Edge excitations and Topological orders in rotating Bose gases

M . A . Cazalilla¹, N . Barberan², and N . R . Cooper³

¹Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018-Donostia, Spain.

²Dept. ECM, Facultat de Fisica, Universitat de Barcelona. Diagonal 647, 08028-Barcelona, Spain.

³Cavendish Laboratory, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, United Kingdom.

The edge excitations and related topological orders of correlated states of a fast rotating Bose gas are studied. U sing exact diagonalization of sm all system s, we compute the energies and number of edge excitations, as well as the boson occupancy near the edge for various states. The chiral Luttinger-liquid theory of W en is found to be a good description of the edges of the bosonic Laughlin and other states identied as members of the principal Jain sequence for bosons. How ever, we nd that in a harm onic trap the edge of the state identied as the Moore-Read (P fa an) state shows a number of anom alies. A n experimental way of detecting these correlated states is also discussed.

PACS num bers: 03.75 K k, 05.30 Jp, 73.43.-f

It has been argued [1, 2, 3] that quantum uctuations can destroy a B ose-E instein condensate if it rotates very fast. Since large amounts of angular momentum can be imparted to a cold atom ic gas, experimentalists have been able to create systems with a large number of vortices, N_v [3, 4, 5]. Thus, the question of what happens when N_v eventually becomes comparable to the number of particles, N, has been raised [1, 2]. In [2], it was shown that for $= N \Rightarrow N_v$ 10, the BEC and the A brikosov vortex lattice are destroyed and replaced by a series of \vortex liquid" states, some of which are incom – pressible and exhibit good overlap with bosonic versions of wavefunctions known from fractional quantum H all effect (FQ HE).

G ood overlap is usually a strong indication that som e of the correlations of a state obtained from exact diagonalization are captured by a model wavefunction. How ever, W en [6] has emphasized that FQHE states possess a new type of quantum order, which he dubbed topological order', that provides a better way to classify them. In a deep sense, topological order can be regarded as a measure of the quantum entanglement existing between the particles in a correlated quantum H all (QH) state [9].

In this paper we study the topological order of the vortex liquids as rejected in their edge properties [6]. In the rotating frame, edge excitations are the low-lying excitations of the vortex liquid [8] and, contrary to the ground states [1, 2, 11, 12], so far they have received little attention. Based on the strong similarities with electron FQHE physics, chiral Luttinger liquids [7] and similar edge excitations [6] are expected, but an explicit dem onstration is lacking for a harm onically con ned gas of bosons under rotation. This is provided here by numerically diagonalizing the Ham iltonian of small systems.

The topological order can be studied at the edge of fairly small droplets of QH liquid [6, 7, 13]. In what follow s, we shall focus on three types of states that have been identies of in previous works on smalldroplets [1, 11]. In the high angular momentum end, we study the edge properties of the Laughlin state (corresponding to a bulk

lling fraction = $\frac{1}{2}$). By decreasing the total angularm om entum, we com e across the com pact com positeferm ion states discussed in Ref. [11]. Amongst these states, we nd evidence for the topological order (and related edge structures) that correspond to bulk states with lling fractions $=\frac{2}{3}$ and $=\frac{3}{4}$ of the principal Jain sequence. At even lower angular m om entum, we study the edge properties of the state identied [1] as the nite-sized Moore-Read (or Pfa an) state [16] (= 1). We observe a num ber of anom alies that persist up to the largest sizes studied here (N = 13). The bulk properties of the states at these lling fractions have also been studied in exact diagonalizations in edgeless geom etries [2, 12] which have established their interpretation in terms of the Laughlin (=1=2), composite ferm ion (=2=3;3=4) and M oore-Read (=1) states. For the latter, calculations on the sphere [12] and on the torus [2] found the correct shift and ground state degeneracy (which are also consequence of the topological order [6]).

A n ultracold gas that rotates rapidly in a cylindrically symmetric harmonic trap acquires a pancake shape and eventually becomes quasitwo-dimensional (2D) when the chemical potential $< h!_{jj}$, where $!_{jj}$ is the axial trapping frequency [10]. Furthermore, the C oriolis force acts as an elective Lorentz force, which in a quasi-2D system leads to Landau levels (LL's) separated by an energy $2h!_{?}$ [10]. For $< 2h!_{?}$, all atoms lie in the lowest Landau level (LLL), and the total single-particle energy is proportional (up to a constant) to the angularmom entum. Here we shall be interested in this lim it, which has been already achieved in the experiments [4]. In the rotating frame, the Ham iltonian (relative to the zero-point energy) is:

$$H_{LLL} = T + U_2 = h(!_{?})L + g(r_1 r_j);$$
 (1)
 $i < j$

pL being the total axial angular momentum and $g = \frac{1}{8} h!_{?} (a_{s} = '_{jj})^{2}$ the elective coupling for a gas harmonically connect to two dimensions ($a_{s} < '_{jj}$ being the scattering length that characterizes the atom -atom inter-

action in 3D, $_{jj}^{} = {}^{p} \overline{h=M !}_{jj}$ the axial oscillator length, and $= {}^{p} \overline{h=M !}_{2}$, M being the atom mass).

Laughlin state: For $L = L_0 = N (N - 1)$, the ground state of H_{LLL} is [1, 8, 11]:

$${}_{0}(z_{1}; \dots; z_{N}) = \begin{cases} Y \\ (z_{1} & z_{j})^{2} \\ i < j \end{cases} e^{P_{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{i} z_{i} \frac{1}{j^{2}} = 2}; \quad (2)$$

where zi $(x_1 + iy_1) =$ This wavefunction vanishes when any two particles coincide and therefore $U_2 j_0 i = 0$. This property is maintained if it is multiplied by an arbitrary symmetric polynomial of the zi. Edge excitations of the above state are generated [7, 8] by elementary symmetric polynomials of the form $s_m =$ $\underline{i}_1 < \underline{i}_2 < \cdots < \underline{i}_m \quad Z_{\underline{i}_1} \ Z_{\underline{i}_2}$ $_{i_m}$ z Thus, L is increased by m units and, according to (1), the excitation energy is)m. In the rotating frame, the edge excitations h (! 2 are the low est energy excitations of a rotating B ose-gas in the Laughlin state [8]. Their degeneracy for $L = L_0 + m$ is given by the num ber of distinct waysm can be written as a sum of sm aller non-negative integers (i.e. partitions of m, p(m)). For example, for m = 4 there are ve degenerate states: $(s_4; s_3 s_1; (s_2)^2; s_2 (s_1)^2; (s_1)^4)$ 0. The properties of these wavefunctions are captured by an effective eld theory [6, 7, 8], which treats these excitations as a non-interacting phonon system with Hamiltonian $H_{edge} = h(!_{?})L$, where $L = L_{0} + [m_{>0}m_{m}b_{m}^{y}b_{n}]$, and $[b_m; b_n^v] = m_n$, commuting otherwise. Hence, the number of edge states (NoS) for a given m can be obtained from a generating (or partition) function: Z(q) =m > 0 (1 q^m)¹ = m > 0 p(m) q^m . In ta-Trq^{L L} ° = ble I, we compare the theoretical NoS (N ! 1) with the num erical results, nding excellent agreem ent form Ν. The deviations are due to nite-size e ects and can be accounted by a 'truncated' function Z^{N} (q), where the product is restricted to 0 < m N.

A nother prediction of the theory [7, 8] is the form of the groundstate boson occupancy, n (1), just below the highest occupied orbital, $l_{m ax} = 2 (N - 1)$. The follow-ing ratios of n (1)=n ($l_{m ax}$) are predicted: 1:2:3:4 for $l = l_{m ax}$;:::; $l_{m ax} = 3$. For N = 7 bosons in the Laughlin state, we nd 1.0:2:0:2:9:3:5, which is in good agreement with the theory given that its validity is limited to $l_{m ax} = 1 < \frac{N}{N} - 3$ [7]. Sim ilar agreement was found for the fermion Laughlin state in Ref. [7].

P rincipal Jain sequence: The incompressibility of the Laughlin droplet can be regarded as a consequence of a statistical transmutation: at large N_v , a boson binds one vortex and becomes a composite object (called composite fermion, CF) [11] that behaves as a spinless fermion. In a Laughlin droplet, the CF's ll up the N lowest angular momentum orbitals in the LLL.Com – pressing the droplet and therefore decreasing L requires promoting CF's to higher LL's and costs a nite am ount of energy. Thus, states with lower angular momentum will contain CF's in higher CF LL's, and this leads to

TABLE I:N umber of edge states (N oS) vs. excitation angular m om entum m. In the data for the M oore-Read (P fa an) state = 0 for a pure three-body interaction and = 1 for a pure two-body interaction.

$m = L L_0$	0 1 2	3	4	5	6
Laughlin (N = 5; L = 20)	112	3	5	7	10
Laughlin (N = 6; L = 30)	112	3	5	7	11
Laughlin (N ! 1)	112	3	5	7	11
f4;2g CF (N = 6; L = 20)	125	8			
f5;2g CF (N = 7; L = 30)	125	9	15		
$Jain = \frac{2}{3} (N ! 1)$	125	10	20	36	65
M oore-R ead (= 0, N = 8; L = 24)	113	5	10	15	
Moore-Read (= 0, even N $!$ 1)	113	5	10	16	28
M oore-R ead (= 1, N = 12; L = 60)	1 4 10	21			
M oore-R ead (= 0, N = 7; L = 18)	124	7	12		
Moore-Read (= 0, odd N $!$ 1)	124	7	13	21	35
M oore-R ead (= 1, N = 13; L = 72)	1 6 14	20			




FIG.1: Spectrum of the state L = 30 with N = 7. The gap $= 0.091 \text{ ge}^{2}$. Below the gap, we observe two phonon branches along with their multiphonon excitations.

the ansatz:

$$(z_{1}; \ldots; z_{N}) = P$$
 $(z_{i} \quad z_{j}) \quad {}_{CF}^{[fN_{i}g]}(r_{1}; \ldots; r_{N}) :$
 $i < j$ (3)

where P projects onto the LLL [11], and ${}_{CF}^{[fN_{1}g]}$ is a Slater determ inant with fN ${}_{ig}{}_{i=1}^{p}$ CF's lling the lowest angular momentum orbitals of p 1 CF LL's and L = L₀ = N (N 1)=2 + ${}_{i=0}^{p_{1}}$ N ${}_{i}$ (N ${}_{i}$ (2i + 1))=2 (N ${}_{0}$ = N and N ${}_{i}$ = 0 for i > 0 in the Laughlin state). In

TABLE II: Interaction energies (in units of $g=^{\sqrt{2}}$) of the edge excitations of the f5;2g state (N = 7; L = 30, see Fig. 1). The predictions for multiphonon states are given in brackets. D eviations are due to non-linear term snot included in Eq. (4).

state #	m = 2 (th.)	m = 3 (th.)	state #	m = 3 (th.)
1	0 (0)	0 (0)	6	0.034 (0.030)
2	0.002 (h! $_{2}^{(b)}$)	0.002 (0.002)	7	0.047 (0.045)
3	0.015 (0.015)	0.006 (h! ₃ ^(b))	8	0.069 (0.069)
4	0.034 (0.030)	0.015 (0.015)	9	0.088 (0.084)
5	0.069 (h! $_{2}^{(d)}$)	0.020 (0.017)	10	(h! ₃ ^(d))

what follows, we focus on the CF states f4;2g and f5;2g, which have been shown [11] to have good overlap with the exact states at $L_0 = 20$ (N = 6) and $L_0 = 30$ (N = 7), respectively. For a relatively large range of L $L_0 > 0$ (3 for f4;2g and 4 for f5;2g) the lowest energy state is a centre-ofm ass excitation of the state at L = L_0 , and the interaction energy is unchanged. Edge excitations are those states with energy lower than the bulk gap (, cf. Fig. 1) [13]. A coording to [7, 8], these states exhibit two branches of edge phonons described by:

$$H_{edge} = E_{0} + \int_{m > 0}^{X h} \sum_{m}^{(b)} b_{m}^{y} b_{m} + !_{m}^{(d)} d_{m}^{y} d_{m} ;$$
 (4)

and $L = L_0 + \frac{P}{m > 0} m b_m^y b_n + d_m^y d_m$, with $[d_m; d_n^y] =$ $[b_m; b_n^y] = n_m$, commuting otherwise. Note that the edge excitations are not degenerate in energy. However, gne can still compute the NoS from Z (q) = $Trq^{L \ L} \circ$ = $_{m > 0}$ (1 q^m)² . In table I we compare the num erical results to the theoretical predictions for the NoS, nding perfect agreem ent for m = 1;2. For higher m the results are a ected by nite-size e ects. However, in the state f5;2g the NoS for m = 3 is quite close to its N ! 1 value, and therefore we concentrate on this state for further analysis. We next try to reproduce the energies of the sixteen edge states of f5;2g from m = 1 to 3 using $!_{\rm m\,=\,2}^{\rm (b)}$ and $!_{\rm m\,=\,1;2}^{\rm (d)}$ as the only thing parameters (! $_1^{\rm (b)}$ has only a kinetic energy contribution by Kohn's theorem [8]). The interaction part of $h!_1^{(d)}$ can be extracted from the m = 1 data: $h!_{1}^{(d)} = 0.015 g= ^{\sqrt{2}}$. For m = 2, there are the ve following states: $2^{1=2}$ (b_1^y)² (b_1^y)² (b_2^y)²), $d_1^y b_1^y \dot{D}i$, $2^{1=2}$ $(d_1^y)^2 \dot{D}i$, $d_2^y \dot{D}i$, whose energies can be obtained from Eq. (4). For m = 3 the states and energies can be written down in a sim ilar fashion. The comparison with num erics for m = 2;3 is given in table II. Thus, these states are good representatives of the topological order of the lling $=\frac{2}{3}$ from the principal Jain sequence for bosons [6, 8].

Finally, we also nd evidence (to be reported elsewhere [22]) for the edge structures (three phonon branches) corresponding to $=\frac{3}{4}$. However, the NoS form > 1 is a ected by nite-size e ects for N = 6;7.

M oore-R ead (M R) or P fa an state: G round states of CF's with lower angular momentum are obtained by placing CF's in higher elective LL's. Eventually, when the number of occupied levels p! +1, the CF's would not feel any elective C oriolis force and the resulting state should be compressible. However, in such a state the CF's can pair and condense into a BCS state, which would render the state incompressible again [15]. Since CF's are spinless, the pairing takes place in p-wave and the BCS wavefunction is a P fa an [15, 16], which m ust be multiplied by $i < j (z_i = z_j)$ to yield a bosonic wavefunction:

$$M_{R} = \frac{Y}{\sum_{i < j} (z_{i} - z_{j}) A} \frac{1}{z_{1} - z_{2}} - \frac{1}{z_{N-1} - z_{N}} ; \quad (5)$$

where A stands for anti-sym m etrization (see e.g. Refs. [1, 18]) of the bracketed product, which is the M oore-R ead (M R) wavefunction [16]. Soon after the M R state was introduced, it was pointed out [17] that it is a zero interaction-energy eigenstate of a three-body potential, $U_3 = g_3 \,_{i < j < k} \, (r_i \, r_j) \, (r_i \, r_k)$. Thus, it is convenient to work with a modi ed H am iltonian: H $_{LLL}^0 =$ $T + U_2 + (1)U_3$, so that for = 0 the ground state at angular momentum $L_0^{M R} = N \, (N \, 2)=2$ (even N) or $L_0^{M R} = (N \, 1)^2=2$ (odd N) is exactly the M R state. Indeed, this modi cation is not entirely articial as one of us has recently shown that M R can become exact near a Feshbach resonance [19].

For the exact M R state (i.e. = 0) besides the polynom ials s_m introduced above, W en [6], and M ilovanovic and Read [18] found a branch of ferm ionic edge excitations which are generated by replacing the P fa an in M_R by A $z_1^{n_1}$ $\sum_{F}^{n_{F}} z(z_{F+1} - z_{F+2})^{1} + \sum_{N} (z - z_{N})^{1}$ where $n_1; \ldots; n_F$ are non-negative integers. Thus the angular momentum is increased by L $L_0^{MR} = \prod_{k=1}^{F} n_k + \frac{1}{2}$. This spectrum, together with the phonon branch related to s_m is described [6] by $L = L_0^{MR} + {P \atop m > 0} m b_m^y b_m + (m - {1 \over 2}) c_m^y - {1 \over 2} c_m - {1 \over 2}$, where b_m ; b_m^y are the phonon operators, and the ferm ions $fc_{m} = \frac{1}{2}; c_{n}^{V} = m_{n}, \text{ anti-com muting otherwise. How -}$ ever, due to the paired nature of the state, even and odd N are di erent. For instance, to com pute the NoS, one must de ne [6] $Z^{\text{even}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (1 + (1)^{\text{F}}) q^{\text{L L } 0}$ and $Z^{\text{odd}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (1 (1)^{\text{F}}) q^{\text{L L } 0}$, since the parity $(1)^{F}$, with $F = \sum_{m > 0}^{V} c_{m}^{V} \frac{1}{2} c_{m} \frac{1}{2}$, is a good quantum num ber [6]. The num erical results are com pared with the NoS for N ! 1 in table I. Perfect agreement is found for m [N = 2]. Higher values of m are shown to illustrate the e ects of nite size; the observed di erences from the N ! 1 values can be also accounted for by the theory [20]. Furtherm ore, using the e ective eld theory [22], we have also obtained the behavior of n (1) near the edge. For N even the predicted ratios of $n(l)=n(l_{max})$, where $l_{max} = N$ 2, are 1:2:3:... For




FIG. 2: O rbital occupancy for the M oore-R ead (or P fa an) state (3-body) and the eigenstate of the 2-body interaction identied as the P fa an.

N = 8, we numerically nd 1:0:22:34. However, for N odd the ratios of n (l $l_{m ax}$)=n ($l_{m ax}$) ($l_{m ax}$ = N 1) behave di erently [22]: 1:1:2:3. For N = 9 we numerically nd 1:0:1:0:2:5:4:1, and the scaling observed from smaller systems shows a trend of convergence to the predicted ratios. A lthough n (l) had been analyzed in [6] for a ferm ion MR state, the di erent behavior of n (l) for odd N had not been described.

As soon as the two-body interaction is turned on, i.e. already for small > 0, we observe that the plateau at $L > L_0^{MR}$ is lost for small L_0^{MR} . For pure twobody interaction (= 1), the states at L_0^{MR} + 1 for $N = 5;9;11;13 \text{ and at } L_0^{MR} + 2 \text{ for } N = 6;7;8;10;12$ have lower interaction energy than the ground state at $L_0^{M\ R}$. Thus, U $_2$ strongly perturbs the plateau of the exact M R state by favoring states with angularm om enta of com pact CF states. If, regardless of this fact, one counts the number of states at $L > L_0^{MR}$ with interaction energies less than the rst excited state at $L_0^{M_R}$, the results do not seem to converge and disagree with the NoS expected for the M R state (see table I for the observed N oS at = 1 for N = 12;13) W e also observe a rapid deterioration of the overlap of the state at $L_0^{M,R}$ with the exact MR state: from 0:91 (N = 5) and 0:90 (N = 6) to 0:68 (N = 8) and 0:74 (N = 9). These discrepancies might be due to a very slow convergence as N grows towards a well-de ned bulk MR state. However, we note that for very large N, local density argum ents [21] show that the edge of the M R state will reconstruct. The anom alies in the edge of the small system s observed here are striking in view of the good behavior exhibited by the Jain states, which are also approximate wavefunctions.

Experim ental consequences: It is possible to excite the surface modes by inducing a small time-dependent deformation of the harmonic trap. Within linear response, the energy injected by an m-polar deformation is proportional to the oscillator strength $f_m = \frac{1}{p}L_0 + m$; $p_m j_{i_0} i_j^2$, $(O_m = \frac{P_{m_{i_1}}}{\sum_{i_1} z_i^m})$, where runs over all

edge excitations. The theory predicts [8] $f_m = m R^{2m}$, where R = N = is the droplet radius. For the dipole, $f_1 = N$, as required by K ohn's theorem [8], and conmed by our numerics. For the quadrupole (m = 2) $f_2 = 2N^2 = .$ We have numerically tested the accuracy of this formula for the m = 2 modes of the states in table I (except the MR state at = 1), nding that already for N = 5;6 the deviations are no larger than 7%. This result suggests an experimental way of estimating the lling fraction, provided f_2 and N (or f_1) can be measured: $\frac{1}{exp} = f_2 = 2N^2$. More details will be provided elsewhere [22].

MAC thanks M.G reiter for a useful conversation and the department ECM of the Universitat de Barcelona for hospitality. We are also grateful to N.Read for helpful correspondence. Financial support from a Gipuzkoa fellow ship (MAC) of the Gipuzkoako Foru Aldundia and grants (NB) no. BFM 2002-01868 from DGESIC (Spanish Government) and 2001SGR 00064 (Generalitat de Catalunya) and (NRC) GR/S61263/01 (EPSRC) is gratefully acknow ledged.

- [1] N. W ilkin and J. M. F. Gunn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 6 (2000).
- [2] N. R. Cooper, N. K. W ilkin, and J. M. F. Gunn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 120405 (2001).
- [3] See G. Baym, cond-m at/0408401, for an up-to-date review of the recent progress in the eld.
- [4] V. Schweikhard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 040404 (2004).
- [5] V.Bretin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 050403 (2004).
- [6] X.-G. Wen, Adv. Phys. 44, 405 (1995); Phys.Rev.Lett. 70, 355 (1992).
- [7] X.-G.Wen, Int. J. of M od. Phys. 6, 1711 (1992).
- [8] M.A.Cazalilla, Phys.Rev.A 67 063613 (2003).
- [9] X.-G.W en, Physics Letters A 300, 175 (2002).
- [10] N. K. W ikin, J. M. F. Gunn, and R. A. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 2265 (1998); T. L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 060403 (2001).
- [11] N.R.Cooperand N.K.W ikin, Phys.Rev.B 60 R16279
 (1999).
- [12] N. Regnault and Th. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 030402 (2003).
- [13] U. Zulicke, J. J. Palacios, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 67,045303 (2001).
- [14] To increase the gaps, rotating dipolar gases has been recently proposed. See M. A. Baranov, K. Osterloh, and M. Lewenstein, cond-m at/0404329.
- [15] N.Read and D.Green, Phys.Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [16] G.Moore and N.Read, Nucl. Phys. B360, 362 (1991).
- [17] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3205 (1991).
- [18] M. M ilovanovic and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B 53, 13559 (1996).
- [19] N.R.Cooper, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 220405 (2004).
- [20] N. Read, private communication; N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16864 (1996).

[21] N.R.Cooper et al., cond-m at/0409146.[22] M.A.Cazalilla, N.Barberan, and N.R.Cooper, in

preparation.