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#### Abstract

W e discuss the exact solutions of various models of the statistics of dim er coverings of a B ethe lattice. W e reproduce the well-known exact result for noninteracting hard-core dim ens by both a very sim ple geom etrical argum ent and a generalalgebraic form ulation for lattice statisticalproblem s. T he algebraic form ulation enables us to discuss loop corrections for nite dim ensional lattices. For the B ethe lattice we also obtain the exact solution when either a) the dim ers interact via a short-range interaction orb) the underly ing lattice is an isotropic. W e give the exact solution for a speciallim it of dim ens on a B ethe lattice in a quenched random potentialin which we consider them axim alcovering ofdim ers on random clusters at site occupation probability p. Surprisingly the partition function for " $m$ axim al coverage" on the B ethe lattice is identical to that for the statistics of branched polym ers when the activity for a monom er unit is set equal to p. Finally we give an exact solution for the num ber of residual vacancies when hard-core dim ens are random ly deposited on a one dim ensional lattice.


PACS num bers: $02.10 .0 \mathrm{x}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 64.60 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{n}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

T he statistics of covering a lattice $w$ ith $m$ onom ens or dim ers has a long and continuing history in condensed $m$ atter physics. R ecently there has been a revival of interest in this topic in connection w ith a num ber of seem ingly unrelated problem s such as quantum uctuations in H eisenberg antiferrom agnets, ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}^{1}$ stability and dynam ics in granular system s, $\mathbf{N}_{1}^{21}$ phase transitions in ceptain com plex
uid $3_{1}^{31}$ dynam ics of catalysis on surfaces $\boldsymbol{r}^{141}$ and the biophysics ofm em branes ${ }^{151}$ A ccordingly, we have been led to revisit this problem w ith the goals of a) drastically sim plifying the derivation ofexisting approxim ations and b) providing a fram ew ork w ithin which the more modem techniques of statisticalm echanics can be applied.

The rst studies of the statistics of dim er coverings, of, a lattige were carried out m ore that 50 years ago $-\frac{1}{4}, 8$ obtain ing results analogous to those of the B ethe approxim ation ${ }^{19} 1,111$ for the Ising and $H$ eisenberg $m o d-$ els. At that tim e, the relation of this approxim ation schem e to the structure of the C ayley tree (a recursive $\backslash$ lattice," an exam ple of which, w ith coordination num ber $q$, is shown in $F$ ig. (11) was apparently not known. It was later recognized by Sykes, ${ }^{12}$. who apparently rst coined the term \B ethe lattice," that the B ethe approxim ation was to be associated w ith localproperties evaluated near the center of the tree, in order to avoid surface e ects which, for d-dim ensional hypercubic lattices, are unphysical. T he pathological e ects of the anom alously large surfape where later studied by severalauthons in the $1970^{\prime}$ s, 1 F isher and $G$ aunt in $1964 \mu_{1}^{171}$ it w as the results for local properties at the center of the in nite tree which could be connected to those of hypercubic lattices in the lim it d ! 1 . They obtained expansions in powers of $1=d$ for the coe cients of series expansions in the coupling constant. H owever, it was later show $n$ by the renorm alization group ${ }^{181}$ that the criticalexponents for typical lattice
$m$ odels were those ofm ean- eld theory for $d>4$.
M ost of the results of this paper will be obtained for the Bethe lattide. We im plem ent the B ethe lattide condition either by explicitly considering sites far from the boundary or, altematively, by using a form ulation appropriate to periodic lattices and then introducing approxim ations which begam e exact when the lattice does not support any loops ${ }^{19}-\mathrm{By}$ in itially treating a periodic lattice we elim inate anom alous surface e ects. W e are thus assured that our results are characteristic of the interior of the tree and should be associated w ith what is now com $m$ only called a B ethe lattice.

Of course, an important aim is to treat real ddim ensional lattices. To this end there have been a num ber of papers dealing $w$ ith series expansions for the problem of dim er or $m$ onom er-dim er coverings of a lattice. N agle, in 1966 developed a series expansion in powers of the dim er activity $z$ for a num ber of two-and three-dim ensional lattioes_ Jonger series w ere later obtained obtained by G aunt ${ }^{211}$ who exploited the relation between the dim er problem and the Ising model in a
eld. A ltemative form ulations, based on the generalization ofthe $M$ ayer cluster expansion $n^{22}$, by R ushbrooke and Scoins $2^{23}$ have also been given $\mathbf{2 n}^{41} \mathrm{M}$ ore recently B razhnik and Freed ${ }^{251}$ : have given a form ulation suitable not only for dim ens but for $m$ ore com plicated entities. H ere we treat the cases of both noninteracting and interacting dim ens. We nst address these problem s using a sim ple intuitive geom etrical approach. T hen we adopt an algebraic approach based on a transform ation introduced by Shapin ${ }^{26}$ which enables us to develop an expansion for a d-dim ensional lattice in which the leading term is the exact result for the $B$ ethe lattice of the sam e coordination num ber q. For noninteracting dim ers corrections are obtained in pow ers_af $1=q$ and the activity $z$, sim ilar to the result of $N$ agle!

B rie $y$ this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present a sim ple geom etrical derivation of the B ethe


FIG. 1: A C ayley tree with coordination num ber $q=3$. The tree consists of three generations of bonds starting from the seed site, S .
approxim ation for the statistics of distributing hard-core (but otherw ise noninteracting) dim ens on a lattige. W e also give an altemative algebraic derivation of this result w hich enables us to generate loop corrections for the case of a d-dim ensional periodic lattice. W e also brie y consider noninteracting dim ers on an anisotropic lattice. In Sec. III we consider a model which includes dim erdim er interactions. $W$ e derive the $B$ ethe approxim ation for this $m$ odelby both the geom etrical and algebraic approaches. The exact solution of a $m$ odel in the special lim it of quenched random ness in which each cluster of random ly occupied sites is $m$ axim ally covered by noninteracting dim ers is given in Sec. IV. In Sec. V we consider a m odel of random deposition of hard-core dim ens in one dim ension. We give an exact result for the fraction of sites which rem ain vacant after deposition is com pleted. $F$ inally, our conclusions are sum $m$ arized in Sec. V I. In a future paper we w ill generalize our approach to treat the statistics of entities $m$ ore com plicated than dim ers.

## II. NONINTERACTING DIMERSON A BETHE LATTICE

A. G eom etrical D erivation of the $E$ xact $R$ esult

H ere we willdevelop a form ula for, the average num ber of dim ers per edge on a lattice in term $s$ of the dim er chem icalpotential, or preferably in term sof the dim er "activity" $z \quad e$, where $=1=(k T)$. (Interactions betw een dim ers and the underlying lattice as well as intemal degrees of freedom of the dim er are easily included
by a rede nition of $z$.) W e will give what we believe to be the simplest possible \geom etric" derivation of the well-know nertilill \B ethe approxim ation," which has been show $n^{15}$ to be surprisingly accurate for som e tw o dim ensional lattioes, and which is exact when applied to the Bethe lattice. W e assum e that only dim ers can be adsorbed on the lattice, and that they can be adsorbed only as lying dim ers (i.e., an adsorbed dim er covers two lattice sites). In the present section we w ill assum e that there are no interactions between adsorbed dim ers exœept for the hard-core restriction that tw o dim ers cannot touch the sam e site. It is easy to generalize this treat$m$ ent to dealw th the tw o-com ponent lattice and also to include the possibility of adsonption of standing dim ens and $m$ onom ers L? $^{27}$

A s stated in the introduction, we initially dealw ith a periodic d-dim ensional lattioe and w ill obtain the results for the Bethe lattice by introducing an approxim ation which is exact when the lattioe is tree-like (i. e. it contains no loops). A s a starting point of th is discussion we introduce the (unnorm alized) probability that there are $N_{D}$ dim ers on the lattice, $W_{N}\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right) z^{N_{D}}$, where $W_{N}\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)$ is the num ber ofdistinct con gurations of $N_{D}$ dim ers on a lattice of $N$ sites. The equation of state for this dim er system is the relation betw een z and $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{N}$, where $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the average value of $N_{D}$. In the B ethe approxim ation ${ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{2}{q} \frac{\bar{N}_{D}}{N} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2 \bar{N}_{D}}{q \mathbb{N}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2 \bar{N}_{D}}{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is num ber of sites which are nearest neighbors of a given site. To em phasize that this result applies to a B ethe lattioe we express it in term s of densities such as $\quad 2 \bar{N}_{D}=(q \mathbb{N})$, the fraction of bonds (a bond is an edge connecting two sites) covered by a dim er or $q$, the fraction of sites which are covered by a dim er. Stated altematively, is the probability that a given bond is covered by a dim er and $q$ is the probability that a given site is covered. In term s of these variables the equation of state is

$$
z=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & )(1 & q \tag{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1+2 q z \quad p \overline{1+4 z}}{2\left(1+q^{2} z\right)} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{q} 1$.
For this discussion it is convenient to de ne som e ter$m$ inology to describe con gurations of dim ers on a lattioe. In Fig. one sees occupied bonds (D) on which dim ers are placed and unoccupied bonds, which can be of three types depending on whether or not the two sites of the bond are covered by dim ers. If both sites of an unoccupied bond are uncovered, the bond is called a \dim er vacancy," (V). If both sites of the unoccupied bond are covered, the bond is called (in anticipation of Sec. III where we allow dim er-dim er interactions) an \interacting bond" (I),


F IG . 2: C lassi cation of bonds in a lattice partially covered by dim ers. A $s$ indicated in the text $D$ labels a dim er, $V$ a dim er vacancy, I an interacting bond, and H a half bond.
and if only one site of the unoccupied bond is covered, the bond is called a \half bond" (H). We rst observe that in the them odynam ic lim it the average and most probable values of $N_{D}$ di er neglighbly. T hus wem ay say that the average num ber ofdim ers $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the value of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ for which the probability $W_{N}\left(N_{D}\right) z^{N_{D}}$ is $m$ axim al. The condition that this quantity be stationary is
$C$ onsider the left-hand side of this equation. From any con guration of $N_{D}$ dimers we can obtain a con guration of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}+1$ dim ers by placing an additional dim er on a dim er vacancy. The num ber of new con gurations obtained by placing a dim er on one of the $N_{v}$ dimer vacancies of each con guration of $N_{D}$ dimers is thus $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$, where $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is the average num ber of dim er vacancies forcon gurations having $N_{D}$ dim ers. H ow ever, we note that in this new set of con gurations each conguration occurs $N_{D}+1$ tim es because each dim er in the new, con guration could have been the one new ly added. $\mathrm{So}^{2}{ }_{1}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{D}}+1\right)}{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{V}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{D}}+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we will replace $N_{D}+1$ by $N_{D}$ in the therm odynam ic lim it. A pplying this for the $m$ ost probable value of $N_{D}$ gives the simple result ${ }^{51}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{V}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ifwe introduce the density ofdim ervacancies v by $\mathrm{v}=$ $\bar{N}_{V}=N_{B}$, where $N_{B}$ is the total num ber of bonds, then this $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{v}=\frac{1}{z}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that (or v) is de ned to be the total num ber of dim er (ordim ervacancies) divided by the totalnum ber of bonds. These quantities can also be de ned locally for a subsystem ofbonds. It is not obviousthatwhen and v are replaced by their local versions that E q. $\left.\overline{\underline{T}}_{1}\right)$ w ill still hold. Indeed, for the Ising $m$ odel on a C ayley tree in an applied eld, the totalm agnetization divided by the total num ber ofsites is not the sam e as the localm agnetization of a single site near the center of the tree. W e now argue that $\mathrm{v}=$ does not depend on position w ithin the tree. C onsider two subsystem ${ }^{2} 29 . S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ which consist of $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ bonds, respectively, where $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are both large compared to 1 (so that we do not need to worry about discretenesse ects). Suppose ${ }_{\mathrm{v}}=$ assum es di erent values w ithin these tw o subsystem s. Then, ifwe $m$ ove a dim er from subsystem $S_{1}$ to subsystem $S_{2}$, the ratio of the num ber of con gurations $W$ before $m$ oving the dim er to that, $W{ }^{0}$, after $m$ oving the dim er is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{~W}^{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{1} 2}{\mathrm{~V} 21} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts identify the subsystem in question. W emay view the vn for subsystem $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ as being order param eters. In order that $W_{N}\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)$ actually be $m$ axim al $w$ ith respect to variation of these order param eters, it $m$ ust be stationary $w$ th respect to $m$ oving a dim er. So $W=W^{0}=1$, which implies that $\mathrm{v}=$ is the same for all large subsystem s. T hus Eq. (li), which initially involved the ratio of global properties, is actually valid when interpreted as a relation betw een localquantities.

We now express $v$ as an explicit function of in a region far from the boundary, so that Eq. (7, $\bar{\prime})$ yields the equation of state we seek. If $A$ and $B$ are nearest neighboring sites, then

```
v = P (sites A and B are vacant)
    = P(A is vacant)
        P (B is vacant; given that A is vacant)
    =(\begin{array}{ll}{1}&{q}\end{array})
        P (B is vacant; given that A is vacant) : (9)
```

H ere the sym bolp () denotes the probability of the event inside the parentheses. The basic probability space consists of all con gurations of dim ers on the lattice. The (unnorm alized) probability assigned to a con guration is $z^{N_{D}}$, where $N_{D}$ is the num ber of dim ens in the conguration. Equivalently, we could lim it our probability space to the set of con gurations containing exactly $N_{D}$ dim ers, with all con gurations equiprobable. The conditional probability the last factor in the last line of Eq.
$(\underline{\underline{9}}, \mathbf{1})$ ] is denoted P (B vacant jA vacant) and is, by de nition, equal to $P$ ( $B$ vacant and $A$ vacant) $=P$ ( $A$ vacant). $M$ ore generally, for any two events $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(E_{2} \Xi_{1}\right)=P\left(E_{2} \text { and } E_{1}\right)=P\left(E_{1}\right): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now invoke the approxim ation in which we replace the factor $P$ ( $B$ vacant $j A$ vacant) in Eq. (id ) by $P$ ( $B$ vacant $j$ bond $A B$ unoccupied). This replacem ent ignores the possibility that atm ost one of the otherbonds touching site A m ight be occupied and thereby might indirectly a ect whether site B is occupied or not. Of course this possible inaccuracy can only arise if there is som $e$ indirect path from site A to site B not going through the bond $A B$. Since this approxim ation (which wew ill refer to as the tree decoupling) is exact for the B ethe lattioe it leads to the $B$ ethe approxim ation. $T$ hus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{v}= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q
\end{array}\right) \\
& P(B \text { is vacant jbond } A B \text { is unoccupied) :(11) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability that a particular bond is unoccupied is 1 , so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \text { (B vacant jbond A B unoccupied) } \\
= & \frac{P(B \text { vacant and bond A B unoccupied) }}{P \text { (bond A B unoccupied) }} \\
= & \frac{P(B \text { vacant and bond A B unoccupied) }}{(1)}: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $B$ is vacant, the bond $A B$ m ust be unoccupied; therefore the num erator of Eq . $(1 \overline{1} \overline{2})$ is just $P(B$ vacant $)=$ 1 q. Thus

$$
\mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q \tag{13}
\end{array}\right)^{2}=(1 \quad) ;
$$

which, in combination with Eq. $\underline{\underline{I}}_{1}$ ), leads to Eq. (2). W e have not succeeded in constructing a simple argum ent to estim ate the $m$ agnitude of the di erence between P (B vacant j A vacant) and $P$ ( $B$ vacant $j$ bond $A B$ unoccupied) for d-dim ensional lattices. Q ualitatively, the im portant point is that, w ithin the fam ily of con gurations in which bond AB is unoccupied, the probability that site B be vacant is not signi cantly in uenced by the presence of a dim er on (at $m$ ost) one of the other bonds em anating from A.

In A ppendix C we present a sim ple calculation of the $m$ ajor correction to the tree approxim ation on a planar triangular lattice. H ow ever, the calculation is not the
rst term in a system atic series and is not easily extended to the square lattice. In the next section we w ill present a form alism which enables one to system atically generate corrections to the tree approxim ation.
B. Solution by Construction of an E ective
$H$ am ilton ian
 order to treat here the nontherm al statistical problem of
constructing a generating function for covering a lattice w ith $0,1,2$, : : : hard-core dim ers. W ew ish to identify this generating function $w$ th a partition function, $Z$ of the form $Z=\operatorname{Trexp}(H)$, where $H$ can then be interpreted as the H am iltonian for the statistical problem.

The rst step in this program is obviously to construact the ective Ham iltonian. This can be done by writing ${ }^{61}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{H}=e^{P}{ }_{h i j i}^{z s_{i} s_{j}} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where hiji indicates that the sum is over pairs of nearest neighbors. For the expansion of the partition function in powers of $z$ to count allpossible dim er con gurations, where $z$ is the dim er activity. the follow ing trace rules are im posed on the operators $s_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Tr}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $T r_{i}$ indicates a trace over states of site $i$ and we set $C_{0}=C_{1}=1$ and $C_{n}=0$ for $n>1$. It is not actually necessary to explicitly construct such an operator because the only property of these operators we need in order to construct the partition function is the trace rules of Eq . (1-5). W e see that the fact that the trace of two orm ore operators at the sam e site vanishes, im plem ents exactly the hard-core constraint for dim ers. Thus the partition function $Z=\operatorname{Trexp}(H) w$ ill indeed give the grand partition function for dim ers as a function of their chem icalpotential :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=e_{C}^{X} e^{n(C)} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all con gurations $C$ of dim ers and $n(C)$ is the num ber ofdim ers present in the con guration C. From $Z$ we can get the fraction of sites covered by dim ers as a function of the dim er chem ical potential via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{Nq}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{Nq}} \frac{@ \ln Z}{@}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{Nq}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \ln \mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~d} \ln \mathrm{z}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the \spin operators" $s_{i}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith one another, we have a m apping of the atherm alproblem ofdim ens on a lattice into a statisticalm echanical problem involving classical spins w ith a given H am iltonian.

N ow we use this m apping to a) construct the exact solution for the partition function for a B ethe lattice and b) generate series expansions for nite dim ensional lattioes. To do that we develop a perturbation theory for a periodic lattioe in which the leading term contains the sum of all contributions from tree diagram s. For this punpose wew rite $Z=\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{\text {hiji }} f_{i j}$,where $f_{i j}=1+\mathrm{zs}_{i} \mathrm{~S}_{j}$ the trace rules allow us to linearize the exponential in Eq. (14-1 )] or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z=\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{i}^{(" Y} g_{i}^{\#^{q}} \stackrel{4}{4}_{{ }_{h i j i}}^{Y} \frac{f_{i j}}{g_{i} g_{j}} 5\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ can be chosen arbitrarily. W e evaluate this perturbatively as
where $V_{i j}=f_{i j}=\left(g_{i} g_{j}\right)$ 1. W e expand in powers of which we set equal to unity at the end. Each term in this expansion which involves at least one power of can be associated $w$ ith a diagram in which the factor $V_{i j}$ is associated w th a line connecting sites i and j. W e now choose $g$ so that diagram shaving at least one line w hich is connected to only a single site ( $j$ ) give zero contribution. Since alldiagram son a B ethe lattioe have at least one free end, this choice of $g \mathrm{w}$ ill lead to an exact evaluation of the partition function for a B ethe lattice and will enable us to generate loop corrections for d-dim ensional lattioes. The condition we im plem ent is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T r_{j} g_{j}^{q} V_{i j}=0 ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=\frac{T r_{j} f_{i j} g_{j}}{T r_{j} g_{j}^{q}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=q$ 1. $T$ his is a nonlinear equation for the function $g_{j}$, but in view of the trace rules it is easily solved. From the form of $f_{i j}$ one sees that $g_{i}$ has to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=A+B s_{i}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting this form into Eq. (2-11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+B S_{i}=\frac{T r_{j}\left[\left(1+z S_{i} S_{j}\right)\left(A+B S_{j}\right)\right]}{T r_{j}\left(A+B S_{j}\right)^{q}}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the trace rules we rew rite the right-hand side of this equation so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+B s_{i}=\frac{A+A^{1} B+s_{i}(z A)}{A^{q}+q^{A} B}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his gives rise to the tw o equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{A+A{ }^{1} B}{A^{q}+q^{A} B}=\frac{A+B}{A^{2}+q^{A} B}  \tag{25}\\
& B=\frac{z A}{A^{q}+q A B}=\frac{z}{A+q B}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

W em ay solve Eq. (2-5) for B as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{A^{3} A}{q A^{2}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into Eq. (2-1) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{4} 1+q^{2} z \quad A^{2}(2 q z+1)+z^{2}=0: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=\frac{2 q z+1+p \overline{1+4 z}}{2\left(1+q^{2} z\right)}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(W e chose the positive sign before the square root to ensure that A! 1 as $z!0$.) $T$ hen for the $B$ ethe lattice we have the exact result

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z}^{1=\mathrm{N}} & \left.=\mathrm{T} r_{i} \mathrm{~g}_{i}^{q}=\mathrm{Tr} r_{i} \mathbb{A}+\mathrm{B} S_{i}\right]^{q} \\
& =\mathrm{A}^{q}+\mathrm{qBA}: \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter som e algebra it can be show $n$ that when this result is inserted into Eq. (17-1) we recover the result of Eq. (G).

Unfortunately, this form alism leads to an expansion of the partition function $Z$, whereas for $d$ dim ensional lattioes, we would prefer to have an expansion for the free energy per site, $\mathrm{F}=(1=\mathrm{N}) \ln \mathrm{Z}$. For that purpose we consider an expansion of the quantity $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$, which in the $\lim$ it $n!0$ is $1+n \ln Z=1+n N F$. To obtain $Z^{n}$ we introduce the $n$-replicated $H$ am iltonian

$$
e^{H_{n}=} \begin{align*}
& Y^{n} Y  \tag{31}\\
& =1 \text { hiji }^{\prime}\left[1+z S_{i} S_{j}\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e s_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ are independent operators for $\xi$ and for each replica index $S_{i}$ obeys the sam e trace rules as in E q. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. U sually replicas are introduced to perform the quenched average (over ln Z) for random problem s in which case the averaging leads to interactions between di erent replicas. H ere we introduce replicas sim ply to facilitate construction of an expansion of $\ln Z$ and there are no interactions betw een di erent replicas. The partition function, $Z_{\text {rep }}$ associated $w$ ith the replicated $H$ am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {rep }} \quad \text { Tre }^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we solve Eq. (2른) with $f_{i j}=Q \quad\left(1+z S_{i} S_{j}\right)$. B ecause di erent replicas are independent of one another, the solution to Eq. (2II) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=C Y_{=1}^{Y^{n}}\left[1+D s_{i}\right] \quad C g_{i}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause w e need the partition function $Z_{\text {rep }}$ to ordern $n$,'11" wem ust evaluate $C$ up to linear order in $n$ but $D$ can be evaluated for $\mathrm{n}=0$ because it alw ays appears in connection w ith a sum over replica indiges which give a factor of $n$. The term $s$ in $g_{i}$ in Eq. (21 $1_{1}^{1}$ ) independent of $s_{i}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=T r_{j} C \quad g_{j}=T r_{j} C{ }^{q} g_{j}^{q} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
C^{2} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{j} \hat{g}_{j}=T r_{j} \hat{g}_{j}^{\mathrm{q}} \\
& =(1+D)^{\mathrm{n}}=(1+\mathrm{qD})^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

which to linear order in $n$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=1+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2} \ln \frac{1+\mathrm{D}}{1+\mathrm{qD}}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $s$ in $g_{i}$ in Eq. (21-1 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ linear in $s_{i}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D=\frac{z T r_{j} C s_{j}^{Q}{ }^{Q}\left(1+D s_{j}\right)}{T r_{j} C^{q}}\left(1+D s_{j}\right)^{q} \quad: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{n}=0$ this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=z(1+D)^{1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=\frac{1+r}{2} ;  \tag{39}\\
& \text { where } \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{1+4 \mathrm{z}} \text {. } \\
& T \text { hen the rst term in the expansion of } F \text { is } \\
& F=\frac{d}{d n} T r_{j}\left(C g_{j}\right)^{\text {q }} \\
& =\frac{q}{2} \ln \frac{1+D}{1+q D} \quad+\ln (1+q D): \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter som e algebra one can show that $w$ hen this is substituted into Eq. (17-1) we recover Eq. (2).

> C. Loop Corrections

Here we consider the expansion in powers of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ $f_{i j}=\left(g_{i} g_{j}\right) \quad$ 1. Our rst ob jective is to show that contributions to $Z_{\text {rep }}$ from disconnected diagram s are of order $n^{2}$ or higher and hence can be dropped. To see this we w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i j}= \mathrm{C}^{Y} \quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\left.1+z S_{i} S_{j}\right][1 & D s_{i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & D s_{j}
\end{array}\right] \\
& 1: \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he contribution to $Z_{\text {rep }}$ from a disconnected diagram is sim ply the product of the contributions from each connected com ponent. $W$ e now argue that the contribution to $Z_{\text {rep }}$ from a single connected diagram is of order $n$. $N$ ote that $V_{i j}$ is a multinom ial in the $s$ ' $s$ whose constant term is proportional to n . T hus the contribution to $Z_{\text {rep }} w i l l$ get at least one factor of $n$, either from the constant term in a $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, or from a sum over replica indioes from term $s$ in a $V_{i j}$ involving an $s$ operator. $T$ hus using the n ! 0 lim it of the replica form alism we have elim inated unlinked diagram s.

N ow we consider the leading loop corrections to $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$. $T$ hese come from the smallest loops that can be draw $n$ on the lattioe. In Fig. .in we show these loops for both the d dim ensional hypercubic lattioe and the plane triangular lattice. H ere we w ill explicitly evaluate only the corrections from the sm allest possible loop diagram s.

W e w ill now show that this expansion involves evaluating a modi ed dim er partition function for diagram $s$


FIG. 3: Leading loop corrections to $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ for the hypercubic lattice (top) and for the plane triangular lattice (bottom).
w ith no free ends. T he contribution to the replica partition function from such a diagram is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& =n \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right): \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The notation i2 $m$ eans that the site $i$ is a site covered by at least one bond of . We do not change the result for $F()^{\prime}$ if we divide this by a quantity which di ers from unity by term sof order n . So, for later convenience we write

W e now expand the product over bonds, into its $2^{N_{B}}()$ term s , where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{)}$ is the num ber ofbonds in the set. In so doing note that when considered as a multinom ial series in $\mathrm{fs}_{i} \mathrm{~g}$, each term has the lim iting value unity as $n!0$ (but the sum of all $2^{N_{B}}$ term $s$ is zero in this lim it). Since we are interested in the $\lim$ it $n!0$, we consider d $Z_{\text {rep }}()=d n$ (evaluated at $n=0$ ) and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{Z}_{\text {rep }}()}{\mathrm{dn}}=\int_{2}^{\mathrm{X}}(1)^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}}() \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}()_{\mathrm{G}}() \text {; } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over the $2^{N_{B}}{ }^{(1)} 1$ nonem pty subsets of , including $=$. (T he term corresponding to the em pty set is unity and therefore drops out when di erentiated w ith respect to n .) H ere

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()=\frac{d}{d n} \frac{" \operatorname{Tr}_{i 22 g_{i}^{q}}^{Q_{\text {hiji2 }}} \frac{f_{i j}}{g_{i} g_{j}}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{i 2} g_{i}^{q}}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because we divided by the factor in the denom inator, it is no longer necessary to involve sites in which are not in . . The subtractions of subdiagram $s$ indicated in Eq. (44) de nes the cum ulant operation (indicated by a subscript $\backslash C^{\prime \prime}$ ), so we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
F()=G_{c}() ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{c}()$ is the right-hand side of Eq. (44). This representation is not very e cient because it contains $2^{N_{B}}$ () 1 tem $s, m$ any of $w$ hich are either zero or are identical to one another. T he follow ing equivalent recursive de nition is m ore convenient:

$$
G_{C}()=G() \quad \begin{array}{ll}
X_{0} & G_{C}\left({ }^{0}\right) ; ~ ; ~ \tag{47}
\end{array}
$$

where the prim e indicates that in the sum over subsets 0 we do not include ${ }^{0}=$. For the sm allest loop on a hypercubic lattioe, nam ely a square of four bonds, there are no nonzero subtractions and $G_{C}()$ is equal to its \bare" value G ( ). M ore generally Eq. (47 $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ ) has many few er term $s$ than Eq. (44) and furtherm ore, all the cum ulants of the subdiagram s w ill have been previously calculated in a low er order calculation. So, to im plem ent the cum ulant subtraction we only need to subtract the cum ulant contributions of subgraphs w ith no free ends.

It rem ains to discuss the calculation of $G() . W$ e take

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=C^{Y}\left(1+D s_{i}\right) \quad C^{Y} g_{i} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i j}=Y_{\left(1+z s_{i} S_{j}\right)}{ }^{Y} f_{i j ;}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{d}{d n} C^{2 N_{B}()}(1+q D)^{N_{s}()^{n} Q} Q()^{\mathrm{n}} \quad ; \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{s}()$ is the number of sites in and $Q()$ is a partition function for the graph :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(1+\mathrm{D} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}()^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(1+\mathrm{zS}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \quad \text {; (51) }  \tag{51}\\
& \text { i2 } \\
& \text { hiji2 }
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{i}()$ is the num ber of sites neighboring to iwhich are connected to $i$ by a bond in . Because of the trace rules, the product over sites m im ics a site-dependent $m$ onom er activity $z_{i} \quad 1+\left[q \quad q_{i}()\right] \mathbb{D}$ and we therefore have

$$
Q()=\sum_{i 2}^{\#} z_{i} \hat{Q}\left(; z_{i j}=z=\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)\right) ;
$$

where $\hat{Q}$ is the grand partition function for the set of bonds in which the bond hiji has the bond-dependent activity $z_{i j} \quad z=\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}\left(; f z_{i j} g\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {hiji2 }}^{Y}\left(1+z_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}\right): \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the renorm alized free energy associated $w$ ith a diagram w th no free ends is only slightly $m$ ore com plicated than its unrenorm alized $\left(g_{i}=1\right)$ value. To sum $m$ arize:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G() & =N_{S}() \ln (1+q D)+N_{B}() \ln \frac{1+q D}{1+D} \\
& +{ }_{i 2} \ln \left(1+\left[q \quad q_{i}()\right] \mathbb{D}\right)+\ln \hat{Q}\left(; f z_{i j} g\right):(54)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a rem arkable fact that for a diagram w th no loops, G ( ) vanishes and this form s a nice check of com puter program sused to evaluate $G()$ for an arbitrary diagram. (T his is easy to check for sm alldiagram s.) Furtherm ore, for a diagram w ith loops and which has a free end, G ( ) does not vanish, but its cum ulant $G_{C}()$ does vanish. ( $T$ his is also a nige check of com puter program s.)

For the hypercubic lattice we consider the leading correction from a square of four nearest neighbor bonds, . So we use Eq. $\left(\frac{5}{5} \overline{4}_{1}\right)$ w th $q=4$ and $q_{i}()=2$, so that $z_{i j}=z=(1+2 D)^{2}$. Then $\hat{Q}()=1+4 z_{i j}+2 z_{i j}^{2}$ and

\[

\]

N ow use $1+3 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{D}$ from Eq. $\left(\overline{3} \overline{8_{1}}\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
G() & =\ln 1 \frac{D^{2}}{z}+4 \frac{D^{2}}{z} 1 \frac{D^{2}}{z}+2{\frac{D^{4}}{z^{2}}}^{\#} \\
& =\ln 1+\frac{D^{8}}{z^{4}}: \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

For z sm allD is proportionalto z and this diagram gives a contribution to the free energy of order $z^{4}$. Since there are $d(d \quad 1)=2$ squares per site, the perturbative contribution to the free energy per site is

$$
F=\frac{1}{2} d\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & 1 \tag{57}
\end{array}\right) \ln 1+\frac{D^{8}}{\mathrm{z}^{4}} \quad:
$$

The dim er density then follows using Eq. $\left(1 \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ and the results are given in Table I for a square lattioe.

W e now identify the expansion param eters in this for$m$ ulation. It is clear that the free energy, $F$, is obtained as a sum of contributions associated w ith diagram shaving no free ends, the sm allest of which are show n in Fig.

TABLE I: First Loop C orrection for the Square Lattice for the density of dim ers ( $\quad \bar{N}_{D}=N$ ) as a function of $z$. H ere 0 is the value for the B ethe lattice for $q=4,1$ is the value when the rst loop correction, Eq. (571), is inchipded, and E is the lexpact" result obtained by extrapolation- ${ }^{51}$ of the series of $G$ aunt ${ }^{211}$

| z | 0 | 1 | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.005180 | 0.010000 | 0.010000 | 0.010000 |
| 0.010742 | 0.020000 | 0.020000 | 0.020000 |
| 0.023156 | 0.039999 | 0.039999 | 0.040000 |
| 0.037575 | 0.059997 | 0.060000 | 0.060000 |
| 0.054413 | 0.079990 | 0.080000 | 0.080000 |
| 0.074195 | 0.099978 | 0.100000 | 0.100000 |
| 0.097587 | 0.119954 | 0.119999 | 0.120000 |
| 0.125452 | 0.139917 | 0.139998 | 0.140000 |
| 0.158915 | 0.159862 | 0.159996 | 0.160000 |
| 0.199466 | 0.179784 | 0.179991 | 0.180000 |
| 0.249111 | 0.199679 | 0.199982 | 0.200000 |
| 0.310597 | 0.219543 | 0.219968 | 0.220000 |
| 0.387766 | 0.239370 | 0.239945 | 0.240000 |
| 0.486115 | 0.259158 | 0.259909 | 0.260000 |
| 0.613728 | 0.278904 | 0.279857 | 0.280000 |
| 0.782881 | 0.298607 | 0.299783 | 0.300000 |
| 1.012941 | 0.318271 | 0.319684 | 0.320000 |
| 1.335914 | 0.337903 | 0.339556 | 0.340000 |
| 1.807776 | 0.357516 | 0.359397 | 0.360000 |
| 2.533661 | 0.377128 | 0.379204 | 0.380000 |
| 3.730072 | 0.396761 | 0.398970 | 0.400000 |
| 5.902289 | 0.416436 | 0.418682 | 0.420000 |
| 10.466788 | 0.436180 | 0.438318 | 0.440000 |
| 22.802101 | 0.456068 | 0.457892 | 0.460000 |
| 81.673705 | 0.476432 | 0.477641 | 0.480000 |
|  |  |  |  |

言. Th is developm ent will lead to an evaluation of $F$ as a power series in the activity:

$$
F={ }_{n}^{X} F_{n} z^{n}:
$$

From Eq. (4d) we see that for the B ethe lattioe and for large $q F_{n} \quad q^{n}=n$. W e assert that the contributions to $F_{n}$ from a diagram which we denote $\left.F()\right]$ are of order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}()=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{r}()} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r()$ is an integer which increases w ith the size and com plexity of the diagram . For instance, for a square of four bonds Eq. (52 $1_{1}$ ) indicates that $r=2$ and for a loop of $2 n$ bonds a sim ilar result show $s$ that $r=n$. So our diagram $m$ atic form ulation generates corrections in inverse pow ers of q. Furthem ore, if one expands $f_{i j}$ and $g_{i}$ in powers of $z$, one sees that $V_{i j}$ is of order $z$. This $m$ eans that a diagram $w$ th $N_{B}()$ bonds contributes

TABLE II: First Loop C orrection for the Triangular Lattice. $T$ he notation is as in T Table $I$, but where 1 includes the loop correction of Eq. (66a) and $G$ includes the loop correction derived geom etrically in A ppendix C .

| z | 0 | 1 | E | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.009999 | 0.009999 | 0.009999 | 0.010000 | 0.003459 |
| 0.011206 | 0.030004 | 0.029999 | 0.030000 | 0.029999 |
| 0.025331 | 0.060040 | 0.059998 | 0.060000 | 0.059997 |
| 0.043360 | 0.090121 | 0.089991 | 0.090000 | 0.089987 |
| 0.066712 | 0.120261 | 0.119975 | 0.120000 | 0.119962 |
| 0.097473 | 0.150457 | 0.149943 | 0.150000 | 0.149912 |
| 0.138811 | 0.180705 | 0.179892 | 0.180000 | 0.179831 |
| 0.195705 | 0.210994 | 0.209822 | 0.210000 | 0.209712 |
| 0.276329 | 0.241312 | 0.239741 | 0.240000 | 0.239559 |
| 0.394826 | 0.271650 | 0.269667 | 0.270000 | 0.269386 |
| 0.577329 | 0.302001 | 0.299629 | 0.300000 | 0.299221 |
| 0.876264 | 0.332353 | 0.329663 | 0.330000 | 0.329101 |
| 1.408720 | 0.362668 | 0.359786 | 0.360000 | 0.359056 |
| 2.477977 | 0.392849 | 0.389972 | 0.390000 | 0.389084 |
| 5.067826 | 0.422724 | 0.420118 | 0.420000 | 0.419135 |
| 13.932546 | 0.452078 | 0.450085 | 0.450000 | 0.449161 |
| 91.850988 | 0.480860 | 0.479893 | 0.480000 | 0.479338 |

to $F(q)$ at order $z^{N_{B}()}$ and higher. So our form ulation involves the tw o expansion param eters $z$ and $1=q$.

An entirely analogous calculation gives the leading loop correction for the triangular lattioe from triangles as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}} \ln 1 \frac{\mathrm{D}^{6}}{\mathrm{z}^{3}} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{T}=2$ is the num ber of triangles per site. $T$ hus we see that the leading correction to the B ethe lattice result has the opposite sign for triangular lattioes as com pared to hypercubic lattices. The results based on Eq. (601) are given in Table II.

The present developm ent appears to be related to that of Ref. $20^{2}-1$, but the detailed relationship of the two approaches is not clear to us. W enote that the disconnected diagram of Fig . 3d of N agle ${ }^{201}$ (which \is required" for his dim er series) does not appear in our approach.

## D. AN ISOTROPY

W e m ay generalize the above $m$ odel to allow for different activities along di erent coordinate axes. For that purpose we relate the square lattice to a Bethe lattice in which each site is surrounded by four bonds, two of which we arbitrarily label as \horizontal" (or x) bonds and the others as \vertical" (or y) bonds. D im ens along the axis have activity $z$ for $=x ; y$. The fraction of bonds covered by dim ers will be denoted and the
fraction of bonds which are dim er vacancies will be denoted v

## 1. G eom etrical A pproach

$T$ he geom etrical reasoning used before yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{1}=v=: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tree approxim ation, which is exact for the Bethe lattioe, then yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{W}=(1 \quad) ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W^{1=2}$ is the probability that a given site is vacant, where

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{x} & 2_{\mathrm{y}} \tag{63}
\end{array}\right)^{2} ;
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(1 \quad x)}{z_{x}}=\frac{y(1 \quad y)}{z_{y}}=W: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
=[1 \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{1 \quad 4 z \mathrm{~W}}]=2 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{p} \overline{14 z_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~W}}+\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~W}}{1} \mathrm{l}_{2}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation allow s one to calculate $W$ as a function of $z_{x}$ and $z_{y}$, from which all the other relevant quantities can be obtained.

## 2. A lgebraic A pproach

W e use the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{H}={\underset{\text { hiji2 } 2 \mathrm{H}}{Y}\left[1+z_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]{ }_{\text {hiji } 22 \mathrm{~V}}^{Y}\left[1+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]}^{\mathrm{H}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $\backslash 2 \mathrm{H}$ " m eans we sum over horizontal ( x ) bonds and sim ilarly for $\backslash 2 \mathrm{~V}$." The operators $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ obey the sam e trace rules as before. W e w rite the partition function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{Y} g_{i x}^{2} g_{\text {iy }}^{2} \underset{h i j i}{Y}\left[1+V_{i j}\right] ; \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for horizontalbonds

$$
V_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+z_{x} S_{i} S_{j} \tag{69}
\end{array}\right]=g_{i x} g_{j x} \quad 1
$$

and for verticalbonds

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}=\left[1+z_{y} s_{i} s_{j}\right]=g_{i y} g_{j y} \quad 1: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we expand in powers of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and require that diagram $s w$ th either a vertical or a horizontal free end vanish. For horizontalbonds we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T r_{j} g_{j x}^{2} g_{j y}^{2} V_{i j}=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i x}=\frac{T r_{j} g_{j x} g_{j y}^{2}\left[1+z_{x} s_{i} s_{j}\right]}{T r_{j} g_{j x}^{2} g_{j y}^{2}}: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

For verticalbonds we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T r_{j} g_{j x}^{2} g_{j y}^{2} V_{i j}=0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i x}=\frac{T r_{j} g_{j x}^{2} g_{j y}\left[1+z_{y} s_{i} s_{j}\right]}{T r_{j} g_{j x}^{2} g_{j y}^{2}}: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations have a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=A+B S_{i}: \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{x} & +B_{x} S_{i}=\frac{\left.\left.T r_{j}\left[1+z_{x} S_{i} S_{j}\right] A_{x}+B_{x} S_{j}\right] A_{y}+B_{y} S_{j}\right]^{2}}{\left.T r_{j} A_{x}+B_{x} S_{j}\right]^{2}\left[A_{y}+B_{y} S_{j}\right]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{A_{x} A_{y}^{2}+B_{x} A_{y}^{2}+2 A_{x} A_{y} B_{y}+S_{i} z_{x} A_{x} A_{y}^{2}}{A_{x}^{2} A_{y}^{2}+2 A_{x} B_{x} A_{y}^{2}+2 A_{x}^{2} A_{y} B_{y}} \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{y} & +B_{y} S_{i}=\frac{\left.T r_{j}\left[1+z_{y} S_{i} S_{j}\right] A_{x}+B_{x} S_{j}\right]^{2}\left[A_{y}+B_{y} S_{j}\right]}{T r_{j}\left[A_{x}+B_{x} S_{j}\right]^{2}\left[A_{y}+B_{y} S_{j}\right]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{A_{x}^{2} A_{y}+2 A_{x} B_{x} A_{y}+A_{x}^{2} B_{y}+S_{i} z_{Y} A_{x}^{2} A_{y}}{A_{x}^{2} A_{y}^{2}+2 A_{x} B_{x} A_{y}^{2}+2 A_{x}^{2} A_{y} B_{y}}: \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus if we set $B_{X}=b_{X} A_{x}$ and $B_{Y}=A_{Y} b_{y}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} & =\frac{1+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}}{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2} & =\frac{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}}{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}} & =\frac{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}}}{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{y}} & =\frac{2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}}}{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}}: \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}}}{1+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{y}}} \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}}}{1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}}: \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations reproduce those from the geom etrical approach if one $m$ akes the identi cation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{b}=\left[1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{y}}\right] \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\left(1+2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{x}}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{y}\right)^{2}: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of dim er bonds along is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{N} \frac{@ Z}{@ z} z \\
& =z \frac{@}{@ z} \ln A_{x}^{2} A_{y}^{2}\left[1+2 b_{x}+2 b_{y}\right]: \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be shown to be equivalent to Eq. (6" ${ }^{1}$ ). Thus we conclude that the algebraic approach agrees $w$ ith the much sim pler geom etrical approach. But, in principle, the algebraic approach can be used to generate corrections to the tree approxim ation ford dim ensional lattioes.

## III. IN TERACTING D IM ERS

## A. G eom etrical D erivation of $T$ he $E$ xact $R$ esult

H ere we consider the case when two dim ers separated by a single bond (an interacting bond as shown in Fig. 1) have an interaction energy . Thus the energy of a con guration of dim ers on the lattioe is $N_{I}$, where $N_{I}$ is the num ber of interacting bonds. $W$ e start by expressing $N_{I}$ in term sof $N_{V}$. For that purpose we record the follow ing sum rules for periodic lattices. The rst sum rule expresses the fact that each bond is uniquely a m em ber of one of the four sets show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1, so that the total num ber of bonds of the lattioe $N_{B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{q}=2 ; \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{H}$ is the num ber of halfbonds (see Fig. 1). The second sum rule is obtained by im agining putting a stick on each of the $q$ bonds em anating from each occupied site. The total num ber of sticks is obviously $q\left(2 N_{D}\right)$. Each half bond has one stick, whereas each interacting bond and each dim er bond is covered by two sticks, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{NN}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}+2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}+2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{D}}: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om bining these tw o relations we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{Nq}=2+(2 \mathrm{q} \quad 1) \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}: \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the probability $P\left(N_{D}\right)$ that there are $N_{D}$ dim ers on the lattice is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(N_{D}\right) & =Q^{1^{X}} e^{N_{I} z^{N_{D}}} \\
& =\text { const }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(2 q & 1)
\end{array}\right]^{N_{D}} X} & e^{N_{v}(G)} ;(86)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

G
where $Q$ is the partition function, the sum over $G$ runs overallcon gurationsw th $N_{D}$ dim ens on the lattioe, and
$N_{V}(G)$ is the num ber of dim er vacancies in the graph $G$. Sim ilarly
$P\left(N_{D}+1\right)=$ const: $\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{ze} & \left(\begin{array}{ll}2 q & 1)\end{array}\right]^{N_{D}+1} \mathrm{X}^{0} \\ \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{0}\right)\end{array}\right.$;
where the sum over $G^{0}$ runs over all the graphs with $N_{D}+1$ dim ers on the lattice. Let $G^{\infty}(G)$ be a graph form ed by adding an additionaldim er to a graph G. T he additionaldim er is, of course, placed on one of the dim er vacancies AB in the graph G. The num ber of vertioes adjacent to $A$ (exchuding $B$ ) is, and the num ber of vertices adjacent to $B$ (excluding $A$ ) is also. Ifm of these 2 vertioes are vacant, then the num ber of dim er vacancies in the graph $G^{0}(G)$ is $N_{V}(G) \quad m \quad$. If we look at all the di erent graphs $G^{0}(G)$ which can be generated by adding a dim er to a particular graph $G, m$ assum es the values ( $1, . ., 2$ ) w ith the respective probabilities $p(m)$ which we shall calculate. Furthem ore, since it is quite clear that the con gurations of tw o sm all subsections of a large graph $G$ which are distant from each other are statistically independent, we assert that the probability distribution $p(m)$ is the sam e for alm ost allgraphs $G$ (but $p(m)$ does depend on $N_{D}=N$ which has the sam e value for all the graphs G). T hus for alm ost all graphs $G$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl} 
& X e^{\mathbb{G}_{V} 00}(G) \\
= & \left.N_{V}(G) e^{00}(G)\right] \\
m=0  \tag{88}\\
N_{V}(G) \\
X^{2} & p(m) e^{(m+1)}:
\end{array}
$$

If $f G^{\infty}(G) g$ is the set of all graphs which can be generated by adding a dim er to a particular graph $G$, and
${ }_{G} \mathrm{fG}^{\infty}(G) g$ is the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Pr}} \mathrm{get}$ which is the union of all the sets $\mathrm{fG}^{\infty}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{g}$, then ${ }_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{fG}^{\infty}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{g}$ is identical to the set of graphs $\mathrm{fG}^{0} \mathrm{~g}$, except that each graph $\mathrm{G}^{0}$ occurs $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}+1$ tim es in the union (since each graph $G^{0}$ has $N_{D}+1$ "ancestors" G which can be obtained by rem oving a single dim er). Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}+1\right)^{X} e^{N_{V}\left(G^{0}\right)} \\
X \quad X \quad V^{0}
\end{array} \\
& =\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}\left[G^{00}(G)\right]}
\end{array} \\
& \text { G } \quad G^{00}(G) \\
& =X_{m=0}^{Z} P(m) e^{\left.(m+1)^{!} X^{\prime} \quad N_{V}(G) e^{N_{V}(G)}\right) ~} \\
& m=0 \quad!^{G} \\
& =\quad \mathrm{P}(m) \mathrm{e} \\
& m=0  \tag{89}\\
& (m+1) \bar{N}_{V}\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right){ }^{\text {X }} e^{N_{V}(G)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{N}_{V}\left(N_{D}\right)={ }_{G}^{X} N_{V}(G) e^{N_{V}(G)}=e_{G}^{X} e^{N_{V}(G)}:(90)
$$



FIG. 4: Left: the bond AB and its environm ent in a Bethe lattice $w$ ith $q=4 . T$ he subsystem $s T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ are the regions outside the dotted lines. W e show the sites neighboring to A and B, which are num bered 1 to 6 ( 1 to 2 ). There are no indirect paths connecting any pairs of num bered sites which do not pass through A and/or B.M iddle: Environm ent of a bond AB on a square lattice where we show indirect paths which connect adjacent num bered sites, in which case the strong form of tree decoupling is not exact. $R$ ight: Environm ent of a bond AB on a triangular lattice. H ere the decoupling is worse than for a square lattice because a) there are nearest neighbor bonds connecting num bered sites and b) som e neighbors of A are sim ultaneously neighbors of B.

For a given value of $z$, the value of $N_{D}$ which $m$ axim izes $P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)$ satis es the condition $P\left(N_{D}+1\right)=P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\operatorname{ze}^{(2 q 1)}{\frac{\bar{N}_{V}\left(\bar{N}_{D}\right)}{\bar{N}_{D}+1}}_{m=0}^{X(m) e^{(m+1)}:} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

(N ote that when $=0$ this reduces to Eq. ( $\overline{6}$ ) for noninteracting dim ers.) The preceding statem ents are true on all lattioes $w$ th $q$ nearest neighbors.

W e now obtain $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})$ explicitly as functions of $N_{D}$, so that Eq. ( $9 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) becom es an explicit equation of state for dim ers. $W$ e do this using an approxim ation, which for reasons explained below we call the \strong form of tree decoupling," in which we replace the $d$ dim ensional lattioe by a B ethe lattice. To obtain $p(m)$ we rst relate $p(m)$ to the quantity pon which we dene to be the conditionalprobability that site $i$ is vacant $w$ hen site $j$ is known to be vacant, where sites $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighboring sites. Recall that A and B are vacant neighboring sites. We label the vertices adjacent to $A$ (excluding $B$ ) by the index i ( $i=1$; ::; ) and the vertices adjacent to $B$ (exchuding A) by the values $i=+1 ;:: 0$, as shown in $F$ ig. random variable $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ which has the value 1 if the vertex $i$ is vacant and the value 0 if a dim er is touching vertex $i$. On the Bethe lattioe the $X_{i}(i=1 ; 2 ;::: 2)$ are independent random variables. $N$ ote that $P\left(X_{i}=1\right)$ is the conditionalprobability that a neighbor ofB is vacant given that not only B but also A is vacant. On a B ethe lattice the condition that A is also vacant is irrelevant in this context, in which case $P\left(X_{i}=1\right)$ is just $p_{c o n}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
p(m) & =P\left(X_{1}+X_{2}:::+X_{2}=m\right) \\
& =\frac{(2)!}{m!(2 \quad m)!} p_{c o n}^{m}\left(1 \quad p_{c o n}\right)^{2} m \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{Z} p(m) e \quad(m+1) \\
& m=0 \\
= & e \quad\left[p_{c o n} e \quad+1 \quad p_{c o n}\right]^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, Eq. (991́ㄴ) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\mathrm{z} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{V}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{D}}}\left[+1 \quad \text { pcon }^{\mathrm{J}} ;\right. \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=e \quad 1$ and we replaced $\bar{N}_{D}+1$ by $\bar{N}_{D}$ in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it. N ow we use Eq. $(\underset{\sim}{(9)})$ which we write in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=(1 \quad \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{con}}: \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq. (994) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\mathrm{zp}_{\mathrm{con}} \xrightarrow{1} \mathrm{q}\left[+1 \quad \mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}\right\}: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

It rem ains to determ ine $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{con}}$ in term s of.
In order to calculate $p_{c o n}$ (as a function of ), we rst calculate a sim pler quantity $\mathrm{p}^{0}$, the conditionalprobability that B is vacant, given that bond AB is unoccupied (i.e. not covered by a dim er). N ote that an unoccupied bond is not necessarily a dim er vacancy, since a dim er $m$ ay be touching one or both ends of the bond. W e w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{0} & =P(B \text { vacant jbond AB unoccupied) } \\
& =\frac{P(B \text { vacant and bond AB unoccupied) }}{P \text { (bond } A B \text { unoccupied) }} \\
& =P(B \text { vacant })=P \text { (bond AB unoccupied) } \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & q
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & ):
\end{array}\right. \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

O n the other hand, we will calculate $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ in term s of the weights (unnorm alized probabilities) of certain graphs, yielding the inform ation which is needed to calculate $\mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}$.

W e focus our attention on a bond AB and we continue to consider the $B$ ethe lattice. For this discussion we divide the lattice into two parts by cutting the bond AB, so that one part, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}$, contains site A and all sites accessible to site A w ithout going through site B and the other part, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$, is de ned sim ilarly, as show n in F ig. . H . On the Bethe lattice, when the bond AB is unoccupied, these tw $O$ parts $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ are independent subsystem $s$. In that case we see, from Eq. ( $8 \overline{\mathrm{G}})^{\prime}$ ), that the "weight" of a con guration of either $T_{A}$ or $\bar{T}_{B}$ is obtained as the product of (a) a factor,,$=z \exp [(2 q 1)]$ for every bond covered by a dim erns (the actual value of will not appear in the nal results) and (b) a factore for every bond which is a dim er vacancy. W e de ne

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0}= & \text { sum of } \mathrm{w} \text { eights of all graphs on } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \text { which } \\
& \quad \text { contain no dim ers touching } \mathrm{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

> contain one dim er touching $A$ $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0}=$ sum of w eights of all graphs on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ which $\quad$ contain no dim ers touching B $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}=$  sum of w eights of all graphs on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ which $\quad$ contain one dim er touching $\mathrm{B}:$

N ote that we are only concemed with graphs in which the bond AB is xed (to be unoccupied), so that w eights of graphs on the subtrees $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ are well de ned. $T$ hen

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}^{0} & =\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \text { vacant })}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{AB} \text { unoccupied) }}  \tag{99}\\
& =\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0} \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0} \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B}} ; 0}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term in the num erator is the total weight of graphs in which A and B are vacant (so that bond $A B$ is a dimer vacancy), and the second term is the total weight of graphs in which $B$ is vacant and $A$ is occupied (so that bond AB is not a dimer vacancy). $T$ he term $s$ in the denom inator are sim ilarly interpreted. Since $P$ ( $B$ vacant) $=P$ (A vacant) far from the boundary, we have $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0}$. Thus $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0}=$ $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{B}} ; 0$.
$D$ ividing the num erator and denom inator of Eq . (99) by $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A}} ; 0 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B}} ; 0$ we obtain the follow ing relation betw een $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ and $u \quad W_{A ; 1}=W_{A ; 0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{0}=\frac{e+u}{e+u^{2}+2 u} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e+u}{e+u^{2}+2 u}=\frac{1 q}{1}: \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we relate $p_{\text {con }}$ to $u$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {con }} & =\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \text { and } \mathrm{B} \text { vacant })}{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{(A} \mathrm{vacant)}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0 \mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 0} \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A} ; 0} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}} \\
& =\frac{+1}{+1+\mathrm{u}}: \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now solye Eq. (102) for $u$ and substitute the result into Eq. (1011) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Poon }(2++1)+(+1)=R_{o n}=0: \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $==\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right)$. (Note that as ! $0, \mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}$ becom es equal to $p^{0}$, as expected.) T hus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1 \mathrm{q}}{}=\frac{p_{\mathrm{con}}}{\left[p_{\text {con }}\right.} 1\right]\left[p_{\text {con }} 1\right] \quad ; \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that Eq. $(9 \overline{9}-1)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{\mathrm{zp}_{\mathrm{con}}^{2}}{1 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{con}}}\left[+1 \quad \mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}\right]^{1} ; \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 5: Schem atic graphs ofG ( ) for < c (left) and for > c (right). In the right panelwe show the line at $\ln \left[z_{0}()\right]$ at which the net area betw een the line and the curve of $G()$ is zero. This is the lequal area" construction which gives $z_{0}()$. We also show lines for a value of $z\left(z_{l}\right)$ which is less than $z_{0}()$ and a value of $z(z)$ which is larger than $z_{0}()$. $T$ he values of $j$ are the values of at which the line for $z_{0}()$ intersects $G()$.
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (z)=G() ; \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
G()= & 2 \ln p_{\text {con }}() \quad \ln \left[1 \quad p_{\text {con }}()\right] \\
& +(2 \tag{107}
\end{array} 1\right) \ln \left[+1 \quad \text { Ron }^{(1)] ;} ;\right.
$$


The physical range of is $[0 ; 1=q]$. As ! $0, p_{\text {con }}!1$ and $G()!+1$. As ! $1=q$, $p_{\text {con }}!0$ and $G() 1$. $O$ ne can show, either analytically orby $m$ aking com puter plots, that if is less than a certain criticalvalue ${ }_{c}$ (w hich we shall calculate) then $G()$ is a monotone decreasing function of in the interval $[0 ; 1=q]$. In this case there is a unique solution of Eq. ( $\mathbf{I}^{-1}$ - $)_{\text {) for }}$ for each positive value of the activity $z$. If $>^{--}$, then $d G=d$ is negative for sm all and for near $1=q$, but there is an interm $e^{-}$ diate region in which $\mathrm{dG}=\mathrm{d}>0$, as is ilhustrated in F ig.点. In this case there is a certain range of $z$ in which there are three values of which satisfy Eq. (10G). As explained in A ppendix Al, for $z$ less than a certain value $z_{0}()$, which is determ ined by an equal-area construction, the physical solution (i.e. the $m$ ost probable state) is a hom ogeneous state whose density of dim ers is given by the sm allest solution of Eq. (10 $\mathbf{a}_{\text {) }}$. For $z>z_{0}()$ the physical solution is a hom ogeneous state whose density of dim ens is given by the largest solution of Eq. (10 For $z=z_{0}()$ the physical solution is the coexistence of tw o hom ogeneous phases w hose densities are the sm allest and largest solutions of Eq. (10-व).

We now will calculate c by determ ining the range of for which the equation $G^{0}()=0$ has two solutions for
in $[0 ; 1=q] . W$ e have

$$
\left.G^{0}()=\frac{2}{p_{c o n}}+\frac{1}{1} \frac{p_{c o n}}{+1} \frac{(2}{} 1\right)^{\#} P_{c o n} \quad \frac{\mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{con}}}{\mathrm{~d}}:
$$

By di erentiating Eq. show that $d p_{c o n}=d<0$ for $p_{\text {con }}$ in $[0 ; 1]$ and in $[0 ; 1=q]$. A ccordingly, setting $\mathrm{G}^{0}()=0$ leads to the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\text {con }}(1+2+2)+2+2=0: \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has two real roots for either $>+$ or
< and no real roots otherw ise, where satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2+2 \quad)^{2}=16 \quad(+1) ; \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{3 \quad 12^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{(2 \quad 1)}{\left(2(1)^{2}\right.}}{2( } \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the tw o values of $p_{c o n}$ corresponding to are both greater than 1, we see that two relevant solutions for $\mathrm{G}^{0}()=0$ occur only for $>{ }_{c} \quad+$. From the value of c we obtain the transition tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the liquidgas transition for interacting dim ers from

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=e^{=\left(k T_{c}\right)} \quad 1: \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e close this section by discussing the accuracy of the \strong form of tree decoupling\in which we approxi$m$ ate a d-dim ensional lattioe by a Bethe lattioe of the sam e coordination num ber. Recall that in the tree decoupling introduced above Eq. (1) $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ it w as only assum ed that we could neglect indirect paths w hich connect nearest neighboring sites A and B.A com parable approxim ation here is to assum e that the conditional probability that a neighbor of site A is vacant given that both sites $A$ and $B$ are vacant is the sam e as the conditional probability when only site A is vacant. If this were the only approxim ation, then the present treatm ent of the interacting dim er system would be expected to be quite accu--rate-as is the tree decoupling fornoninteracting dim ers ${ }^{51}$ H ow ever, here we also started from the $m$ uch stronger assum ption that the random variables $X_{i}$ introduced above Eq. (92') are independent of one another. O $n$ the B ethe lattioe th is is obviously true, as can be seen from Fig. 'i4. H ow ever, on a real lattioe, this assum ption neglects the $m$ any next-nearest neighbor connections betw een the $X_{i}$ sites. This sam e neglect reappears if one tries to apply the subsequent calculation of $p^{0}$ to a d dim ensional lattige. A ccordingly it w ould not be sunprising if the B ethe approxim ation for interacting dim ers had an accuracy sim ilar to that of $m$ ean eld theory for the Ising $m$ odel in the sam e spatial dim ensionality. In two dim ensions, this would im ply that the Bethe lattice value of $c m$ ay di er from the exact value for a d dim ensional lattice by about 30\%.

## B. Solution by Construction of an E ective H am iltonian

In this section we generalize the e ective H am iltonian for noninteracting dim ers to include dim er-dim er interactions. $W$ e continue to $m$ ark sites $w$ ith operators $s_{i}$ which obey the trace rules of Eq. (15). But in addition we need to have operators which keep track of interactions. So at each site i we introduce operators $t_{i}$ which have zero trace unless accom panied by an $s_{i}$ operator. These operators com $m$ ute $w$ ith one another and obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
T r_{j} s_{j}^{p} t_{j}^{r}=p ; 0 r ; 0+p ; 1 \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p$ and $r$ each assum ing the values $0 ; 1 ;::: q$. $N$ ow we set

$$
e^{H}=Y_{\text {hiji }}^{\text {" }}\left(1+x s_{i} S_{j}\right)\left(1+t_{i} t_{j}\right):
$$

For a con guration of $N_{D}$ dim erbonds and $N_{I}$ interacting bonds this H am iltonian gives a contribution to the partition function of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(1+\quad))^{\mathfrak{N} D}\left[1+\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}\right. \text {; } \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the desired partition function we thus set $=$ e $1=$ and $x=$ ze .

W e now obtain the exact solution for the Bethe lattice. W e could introduce replicas to obtain an expansion for the free energy rather than for the partition function. H ow ever, in the interest of sim plicity we w ork w ith the partition function to obtain an exact solution for the B ethe lattice. A ccordingly Eq. (211) in this case assum es the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " \# } \\
& g_{i}=\frac{T r_{j} 1+z e \quad S_{i} S_{j}\left(1+t t_{j}\right) g_{j}}{T r_{j} g_{j}^{q}}: \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he solution to this equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=A+B s_{i}+C t_{i}+D s_{i} t_{i}: \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy Eq. (1-1]) the constants $m$ ust obey

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =T r_{j} g_{j}=T r_{j} g_{j}^{q} \\
B & =z e \quad T r_{j} S_{j} g_{j}=T r_{j} g_{j}^{q} \\
C & =T r_{j} t_{j} g_{j}=T r_{j} g_{j}^{q} \\
D & =z e \quad T r_{j} S_{j} t_{j} g_{j}=T r_{j} g_{j}^{q}: \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations $m$ ay be written in term $s$ of $A, r_{B}$
$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{A}$, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{2} & =\frac{1+\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right) 1}{1+q\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right)} \\
r_{B} & =\frac{z e\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right)}{1+\left(r_{B}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{C}=\frac{\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right) \quad 1}{1+\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right) \quad 1} \\
& r_{D}=\frac{z e\left(1+r_{C}\right)}{1+\left(r_{B}+r_{D}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right) \quad 1}=\quad r_{B}: \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

From the equation for $r_{C}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{B}+r_{D}=\frac{r_{C}}{\left(1+r_{C}\right)^{1}\left(r_{C}\right)}: \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U \sin g$ this in conjunction $w$ ith the equation for $r_{B}$ we obtain an equation which determ ines $r_{C}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{C}=(1+)}{\left(\quad r_{C}\right)\left(1+r_{C}\right)}=\frac{z\left(1+r_{C}\right)}{(1+)\left[1+r_{C}=\left(\quad r_{C}\right)\right]} \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be put into the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\ln (z)= & 2 \ln \left(\quad r_{C}\right) \quad \ln \left(r_{C}\right) \\
& +(2 \tag{122}
\end{array} 1\right) \ln \left(1+r_{C}\right): ~ \$
$$

This is identical to (10 ${ }^{-1}$ ) w hen wem ake the identi cation $r_{C}=$ Pon. (It hās to be adm itted that this physical interpretation of $r_{C}$ is not obvious if one only has the e ective H am iltonian.)

In A ppendix lBI w e develop $m$ ean eld theory by a suitable decoupling of the e ective H am iltonian and, as expected, we obtain results qualitatively sim ilar to those for the B ethe lattice.
IV. QUENCHED RANDOMNESS

## A. D im ers on Percolation C lusters at In $n$ ite Fugacity

H ere we consider the statistics ofdim ens on a quenched random lattice in which sites can be either $\backslash X$ " sites $w$ ith probability p or \Y" sites w ith probability 1 p. For a given con guration $C$ (i. e. for a given distribution of $X$ and $Y$ sites), the grand canonical partition function, Z (C;fzg) for dim er coverings is calculated as
where the sum is over all arrangem ents $A$ of $0,1,2$, $:::$ hard-core dim ers, $n_{A B}(A)$ is the num ber of dim ens covering an A site and a B site in the arrangem ent A (where A and B each assum e the values $X$ and $Y$ ), and $z_{A B}$ is the activity of an AB dimer. Then the quenched average free energy $F$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p ; f z g)={ }_{c}^{X} P(C ; p) \ln Z(C ; f z g) ; \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $P(C ; p)=p^{n_{x}(C)}(1 \quad p)^{n_{y}(C)}$, where $n_{x}(C)\left(n_{y}(C)\right)$ is the number of $X$ ( $Y$ ) sites in the con guration $C$. From
this quenched free energy one then obtains the average num ber of AB dim ers as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{A B}(p ; f z g)=z_{A B} \frac{@ F(p ; f z g)}{@ z_{A B}}: \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

A n exact result for the dim er density on a B ethe lattice does not seem easy to obtain. H ow ever we now give an exact solution for the dim er density on a B ethe lattioe in the $\lim$ it $z_{X X}$ ! 1 and $z_{X Y}=z_{Y Y}$ ! 0 . Thus we consider $M_{D}(p)$, the average of the $m$ axim al num ber of dim ens which can be placed on percolation clusters of X sites. Even in this lim it the result is not trivial because the constraint that dim ens do not overlap plays a variable role depending on the com pactness of the cluster.

T o obtain the exact solution we w illexplicitly evaluate the expansion of $M_{D}(p)$ in powers ofp. For this punpose we tem porarily consider $M_{D}$ as a function of the set of $p_{i}^{\prime \prime} s$, where $p_{i}$ is the probability that site $i$ is present ( $i$. e. is an $X$ site). Then we w rite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{D}\left(f p_{i} g\right)=M_{D}\left(0 ;::: 0 ; p_{i} ; 0 ;::: 0\right) \\
& \quad{ }^{\prime} \quad{ }_{i<j} \quad M_{D}\left(0 ;::: 0 ; p_{i} ; 0 ;::: ; 0 ; p_{j} ; 0 ;::: 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
M_{D}\left(0 ;::: 0 ; p_{i} ; 0 ;::: 0\right) \quad M_{D}\left(0 ;::: 0 ; p_{j} ; 0 ;::: 0\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+:::: \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e w rite this as
w here we only indicate as argum ents those $p_{i}$ 's w hich are nonzero and we introduce the cum ulants via

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{D}\left(p_{i}\right)_{C} & =M_{D}\left(p_{i}\right) \\
M_{D}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right)_{C} & =M_{D}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right) \quad M_{D}\left(p_{i}\right) \quad M_{D}\left(p_{j}\right) ; \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

etc., as in Eq. (47). N ote that $M_{D}\left(p_{i}\right)=0$ because a dim er requires tw o sites being present. Sim ilarly $M_{D}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right) \in 0$ only if sites $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighbors. On can show that the cum ulant vanishes for a disconnected diagram. T he general tem (evaluated for $p_{i}=p$ ) is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{D}()_{c} & =X_{2} M_{D}()(1)^{N} \quad N \\
& =T \text { he term } s \text { of order } p^{N} \quad \text { in } M_{D}(1) \\
& =p^{N} \quad X \quad M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;:: x_{N}\right)_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}:
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.M_{D}\left(f p_{i} g\right)=X_{D} \quad M_{D}\right)_{C}+{ }^{X} M_{D}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right)_{C} \\
& \text { i } X \quad i<j \\
& +M_{D}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j} ; p_{k}\right)_{C}::: ; \\
& \text { i< } \ll k \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 6: Sm all clusters for which we give the cum ulant value of M.

In the rst line denotes a set of $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$, is a subset of ( w ith $=$ allowed), and $N$ is the num ber of $p_{i}$ 's in the set. In the last line $x_{i}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the site $i$ is included in the set and $x_{i}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the site $i$ is not inchuded. (T hese de nitions follow from the fact that to get a term of order $p^{N}$, we take a factor of $p$ if the site is included and ( $1 \quad \mathrm{p}$ ) ! ( p ) if the site is not included.) For exam ple, for the sets of sites show $n$ in Fig. ${ }_{-1}^{-16}$ we nd that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
M_{D}\left(l_{1}\right)_{C}=p^{2} ; \\
M_{D}(2)_{C}=p^{3}[1
\end{array} \quad 2(1)\right]=p^{3} ; \quad \begin{array}{ll}
M_{D}(3)_{C}=p^{4}[2 & 4(1)+3(1)]=p^{4} ; \\
M_{D}(4)_{C}=p^{4}[1 & 3(1)+3(1)]=p^{4}:
\end{array}
$$

For 2 there is one term $w$ ith all the $x$ 's equal to +1 and two nonzero term $s w$ ith one $x_{i}$ equal 1. For 3 there is one term $w$ th all the $x$ 's equalto +1 , four nonzero term $s$ $w$ ith one $x_{i}$ equal 1 , and three nonzero term $s w$ th two $x_{i}$ 's equalto 1 . For ${ }_{4}$ there is one term $w$ ith all the $x^{\prime} s$ equal to +1 , three nonzero term $s w$ ith one $x_{i}=1$, and three nonzero term $s w$ ith tw $0 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's equal to 1 . These results suggest that for any connected cluster of sites on the Bethe lattioe one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{D}\left(k=(p)^{N}:\right. \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that in contrast to the cum ulant, the bare value $M_{D}()$ is not sim ply a function of $N$.

The proof of Eq. (131) is by induction on $N$. We have explicitly show $n$ this result to be true for $N$ equal to 2,3 , and 4 . W e now show that ifE q. ( 1 (131) is assum ed to hold for $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N} \quad 1$, then it holds for $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ (assum ing $\mathrm{N}>2$ ). W hen this is proved, the general result is established.

C onsider a diagram w th N sites and label the sites so that the N th site is an \end", that is, it is connected to only one other site in the diagram and this other site is labeled N 1, as show n in Fig. 7. For a B ethe lattice (in contrast to the case of hypercubic lattices) this construction is possible because all diagram s have at least one free end.


F IG . 7: C luster of $N$ sites in which site $N$ is a free end.

W ew ill use Eq. $(\underline{12-1})$ for the cum ulant, so that

$$
M_{D}\left({ }_{N}\right)_{C}=p^{N} \quad x_{1} ; x_{2}:: x_{N}=1
$$

which we w rite as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{D}\left({ }_{N}\right)_{C}=p^{N} \quad X \quad x_{1} x_{2}::: x_{N} \quad 2 \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}:: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2 \\
& \text { [A B + C D]; } \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

where the algebraic signs re ect the values of the quantity $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} & 1 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{W}$ th

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}::: x_{N}\right. \\
&2 ; 1 ; 1) \\
& B=M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}::: x_{N}\right. \\
& 2 ; 1 ;1)  \tag{134}\\
& C=M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}::: x_{N}\right.2 ; 1 ; 1) \\
& D=M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}::: x_{N}\right. \\
& 2 ;1 ; 1):
\end{align*}
$$

In term s B , C , and D, the $m$ axim al covering by dim ers does not include the bond $N \quad 1$ to $N$, because for th is bond to be included obviously both sites $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ and N $m$ ust be occupied (so that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}=1$ ). C onsider

$$
Y\left({ }_{N}\right)=p^{N} \underset{x_{1} ; x_{2}:: x_{N}}{X} \quad x_{1} x_{2}::: x_{N} \quad 2[B+C]:(135)
$$

$T$ his is alm ost the contribution to the cum ulant when the site $N$ is not included either in the sum $m$ ations or in the covering. W e say "alm ost" because the sum includes the factor $\mathrm{px}_{\mathrm{N}}$ which ought to be taken out if we want to identify this $w$ th the $N \quad 1$ site problem. $W$ e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{N} \quad x_{1} x_{2}::: x_{N} \quad 2[B+C] \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}:: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{X} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} & 2 \mathbb{B} & \mathrm{C}]
\end{array}\right. \\
& =(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{2}:: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} \\
\mathrm{~N} \\
\mathrm{~N} \\
1
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{C}} \text {; } \tag{136}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}{ }_{1}$ is the diagram obtained from $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ by om itting the N th site.

N ow consider A. Suppose the $m$ axim al covering does not actually include the bond $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ to N. Then clearly, if this covering is to be m axim al it m ust include a bond from som e site to N 1. But now we may keep the num ber of dim ers $m$ axim al by $m$ oving this bond which includes the site $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ to cover the bond $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ to N . So, w ithout loss of generality, in the term $A$ the $m$ axim al
covering can be chosen so as to include the bond $N$ to N . Thus for $\mathrm{N}>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}:: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~A}
\end{array} \\
& =p^{N} \quad x_{1}: x_{2}::: x_{N}::: x_{N} \quad 2 \\
& { }^{1} \\
& \left.M_{D}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: x_{N} \quad 2\right)+1\right]: \tag{137}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $\mathrm{N}>2$ the term with the 1 w ill vanish when sum $m$ ed over $x_{1}$. (For $N=2$ there are no sum $m$ ations left and this is a special case.) Then we see that for $\mathrm{N}>2$

$$
\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{N}} \underset{\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\infty & \\
\mathrm{N} & 2 \tag{138}
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{c}} ;
$$

where ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\infty}{ }_{2}$ is obtained from ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ by deleting sites N and N 1. The sam e reasoning can be applied to term D, but in this case there is no term with 1 . So

$$
p^{\mathrm{fx}_{1} g} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\infty  \tag{139}\\
\mathrm{N} & 2
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{C}} ;
$$

C om bining allour results we have (for $\mathrm{N}>2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{D}\left({ }_{N}\right)_{C}\left.=p^{N} \quad x_{1} x_{2}::: x_{n} A \quad B+C \quad D\right] \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} ; x_{2}:: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} & 2
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 \\
\mathrm{~N} & 1
\end{array}\right)_{C}: \tag{140}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his com pletes the proofby induction.
For a general lattioe wem ay $m$ ake the tree approxim ation in which the sum is carried over alldiagram $s w$ th no loops. In that case the result is that the average dim er density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \text { (p) } \quad M_{D}(p)=N_{0}=N_{0} 1_{c}^{X} \quad(p)^{N_{c}} \text {; } \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{0}$ is the total num ber of sites, the sum is over all loopless clusters $C$ of 2 or $m$ ore sites, and $N_{C}$ is the num ber ofsites in that cluster. T hus for the $B$ ethe lattige Eq. (12 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p)=\sum_{n>1}^{X}(p)^{n} W(n) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ ( $n$ ) is the num ber (per site) of clusters ofn sites on a B ethe lattice. (To avoid edge ects it $m$ ay be $m$ ore precise to say that $n W(n)$ is the number of clusters of $n$ sites, one site ofw hich is the central site in an arbitrarily large C ayley tree. This de nition ensures that th is result applies to hypercubic lattioes in the asym ptotic lim it of large dim ensionality.) W e use the result of $F$ isher and Essam ${ }^{34}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(n+1)=\frac{(+1)(n+)!}{(n+1)!\left(n+\frac{n+1)!}{}:\right.} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

T herefore the exact result can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p) \quad X_{n>1}^{X}(p)^{n} \frac{(+1)(n)!}{n!(n \quad n+2)!}: \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result indicates that the singularity in $M$ ( $p$ ) is at $p=p_{c}$, where $p_{c}=(1)^{1}=\quad$ is the critical con $\bar{T}$ centration for branched polym ers on the B ethe lattioe 30:C uriously then, dim er statistics on percolation clusters is related to a som ew hat arti cialm odel of localization (which is also_related to branched polym er statistics in the sam e way ${ }^{30}$ -

For $=1$ (a linear chain) this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p)=\frac{p^{2}}{1+p}: \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result could be obtained farm ore sim ply by noting that the expected num ber of clusters per site of length $n$ sites is $p^{n}(1 \quad p)^{2}$ and for a cluster of length $2 n$ or $2 n+1$ the $m$ axim al num ber of dim ens is $n$, so that

$$
M(p)=x_{n=1}^{X^{2}} p^{2 n}+p^{2 n+1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & p \tag{146}
\end{array}\right)^{2} n ;
$$

which reproduces the result of Eq . (14-5).

B . A lternate Expression
W e now give a closed form expression for $M$ ( $p$ ). To do that we construct an expression for $d^{2} M=d p^{2}$. In this quantity the binom ial coe cient can be expressed as a contour integral:

$$
\frac{d^{2} M}{d p^{2}}=(+1)^{X^{1}}(p)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{d z}{2} \frac{(1+z)^{n}}{z^{n} 1} ;(147)
$$

where the contour surrounds the origin. T he sum over $n$ is a geom etric series which can be sum $m$ ed. A fter som $e$ m anipulations we found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p)=p+z_{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} z_{0}^{2} ; \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0}$ is the root of the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+z_{0}\right)=z_{0}=p \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is proportional to $p$ for $s m$ all $p$. O ne can check that this gives the correct result for $=1$. For $=2$ it gives

$$
M(p)=\frac{1}{4 p^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 6 p 6 p^{2}+4 p^{3}+(1+4 p)^{3=2}:
$$

(150)
$T$ his result show $s$ that there is no singularity on the positive p axis.
V. RANDOM DEPOSITION
A. Introduction

H ere we consider a special case of a $m$ odel of catalysis "4" in which hard-core dim ers are random ly deposited on bonds and the hard-core constraint does not allow tw o dim ers to intersect the sam e site. Deposition on all allow able bonds is equiprobable. A quantity of interest is the nal concentration when no further dim ens can be deposited. W e have not been able to construct such a solution on a B ethe lattice. H ow ever, here we give an exact solution of this $m$ odel in one dim ension.

To characterize this process it is essential to consider deposition on a line of bonds of nite length in one di$m$ ension. In order to consider deposition recursively, we therefore introduce the function $F(i ; j)$, (w ith $i<j$ ) which is de ned to be the average num ber of dim ens which w illultim ately be deposited in the intervalbetw een tw o preexisting dim ers, one on bond $i$ and the other on bond $j$. Obviously $F(i ; j)=F(i n ; j n)$ and as shorthand we set $F(1 ; j) \quad F(j)$.

Let us see what this function is for sm all argum ent. It is clear that $F(1 ; 3)=F(1 ; 4)=0$ because a new dim er can not be deposited on a bond neighboring an occupied bond, since neighboring bonds share a site which can not be occupied by tw o hard-core dim ers. N ext consider F (1;5) F (5). Ifwe start w ith dim ens on bonds \# 1 and \# 5, then an additional dim er can only be deposited on bond \# 3, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(1 ; 5)=F(5)=1 \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the average num ber of dim ens which will be deposited betw een dim ers at sites \# 1 and \# 5. Sim ilar considerations indicate that $F(6)=1$ and $F(7)=5=3$.
$N$ ext consider $F(1 ; 8)$. In the rst step an additional dim er w ill be placed on bonds \# 3, \# 4, \# 5, or \# 6, each $w$ ith probability 1/4. If it is placed on bond \# 3 (or equivalently on bond \# 6), then we have added one dim er and will be able to add (on average) $\mathrm{F}(1 ; 3)+\mathrm{F}(3 ; 8)=$ $0+1=1$ further dim er in later step ( s ). Therefore in each case these two processes lead to the deposition of two dim ers. So the combined contribution to $F(1 ; 8)$ from these tw o cases, each occurring $w$ ith probability $(1 / 4)$ is
$F(1 ; 8)=1$. Sim ilarly, if the rst new dim er is placed on bond \# 4 (or equivalently on bond \#5), then we have added one dim er and will be able to add (on average) $F(1 ; 4)+F(4 ; 8)=0+1=1$ further dim er. A s before, the contribution to $F(1 ; 8)$ from these tw o cases, each occurring w ith probability $(1 / 4)$ is $F(1 ; 8)=1$. Therefore we see that $F(8)=2$.
B. Recursion $R$ elation

N ow im agine starting with dim ers on bonds \# 1 and $N$, w th $\mathrm{N}>4$. The rst added dim er can go on bond \#3,
\# 4, .... N 3, N 2, each w ith probability $1=(\mathbb{N} \quad 4)$. So, if we include this added dim er we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.F(1 ; N)=1+\frac{1}{N \quad 4}{ }_{j=3}^{j=\chi^{N}} 2(1 ; j)+F(j ; N)\right] \\
& =1+\frac{2}{N \quad 4}{ }_{j=3}^{j=X^{N}} 2(1 ; j) \\
& =1+\frac{2}{N \quad 4}{ }_{j=3}^{j=X^{N}} 2(j): \tag{152}
\end{align*}
$$

A lso

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=1+\frac{2}{N} 5_{j=3}^{j=x^{N}} 3(j): \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing these we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathbb{N} \quad 4) \mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N})= & (\mathbb{N} \quad 5) \mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)+1 \\
& +2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathbb{N} \quad 2): \tag{154}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we form the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(x)=X_{N=5}^{X^{\mathbb{N}}} F(\mathbb{N}) x^{N}: \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow m ultiply Eq. (154) by $x^{N}$ and sum from $N=5$ to $\mathrm{N}=1$. Keeping in m ind that $\mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N})$ vanishes for $N<5$ we get

$$
x \bar{F}_{x} \quad 4 \bar{F}=x^{2} \bar{F}_{x} \quad 4 x \bar{F}+\frac{x^{5}}{1 \quad x}+2 x^{2} \bar{F} ;(156)
$$

where $\bar{F}_{x} \quad d \bar{F}(x)=d x$. From this we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(x)=\frac{x^{4}}{(1 \quad x)^{2}} \frac{1 e^{2 x}}{2}: \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see what this im plies about $F(\mathbb{N})$ for large $N$ wew rite

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{F}(x)= & \frac{H(x)}{(1 \quad x)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{H(1)}{(1 \quad x)^{2}} \quad \frac{H_{1}(1)}{1 \times x} \\
& \quad X^{X^{1}} \quad \frac{H_{n}(1)}{n!}(x \quad 1)^{n} \quad ; \tag{158}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{n}$ (1) $\quad d^{n} H \quad(x)=d x^{n} \dot{j}_{=1}$. From this we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathbb{N}) \quad H(1) N=(1 \quad)(\mathbb{N}=2) ; \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{2} \quad 0: 1354 \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the fraction of sites which rem ain vacant in the jam m ing lim it (after deposition is com pleted).

## VI. SUM M ARY

In this paper we have presented tw o approaches, w hich we call geom etric and algebraic, for the analysis of dim er statistics. B oth approaches yield exact results when the lattice does not have loops, as for a B ethe lattice. T he charm of the geom etricalm ethod is that it starts from the $m$ ost basic statem ent, nam ely that in a grand canonical ensem ble, the activity $z$ is precisely the ratio of the statisticalw eights of the $N$-particle system to that ofthe $N+1-$ particle system. T he virtue of the algebraic approach is that once the $H$ am iltonian is constructed using operators whose trace rules inconporate kinem atic restrictions, the standard procedures of statistical m echanics can be applied, for instance to obtain series expansions,for nite dim ensional lattices. (T his approach w as usedit to give a
eld theoretic analysis of the $m$ onom er-dim er problem .) H ere we also show that the use of replicas (which norm ally are invoked to im plem ent quenched averages of a random H am iltonian) can be useful in converting a series for the partition function into one for its logarithm, the free energy. Exact solutions for generalizations in which a) the lattice is anisotropic orb) dim er-dim er interactions are included were also developed using both approaches. W e give an exact solution for dim er statistics on a B ethe lattioe in a sim ple quenched random potential in which dim ers are placed on percolation clusters. F inally, we developed an exact solution for the fraction of sites which rem ain vacant after random disposition is com pleted in a one dim ensional system .
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## APPENDIX A:ANALYSIS OF THEPHASE TRANSIT IO N

The phase transition in the presence ofdim er-dim er interactions is entirely sim ilar to that in the van derW aals gas.

The righthand side of Fq . (10-5) gives an explicit for mula for $z P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}+1\right)=P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)$ when Eq. (103 $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is used ${ }^{22!}$ Thus we have

$$
\left.\ln \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}+1\right)=P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)\right]=G()+\ln (z):(\mathbb{A} 1)
$$

Figure ${ }^{15}$, show schem atic graphs of $G()$ versus for slightly less than and slightly greater than ${ }_{c}$. For $=2$ we nd c 4:95 and the value of at the critical point is $c \quad 0: 159, G(c)=3: 226$, and $z_{c} \quad 0: 0199$.

If $>\ldots$ and $z$ is close to $z_{c}$, a horizontal line (dashed in $F$ ig. $\left.{ }^{-1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ at height $\ln (1=z)$ w ill intersect the graph of $G()$ three tim es, each of the intersections corresponding to a value of $N_{D}$ for which $P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}+1\right)=P\left(\mathbb{N}_{D}\right)=1$. If we denote the intersections $\mathrm{y}_{1}<\mathrm{y}_{2}<\mathrm{y}_{3}$ and the corre-
sponding density of dim ens 1,2 , and 3 , then we have

$$
\ln \mathbb{P}(3)=P(1)]=\frac{\mathrm{Nq}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{2}{ }_{1}^{3}[G() \quad \ln (1=\mathrm{z})] d \quad:(\mathrm{A} 2)
$$

If $z_{0}()$ is the value of $z$ such that the area of the loop below the dashed line at height $\ln (1=z)$ is equal to the area of the loop above that line, then $P\left({ }_{3}\right)=P\left({ }_{1}\right)=1$. If $z$ is slightly less than $z_{0}$, then the negative area exceeds the positive area and $\mathrm{P}\left(3_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)<1$, in which case is the stable phase has $=1$. N ote that 2 is always less probable than 1 . Sim ilarly, if $z$ is slightly greater than $z_{0} z=z_{<}$, then the stable (m ost probable) phase corresponds to $=3$. N ote that because of the prefactor N q=2 before the integral, \m ost probably" m eans loverw helm ingly m ost probable."

If we place a density of dim ens on the lattice which is interm ediate betw een $1\left(z_{0}\right)$ and $3\left(z_{0}\right)$, the lattice $w i l l$ separate into regions $w$ ith coverage at densities $1\left(z_{0}\right)$ and $3\left(z_{0}\right)$, the size of the two regions being determ ined by the requirem ent that the total num ber of dim ens is that speci ed.

If is slightly greater than $c$, then the tem perature $T$ is slightly less than $T_{c}$ and the de nition of implies that ( $T_{c} T$ ) / c). In this case the distance betw een the tw o roots of $q$. (109) is proportional to $(c)^{1=2}$, and thus the distance betw een the corresponding value of is also proportional to $(\quad c)^{1=2}$, which is proportional to $\left.T_{C} T\right)^{1=2}$. Exam ination of $F$ ig. N'5, w ithout additional analysis, $m$ akes it clear that the di erence in density betw een the two coexisting phases, $y_{3}\left(z_{0}\right) \quad y_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is also proportional to $\left(T_{C} T\right)^{1=2}$.

## APPENDIX B:MEAN FIELD THEORY

In this appendix we obtain $m$ ean eld theory for interacting dim ers from the H am iltonian using the standard decoupling even though this H am iltonian involves operators which obey unusual trace rules.

In view of the trace rules (extended to in nite q) we m ay w rite

$$
e^{H}=\underbrace{Y}_{\text {hiji }} e^{\hat{z} s_{i} s_{j}+} t_{i} t_{j} ;
$$

where $\hat{z}=$ ze . M ean eld theory is obtained by ignoring correlated uctuations and writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " } \\
& \text { X } \\
& H=\hat{z} s_{i} h s i+s_{j} h s i \quad h s i^{2} \\
& \text { hiji \# } \\
& +\quad t_{i} h t i+t_{j} h t i \quad h t i^{2} \quad: \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
h s i=\frac{T r_{i} S_{i} e^{s_{i} q \hat{Z} h s i+q} \text { htit }_{i}}{T r_{i} e^{s_{i} q \hat{z h s i}+q} \text { htit }_{i}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{e^{q} h^{h t i}}{1+q z h s i^{q} \quad \text { hti }}  \tag{B3}\\
& h t i=\frac{T r_{i} t_{i} e^{s_{i} q z h s i+q} \quad h_{t i t_{i}}}{T r_{i} e^{s_{i} q z h s i+q} \quad \text { htit } t_{i}} \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

W e solve Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{B}_{-1 \overline{4}}^{\mathbf{4}}\right)$ for hsi to get
so that Eq. ( (B-3̄) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln h t i=\ln (q \hat{z})+2 q \quad h t i+2 \ln [1 \quad h t i]: \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To shorten the discussion we assum e a second order transition in which case the above equations and its two derivatives with respect to hti are zero. Di erentiating tw ice we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{hti}}=2 q \quad \frac{2}{1 \quad \mathrm{hti}} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{h t i^{2}}=\frac{2}{(1 \quad h t i)^{2}}: \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equation $\eta_{p i v e s} t_{c}$, the value of hti at the critical point, to be $t_{c}=\overline{2} \quad \bar{p} .-$ Putting this into the preceding equation gives q $c=\overline{2}+(3=2)$.

W e m ay com pare this $w$ th the solution for the B ethe lattice. For sim ple models (such as the Ising model) it is known that the Bethe lattice solution only coincides $w$ th $m$ ean eld theory for large $q$. For large $q$ the B ethe lattice solution for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ given by Eq. (1121) agrees $w$ ith the present result.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { APPENDIX C:GEOMETRICALCALCULATION } \\
\text { OFFIRST LOOP CORRECTION FOR THE } \\
\text { TRIANGULAR LATTICE }
\end{gathered}
$$

For the triangular lattice, a sim ple geom etrical argu$m$ ent perm its us to calculate $m$ ost of the di erence betw een the "exact" value of $\bar{N}_{D}=\mathrm{N}$ (notation as in Table I) and the value given by the B ethe approxim ation. The argum ent depends on the "tight" structure of the triangular lattioe, and we have not been able to extend the argum ent to the square lattice. Furtherm ore, unlike the "loop corrections" calculated in Sec. IIC , our geom etrical calculation is not the rst term in a system atic series of corrections. N evertheless, the calculation is sim ple and rem arkably accurate. From Eq. (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{v}=\text {; } \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

F IG . 8: A portion of the triangular lattice.
where $v$ is the probability that adjacent sites A and B are both vacant and is the probability that a bond is occupied. C learly

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(B \text { vacant })= & P(B \text { vacant and } A \text { vacant }) \\
& +P(B \text { vacant and } A \text { occupied) ( } 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

C onsider the section of the triangular lattice show n in Fig. ${ }^{101}$. W e see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(B \text { vacant })=P(A \text { vacant })=16 \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we set

$$
P(B \text { vacant and } A \text { vacant })=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6 \tag{C4}
\end{array}\right)\left(P^{0}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{0}=P(B \text { vacant } j A \text { vacant }): \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthem ore

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(B \text { vacant and } A \text { occupied) } \\
& =P(B \text { vac and } A E \text { occ) }+P \text { (B vac and } A D \text { occ) } \\
& +P(B \text { vac and } A F \text { occ) }+P \text { (B vac and } A C \text { occ }) \\
& +P(B \text { vac and } A G \text { occ) : } \tag{C6}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now estim ate each of the term $s$ on the right-hand side of this equation. It seem $s$ evident that there is very little di erence between $P$ ( $B$ vacant jAE occ) and $P$ ( $B$ vacjA vacant), since the in uence of the extra dim er would have to propagate over a long tortuous path. Thus we write $P(B$ vac and $A E O c c)=()\left(p^{0}\right)$. Sim ilarly, and on slightly w eaker ground, we w rite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(B \text { vacant and AD occupied }) \\
= & P(B \text { vacant and AF occupied })=()\left(P^{0}\right):(C 7)
\end{aligned}
$$

To estim ate $P$ ( $B$ vac and $A C$ occ) we note that if $A C$ is occupied then AB and CB must be unoccupied. As far as state of site $B$ is concemed, it $m$ akes little di erence whether we specify that bond AC is occupied or that
bonds AB and CB are unoccupied, because the e ect of the presence of the dim er AC on the state of site B is very indirect. Thus we $m$ ake the approxim ation that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(B \text { vacant } j A C \text { occupied }) \\
= & P(B \text { vacant } j A B \text { and } B C \text { unoccupied }):(C 8)
\end{aligned}
$$

H ow ever, the conditional probability that $B$ is vacant, given that $A B$ and $C B$ are unoccupied, is (1 $\left.6 \begin{array}{l}1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$, so that approxim ately

$$
P(B \text { vac jAC occ })=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{C9}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

and thereby that

$$
P(B \text { vac and } A C \text { occ })=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { C 10 }
\end{array}\right)
$$

N ow we use our evaluation ofeach term on the right-hand side of Eq. (C-1) to write Eq. (Ccil) as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)\left(p^{0}\right)+(3)\left(p^{0}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(2)(1 \quad 6)=1 \quad 2): \tag{C11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ we nd that

$$
\mathrm{p}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)\left(1 \begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3 \tag{C12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and Eq. $(\bar{C} \bar{C} 11)$ yields

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
1=\mathrm{z} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}^{0}\right)=() \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6
\end{array}\right)^{2}(1 \quad 4
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)(13) \text { (13) }
$$

The com puter readily calculates for each value of $z$ in Table II. The corresponding value of $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{N}$, which we call $G$, is 3 and is exhibited in T able II.

Thus, a sim ple geom etrical argum ent yields a good estim ate of the " rst loop correction" for the triangular lattice. H ow ever, we have been unable to $m$ ake a corresponding argum ent for the square lattice, nor can we extend this argum ent in an orderly way to $m$ ake higher order corrections.
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