant geom etrical operators other than the point insertion one, is the generalhyperscaling law 3.17) relating
to : $\quad 1=d=D$ : Indeed, from ( 3.38 ), $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ is sim ply m ultiplicatively renorm alized as $Z_{1}(b ; V)=Z^{d=2} Z_{1}^{R}\left(O_{R} ; V^{D}\right)$. This validates the hyperscaling hypothesis that $Z_{1}$ $j_{j r j}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \quad \mathrm{V}{ }^{\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{D}}$. Eq. 3.17) can been checked explicitly at order " for the sphere $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and the torus $T_{D}$.

For an open SAM w ith free boundaries, and when $1 \quad \mathrm{D}<2$, the boundary operator R $d_{\text {boundary }}{ }^{1} \mathrm{x} 1$ is relevant, requiring a boundary free energy $\operatorname{shiff}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{1}\right)$. Since this does not enter into the bulk MOPE, it does not modify the renom alizations of $r$ and b. Furthem ore, only for integer $D=1$ is it $m$ arginally relevant [13], as explained in part I; thus for D \& 1 the hyperscaling relation 3.17) remains valid. Only for open polym ens at $D=1$, do the corresponding (zero-dim ensional) end-point divergences enter the m ultiplicative renom alization of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$, and becom es an independent exponent. In polym er theory, an independent exponent actually appears for each star vertex 32].

Previous calculations $11,12,13]$ did not involve the $m$ assless propagator $G$ on a nite $m$ anifold $w$ th $N$ eum ann boundary conditions, but the sim pler propagator $G$ 328), corresponding to a nite SA patch immersed in an in nite $G$ aussian $m$ anifold. The sam e non-renorm alization argum ent, as explained in [13] and in part $I$, yields $=1$ for noninteger $D$.
$W$ hen $D=2$, operators involving curvature and boundaries becom e relevant, and 3.17) is not expected to hold, either for closed or open $m$ anifolds.
${ }^{6}$ Here we consider $Z_{1}(V) \quad \exp \left(f_{D} X^{D}\right) Z=Z_{0}$; i.e., the dim ensionally regularized partition function, which includes the free energy shift (see I. x2:2:2).

### 3.10. -point and long-range interactions

The above form alism is actually directly applicable to a large class of m anifold models where the interaction can be expressed in term s of free eld exponentials w ith suitable neutrality constraints $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{f} \mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$. E xam ples of such interactions are the n -body contact potentials, or the tw o-body long-range C oulom b potentiall=jr $r^{0} f^{2}$, represented by modi ed dipolar constraints $C f \widetilde{K}_{i} g=\widetilde{K}^{j}{ }^{2}{ }^{d}\left(\widetilde{K}+\widetilde{K}^{0}\right)$. In these $m$ odels the MOPE involves the sam $e$ m ulti-local operators as in 3.34), with coe cients (35) built with the corresponding constraints $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

A san application of the M OPE, one nds that for a polym erized membrane at the point where the tw o-body term $b$ in 3.1) vanishes, them ost relevant short-range interaction is either the usual tricritical three-body contact potential, w ith u.c.d. $d_{3}^{?}=3 D=(2 \quad D)$, as for ordinary polym ers [33], or the twobody singular potential ${ }_{x}{ }^{d}\left(r r^{0}\right)$ w ith u.c.d. $a_{i}^{2}=2(3 D \quad 2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & D\end{array}\right)$. The latter is the $m$ ost relevant one when $D>4=3$ (see 34]).
$T$ he very absence oflong-range interactions in the M OPE show sthat those interactions are not renom alized. W hen considering charged polym erized $m$ embranes $w$ ith a twobody C oulom b potential for instance, the only ( $m$ arginally) relevant operator at the upper criticaldim ension is the localelastic energy density : $(\mathrm{r} \Upsilon)^{2}:$, which indicates that only $r$ is renorm alized. A s a consequence, one can show that $=2 \mathrm{D}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{d} & 2\end{array}\right)$ exactly, generalizing a well-know $n$ result for polym ers [35].

W e did not address here other interesting issues: the approach to the physicalD $=2$ case from the $\mathrm{D}<2 \mathrm{~m}$ anifold theory 27,36], num erical sim ulations of 2D polym erized $m$ em branes [10], or the question of the actual physical phase (crum pled or at) of a twodim ensional polym erized $m$ em brane in d-space 37]. W e have concentrated instead on those $m$ ore fiundam ental aspects of renorm alization theory, that have been driven by the fascinating properties of these uctuating polym erized $m$ em branes.
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