# Enhancement of Critical Current across Single Grain Boundaries in Y Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>x</sub> and Search for Superconductivity in A lkaliM etals

T.Tom ita<sup>a</sup>, S.Deem yad<sup>a</sup>, J.J.Ham lin<sup>a</sup>, J.S.Schilling<sup>a</sup>, V.G.Tissenb, B.W.Veal<sup>c</sup>, L.Chen<sup>c</sup>, H.Claus<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, W ashington University, CB 1105, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Solid State Physics, Chemogolovka 142432, M oscow D istrict, Russia <sup>c</sup>M aterials Science D ivision, Argonne N ational Labs, 9700 S.Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439, USA

#### A bstract

The ability of single grain boundaries in  $YBa_2Cu_3O_x$  bicrystalline rings to carry electrical current is found to be signi cantly enhanced under hydrostatic pressure. Nearly hydrostatic pressures are applied to the alkali m etals Li, Na, and K. in a search for superconductivity. W hereas Libecom es superconducting above 20 GPa at tem peratures as high as 15 K, no superconductivity was observed above 4 K in Na to 65 GPa nor in K above 4 K to 43.5 GPa or above 1.5 K to 35 GPa.

#### 1 Introduction

The rst high pressure experiments on a superconductor were carried out in 1925 by Sizoo and Onnes [1], 14 years after the discovery of the rst superconductor [2]. Since then high-pressure investigations have had an important impact on the eld. Following the discovery of a new superconductor, one of the rst experiments is to determ ine the pressure dependence of the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$ : If the magnitude of  $dT_c$ =dP is large, one can have good hope that higher values of  $T_c$  at ambient pressure are possible. In addition, a comparison of the pressure dependences of  $T_c$  with those of selected norm all state properties provides information regarding the mechanism (s) responsible for the superconductivity, as recently illustrated for M gB<sub>2</sub>, the high- $T_c$  oxides, and the alkali-doped fullerenes [3].

In this paper we give further examples to illustrate how the high-pressure technique can provide vital information and uncover new physics for two completely different classes of superconductors: (1) the high-pressure enhancement of the critical current density  $J_c$  across a single grain boundary (GB) in bicrystalline rings of the high- $T_c$  oxide YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>x</sub> (YBCO), and (2) pressure-induced superconductivity in the alkalimetals. The observation of pressure-induced superconductivity in Li is at odds with the conventional wisdom that metals become more free-electron-like under pressure.

# 2 Results on YBCO Grain Boundaries

Shortly after the discovery of the high- $T_c$  oxides in 1986 it became clear that the  $J_c$ -values for polycrystalline materials not only are vastly inferior to the those obtained in single crystalline samples, but also degrade sharply in a magnetic eld [4]. Experiments on epitaxially-grown YBCO thin Ims demonstrated that this strong degradation in  $J_c$  resulted from the presence of grain boundaries in the polycrystals [5]; for adjacent grains with parallel c-axes,  $J_c$  was found to decrease roughly exponentially with increasing misorientation angle [6]. P inpointing the mechanism s behind this  $J_c$  degradation and developing strategies to enhance  $J_c$  to the values needed for many applications have been at the focus of intensive research form any years.

Possible candidates for the  $J_c$  degradation include various types of in perfections in or at the GB such as site disorder, lattice strains, and oxygen vacancies [4, 7, 8]. H igh-pressure experiments may shed some light on these matters by modifying the conditions at the GB in several di erent ways: (1) reduction of tunneling barrier width, (2) varying the degree of lattice strain, (3) enhancing the degree of oxygen ordering in the GB, in analogy with the well studied pressure-induced oxygen ordering e ects in the bulk [9, 10]. The very existence of relaxation e ects due to oxygen ordering in the GB would signal that the oxygen sublattice is only partially full and thus capable of accepting further oxygen doping.

P revious ac susceptibility studies on bulk polycrystalline sam ples of Y B  $a_2$ C  $u_4$ O  $_8$ and T  $l_2$ C  $aB a_2$ C  $u_2$ O  $_8$  indicated that the bulk  $J_c$  was enhanced through pressure, but it was not possible to extract detailed inform ation [11]. Studies of pressure-dependent e ects for a single grain boundary are clearly needed. Such experiments have recently become possible with the availability of bicrystalline rings of Y B  $a_2$ C  $u_3$ O  $_x$  with varying m isorientation angles and oxygen content x [12].

The He-gas pressure cell (Unipress) suitable for hydrostatic pressure studies to 1.4 GPa is shown in Fig. 1. The YBCO bicrystalline ring with typical dimensions 5 mm O D . x 3 mm ID . x 1 mm is mounted in the sample holder made of Vespel which is placed in the 7 mm bore of the BeC u pressure cell. An alum ina cylinder is positioned above the sample holder to reduce the volume of He in the cell.

Two counterwound pickup coils are used in the ac susceptibility measurement, one positioned around the YBCO ring, the other 2.3 mm below. To vary the temperature over the range 6-300 K the pressure cell is placed in the sample tube of a closed-cycle refrigerator (Balzers) with the BeCu capillary tube ( $3 \text{ mm } 0 \text{ D} \cdot x 0.3 \text{ mm } \text{ ID}$ .) exiting out the top and connected to a three-stage He-gas compressor system (Harwood) to 1.4 GPa with a digital manganin gauge. Since primary ac eld am plitudes as high as 300 G are required, internal heating e ects are avoided by removing the eld coil from the pressure cell and placing it outside the tail piece of the cryostat. To ensure full eld penetration to the sample, the frequency of the ac eld was reduced to 1 Hz. Standard ac susceptibility techniques are used with a SR 830 digital lockin am pli er.

In Fig. 2 (left) the real part of the ac susceptibility  $a_{c}$  is plotted versus tem -

perature for an ac eld amplitude of 1 G at both ambient and 0.6 GPa hydrostatic pressure. The sample studied is a YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6.9</sub> bicrystalline ring with m isorienta-= 30: At tem peratures below 88 K at am bient pressure, the applied tion angle ux is not able to penetrate into the ring since the induced current density through the two grain boundaries in the ring lies below the critical value  $J_c$  . Since  $J_c$  (T) decreases m onotonically with increasing tem perature, as the tem perature is raised, a value T = 87.9 K is reached where ux begins to penetrate through the weaker of the two grain boundaries into the interior of the ring; this leads to a sharp break in both the real and imaginary parts of  $_{\rm ac}$  (see vertical arrow in Fig. 2 (left)) at the kink tem perature  $T_{kink}$ : As the tem perature is increased further, additional ux penetrates into the center of the ring, but not through the superconducting material of the ring itself, until a plateau is reached above 90 K. A bove 91.7 K m agnetic ux begins to penetrate into the ring m aterial until above 92 K all superconductivity has been destroyed and the ux distribution is uniform. These results from ac susceptibility measurements are in excellent agreement with parallel dc susceptibility measurements using a SQUID magnetometer on the same YBCO ring.

The shielding current I around the ring is directly proportional to the eld am – plitude H; I = DH; where, to a good approximation, D is the outer diameter of the ring [12]. In Fig. 2 (left) it is seen that under 0.6 GPa pressure  $T_{kink}$  shifts to higher temperatures, i.e.  $J_c$  at a given temperature increases. This means that under hydrostatic pressure the ability of the GB to carry current is enhanced. This result is con meed by further studies at magnetic eld am plitudes to 23 G which suppress  $T_{kink}$  to temperatures below 20 K, as seen in Fig. 2 (right). In this gure the calculated values for  $J_c$  are given, where  $J_c = I_c = A$  and A is the cross-sectional area of the ring. At all measured temperatures  $J_c$  is seen to increase rapidly with hydrostatic pressure at the rate + 26 % /GPa which is much more rapid than that of  $T_c$  for the bulk material ( 0.24 % /GPa).

We also nd the change in  $J_c$  to be less if the pressure is varied at tem peratures signi cantly below am bient; time dependences in  $J_c$  are also observed. Similar relaxation e ects are well known in bulk YBCO where  $T_c$  is found to depend on the detailed pressure/tem perature history of the sam ple [9, 10]. The extrem e sensitivity of these relaxation e ects on the oxygen content points to the mobile oxygen ions in the basal plane, the \chain layer", as being their source. The bulk relaxation e ects are smallest when the chain layer is fully developed and essentially all chain sites are lled, i.e. at the stoichiom etry YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7:0</sub>. In analogy, the relaxation e ects observed in  $J_c$  for the GB give evidence that the GB contains a sizeable number of oxygen vacancies, i.e. there's room form ore oxygen! If a way can be found to further enhance the oxygen concentration in the GB region, it is likely that higher values of  $J_c$  can be attained.

Further research is underway to determ ine the dependence of  $J_c$  on pressure as a function of both temperature and dc magnetic eld over a wide range of oxygen content and m isorientation angle [13].

## 3 Results on A lkali M etals

The nearly free electron picture works best for simple s;p-electron m etals such as the alkalim etals or noble m etals. If a simple m etal happens to be superconducting at ambient pressure (e.g. Pb, In, Sn, Al),  $T_c$  is invariably found to decrease under hydrostatic pressure. This result has a simple explanation [3]. A coording to the well-know n M dM illan form ula,  $T_c$  depends exponentially on the electron-phonon coupling parameter = = ; where is a purely electronic term, the H op eld parameter, and

M h!<sup>2</sup>i is a mean \spring constant" for the lattice. If decreases, so does  $T_c$ : The reason for the universal decrease in  $T_c$  under pressure for simple metals is that increases much more rapidly than does : Another way to express this is that  $T_c$ decreases under pressure due to lattice stiening. In transition metals the increase of

with pressure is comparable to that of  $\$ ; so that  $T_{\!\rm c}$  m ay increase or decrease.

Since for simplem etal superconductors  $T_c$  always decreases under pressure, it would appear unlikely that a nonsuperconducting simple m etal, like any of the alkalim etals, would ever become superconducting under pressure. Over 30 years ago, however, W ittig [14] demonstrated that at pressures above 7 GPa the alkalim etal C s becomes superconducting near 1.5 K.At rst glance this result would appear to contradict our above conclusions, but it does not. At pressures above 7 GPa C s is no longer a simple m etal! Near these pressures s d transfer occurs as the bottom of the 5d band drops below the top of the 6s band, thus turning C s into a transition m etal [15]. A sim ilar scenario would be expected for the next lighter alkalim etals Rb and K.

In this connection the alkalim etal Li is of particular interest. Its unoccupied 3d band lies far above the Ferm ienergy; indeed, Li lies two rows up in the periodic table from the 3d transition m etal series. In this picture, therefore, no pressure-induced superconductivity would be expected in Li, except at pressures well above the 100 G P a range. In 1986 Lin and D unn [16] did report a possible phase transition in Li under quasihydrostatic pressures above 20 G P a, but the evidence for superconductivity was not unequivocal.

In 1998 N eaton and A shcroft [17] m ade the alarm ing prediction that at su ciently high pressures Li should cease to behave like a simple m etal, but rather should show m arked deviations from free-electron-like behavior. Because of core overlap the bands near E<sub>f</sub> actually becom e narrower and band gaps increase m arkedly, thus contradicting basic high-pressure w isdom that under pressure bands broaden and band gaps decrease! This result was mentioned in an earlier paper by Boettger and Trickey [21]. At pressures su cient to generate overlap of the Li-ion cores, the orthogonality requirem ents of the valence electrons to the core states leads to a marked enhancem ent in the pseudopotential and thus in the electron-lattice coupling. This in turn would be expected to generate structural phase transitions to lower symmetry structures and possible superconductivity. Extensive experimental [18, 19] and theoretical [20] investigations of structural phase changes in the alkalim etals have con rm ed these general expectations. In 2001 Christensen and Novikov [22] predicted from ab initio electronic structure calculations that for fcc  $\text{LiT}_c$  would increase rapidly with pressure to values approaching 80 K. These predictions prompted several experimental groups to search in earnest for superconductivity in Liunder pressure.

The rst group to con rm a superconducting transition in Liwas that of Shim izu et al. [23] in 2002 in a diam ond-anvil cell experiment to 50 GPa. In their electrical resistivity measurement superconductivity appeared at 7.5 K for 30 GPa pressure, rising rapidly to values approaching 20 K; the pressure dependence  $T_c$  (P) was combined from four separate experiments and exhibited considerable scatter. A few months later Struchkin et al. [24] measured the ac susceptibility to 40 GPa and the resistivity to 82 GPa, reporting an onset of superconducting at 10 K for 23 GPa with a rapid rise under pressure to 16 K at 35 GPa.

In both these studies no pressure medium was used, the diamond anvils being allowed to press directly onto the Li sam ple. This raises the question whether shear stresses on the Liin such experim entsm ay have played a role in the reported superconducting transition. Such e ects have been well docum ented. In the rst high-pressure ever carried out on a superconductor, Sizoo and Onnes [1] reported for both Sn and In that T<sub>c</sub> decreased under hydrostatic pressure, but increased if uniaxial pressure was applied. If Lim etal is cooled from am bient tem perature, it undergoes a martensitic phase transformation at  $T_{pt}$  ' 75 K from the boc to a Rh6 low-tem perature phase. Under hydrostatic pressure  $T_{pt}$  increases at the rate + 30 K/GPa [25], but under uniaxial pressure  $dT_{pt}=dP' + 1250 \text{ K/GPa}$  [26], a rate 40 faster! In the present experiment, in fact, nonhydrostatic shear stresses on the Re gasket resulted in a sharp increase in Re's superconducting transition temperature to 3.5 K, thus preventing the detection of superconductivity from the Lisam ple at lower tem peratures. Further examples of sharply di ering hydrostatic versus uniaxial pressure e ects on superconductivity are given in Ref. [27]. For this reason we set out to search for superconductivity in Liusing the most hydrostatic pressure medium of all, dense He.

In Fig. 3 (left) we show the coilarrangem entwe used for ac susceptibility measurements in our nonmagnetic BeCu diam ond-anvil cell. Two identical coils are shown wound with 60 m Cu wire consisting of an outer primary coil (130 turns) and an inner secondary coil (180 turns). One coil is placed around the lower diam ond anvil (1/6 carat, 0.5 mm culet) with the compensating coil directly adjacent. Both coils and lead wires are therm ally anchored to an insulating board with GE varnish. A preindented Re gasket is placed in both measuring and compensating coils to reduce the background signal in the ac susceptibility. Before applying pressure, liquid He is

led into the gasket hole to serve as a nearly hydrostatic pressure m edium. Further experim ental details are given in R ef. [27].

In Fig. 4 we show the superconducting phase diagram for Lim etal under nearly hydrostatic pressures to 67 G Pa [27]; this diagram di ers signi cantly from the results of the previous studies [16, 23, 24], particularly above 30 G Pa. In the rst run 25 data points were obtained as a function of both increasing and decreasing pressure. We

nd that under nearly hydrostatic pressure Libecom es superconducting at 5 K for 20 GPa,  $T_c$  increasing initially rapidly with pressure to 14 K at 30 GPa. At this pressure a structural phase transition appears to occur as evidenced by the sharp break in slope  $dT_c=dP$ : At higher pressures  $T_c(P)$  passes through a minimum before dropping below 4 K at 67 GPa. Although it is possible that at or above 67 GPa Li has transformed into the paired sem iconducting/insulating state envisioned by N eaton and A shcroft, we have no clear evidence for this. At 67 GPa our Li sam ple remains opaque to transmitted light in the visible region; from this one can infer that Li is either still a metal or a sem iconductor with a band gap less than 1.7 eV. A more complete discussion of these results, including evidence that Li is a type I superconductor, is given in Ref. [27].

Following the experiments on Limetal, two further alkalimetals, Na and K, were subjected to nearly hydrostatic dense He pressure in a search for superconductivity. Shiet al. [28] have carried out an electronic structure calculation on K and Rb and predict an onset of superconducting near 13 GPa for K and 8 GPa for Rb with a rapid rise in  $T_c$  with pressure, in analogy with Li. In our experiment on Na metal to 65 GPa, however, no superconducting transition could be detected above 4 K. K m etal also failed to become superconducting above 4 K to 43.5 GPa pressure. In a second experiment on K a nonsuperconducting M oW gasket was used which permitted m easurements to lower temperatures. In this experiment no superconductivity was detected in K above 1.5 K to 35 GPa pressure. In a quasihydrostatic experiment to 21 GPa by Ullrich et al. [29], no superconductivity was found in Rb above 0.05 K.

It would seem alm ost certain that all alkalim etals will become superconducting under su cient pressure; after all, both the lightest (Li) and heaviest (Cs) alkali m etals do become superconducting! Further experiments are underway over expanded tem perature/pressure ranges to search for superconductivity in Na, K, and Rb.

A cknow ledgm ents. The authors are grateful to N W . A shcroft and J.B. Neaton for stimulating discussions. Research is supported by NSF G rant No. DMR-0404505.

### References

- [1] Sizoo G J and Onnes H K 1925 Commun. Phys. Lab. Univ. Leiden, No. 180b.
- [2] Onnes H K 1911 Akad. van W etenschappen 14 113.
- [3] Schilling J S 2001 Frontiers of High Pressure Research II: Application of High Pressure to Low-D im ensional Novel Electronic Materials, edited by H D. Hochheim er et al. (K luw er A cadem ic Publishers, The Netherlands) 345-360.
- [4] M annhart J and Chaudhari P 2001 (November) Physics Today 48; Hilgenkam p H and M annhart J 2002 Rev. M od. Phys.

- [5] Chaudhari P et al. 1988 Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 1653.
- [6] D in os D et al. 1988 Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 219.
- [7] CaldwellW A, Celestre R S, M adD owellA A, Padm ore H A, Geballe T H, Koster G, Batterm an B W, and Patel J R 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 216105.
- [8] Chisholm M F and Pennycook S J 1991 Nature 351 47.
- [9] Fietz W H, Quenzel R, Ludwig H A, Grube K, Schlachter S I, Homung F W, Wolf T, Erb A, Klaser M, and Muller-Vogt G 1996 Physica C 270 258.
- [10] Sadewasser S, Schilling J S, Paulikas A P, and VealB W 2000 Phys. Rev. B 61 741.
- [11] Diederichs J, Reith W, Sundqvist B, Niska J, Easterling K A, and Schilling J S 1991 Supercond. Sci. Technol 4 S97.
- [12] Claus H, Welp U, Zheng H, Chen L, Paulikas A P, Veal B W, Gray K E, and Crabtree G W 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 144507.
- [13] Tom ita T, Schilling JS, Veal BW, Chen L, and Claus H (unpublished).
- [14] W ittig J 1970 Phys. Rev. Lett. 24 812.
- [15] Ross M and M dM ahan A K 1982 Phys. Rev. B 26 4088.
- [16] Lin T H and Dunn K J 1986 Phys. Rev. B 33 807.
- [17] Neaton JB and Ashcroft NW 1999 Nature 400 141.
- [18] For a review, see: Syassen K 2002 Proc. Int. School of Physics \Enrico Ferm i" Course CXLV II, editors R J. Hem ley et al. (ID P Press, Am sterdam) 251-273.
- [19] Han and M (see paper in the proceedings of this conference).
- [20] Ackland G J, M acleod IR, and D egtyareva O 2003 arX iv: cond-m at/0310380.
- [21] Boettger J C and Trickey S B 1985 Phys. Rev. B 32 3391.
- [22] Christensen N E and Novikov D L 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 1861.
- [23] Shim izu K, Ishikawa H, Takao D, Yagi T, and Am aya K 2002 Nature 419 597.
- [24] Struzhkin V V, Eremets M I, Gan W, Mao H K, and Hemley R J 2002 Science 298 1213.
- [25] Sm ith H G, Berlinger R, Jorgensen JD, Nielsen M, and Trivisonno J 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 1231.

- [26] Maier Ch, Blaschko O, and Pichl W 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 9283.
- [27] Deem yad S and Schilling J S 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 167001.
- [28] ShiL, Papaconstantopoulos D A, and MehlM J 2003 Solid State Commun. 127 13.
- [29] Ullrich K, Probst C, and W ittig J 1978 J. de Physique, Colloq. (6, Vol. 1) 463; ibid. 1980 Bericht Kernforschungsanlage Julich (Juel - 1634).



Figure 1. Sample holder on right fits inside 7 mm bore of He-gas pressure cell which is inserted in closed-cycle refrigerator.



**Figure 2.** (left) real part of ac susceptibility versus temperature for YBCO ring at two different pressures. (right) *ac* field amplitude versus kink temperature at two different pressures.

This figure "Figure3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0408438v1



**Figure 4.** Superconducting phase diagram of Li metal under nearly hydrostatic pressure: run 1 increasing pressure ( $\bullet$ ), run 1 decreasing pressure ( $\circ$ ), run 2 increasing pressure ( $\blacktriangle$ ).