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#### Abstract

It is show $n$ that $m$ agnetic bubble $\mathrm{m} s$ behaviour can be described by using a 2 D super-Ising ham iltonian. C alculated hysteresis curves and $m$ agnetic dom ain pattems are successfully com pared w ith experim ental results taken in literature. The reciprocal problem of nding param aters of the super-Ising $m$ odel to reproduce com puted or experim entalm agnetic dom ain pictures is solved by using a perceptron neural netw ork.


Thin m swith perpendicularm agnetization show widespread technological applications, particularly as high density storage devioes. Thus, our understanding, at a fundam ental level, of the way the $m$ agnetic dom ains are organized in such $\mathrm{m} s$ is extrem ely im portant. This letter is focussed on lm s having cylindricalshape bubble and labyrinthine $m$ agnetic dom ains. $T$ hese m s have been extensively studied in the seventies as they w ere considered as a possible support for high density $m$ agnetic recording, essentially through the possibility ofm anipulat-
 because of the appearance of new techniques, like M agnetic Force M icroscopy (M FM) or Secondary E lectron M icroscopy w ith P olarization A nalysis (SEM PA), show ing these dom ain geom etries at the nanom eter scale. The theoreticalm odels used up to now to describe these dom ains w ere developped 30 years ago $\left[L_{1}^{1}, i\right.$ $m$ ains having di erent idealgeom etries. Such an approach is the sim plest one can im agine but provides a lim ted understanding of the $m$ echanism ofdom ain form ation. M ore elaborated descriptions based on linearized $m$ icrom agnetic equations [ [1] or $G$ inzburg-Landau form alism [id] have been proposed. These approaches describe qualitatively the transition from bubble to stripe structure, but they do not allow quantitative com parison w ith experim ental results.

It will be explained in a rst part that bubble $\mathrm{m} s$ behave as an Ising system $w$ ith longrange interaction (super-Ising) betw een bubbles considered as giant spins. The in uence of the interaction potential param eters (range and shape) on the dom ain geom etry and on the m agnetization curve w illlbe discussed. The com parison betw een sim ulations and experim ental results will help to detem ine the relevant param eters. In a second part, we solve the inverse problem i.e the determ ination of the interaction potential from a sim ulated or experim ental $m$ agnetic $m$ ap. The treatm ent proposed is a perceptron type neuralnetw ork (NNT) searching for correct values of the potential using an error-correction leaming rule [1] $\bar{i}]$. To our know ledge, it is the rst time that neural netw ork techniques are proposed to analyse $m$ agnetic preprint
dom ain pattem geom etries.
Them agnetic behaviour ofbubble m scan be understood starting from a m agneticm onodom ain situation. This state becom es unstable if an initially large perpendicular $m$ agnetic eld is decreased to reach a critical value $B_{N}$ (nucleation eld). In fact, for such a eld, a situation $w$ th lower energy is obtained if a bubble $w$ ith a diam eter $d_{0}$ is form ed (critical bubble). The com petition betw een the wall and $m$ agnetostatic energies determ ines $d_{0}$. The $m$ agnetostatic energy favours the form ation of dom ains and varies, in rst approxim ation, w ith the volum e ( $V_{0} / d_{0}^{2}$ ) of the bubble. The wall energy, that does not favor the presence of dom ains, is proportional to the bubble's surface $\left(S_{0} / d_{0}\right)$. The wall thickness is assum ed to be negligible [1] [1, the wall energy and the $m$ agnetostatic energy, $w$ is the wall energy density and $M_{s}$ is the saturation $m$ agnetization of the $m$ aterial. Typically, for cobalt or iron-palladium alloy, $l$ is around 10 nm . This length allows us to treat a non-dim ensionnal problem by choosing $l$, $4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} \mathrm{hd}_{0}^{2}$ as respectively length, eld and energy units. The function $\mathrm{d}_{0}(\mathrm{~h})$ has
 quantities are non-dim ensionnal variables.

W e have considered up to now an isolated bubble. The particular case of two down m agnetized critical bubbles in an up $m$ agnetized m (see g.1) is the follow ing. E ther the two bubbles are not in contact and they only interact via the dipolar eld, the wallenergy is then $2{ }_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{S}_{0}$, or the bubbles are in contact and the wall energy becom es ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}$ (2 $\left.\mathrm{S}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ being the contact surface betw een the two bubbles. These two cases can be sum $m$ arized in a single $m$ odel on a square lattice in which each cell $i$, of size $d_{0} d_{0}$ is given the value of $i=1$, corresponding to up and down $m$ agnetization. T hen the interaction energy betw een tw o cells reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{E}_{i ; j}^{i n t}=\frac{1 \quad i j}{2} \frac{j ; i 1}{A_{0}}+\mathbb{E}^{d i p}(\ddot{j} \quad j\rangle\right)_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst term is the wallenergy and the second term the dipole energy. The ham iltonian fully describing the interaction betw een cells is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}=\frac{1}{2}_{i ; j}^{X} \mathbb{F}_{i ; j i j}{ }_{i}^{X} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the Zeem an coupling betw een each bubble's $m$ om entum and the applied magnetic eld B. The ham iltonian ( $\bar{i}$ ) is form ally identical to a super-Ising one. Sim ilar analysis, using the com petition betw een wall and dipolar energies, were previously presented in [9] but it is the rst time that bubble $m$ agnetic $\mathrm{m} s$ are show $n$ as 2 D super-Ising system s . It can be notioed that a sim ilar ham iltonian could be written to describe penpendicularly $m$ agnetized nanoscale dot arrays

If the dipolar eld of a square cell is approxim ated by the one of a cylindar of radius $R_{0}=d_{0}=2$, $E^{\text {dip }}$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\text {edip }}(\text { ii } j \nu)={\frac{R_{0}}{2 h}}_{0}^{Z+1} d{\underline{J_{1}()^{2}}}^{2} J_{0}(\text { ï } j\rangle e^{h=R_{0}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{0}$ and $J_{1}$ are Bessel functions of order respectively 0 and 1 . The dipole term is short ranged even if its range increases with the $l m$ thickness (see g.1). For sim pli cation of the


Fig. 1 \{ Interaction dipolar energy betw een tw o bubbles of sam e sign as a function of their separation distance $R$ for di erent $m$ thicknesses. The inset showstwo down cylindar shape bubbles in an up m agnetized m .
forthoom ing discussion, the potential is approxim ated by:

$$
\theta(\ddot{i} \quad j)=\quad \begin{array}{llll}
\theta_{+} & \text {if } 0<\ddot{j} \quad j j & \mathbb{P}_{+}  \tag{4}\\
\theta & \text { if } \mathbb{R}_{+}<\ddot{j} & j j & \mathbb{R}
\end{array}
$$

$w h e r e V_{+}$is the sum of the dipolar and the wall energy between rst neighbours, $V$ is the dipolar energy, $R_{+}$the distance to the rst neighbours and $R$ is the range of the potential. For the cobalt m s studied in $[\overrightarrow{2}]$, w ith thickness $\mathrm{F}=50$ and $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}, 10, V_{+}$and can be respectively xed to 0.02 and $-0.02, \mathbb{E}=4$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{2}$. This choige of $\mathbb{F}_{+}$is equivalent to consider also the second neighbours of a cell as nearest neighbours. T his allow s the m odel to be $m$ ore isotrpopic and attenuates the lattioe e ects.
$W$ ith $e_{i}={ }_{k}(k)_{i+k}+B$, the average $m$ om entum of a cell $i$, for a given environm ent en $v_{i}$, is in the canonicalensem ble:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i i_{e n v i}}=\tanh \frac{4 M_{S}^{2} d_{0}^{2} h}{k_{B} T} e_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the ratio $\mathrm{V}_{+}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$, in a reasonnable T range, one can let T go to $0^{+}$in ( $\underline{\underline{G}}^{-1}$ ) and let the lattioe follow the rule:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{sign} & e_{i} & \text { if } e_{i} \not 0  \tag{6}\\
\text { andom } & \text { choige } & \text { if } \\
e_{i}=0
\end{array}
$$

The case $e_{i}=0$ corresponds to $i$ equals 1 being equiprobable. This is crucial since decreasing from the $m$ onodom ain state (all $i=+1$ ), the $m$ agnetization starts to ip for $B_{N}=k_{k}(k)$, i.e. $e_{i}=0 . W$ thout dipolar eld, the $m$ agnetization sharply ips for $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}<0 \mathrm{w}$ ith no dom ain nucleation (in this case $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is sim ilar to a coercitive eld). W ith dipolar eld, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is possibly positive and, in this case, the $m$ agnetization begins to decrease
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Fig. 2 \{ Sim ulated m agnetization curve. The com puted pattems (100 100 cells) show the dom ain evolution at di erent points of the hysteresis curve when the eld is decreased, starting from the saturated up state. B lack and white parts correspond to up and down dom ains.

F ig. 3 \{ C om puted pattems in the dem agnetized state for di erent potentiel values, $\mathrm{R}_{+}=\mathrm{P}$ 2 and $R=4 . B$ lack and white parts correspond respectively to up and down dom ains.
by creating dom ains: $B_{N}$ is the nucleation eld.
$T$ he hysteresis curve shown in $g .2$ is calculated for the param eters de ned above. The sim ilarity $w$ ith the experim ental results from $\overline{[1]}$ is striking. O ur com puted value of $B_{N}$, 10 kO e $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}=1480\right.$ erg:cm ${ }^{3}$ for cobalt) is in good agreem ent w ith regard to the experim ental
 eld is decreased starting from the saturated up state (see g.2): down bubbles rst appear, decreasing the eld further, bubbles are elliptically deform ed (stripe out), then coalesce to form a m aze structure, the dom ain $w$ alls $m$ ove progressively $m$ aking up dom ain size decreases, and nally up bubbles appear. W e m ay em phasize that the whole evolution is com pletely described using only the ham iltonian (2) w ith no further hypothesis.

Dom ains in a dem agnetized state for di erent potential values are shown in g. 3. The $V_{+}$part of the potential is arbitrarily $x e d$ to unity since the calculation is perform ed under zero eld. The dem agnetized state is obtained starting from a fill random in itialization. This correspondsphysically to dem agnetize the $\operatorname{lm}$ through annealing it at an in nite tem perature, and to cool it down abruptly. W e have tested that it is not necessary to use a com plex procedure of sim ulated annealing to reach the ground state ofthe system. O urdom ain pattems in 9.3 can be com pared to the M FM im ages obtained by V. G ehanno et al. lm thidknesses. T he dom ain geom etry evolves from a m aze type structure like our $g$. 3a to a $9.3 c$ type dom ain structure by decreasing the m thickness from 50 nm to 5 nm , i.e by decreasing the $V$ dipolar part of the potential. The pattem in $g .3 d$ ts well to SEMPA im ages obtained by R. A llenspach et al. [12] for ultra-thin cobalt $\mathrm{mm}(0.8 \mathrm{~nm})$ on the (111) gold surface. Since $d_{0} \quad 50 \mathrm{~nm}$, the im age size in $\mathrm{g} .3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ is around $10 \mathrm{~m} \quad 10 \mathrm{~m}$,
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Fig. 4 \{ Schem atic representation of the rst, second and third layers of the $i$ cell neighbours. The potential $\#$ is constant on each layer.

Fig. 5 \{ $C_{+}$and C points are $m$ arked by respectively + and - . If a hyperplan (P) separating $C_{+}$ from $C$ points is found, a vector $W$ perpendicular to $(P)$ veri es that the ${ }^{\text {(i) }}$ projection on $W$ is positive or negative depending ${ }^{\text {(i) }}$ belongs respectively to $\mathrm{C}_{+}$or C classes.
which gives a dom ain size: 2-5 m for $g .3 \mathrm{~d}$ to $50-100 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $\mathrm{g} .3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$, in good agreem ent w ith experim ental data $\left.{ }^{3}, 1 \mathbf{n}_{1}^{\prime 2}\right]$.

W e now solve the inverse problem : can we from a given calculated or experim entaldom ain pattem, infer the unknow $n$ potentialV ? O ne needs to nd the relation betw een the state of the cell $i$ and envi . T he i cell's environm ent can be described with the vector ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (i) whose the $q$ components are ${ }_{q}^{(i)}=P_{p 2}{ }_{q}(i) p$, where the ${ }_{q}$ (i) set states for the i cell's q layer of neighbours (see g.4). W ealso de ne vector ${ }^{\prime}$ ' with com ponents $W_{q}$ equalto (ij $j$, the $j$ cellbelonging to $q$ (i). We separate the ${ }^{!}{ }^{\text {(i) }}$ vectors into two classes $C_{+}$and $C$ depending on $i$ respectively equals +1 or -1 . If one exœpts the $m$ arginal case where $e_{i}=0$ (which is only true for $B \in \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ), the rule ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) reads:
 one has to nd a hyperplane $(P)$ separating $C_{+}$points from $C$ points, thus a $\frac{1}{W}$ vector penpendicular to (P) w illibe solution of eq. ${ }_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ( the case $B=0$ is ilhustrated on , g.5).
$W$ e start $W$ th a randem ly chosen vector $\dot{W}^{(0)}$. For a given i clll, the ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{(i)}$ vector is calculated. If $\dot{W}^{(0)}!^{!}{ }^{(i)}+B$ and $i$ have the sam e sign, another cell is tested. In the other case, $W^{\prime}(0)$ is modi ed according to the rule
and so on until a vector $\dot{W}^{\prime}(n)$ is found, verifying $i=\operatorname{sign} \quad D_{1}^{\prime}(n)!^{!}{ }^{(i)}+B$ for every i


Fig. 6 \{ Potentials corresponding respectively to $a, b, c, d$ parts of $g .3$. The guessed potentials are represented by points, the ideal ones by solid lines and the dashed lines are the sm oothed guessed potentials.
cell. Finaly, the $\stackrel{!}{W}^{(n)}$ com ponents give the $\theta$ potential we are looking for.
In fact, th is algorithm follow s closely the R osenblatt's perceptron N N T [1] expression since only one neuron is needed. TheN com ponents, ${ }^{(i)}$ are in jected in the $N$ cells input layer of the perœeptron. The neuron answer is sign $W^{\prime}(m){ }^{!}{ }^{(i)}+B$, the $W_{q}^{(m)}$ being the synaptic coupling strengths betw een the q cell of the input layer and the neuron. If the perceptron $m$ akes a $m$ istake ( $i \neq$ ), the synaptic couplings are corrected follow ing eq. 'i, this equation de ning the error-correction leaming rule of the perceptron. P apert and M insky [14] have show $n$ that the perceptron NNT converges in a nite num ber ofsteps if $>0$ and if the classi cation problem of the ! ${ }^{\text {(i) }}$ vectors betw een the tw o classes $C_{+}$and $C$ is a linearly separable one [1] ]. In our case, the separability condition is veri ed by construction and for $=0: 5$, a correct potential is found after only one scan of a $250 \quad 250$ œlls dom ain pattem.
$T$ he guessed potentials $V=V$ (1) from dem agnetized dom ain structures of $g .3$ are show $n$ in g.6.The shape of the potential (positive betw een rst neighbours and negative for other neighbours) as well as R and $\mathrm{R}_{+}$are estim ated correctly. The determ ination of $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{+}$ is less accurate because of statistical uctuations. These latters have been reduced here by taking the $m$ ean value of the curve between neighbour points (dashed line). W e have only used dem agnetized states because it is often the only inform ation that can be experim entally obtained, but one can use dom ain pattems corresponding to di erent values of $B$ as an input and statistical uctuations w illbe strongly reduced. N evertheless, the above results show that even using a single im age, and such a sim ple perceptron algorithm, it is possible to obtain probing results.

In sum $m$ ary, $m$ agnetic bubble m s can be described using a 2 D super-Ising ham iltonian. $T$ he potential allow ing to $t$ an experim ental or calculated dom ain pattem can be guessed by a perceptron neuralnetw ork. T he universality of the super-Ising $m$ odel and of the bubble and
$m$ aze dom ains [1]] suggests this approach could be exploted in num erous other problem s as
 im ages.

I am very grateful to F. B ardou for fruitful discussions.
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