arXiv:cond-mat/0408497v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 24 Aug 2004

Hard squares with negative activity

Paul Fendley[1], Kareljan Schoutens[2] and Hendrik van Eerten[2]

[1] Department of Physics, University of Virginia,
Charlottesville, VA 22904-4714 USA
fendley@virginia.edu

[2] Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam,
Valckenierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
kjs@science.uva.nl, hveerten@science.uva.nl

August 23, 2004

Abstract

We show that the hard-square lattice gas with activity $z = -1$ has a number of remarkable properties. We conjecture that all the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix are roots of unity. They fall into groups ("strings") evenly spaced around the unit circle, which have interesting number-theoretic properties. For example, the partition function on an $M \times N$ lattice with periodic boundary condition is identically 1 when M and N are coprime. We provide evidence for these conjectures from analytical and numerical arguments.

1 Introduction

The hard-square model is a well-known model of two-dimensional statistical mechanics [1, 2]. It describes a classical gas of particles on the square lattice, with the restriction that particles may not be on adjacent sites. The activity z is the Boltzmann weight per particle. One can think of the particles as hard squares with area twice that of a lattice plaquette. The squares are placed with their centers on a lattice site and their corners at the four adjacent sites. The restriction amounts to not allowing the squares to overlap, although they can touch. The particles/squares do not interact, except via this hard core. The partition function Z for the hard square model is then simply

$$Z = \sum_n A(n) z^n, \tag{1}$$

where $A(n)$ is the number of allowed configurations with n particles. Combinatorialists would describe $A(n)$ for an $M \times N$ lattice as the number of $M \times N$ matrices with n entries 1 and the remaining zero, such that no row or column contains two consecutive nonzero entries.

Despite its simple definition, the hard-square model has a number of interesting properties [3]. In this paper, we discuss the fascinating structure present for the special case $z = -1$. We will present substantial analytic and numerical evidence that when $z = -1$, the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix with periodic boundary conditions are all roots of unity. Moreover, for an $M \times N$ lattice with periodic boundary conditions in both directions, we find $Z = 1$ when M and N are coprime.

There are a number of reasons why it is interesting to study the hard square model at negative activity, even though the model has negative Boltzmann weights.

First, gases with hard cores are generically expected to exhibit a phase transition in the Yang-Lee universality class at some negative value of z [4, 5]. For the hard-square model, this transition takes place at $z = z_c \approx -.1$. Thus our $z = -1$ results are describing the regime "past" this transition. This regime is very poorly understood, even though it should be described by an integrable field theory [6] (the Yang-Lee conformal field theory with a perturbation of opposite sign than usual). In this regime there are level crossings as z is decreased past z_c [7], making a field-theory analysis difficult.

Second, the hard-square model is not known to be integrable for any values of z except for the trivial cases $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$. We thus do not know the origin of the behavior discovered here, although the degeneracies of the levels and other results we describe below do hint that there are symmetries yet to be uncovered. Hopefully such symmetries will be useful in understanding the hard square model for values of z other than -1.

Third, gases with negative activity have been shown rigorously to be equivalent to branched polymers in two dimensions higher [8], and lattice animals in one dimension higher [5]. More precisely, the partition function of the lattice gas at negative z is the generating function for branched-polymer configurations.

Fourth is that the partition function of $z = -1$ lattice gases arises very naturally in the study of an interesting class of quantum models with supersymmetry [9]. The simplest such model consists of interacting fermions hopping on a lattice, subject to the constraint that they cannot occupy adjacent sites. The partition function of the *classical* hard-core model on the same lattice at $z = -1$ is the Witten index of this quantum theory, and as such gives a lower bound on the number of ground states of the theory. Thus the hard-square partition function at $z = -1$ is the Witten index for this supersymmetric model on the square lattice. We will discuss this and other two-dimensional supersymmetric lattice fermions in a companion paper [10].

Finally, we believe that the results described in this paper alone justify the study of this model: we know of no other non-trivial model of two-dimensional statistical mechanics whose transfer matrix obey the intriguing properties described below. For example, the hard-hexagon model (the analogous model on the triangular lattice) is integrable, but numerical results indicate that for no value of z do its transfer-matrix eigenvalues become roots of unity.

In section 2 we define the transfer matrix used to obtain these results. Readers interested in the results can safely skip most of this and proceed to section 3, where our analytic and numeric results for the partition function of the hard-square model at $z = -1$ are described.

2 Transfer Matrix

It is convenient to study the hard-square model by using its transfer matrix. For simplicity, we will consider periodic boundary conditions, although much of what we say in this paper applies to open boundary conditions as well.

The dimension of the transfer matrix D_N is the number of allowed configurations along a circle with N sites. We index these configurations by an integer $i = 1 \ldots D_N$; each configuration i with p particles is specified by the p integers $(i_1, i_2, \ldots i_p)$, which give the locations of the particles in this configuration. The hard core means that $i_r \neq i_s, i_s \pm 1 (\mathrm{mod} N)$ for any r or s. The number of configurations around a circle is found by diagonalizing the transfer matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{y} \\ \sqrt{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for moving from one site to the next. The contribution to D_N of configurations with p particles is

the coefficient of y^p in

$$D_N(y) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4y}}{2}\right)^N + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+4y}}{2}\right)^N .$$

This generating function obeys the recursion relation

$$D_N(y) = D_{N-1}(y) + yD_{N-2}(y)$$

The total number of configurations allowed with any p is $D_N \equiv D_N(1)$. For example, $D_1 = 1$ (coming from the configuration with no particles) and $D_2 = 3$ (one configuration with no particles, and two with one particle; because of the hard core two particles cannot be on two consecutive lattice sites). Thus this sequence of D_N goes as 1,3,4,7,11,18,...; see table 1 below. These are called Lucas numbers, and obey the same recursion relation as do Fibonacci numbers. If desired one can enumerate the configurations for any N iteratively by this sort of approach. For example, the number of lattice configurations with two consecutive fixed sites empty D_N^{00} are the Fibonacci numbers $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, \ldots$; the number with one of those two sites occupied is $D_N^{0x} = D_{N-1}^{00}$.

Consider two configurations i, j having p_i and p_j particles respectively. These two configurations (each around a circle) are allowed to be next to each other in the full two-dimensional model if $i_r \neq j_s$ for all r and s. The transfer matrix T_N acts on a vector space $\mathbb{C}^{D_N}$; each basis element v_i of this vector space corresponds to a configuration i, and has 1 in the ith place and zeroes otherwise. The partition function with periodic boundary conditions in both directions is then

$$Z(M, N) = \text{tr } (T_N)^M . \tag{2}$$

For the hard square model, if $i_r = j_s$ for some r and s, then the transfer matrix entry $T_{ij} = 0$. If the two configurations are allowed next to each other, then

$$(T_N)_{ij} = z^{(p_i+p_j)/2} \qquad i \text{ allowed next to } j \tag{3}$$

If z is negative, by convention we take the positive sign of the square root. The transfer matrix is not unique, but will yield the same partition function for any exponent $\lambda p_i + (1-\lambda)p_j$; the above definition makes the matrix symmetric.

Since the boundary conditions are periodic, the model has a translation symmetry. The translation generator acts on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{D_N}$ as well, taking a configuration with particles at $(i_i, i_2, \ldots i_p)$ to the configuration $(i_i + 1, i_2 + 1, \ldots i_p + 1)$ where all locations are interpreted mod N. Thus $\mathcal{T}^N = 1$, and the eigenvalues t of $\mathcal{T}$ obey $t^N = 1$. It is easy to verify that $[T_N, \mathcal{T}] = 0$. The transfer matrix therefore breaks into blocks $T_N(t)$ acting on the eigenstates of $\mathcal{T}$ with eigenvalue t. Working in this basis makes numerical computations more tractable, reducing the sizes of the matrices involved by roughly a factor of N. (Eventually this doesn't help much, because D_N grows exponentially.)

An eigenstate of $\mathcal{T}$ with eigenvalue t can be formed from each v_i via

$$\mathcal{V}_{[i]}(t) = (v_i + t^{-1}\mathcal{T}v_i + t^{-2}\mathcal{T}^2 v_i + \cdots + t^{-(N-1)}\mathcal{T}^{N-1}v_i)\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_i}/N,$$

where $\mathcal{N}_i$ is the smallest integer which has $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{N}_i} v_i = v_i$. The normalization is chosen so that $\mathcal{V}_{[i]}^* \cdot \mathcal{V}_{[i]} = 1$. For example, the state with no particles has $\mathcal{N}_i = 1$, while the state i with particles at $(2, 4, \ldots N)$ for N even has $\mathcal{N}_i = 2$. The state $\mathcal{V}_{[i]}(t)$ is non-zero only if $t^{\mathcal{N}_i} = 1$. Even though the transfer matrix does not conserve the number of particles, $\mathcal{T}$ does, so the states $\mathcal{V}_{[i]}(t)$ have

a fixed number of particles p_i. Obviously any state v_j which obeys $v_j = \mathcal{T}^r v_i$ for some integer r results in $\mathcal{V}_{[j]} = t^r \mathcal{V}_{[i]}$. Thus to give a complete (but not over-complete) set of states we must choose just one particular i in each of these sets.

Let us first examine the action of the transfer matrix in the sectors with $t \neq 1$. The simplest state in these sectors is the one-particle state, which we denote as [1] (the translation-invariant state with no particles is nonzero only in the $t = 1$ sector). The transfer matrix takes a one-particle state with a particle on the rth site to a linear combination of all states which do *not* have a particle in the (r)th place. Using this, we see that the matrix element

$$(T_N)_{[1][1]} = z(t + t^2 + \ldots t^N) = -z,$$

where we used $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} t^k = 0$. For general matrix elements, we need the function $\tau([i]; t) = \sum_{r=1}^{p} t^{i_r}$ for each state $[i]$, where as before the configuration i has particles at $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_p)$. Then

$$(T_N)_{[i][1]}(t \neq 1) = -z^{(p_i+1)/2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{N}_i}{N}}\, \tau([i]; t)$$

while $(T_N)_{[1][i]}(t) = (T_N)_{[i][1]}(1/t)$. Each term in these matrix elements arises when configurations are forbidden to be next to each other. To get the general matrix elements, the idea is likewise to see which configurations are forbidden. The end result is related to the product $\tau([i]; t)\tau([j]; 1/t)$, but to not overcount forbidden configurations, each term must have coefficient 1. Precisely, by using $t^N = 1$ rewrite the product as

$$\tau([i]; t)\tau([j]; 1/t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k([i], [j]) t^k.$$

Then we have

$$(T_N)_{[i][j]}(t \neq 1) = -z^{(p_i+p_j)/2} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_i \mathcal{N}_j}}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \theta(a_k([i], [j])) t^k \tag{4}$$

where $\theta(a) = 1 - \delta_{a0}$, i.e. $\theta(0) = 0$ and is 1 otherwise. Note that if z is real and positive, T_N is Hermitean. In the case of interest here z is not, but if desired one can redefine $T_N(t)$ without changing its eigenvalues to make it symmetric.

Similar arguments give $T_N(t = 1)$:

$$(T_N)_{[i][j]}(t = 1) = z^{(p_i+p_j)/2} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_i \mathcal{N}_j}}{N} \left(N - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \theta(a_k([i], [j])) \right) \tag{5}$$

where the a_k are defined from $\tau([i]; t)\tau([j]; 1/t)$ as above. Note that when $[i]$ is the configuration with no particles, $\tau([i]; t) = 0$ and so all the corresponding $a_k = 0$ as well.

3 The partition function

We write the partition function in terms of the roots of the characteristic polynomial of the transfer matrix T_N defined in the previous section. The characteristic polynomial $P_N(x)$ is defined as

$$P_N(x) = \det(x - T_N). \tag{6}$$

N	D_N	$P_N(x)$
1	1	$x-1$
2	3	$(x^2+1)(x-1)$
3	4	$(x^3-1)(x-1)$
4	7	$(x^4-1)(x^2-1)(x-1)$
5	11	$(x^5+1)^2(x-1)$
6	18	$(x^6-1)^2(x^3-1)(x^2+1)(x-1)$
7	29	$(x^{14}+1)^2(x-1)$
8	47	$(x^{10}-1)^4(x^4-1)(x^2-1)(x-1)$
9	76	$(x^{18}-1)^2(x^9-1)^4(x^3-1)(x-1)$
10	123	$(x^{14}-1)^5(x^8-1)^5(x^5-1)^2(x^2+1)(x-1)$
11	199	$(x^{55}-1)^2(x^{22}+1)^4(x-1)$
12	322	$(x^{24}-1)^2(x^{18}-1)^6(x^{12}-1)^{12}(x^6-1)^2(x^4-1)(x^3-1)(x^2-1)(x-1)$
13	521	$(x^{91}-1)^4(x^{26}-1)^4(x^{13}+1)^4(x-1)$
14	843	$(x^{28}-1)^4(x^{22}-1)^7(x^{16}-1)^{28}(x^{14}-1)^2(x^{10}-1)^7(x^7+1)^4(x^2+1)(x-1)$
15	1364	$(x^{60}-1)^6(x^{45}-1)^{18}(x^{15}-1)^{12}(x^5+1)^2(x^3-1)(x-1)$

Table 1: Characteristic polynomials of the transfer matrices T_N. The roots of this polynomial give the partition function for an $M \times N$ lattice with periodic boundary conditions, as in (7).

The partition function for an $M \times N$ lattice with periodic boundary conditions in both directions is

$$Z(M,N) = \sum_{i=1}^{D_N} (x_i(N))^M. \tag{7}$$

where the x_i are the roots of $P_N(x)$. Because z is negative, the transfer matrix is not Hermitean; a resulting complication we will discuss is that not all roots x_i need be eigenvalues of T_N.

Our main conjecture is that the roots $x_i(N)$ of the characteristic polynomial are all roots of unity and for a given N can be grouped into "strings". A string is a set of x_i evenly spaced around the unit circle. We find just two kinds of strings, which we denote S^+ and S^-. The former are roots the values $x_k = e^{i2\pi k/S}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots S-1$, and the latter are the values $x_k = e^{i\pi(2k+1)/S}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots S-1$. The existence of a string $S^\pm$ means that the polynomial $(x^S \mp 1)$ divides $P_N(x)$. Moreover, we find that all the values of S for all strings for a given N share a divisor with N, except for a single 1^+ string for every N.

The strong evidence for these conjectures comes from the numerical results presented in Table 1. We have checked up to $N = 15$ that these conjectures hold. Another result apparent from this table is that $\det(T_N) = 1$, so $P_N(0) = (-1)^{D_N}$. Additional numerical results for $N \leq 9$ suggest that the roots of the characteristic polynomial for the transfer matrix with open boundary conditions are also roots of unity. For simplicity we will focus here on periodic.

The reason why the roots of $P_N(x)$ are all of unit modulus is mystery to us; we do not know any other lattice gases even at $z = -1$ which share this property. Despite substantial effort, we have not found a formula for $P_N(x)$ for arbitrary N. However, one can exploit these conjectures to better understand the string structure. We define the strings so that only one kind of string is present for a given S and N. This means in $P_N(x)$ any occurrence of $(x^S-1)(x^S+1)$ is combined into $(x^{2S}-1)$. Then we let $n_S^\pm(N)$ be the number of $S^\pm$ strings for a given N; our convention

means that $n_S^+(N)n_S^-(N) = 0$. Since each polynomial P_N is of order x^{D_N}, we have

$$\sum_S S n_S^\pm(N) = D_N.$$

Another useful fact is that because $\sum_{k=1}^{S} e^{2\pi i k M/S} = 0$ unless M is a multiple of S, only strings with M a multiple of S contribute to $Z(M,N)$. An S^+ string contributes S, while an S^- string contributes $(-1)^{M/S}S$.

More about the string structure can be learned by exploiting modular invariance, the symmetry of the model $Z(M,N) = Z(N,M)$ under interchange of the two cycles of the torus. A consequence is that knowing the $P_N(x)$ for all the N less than and equal to a given S determines the numbers of S-strings $n_S^\pm(N)$ for *all* N.

Let us explain how to implement this recursive procedure. Since $T_1 = 1$, the only string for $N = 1$ is a single 1^+ string, as apparent from Table 1. Thus $Z(M,1) = 1$, and by symmetry $Z(1,N) = 1$. Because only strings where M is a multiple of S contribute to $Z(M,N)$, only 1-strings contribute to $Z(1,N)$. Since $Z(1,N) = 1$, we have just a single 1^+ string: $n_1^+(N) = 1$ and $n_1^-(N) = 0$ for all N. This is apparent in Table 1. Moving on to $N = 2$, we have $D_2 = 3$ and since there is exactly one 1-string, there must be just one 2-string. By our conjecture that $P_N(0) = (-1)^{D_N}$, this must be a 2^+-string, giving the result in the table. Thus

$$Z(2j-1,2) = 1, \qquad Z(4j-2,2) = -1, \qquad Z(4j,2) = 3, \quad j \text{ an integer } \geq 1.$$

By symmetry, the same results hold for $Z(2,N)$. Only 2-strings and 1-strings contribute to $Z(2,N)$, and we already know there is exactly one 1^+ string for all N. The explicit expression for $Z(2,N)$ then tells us that

$$n_2^+(4j) = 1, \qquad n_2^-(4j-2) = 1, \qquad j \text{ an integer } \geq 1,$$

with all other $n_2 = 0$. In a similar fashion, one finds $n_3^+(3j) = 1$ and $n_4^+(4j) = 1$. These are all apparent in table 1.

For $N \geq 5$, this procedure yields only part of P_N uniquely. For $N = 5$, we know there is one 1^+ string, and no $2, 3$ or 4 strings. Since $D_5 = 11$ and $P_5(0) = -1$, this means that there are either two 5^+ strings or two 5^- strings. We do not have a general conjecture which will distinguish between the two possibilities, so we must compute $P_5(x)$ explicitly. As seen in table 1, there end up being two 5^- strings. Now we can use the symmetry under interchange of M and N to see that $n_5^+(10j) = 2$ and $n_5^-(10j+5) = 2$. Likewise, to find that there are two 6^+ strings for $N = 6$, one needs to find $P_6(x)$ explicitly. This then results in $n_6^+(6j) = 2$. The general result is found by noting that for all strings with $S \geq N$, $Sn_S(N)$ is always a multiple of N. This means that if we were using a transfer matrix in the M-direction instead, this contribution to the partition function would arise from $n_N(M) = Sn_S(N)/N$ strings of length N. Using the results from table 1, this procedure can be applied to find $n_7^+(28j) = n_7^-(14(2j+1)) = 4$, $n_8^+(10j) = 5$, $n_9^+(18j) = 6$, $n_9^+(9(2j+1)) = 4$, $n_{10}^+(14j) = 7$, and so on.

Unfortunately, since D_N increases exponentially with N, the strings with $S < N$ make only a relatively small contribution to the partition function. As is apparent from the table, as N increases, the number of different types of strings with $S \geq N$ increases. We have not yet seen any pattern to these numbers, but we are hopeful that one may exist. The increasing degeneracies (multiple roots at the same x) apparent in the table as N increases are an obvious hint that there is some yet-undiscovered symmetry structure.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3
3	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1
4	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7
5	1	1	1	1	-9	1	1	1	1	11	1	1	1	1	-9	1	1	1	1	11
6	1	-1	4	3	1	14	1	3	4	-1	1	18	1	-1	4	3	1	14	1	3
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-27	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	43	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	47
9	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	40	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	76	1	1
10	1	-1	1	3	11	-1	1	43	1	9	1	3	1	69	11	43	1	-1	1	13
11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	3	4	7	1	18	1	7	4	3	1	166	1	3	4	7	1	126	1	7
13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	-27	3	1	69	1	3	1	55	1	451	1	-1	1	73
15	1	1	4	1	-9	4	1	1	4	11	1	4	1	1	174	1	1	4	1	11

Table 2: The partition function $Z(M,N) = Z(N,M)$ for $M \leq 15, N \leq 20$.

The conjecture that all values of S for a given N (except for a single 1^+ string) share a divisor with S means that

$$Z(M,N) = 1 \qquad \text{when } M \text{ and } N \text{ are coprime.}$$

This fact is apparent in Table 2. For values of M and N which are not coprime, the partition function grows with increasing M and N at a much smaller rate as generic statistical mechanical systems. Since all the $x_i(N)$ have magnitude 1, the maximal value of $Z(M,N)$ is the smaller of D_N or D_M. D_N grows exponentially in N, while $Z(M,N)$ for generic values of z grows exponentially in NM. Exponential growth in NM is of course the standard behavior for statistical-mechanical systems: it is the statement that the free energy is extensive (a notable exception is systems with supersymmetry). In fact even for the analogous systems at $z = -1$, the partition function grows exponentially with the volume. For example, for the same model on the triangular lattice (the hard-hexagon model), our numerics indicate that the partition function grows as $Z_{\text{tri}} \approx (1.14)^{NM}$.

In this section we have been careful to refer to the $x_i(N)$ as the roots of the characteristic polynomial $P_N(x)$, not as the eigenvalues of T_N. The reason is that for $N \geq 4$, some of these roots do not correspond to eigenvalues. The eigenvectors of a non-Hermitean transfer matrix (even one of determinant 1 like T_N) need not span the space of states if two of the roots coincide. A simple example is the matrix $A(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Both roots are 1, but for any $b \neq 0$ it has only one eigenvector $e_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The vector $e_0 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is linearly independent of v_0, but obeys $A(b)e_0 = e_0 + (1+b)e_1$. Acting repeatedly with A does not change the coefficient of e_0, but just continues to change the coefficient of the eigenvector e_1. This is because matrices $A(b)$ and $A(b')$ commute; the associated conserved quantity is the coefficient of e_0.

Despite this (interesting) complication, the partition function for periodic boundary conditions in both directions can still be written in the familiar form (7); the sum is over all roots, not

just the eigenvalues. For example, for $N = 5$ and $t = 1$, the transfer matrix is

$$T_5(t=1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\sqrt{5} & \sqrt{5} \\ i\sqrt{5} & -4 & 3i \\ \sqrt{5} & 3i & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the states are in order of increasing particle number $p_i = 0, 1, 2$. The characteristic polynomial of this is $(x-1)(x+1)^2$. There is only one eigenstate of eigenvalue -1; the vector $\mathcal{V}_0 \equiv (0, 1, i)$ is orthogonal to the eigenvectors but is not an eigenvector itself. Because the coefficient of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is conserved as one acts with T_5, the partition function with periodic boundary conditions remains (7). This means that in this sector the partition function is $Z(M, 5)(t = 1) = -1$ for M odd, and $Z(M, 5)(t = 1) = 3$ for M even. However, the matrix elements of $[T_5(t = 1)]^2$ are not periodic in M like this. The partition function on a cylinder with fixed boundary conditions on the ends will not be periodic; its magnitude will continue to increase, roughly linearly in M.

Moreover, one can use this to find a family of matrices with the same roots x_i as $T_5(t = 1)$. If all the roots $-1, -1, 1$ were eigenvalues, the matrix $[T_5(t = 1)]^2$ would equal the identity. Here $Q \equiv [T_5(t = 1)]^2 - 1$ is non-zero; it annihilates the eigenvectors and obeys $Q^2 = 0$. The characteristic polynomial of $T_5(t = 1) - \lambda Q$ is independent of λ. When $\lambda = 1/2$, this matrix has three eigenvectors instead of two. Analogous results follow for all $N \geq 4$. It is not clear, however, if the presence of this nilpotent symmetry operator Q will help in the analysis of the model.

This work was supported in part by the foundations FOM and NWO of the Netherlands, by NSF grant DMR-0104799, and by DOE under grant DEFG02-97ER41027.

References

[1] D.S. Gaunt and M.E. Fisher, J. Chem. Phys. **43**, 2850 (1965).

[2] R.J. Baxter, I.G. Enting and S.K. Tsang, J. Stat. Phys. **22**, 465 (1980).

[3] R. J. Baxter, Annals of Combin. **3**, 191 (1999) [cond-mat/9811264]; N. J. Calkin and H. S. Wilf, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **11**, 54 (1998); a list of references compiled by S. Finch is at http://www.mathsoft.com/article/0,,2232,00.html

[4] M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 1610 (1980); S. Lai and M.E. Fisher, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 814 (1995); Y. Park and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 6323 (1999) [cond-mat/9907429].

[5] J.L. Cardy, J. Phys. A **15**, L593 (1982); D. Dhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 853 (1983).

[6] J.L. Cardy and G. Mussardo, Phys. Lett. B **225**, 275 (1989).

[7] V. P. Yurov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5**, 3221 (1990).

[8] D.C. Brydges and J.Z. Imbrie, Ann. Math. **158**, 1019 (2003) [math-ph/0107005].

[9] P. Fendley, K. Schoutens, and J. de Boer, Phys. Rev. Lett **90**, 120402 (2003) [hep-th/0210161]; P. Fendley, B. Nienhuis, and K. Schoutens, J. Phys. A **36**, 12399 (2003) [cond-mat/0307338].

[10] P. Fendley and K. Schoutens, to appear.