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#### Abstract

Single $m$ olecule rotational correlation functions are analyzed for several reorientation geom etries. Even for the sim plest $m$ odel of isotropic rotational di usion our ndings predict non-exponential correlation functions to be observed by polarization sensitive singlem olecule uorescencem icroscopy. $T$ his $m$ ay have a deep im pact on intenpreting the results of $m$ olecular reorientation m easurem ents in heterogeneous environm ents.


PACS num bers: $33.15 . \mathrm{Vb}, 87.64 \mathrm{Ni}, 61.43 \mathrm{FS}, 67.40 \mathrm{Fd}$

Reorientation ofsm allm olecules or segm ents ofm acro$m$ olecules undergoing conform ational changes are ele$m$ entary processes and often of crucial im portance for the properties of $m$ aterials. In $m$ ost of the experim ental techniques probing rotationaldynam ics, ensem bles of $m$ olecules have been $m$ onitored [1, 2, 3]. A ctually, $m$ any chem ical and biological system s are heterogeneous, a fact that e.g. is re ected in their dynam icalproperties. In the context of the non-exponentialdecay ofbulk correlations in supercooled liquids this has been term ed dynam ic heterogeneity. P roteins, polym ers, colloids and coarsening system s share this feature of non-exponential relaxation. In the past, bulk techniques have been developed that allow a characterization of heterogeneous rotational dynam ics in com plex system $s$ in term $s$ of a distribution of reorientational rates uctuating in tim e[4, 5]. T here are, how ever, hints that even without (bulk) heterogeneity rotationalcorrelations functions (RCFs) show deviations from exponential decay on a microscopic scale[6]. Such a behavior has also been found in num erical calculations on spin glasses [7] [8].

In order to get a deeper insight into the nature of the rotational dynam ics and its relation to structural properties of heterogeneous m aterials, it is highly desirable to conduct experim ents providing local (as opposed to averaged bulk) in form ation. It has been show $n$ by num erous exam ples that a singlem olecule is an exquisitely sensitive probe of dynam ical processes in its local environm ent [0, 10]. A long these lines, tem poral uctuations of excited state lifetim es [11], excitation [12] and em ission spectra [13] and electronic coupling strengths[14] have been studied by single molecule spectroscopy and analyzed in term $s$ of uctuating environm ents. H ere we focus on the rotational dynam ics of single uorophores investigated by polarization resolved m icroscopy [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. In order to extract quantitative and reliable inform ation from such $m$ easurem ents, a detailed analysis of local RCFs is of utm ost im portance. It is the purpose of the present letter to provide such an analysis for the sim ple m odel of rotational di usion of single uorophores.

[^0]F hiorophores preferentially absorb photonsw hose electric eld vectors are aligned parallel to the transition dipoles of the $m$ olecule [21]. These dipoles have a well de ned orientation $w$ ith respect to the $m$ olecular axes. Sim ilarly, em ission also occurs w ith light polarized along a xed axis. The experim ental situation we will analyze in the rem ainder of this paper is depicted in F ig. 1 together w th the de nition of the axes system and the angles used. The orthogonalpolarization resolved em ission signals $I_{p}$ and $I_{s}$ depend on both, absonption and em ission e ciency. T he contributions of $I_{p}$ and $I_{s}$ to the signals collected in uorescence correlation spectroscopy have been analyzed in detail 22, 23].

A custom ary $m$ easure of dipole orientation can be obtained by calculating the reduced linear dichroism d from $I_{p}$ and $I_{s}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{I_{p} I_{s}}{I_{p}+I_{s}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This frequently used quantity $[17,18,19,20,24]$ uctuates in the course of tim e due to $m$ olecular rotationaldynam ics. T he norm alization ensures that d depends solely on the orientation of the em ission dipole and not on the absorption e ciency. H ence we represent the transition dipoles not by vectors but by their orientation using angles ; . We want to point out that the analogy of $d$ to what is often called polarization [21] could lead to the w rong assum ption to use the anisotropy values instead. H ow ever, in contrast to uorescence depolarization $m$ ethods this would not $m$ ake any sense here. Subsequently the auto-correlation function of $d(t)$ is calculated,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}(t)=\text { hd }(t+\quad) d() i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present letter we will show that $C_{d}(t)$ is a nontrivialcorrelation function which nevertheless gives valuable in form ation about the tim e scale and the geom etric aspects of $m$ olecular reorientations. It should be noted that in principle the fraction $I_{p}=I_{s}$ leads to the azim uth , how ever, an extrem ely wellS/N ratio w ould be needed for reliable values.

W ell know $n$ bulk techniques allow ing to $m$ onitorm olecular rotational dynam ics are provided by dynam ic light scattering [1], dielectric spectroscopy [2] ] or nuclear m agnetic resonance ( $\mathbb{N} M \mathrm{R}$ ) [B]. D ue to the di erent ranks 1


FIG. 1: Schem atic experim ental setup: The polarization of light em itted by a single uorophore depends on the orientation of the transition dipoles $w$ ith respect to the detection system. The two orthogonally polarized com ponents of the signal are separated by a polarizing cube beam splitter.
of the respective interaction tensors distinct RCF s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{hP}_{1}\left(\cos (\quad(\mathrm{t})) \mathrm{P}_{1}(\cos (\quad(0)) i\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the relevant Legendre polynom ial are obtained. H ere,
is de ned in the sam e way as in Fig.1. For instance, the interaction of a perm anent electric dipole $m$ om ent w ith an applied electric eld transform $s$ in the sam e way as the rst order Legendre polynom ial $P_{1}(\cos )=c o s$ and therefore $C_{1}(t)$ is observed in dielectric spectroscopy. NM R and light scattering are $l=2$ techniques and yield $C_{2}(t)$. Below it $w i l l$ be show $n$ that $C_{d}(t)$, apart from being a local correlation function, cannot be related to one of the $C_{1}(t)$ in a sim ple $m$ anner.
$T$ he theoreticaldescription ofreorientationaldynam ics in $m$ olecular liquids is a com plicated $m$ any particle problem. For a qualitative understanding of the behavior of rotational correlation functions, how ever, a treatm ent in term s of rotationalB row nian $m$ otion is usually su cient. In the present letter we restrict ourselves to the sim ple m odel of anisotropic rotationaldi usion, thus neglecting inertial e ects com pletely. This approxim ation is well suited for the system $s$ we have in $m$ ind, nam ely biological system s or supercooled liquids and glasses, w here the tim e scale of the relevant relaxationalm odes is slow com pared to typical vibrational frequencies.

In all follow ing considerationswe assum e the transition dipole $m$ atrix elem ents to be stationary quantities. In this case we have $C_{d}(t)=h d(t) d(0) i, w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(;)=\frac{\cos ^{2}+\sin ^{2} \cos ^{2}}{\cos ^{2}+\sin ^{2} \cos ^{2}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that $d(;)$ cannot be expressed in term $s$ of the sphericalharm onics $Y_{2 m}(;)$ and this is why $C_{d}(t)$ cannot be related to the corresponding RCF $C_{2}(t)$. This is to be contrasted to the opticalanisotropy, the correlation function of which is directly proportional to $\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$.

In order to keep the treatm ent general, in the follow ing we treat auto-correlation functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}(t)=h X\left(\text { PL }^{(t)}\right) X\left(\text { PL }^{(0)}\right) i: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, X denotes som e anbitrary function of the $m$ olecular orientation PL of the principal axis system (P) of the
relevant interaction tensor in the laboratory $x e d$ fram e ( $L$ ). In the present context we are prim arily interested in the case of uorescence, $x=d$, in which case the $z$-ax is of the P -system coincides w ith the direction of the transition dipole. H ow ever, other choioes like e.g. $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iq} \mathrm{r}}$ relevant for incoherent neutron scattering can be analyzed in an identical way. If the m olecular orientation ( $t$ ), given in tem $s$ of Eulerian angles [25], is modelled as a stochastic process, $C_{x}(t)$ can be w ritten in the form [26]:

$$
C_{X}(t)={\frac{1}{8^{2}}}^{Z} d^{Z} d o X(1) X(0) P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ( } j & 0 \tag{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $P(; t j \quad 0)$ denotes the conditional probability to nd at timetgiven 0 at timet=0. Throughout this letter we restrict ourselves to the m odel of anisotropic rotationaldi usion of sym $m$ etric top $m$ olecules, in which case one has

$$
\begin{align*}
P(; t j \quad 0) & =P_{l ; m ; n} \frac{21+1}{82^{2}} D_{m n}^{(1)}(0) D_{m n}^{(1)}() \\
& e^{\left[(1+1) D_{x}+m^{2}\left(D_{z} D_{x}\right)\right] t} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the $D_{n m}^{(1)}()$ are $W$ igner rotation $m$ atrix elem ents [25]. The rotational di usion coe cients $D y_{y}$ and $D_{x}$ are equal, but di erent from $D_{z}$. The lim it of isotropic rotational di usion is recovered for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}$. It is important to point out that in eq. (7) denotes the orientation of the di usion tensor (D) in the L-system, D L.
In order to proceed in the calculation of $C_{x}(t)$, we expand the quantity $X$ ( PL ) in the expression for the correlation function, eq.(5), in term $s$ of

 is expressed in term s of the relevant $D_{L}$ ( $(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{via}$ $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{PL}(\mathrm{t})} \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{PD}+\mathrm{DL}(\mathrm{L})$ using $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{PL}(\mathrm{t}))=$ $D_{n}^{(1)}(P D) D{ }_{m}^{(1)}\left(D_{L}(t)\right)$. Here, $P D=(; P D$;
PD) is a shape and symmetry dependent molecular quantity. Form ost relevant cases X ( P D ) has axialsym $m$ etry, which allow s to replace the $D_{0 m}^{(1)}$ by sphericalhar$m$ onics $Y_{l m}$. Perform ing the calculation using eqns.(6) and 7) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}(t)={ }_{1}^{X} A_{1} C_{1}(t): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th $A_{1}=\frac{1}{4}^{P}{ }_{m}^{R_{2}}{ }_{0} d{ }_{0}^{R} d \sin X(;) Y_{m}(;){ }^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.C_{1}(\mathrm{t})\right)^{X} \quad D_{0 m}^{(1)}(\mathrm{PD})^{2} e^{\left[1(1+1) D_{x}+m^{2}\left(D_{z} D_{x}\right)\right] t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the R C F s eq. (8) are calculated num erically. Typically, it is su cient to use $l$ values up to $l=20$. Thus, $C_{d}(t)$ is given by a weighted sum ofa large num ber ofR C Fs. This


FIG. 2: Rotational correlation function $C_{d}(t)$ for isotropic di usion (solid line). For com parison the exponential correlation function $l=2$ (eq 10: $\left.A_{2}=1 ; A_{1 \& 2}=0\right)$ is plotted as dotted line
fact has not been notioed in the literature before to the best of our know ledge. A s already $m$ entioned above, for the optical anisotropy one has $\mathrm{hr}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{r}(0) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ which decays as a superposition of at $m$ ost three exponentials.

For the case of isotropic rotational di usion, i.e. $\mathrm{D}=$ $D_{x}=D_{y}=D_{z}$, eq. (8) simpli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}(t)={ }_{1}^{X} A_{1} e^{l(l+1) D t} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sym $m$ etry reasons, the odd com ponents vanish. A sim ilar expression has been reported recently [24]. In table the pre-factors are listed up to $l=20$. The re-

| $l$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.83501300 | 12 | 0.00417137 |
| 4 | 0.10020500 | 14 | 0.00266071 |
| 6 | 0.03101390 | 16 | 0.00180131 |
| 8 | 0.01352020 | 18 | 0.00127513 |
| 10 | 0.00708445 | 20 | 0.00093625 |

TABLE I: Pre-factors $A_{1}$ from eq. (10) for isotropic rotational di usion
sulting correlation function signi cantly deviates from an exponential decay as shown in $F$ ig 2 . From tting the com puted data $w$ ith a stretched exponential function $f(t)=e^{(t=c)}$ we obtain $c=0: 87=6 \mathrm{D}$ and $=0: 871$. $T$ herefore, even for this simple $m$ odel we nd intrinsic non-exponential relaxation. T he optical anisotropy decays exponentially, hr ( t$) \mathrm{r}(0) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{e}{ }^{6 \mathrm{D} t}$.

The model of stochastic isotropic reorientations presents a drastic approxim ation as $m$ ost $m$ olecules do not exhibit a spherical shape. Thus, in $m$ any cases of practical interest one is confronted $w$ ith asym $m$ etric top m olecules. A s noted above, how ever, in the present letter $w e$ restrict ourselves to the case of sym $m$ etric tops for sim plicity. Thism eans that we consider an ellipsoidaldiffusion tensor w ith three com ponents $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}$, see $F$ ig. 3a. For standard $l=1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ethods the RCFs


FIG. 3: (a) Stretching exponent depending on the anisotropy $=D_{z}=D_{x}\left(D_{x}=D_{y}\right)$. $P D$ denotes the angle between the $z$-axis of the di usion tensor and the transition dipole $\sim$. (b) C orrelation tim es nom alized by $0=\left(D_{z} k \sim\right)$. and were obtained by tting $C$ ( $t$ ) with $\exp ((t=)$ )
resulting for this $m$ odel consist of tw $o$ and three exponentials, respectively, w ith tim e constants depending on the degree of anisotropy $=D_{z}=D_{x}$. It is evident from eq. (9) that for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}$ the degree ofnon-exponentiality is $m$ ore pronounced due to the fact that for each l now one has l+ 1 exponentially decaying functions $w$ ith different w eights. H ow ever, the orientation of the transition dipoles w th respect to $D_{z}$ determ ines the degree of this additional non-exponentiality. Only for $D_{z} k \sim$ the sam e stretching exponent as for isotropic reorientation is obtained.

An entirely di erent approach to the calculation of RCF s via rotationalrandom walk sim ulations has proved to be successfiul in the context of supercooled liquids [27]. $H$ ere, $m$ olecular reorientation has been $m$ odelled by rotational jum p processes. Starting from an arbitrary orientation, after a certain waiting tim e draw n from Poisson statistics the next orientation is chosen at random $w$ ith the only restriction that starting and end position di er by an angle. In the lim it of ! 0 isotropic rotationaldi usion is obtained w ith results identical to those obtained w ith the analytic approach. In Fig. 4 the in $u-$ ence of the jump angle on the correlation function $C_{d}(t)$ de ned by eqns. (4) and (5) is plotted. W ith increasing the correlation decays becom e m ore exponential, which essentially originates from an convergence of the di erent l contributions to the RCF .

So far, we have assum ed that the principal axes sys-


FIG. 4: In uence of the reorientation mechanism on the stretching ( ) and the tim e scale ( ) of the correlation function, calculated for rotational jump processes $w$ th varying jump angles . For com parison the correlation tim es were norm alized by the pure $l=2$ correlation tim e 2 .
tem (P) relevant for experim entalobservables has a xed orientation PD relative to the 'di usion tensor' system (D) , and only the orientation of the latter $w$ ith respect to the laboratory system ( $L$ ) is tim e dependent:
$(t)=D_{\text {L }}(t)$. In principle, one can treat the case of intemal rotations by assum ing a com posite $M$ arkov process [26] f PD (t); DL (t)g and using an appropriate $m$ aster equation for the com posite process. If the internalm otion is independent of the tum bling $m$ otion of the $w$ hole $m$ olecule one can factorize the corresponding probability functions and averages. This case is of particular relevance for the conform ationalm otions of polym ers or proteins in solution and has been treated extensively in the literature to which we refer [28].

In the present analysis we have neglected the in uence of the num erical aperture ( $\mathbb{N} A$ ) on the opticalpath. U s-
ing very high NA objectives (NA > 1) out-of-plane contributions of the transition (em ission) dipole to the $u$ orescence signal have to be considered [16, 18]. H ow ever, it was pointed out that even for $N A=1: 25$ the dichroism signal is only slightly in uenced by this e ect 18]. A dditionally, if one w ere to $m$ easure rotationaldynam ics over an extended tem perature range, it is experim entally $m$ ore convenient to use low NA (< 1) ob jectives. H ere still an in uence of the NA is expected, which, how ever, becom es sm aller the sm aller the NA is. N evertheless, the accurate incorporation of the NA into our theoretical description has still to be worked out. W e also would like to mention that $m$ ethods have been developed which utilize the longitudinal eld com ponent ofhigh NA ob jectives to extract the full three dim ensional orientation of transition dipoles[29,30]. A though quite pow erfiul, such $m$ easure$m$ ents require a very good $S / \mathrm{N}$ ratio for subsequent data analysis and are lim ited w ith regard to tim e resolution.

W hile in the past only bulk experim ental techniques were available for studying molecular dynam ics, recent experim ental progress has enabled to study dynam ical processes at the single m olecule level. In particular, uorescence $m$ icroscopy has allow ed to track $m$ olecular orientation in tim $e$. $H$ ere the $m$ erits of single $m$ olecule $m$ icroscopy em erge in probing rotational dynam ics in heterogeneous environm ents in a direct way. $W$ e found that even for the $m$ odel of isotropic rotational di usion the obtained correlation function decays non-exponentially. $M$ otional anisotropy increases the deviation from exponentiality. If the RCFs calculated for the sim ple m odel of anisotropic rotationaldi usion are param etrized by a stretched exponential, we nd stretching param eters $0: 85$ for physically reasonable values of the anisotropy between $0: 3$ and 3. From this we conclude that only
values smaller than roughly 0:85 can be taken as an indication for intrinsically non-exponential dynam ics. O ur ndings suggest a carefulinterpretation ofsingle $m$ olecule rotational correlation functions as obtained by polarization resolved $m$ icroscopy.
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