## The tem perature dependence of the local tunnelling conductance in cuprate superconductors with competing A F order

Hong-YiChen and C.S. Ting

Texas Center for Superconductivity and Advanced Material, and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204

Based on the t  $t^0$  U V model with proper chosen parameters for describing the cuprate superconductors, it is found that near the optimal doping at low temperature (T), only the pure d-wave superconductivity (dSC) prevails and the antiferrom agnetic (AF) order is completely suppressed. At higher T, the AF order with stripe modulation and the accompanying charge order may emerge, and they could exist above the dSC transition temperature. We calculate the local di erential tunnelling conductance (LDTC) from the local density of states (LDOS) and show that their energy variations are rather di erent from each other as T increases. A lthough the calculated m odulation periodicity in the LDTC/LDOS and bias energy dependence of the Fourier amplitude of LDTC in the "pseudogap" region are in good agreement with the recent STM experiment (Vershinin et al.; Science 303, 1995 (2004)], we point out that some of the sample.

PACS num bers: 74.25.Jb, 74.20.-z, 74.50.+ r

Recently, STM or the local di erential tunnelling conductance (LDTC) measurement by Vershinin et al: [1] on slightly underdoped BSCCO indicated that the electronic states at low energies and at a tem perature T higher than the superconducting transition temperature  $(T_c)$  in the pseudogap region exhibit an energy-independent spatial modulation which resulting to a checkerboard pattern with incommensurate periodicity 4:7a 0:2 (a is the lattice constant). At very low tem perature, how ever, no such pattern has been detected [1], in agreem ent with previous m easurem ents [2, 3]. In addition, the Fourier amplitude of the LDTC at the modulation wave-vector which corresponds the periodicity 4:7a increases its magnitude rst and then attens out as the bias energy decreases. How to understand these behaviors are outstanding questions which have not been addressed in the existing literatures. In the present paper we are trying to explain these issues by adopting the idea of the possible coexistence of the d-wave superconductivity (dSC) with antiferrom agnetic (AF) order for cuprate superconductors [4, 5, 6, 7], and to exam ine the form ation of the AF order and the accompanying charge order at nite tem perature. The phenom enological t $t^0$  U V m odel will be applied to describe the cuprate superconductors. W ith proper chosen parameters, we show that at low tem perature only dSC prevails in our system and the AF order is completely suppressed. The local density of states (LDOS) and LDTC im ages are featureless. At higher tem perature, it is found that the AF order with stripe m odulation, which is also referred to the spin density wave (SDW), and the accompanying charge order or the charge density wave (CDW) may show up and they could even persist at tem peratures above the BCS superconducting transition temperature  $T_{\rm c}^{\rm BCS}$  . In the presence of SDW, we show both of the LDOS/LDTC im ages to have energy-independent stripe m odulation with

spacing of 5a=4a spreading over a 48a 24a lattice. A ccording to the Fourier analysis of the LDOS in ages, an average periodicity 4:8a could be assigned for the stripe modulation. If both of the doubly degenerate x- and y-oriented stripes have the probability to appear in the time interval of the measurem ent or the proximity e ect [8] exists between neighboring dom ains with di erently oriented stripe m odulations, the com bined LDOS in ages would have a checkerboard pattern of 4:8a 4:8a structure. All these features are consistent with the experim ent of Vershin in et al: [1]. In order to com pare with the energy variation of the STM measurements at nite tem perature (T), the LDTC is needed and it can be obtained from the LDOS by using the method of convolution. although both of the energy variations of LD T C and LD O S exhibit the "pseudogap"-like characteristics [9], their behaviors at higher tem perature are quite di erent. W hile the size of the gap for quasiparticle excitations as a function of T is measured by the separation between the coherent peaks in the LDOS, the use of the LDTC or the experim ental data to directly determ ined this quantity could be m isleading.

To model these observed phenomena, we employ an e ective mean-eld t  $t^0$  U V Hamiltonian by assum ing that the on-site repulsion U is responsible for the competing antiferrom agnetism and the nearest-neighbor attraction V causes the d-wave superconducting pairing

Н

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ t_{ij}c_{i}^{y}c_{j} + (U m_{i} i)c_{i}^{y}c_{i} \\ X \\ + (i_{j}c_{i''}^{y}c_{j\#}^{y} + i_{j}c_{j\#}c_{i''}); \end{pmatrix} (1)$$

where  $t_{ij}$  is the hopping integral, is the chem ical potential, and  $_{ij} = \frac{V}{2}hc_{i"}c_{j\#} c_{i\#}c_{j"}i$  is the spin-singlet d-wave bond order parameter. The Ham iltonian above

shall be diagonalized by using Bogoliubov-de Gennes' (BdG) equations,

where H<sub>ij</sub> = t<sub>ij</sub> + (U h<sub>i</sub> i ) <sub>ij</sub>. Here, we choose the nearest-neighbor hopping ht<sub>ij</sub>i = t = 1 and the next-nearest-neighbor hopping ht<sub>ij</sub>i = t<sup>0</sup> = 0.25 to m atch the curvature of the Ferm i surface for m ost cuprate superconductors [10]. The exact diagonalization m ethod to self-consistently solve BdG equations with the periodic boundary conditions is employed to get the N positive eigenvalues (E<sub>n</sub>) with eigenvectors ( $u_{i''}^n$ ; $v_{i\#}^n$ ) and N negative eigenvalues (E<sub>n</sub>) with eigenvectors ( $v_{i''}^n$ ; $u_{i\#}^n$ ). The self-consistent conditions are

$$m_{i''}i = \begin{cases} \hat{X}^{N} & ju_{i}^{n} j f(E_{n}); m_{i\#}i = \\ & n=1 \\ \\ ij = \\ & \frac{\hat{X}^{N}}{n=1} \\ \\ & \frac{V}{4} (u_{i}^{n}v_{j}^{n} + v_{i}^{n} u_{j}^{n}) \tanh(\frac{E_{n}}{2}); \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $u_i^n = (v_{i''}^n; u_{i''}^n)$  and  $v_i^n = (u_{i\#}^n; v_{i\#}^n)$  are the row vectors, and f (E) = 1=(e<sup>E</sup> + 1) is Ferm i-D irac distribution function. Since the calculation is performed near the optim ally doped regime, the lling factor,  $n_f = P_i \frac{hc_i^y}{1} c_i i=N_x N_y$ , is xed at 0.85, i.e., the hole doping = 0.15. Each time when the on-site repulsion U or the tem perature is varied, the chem ical potential needs to be adjusted .

In Fig. 1(a), we use U = 2:44 and V = 1:0 to approxin ately reproduce the phase diagram of Inaba et al: [5] based on a mean eld approach of the t J model, there the contribution from the AF order was explicitly considered. We shall apply this phase diagram to understand the experim ental observations in Ref. [1]. It is im portant to notice that the curve (dashed line) separating the region of the dSC and the coexistence region of dSC plus SDW in the phase diagram has a positive slop as the doping ( ) increases. The detailed structure of the SDW in the underdoped region is not the main focus of the present paper and will not be presented here. From the phase diagram, if = 0.15 is chosen near the optim ald oping or in the slightly underdoped region, one can easily see that at low tem perature T, our system is in the phase of dSC.W hen T increases, the SDW order em erges and coexists with the dSC . At very higher T, the dSC disappears and only SDW order survives. These tem perature dependencies can be understood from Fig. 1(b) where the dSC orderparam eter at site i and the maximum value of the staggered m agnetization M i are plotted as functions of tem perature T=t. From Fig. 1(b), it is straightforward to show that only dSC exists and the AF order is com pletely suppressed at low T.AsT > 0:06t, the stripemodulated AF or the SDW order incipit, and both of



FIG.1: (a) The phase diagram for U = 2:44. (c) The projection of the staggered magnetization M<sub>i</sub> at T = 0.84T<sub>N</sub>, where M<sub>i</sub> = (1)<sup>i</sup> (n<sub>i</sub><sup>m</sup> n<sub>i</sub>#). The size of the unit cell is N<sub>x</sub> N<sub>y</sub> = 48 24. (b) and (d) show the temperature dependence of the dSC (open circle) and the maximum value of M<sub>i</sub> (solid circle) for U = 2:44 and U = 2:39, respectively. The value of the dSC order parameter  $i = \frac{1}{4}(i + x + i x) + i y + i y)$  is measured in the unit of t.

them could persist above the BCS transition tem perature  $T_c^{BCS} = 0.14t. AtT > T_c^{BCS}$ , the staggered m agnetization decreases rapidly to zero at the Neel's tem perature  $T_N = 0.155t$ . In Fig. 1(c) we show that the projection of the y-oriented stripe modulation in the AF order (or SDW ) at T =  $0.84T_N < T_c^{BCS}$  along x-axis, where the SDW has mixed periodicity 10a 9a 10a 9a 10a over a 48a 24a lattice. The periodicity of the SDW seems not sensitive to T as long as the SDW order is in presence. In Fig. 1(d), we make similar plots as those in Fig. 1(b) but with a smaller U = 2:39. In this case the AF order is completely suppressed and our system is in the state of pure dSC at all tem peratures. This in plies that the phase diagram for U = 2:39 should be sim ilar to that in Fig. 1 (a) except the phase boundary (dashed line) is pushed toward the lower doped region. In both qures 1 (b) and 1 (d), the dSC order parameter as a function of T appears to have the BCS-like behavior. Besides, the  $T_c^{BCS}$  in the case for U = 2:39 [Fig. 1(d)] is slightly larger than the one for U = 2.44 [Fig. 1(b)]. This is because the appearance of SDW in Fig. 1 (b) at higher T also suppresses the dSC . It needs to point out here that a phase diagram of the same model was previously studied by Martin et al: [7] using a very larger U on a much smaller lattice (17a 10a). The curve separating the region of dSC and that of dSC plus SDW in their phase diagram has a negative slop. And that would yield the conclusion that if the system is in pure dSC state at low tem perature, then it is always in dSC state at a tem perature up to  $T < T_c^{BCS}$  and the SDW order never shows up. This is very di erent from the present

situation. In the following we show that the results in Figs. 1 (b) and 1 (c) can be applied to understand various features observed in the STM experiment [1].

At nite tem perature, what the STM measures is the LDTC which has the following de nition  $% \left( {{\left[ {{{\rm{T}}_{\rm{T}}} \right]}_{\rm{T}}} \right)$ 

$$G_{i}(E)_{T} \qquad \frac{dI_{i}}{dE}_{T} = A \qquad {}_{i}(E^{0})_{T} \qquad \frac{d}{dE}f(E^{0} E) dE^{0}(4)$$

, where

$${}_{i}(E)_{T} = \frac{1}{M_{x}M_{y}} \overset{\tilde{X}^{N}}{\underset{n,k}{}_{n,k}} u_{i}^{n,k} \overset{2}{}_{f}^{0}(E_{n,k} E)$$
$$+ v_{i}^{n,k} \overset{2}{}_{f}^{0}(E_{n,k} + E)$$
(5)

is the LDOS, and A is proportional to the square of the tunnelling matrix element. The summation in  $_{i} (E)_{T}$  is averaged over M  $_{x}$  M  $_{y}$  wavevectors in rst B rillouin Zone.

In Fig. 2, the normalized LDOS (a) and LDTC (b) at the sites with the maximum staggered magnetization  $(M_i)$  as functions of the bias energy E are presented from low to high tem peratures. It is clear from Eq. (4) that the LDTC reduces to LDOS at low T.But at higher T, the behavior of the LDTC is rather di erent from that of the LDOS. For example, the characteristic tem perature T<sub>c</sub><sup>bulk</sup>, where the coherent peaks of the superconductivity begin to atten out, in the LDTC is considerably lower than that in the LDOS. One usually de ne the gap of the quasiparticles as the separation between the coherent peaks or the width of the dip or depression in the LDOS.W hile the gap in the LDOS [Fig. 2(a)] appears roughly to be a constant from low T up to  $T_N$  , the "gap" in the LDTC [Fig. 2(b)] increases progressively from low T to high T. The increment in the magnitude of "gap" as T raises has been indeed observed by experimental m easurem ents [11, 12, 13]. It should be emphasized here that the "gap" in the LDTC or observed directly from the STM experiments is the result of the convolution in Eq. (4) and m ay not be the realgap of the system . In the tem perature range of  $T_c^{bulk} < T < T_N$  as shown in Fig. 2, the system appears to be in the "pseudogap" region according to the characterization from STM experiments [9, 14]. Even though the e ect due to the phase uctuations on the dSC order parameter [15, 16] has not been taken into account. In this region, the Ferm i surface in our theory is everywhere gaped while the ARPES experiment [17] indicates that the gaps occur only near (;0) and (0; ). This di culty so far has not been understood.

It is well established that associated with the SDW shown in Fig. 1 (c), there are stripe-m odulations in the dSC order and the charge density. The stripe m odulated charge order can also be referred to the charge density wave (CDW). In the top two graphs of the left panel in



FIG. 2: Temperature dependence of the normalized LDOS ( $_{i}(E)_{T} = _{i}(E)_{T_{N}}$ ) and the normalized LDTC (G $_{i}(E)_{T} = G_{i}(E)_{T_{N}}$ ), here  $T_{N} = 0.155t$ . The LDOS and LDTC at  $T_{N}$  as a function of E is represented by the dashed line. This representative set of spectra was shifted vertically for clarity. The wavevectors in rst Brillouin Zone are M  $_{x}$  M  $_{y} = 24$  12.

Fig. 3, the projections of the y-oriented stripe modulations in the dSC order and the charge density are plotted along the x-axis at  $T = 0.84T_N$  (<  $T_c^{BCS}$ ). Here the spatial distributions of the dSC and the charge order are only slightly modulated by the stripe structure, and the dSC and the SDW orders coexist in real space. This feature is very di erent from the case in R ef. [7], where the dSC order is practically suppressed to zero in the spatial regions where the AF order is in presence. The stripe modulation also appears in the LDTC (or LDOS) as shown in the bottom graph of the left panel. The stripe modulations displaced in the left panel have the sam e m ixed periodicity 5a 5a 4a 5a 5a over a 24a lattice along x-axis. This indicates that the system is trying to establish a periodicity incommensurate with the underline lattice, but fails to do so because the calculation is performed in a discrete and nite lattice, not in a continuum. It is also straightforward to show that the same m ixed periodicity still remains in the CDW and LDTC (or LDOS) even T is in the region of  $T_{\rm c}^{\rm BCS}$  < T <  $T_{\rm N}$  , where the superconducting order parameter vanishes and the SDW order is still in presence. As it will be shown below that an average periodicity 4:8a for the stripe m odulation could be assigned in the present case. The x-and y-oriented stripe m odulations are degenerate in energy, and it is thus possible for the both x- and y- oriented stripe-m odulations to show up either in the time duration when the experim ent is perform ed or due to the proxim ity e ect [8] between neighboring dom ains with stripes of



FIG. 3: Left panel: From top to bottom, the projection of the dSC order, charge density, and LDTC at  $T=0.84T_{\rm N}$ . Right panel: The energy evolution of the norm alized Fourier am plitude of the LDOS (a) and LDTC (b) in ages for  $T=0.94T_{\rm N}$  (solid circle) and  $T=0.86T_{\rm N}$  (open circle) at  $q_{\rm x}=0.417$ ( =a). The solid curve represents the norm alized LDOS (a) and LDTC (b) as a function of E at  $T=0.84T_{\rm N}$ . The wavevectors which have been used to calculate the LDOS and LDTC in ages are M $_{\rm x}$  M $_{\rm y}=6$  6 in rst Brillouin Zone.

di erent orientations. As a result, checkerboard pattern could be observed.

Furtherm ore, in order to get a detailed understanding of the periodicity of the modulation in the LDOS (or LDTC) im age, we perform the Fourier transform of the LDOS (or LDTC) im age in the tem perature range where the SDW order is in existence.

$$_{q}(E)_{T} = \frac{1}{N_{x}N_{y}} \sum_{i}^{X} \exp(iq t) (E)_{T} : (6)$$

W hen the above quantity is plotted against  $q_x$ , a sharp peak occurs around  $q_x = 0.417(=a) = 2 = 4.8a$ , indicating an average "periodicity" 4:8a in real space. In Fig. 3(a) and 3(b), the solid curves at the bottom represent, respectively, the norm alized LDOS and the norm alized LDTC as functions of the bias energy at  $T = 0.84T_N$ . The curves at the top made of solid/open circles show the bias energy dependencies of the Fourier am plitudes of the LDOS and LDTC images at  $q_x = 2 = 4.8a$  for two di erent tem peratures norm alized by their values at E = 0:6t. The Fourier amplitude of the LDOS rst reaches a peak and then drops som ew hat rapidly as the bias decreases to zero. Near the zero bias, the result at T =  $0.84T_N$  (<  $T_c^{BCS}$ ) dips more than the result at T =  $0.94T_N$  (>  $T_c^{BCS}$ ) in the pseudogap region. On the other hand, the Fourier am plitude of the LDTC as functions of the bias energy at these two tem peratures di er very little, and they drops only slightly after reaching a broader maximum from higher bias. This feature in the

LDTC seems to be in better agreement with the STM measurements [1] as compared with that of the LDOS.

In conclusion, the temperature dependencies of the LDTC and LDOS in a cuprate superconductor with the competing AF order have been investigated in the present paper. A coording to our calculations based on the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a) near the optim aldoping, there is no signature of the charge order in the LDOS and the LDTC at low T. W hen the SDW order appears, both of the LDOS and the LDTC exhibit the same CDW like modulation with an average periodicity 4:8a at T below and above  $T_c^{BCS}$ . We also calculate the Fourier amplitude of LDOS and LDTC at q = (2 = 4:8a; 0) and their bias energy dependencies in the "pseudogap" region as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. All the features in the LDTC are in good agreem ent with those observed by the STM experiments [1]. Finally we point out that the "gap" of the quasiparticles at higher T obtained directly from the LDTC or the STM experiments does not correspond to the real gap of the system in cuprate superconductors.

A cknow ledgem ents: W e thank SH.Pan, JX.Zhu and Q.Yuan for useful comments and suggestions. This work is supported by the Texas Center for Superconductivity and A dvanced M aterial at the University of Houston, and by a grant from the Robert A.Welch Foundation.

- M. Vershinin, S. Misra, S. Ono, Y. Abe, Y. Ando, and A. Yazdani, Science 303, 1995 (2004).
- [2] JE. Ho man, K. M CE koy, D. H. Lee, K M. Lang, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and J.C. Davis, Science 297, 1148 (2002).
- [3] K.M cE kroy, R W . Sim monds, JE.Ho man, D.H.Lee, J.O renstein, H.E isaki, S.Uchida, and J.C.Davis, Nature 422, 520 (2003).
- [4] T.G iam archi and C. Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. B 43, 12943 (1991).
- [5] M. Inaba, H. M atsukawa, M. Saitoh, and H. Fukuyama, Physica C 257, 299 (1996).
- [6] M. Inui, S.Doniach, P.J. Hirschfeld, and A.E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. B 37, R 2320 (1988).
- [7] I. Martin, G. Ortiz, A.V. Balatsky, and A.R. Bishop, Europhys. Lett., v. 56, 849 (2001)
- [8] C. Howald, H. Eisaki, N. Kaneko, M. Greven, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. B 67, 014533 (2003).
- [9] M.Kugler, .Fischer, Ch.Renner, S.Ono, and Y.Ando, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4911 (2001).
- [10] M R.Norm an, Phys.Rev.B 63, 092509 (2001).
- [11] T.Timusk and B.Statt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 61 (1999).
- [L2] Y. Xuan, H.J. Tao, Z.Z. Li, C.T. Lin, Y.M. Ni, B.R. Zhao, and Z.X. Zhao, cond-m at/0107540.
- [13] S.H. Pan, Private communication.
- [14] Ch.Renner, B.Revaz, J.Y.Genoud, K.Kadowaki, and .Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 149 (1998).
- [15] V.Emery and S.K ivelson, Nature 374, 434 (1995).
- [16] M .Franz and A J.M illis, Phys.Rev.B 58, 14572 (1998).

[17] M.R.Nomman, H.Ding, M.Randeria, J.C.Campuzano, T.Yokoya, T.Takeuchi, T.Takahashi, T.Mochiku, M. kadowaki, P.Guptasama, and D.G.Hinks, Nature 392, 157 (1998).