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In this paper, an exact unitary transform ation is exam ined that allows for the construction of solutions of coupled nonlinear Schrodinger equations w ith additional linear eld coupling, from solutions of the problem where this linear coupling is absent. The most general case where the transform ation is applicable is identi ed. W e then focus on the m ost im portant special case, nam ely the well-known M anakov system, which is known to be relevant for applications in Bose Einstein condensates consisting of di erent hyper ne states of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$. In essence, the transform ation constitutes a distributed, nonlinear as well as multi-com ponent generalization of the R abi oscillations betw een two-level atom ic system $s$. It is used here to derive a host of periodic and quasi-periodic solutions including tem porally oscillating dom ain walls and spiral waves.

Introduction. The recent progress in experim entaland theoretical studies of $B$ ose $E$ instein condensates ( BEC s) $\left[\begin{array}{l}11\end{array}\right]$ hasm ade solitary m atter w avesphysically relevant ob-
 tons have been observed in recent experim ents. On the other hand, optical solitons have a tim e-honored history as fundam entalnonlinear excitations in optical bers and w aveguides (see, e.g., the recent review s [4]

A very relevant generalization of this class of physical system $s$ and of the solitary waves they can support concems the case ofm ultiple coupled com ponents. T here has recently been a considerable volum e of work relevant to the properties of coupled BEC s ranging from the ground state solutions $\left.\left[{ }_{6}^{[1 / 2}\right]_{1}^{7}\right]$ to the sm all-am plitude excitations [ tion of various structures including dom ain walls $\left.[9,1]]_{1}^{1}\right]$, bound dark-dark [111], dark-bright [12'], dark-antidark, dark-gray, bright-antidark and bright-gray soliton com plexes [1] [], as well as spatially periodic states [14] was also predicted. On the other hand, experim ental results have been reported form ixtures ofdi erent spin states of
 $m$ ention the e orts into the realization oftw o-com ponent BECs from di erent atom ic species, such as ${ }^{41} \mathrm{~K}\left\{{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}\right.$ [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ and ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}\left\{{ }^{133} \mathrm{C}\right.$ s [10 ${ }^{[1]}$.
Typically, the relevant model for two coupled BECs involves tw o nonlinearly coupled G ross P itaevskii (GP) equations. How ever, in experim ents $w$ th a radiofrequency (or an electric eld) coupling tw o separate hyper ne states $\left[155^{2} 2014\right.$, the relevant $m$ odel involves also a linear coupling betw een the w avefunctions. T he goveming norm alized equations are then of the form :
$i_{1 t}=\frac{1}{2}+V+a_{11} j_{1} \jmath^{2}+a_{12} j_{2} J^{2} \quad 1+\quad 2$; (1)
$i_{2 t}=\frac{1}{2}+V+a_{12} j_{1} \jmath^{2}+a_{22} j_{2} J^{2} \quad 2+\quad 1 ;$ (2)
where V V (r) is the relevant potential, typically consisting of a m agnetic trap and/or an optical lattioe [11 [12
while $j$ 's represent the condensate wavefunctions. The intra-and inter-species interactions are characterized by the coe cients a ${ }_{j j}(j=1 ; 2)$ and $a_{12}$ respectively, while denotes the strength of the radio-frequency (or electric eld) coupling. $N$ ote that Eqs. (II) $(\overline{2})$, com bining both linear and nonlinear couplings, occur in ber optics as well: In that case, $j$ 's are two coupled electric eld envelopes of the sam e wavelength but of di erent polarizations and the linear coupling is generated either by a tw ist applied to the ber in the case of tw o linear polarizations, or by an elliptic deform ation of the ber's core
 pling is im possible when considering waves of di erent wavelengths). A nother optical m odel, w ith only linear coupling betw een tw o m odes, applies to nonlinear ber
 In the context of BECs, this coupling has been recently exam ined for extended wave solutions in [6].

In the present work, we aim to study a unitary transform ation in the context of Eqs. (1, (1, - $(\underline{2})$ ) that com pletely absorbs the linear coupling betw een the com ponents into an oscillatory tem poral dependence. W e illustrate the value of this transform ation in a two-fold way: on the one hand, we use it to understand the role of the linear coupling betw een the com ponents as a m eans of creating $R$ abi oscillations betw een the $m$ atter present in the two com ponents (e.g., hyper ne states) [2] $\left.{ }_{2}^{2}\right]$ and their analog in pow er oscillations betw een polarizätions in optical system s. On the other hand, we use it to construct exact tim e-periodic solutions of such linearly coupled nonlinear Schrodinger equations. T his w ay, we identify tim e-periodic Thom asFerm i clouds and extended waves in one spatial dim ension, as well as sloshing dom ain walls and vortices in two dim ensions. Since the transform ation is exact only_in the so-called $M$ anakov case of $\mathrm{a}_{11}=\mathrm{a}_{22}=\mathrm{a}_{12}$ [ $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ ] $]$ we investigate num erically what happens to the constructed tim e-periodic solutions in cases (relevant to ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ experim ents) where $a_{11} \not a_{22} \in a_{12}$. Finally, we generalize the transfor-
$m$ ation to show that analogous constructions are feasible w ith a higher num ber of com ponents. Our focus here is in illustrating the generality and applicability of the transform ation to a very broad host of settings (m ultiple com ponent, as well as higher dim ensional cases, also in the presence of extemal potentials). W e should note that special cases of this transform ation have previously been identi ed in optics (in the integrable case of the tw ocom ponent, one-dim ensionalM anakov solitons in the absence of a potential [2] ]), as well as in a di erent form at in BECs [in two-com ponent, 1D_condensates in the presence of a periodic potential [211], where solutions were constructed as unitary transform ations of stationary solutions of the equations ( $\overline{(G)}$ ) below. There the nonstationarity $w$ as introduced by the $m$ ixing oftw o stationary solutions that were not in phase.]

A nalytical Results. A ssum ing that $a_{11}=a_{22} \quad g$ and $a_{12} \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{Eqs}$. (1ָ는)

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t} \quad P=\frac{1}{2}+\left({ }^{y_{G}}\right)+V(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{G}=\begin{array}{ll}
9 & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 \mathrm{~h}
\end{array} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{P}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}:
$$

Furtherm ore, in the special case $h=g$ (hence $G=g I$, w ith I being the identity m atrix), we m ay consider Eq. ( $\overline{3}$ ) as a hom ogeneous equation (LHS) w th an inhom ogeneous part (RHS); in this case, the solution of the hom ogeneous equation is given by

$$
=U(t) 0=e^{i P t} 0=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
\cos (t) & i \sin (t) \\
i \sin (t) & \cos (t)
\end{array}
$$

U sing a variations of param eters approach, we substitute this in the equation for. This results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i ot }=\frac{1}{2} 0+\left({ }_{0}^{y} G \quad 0\right) 0+V(x) 0 \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the sam e equation as ( $\overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1})$, but w thout the electric eld coupling tem s. A s m entioned above, large classes of exact stationary and nonstationary solutions to this equation were constructed in $[9][14]$ 2 $]$. Those solutions can now be used to construct exact solutions to ( portantly, stable such solutions, are also relevant to the m ore generalm odelE qs. $\left[\overline{1}(\overline{1})-(\overline{2})_{1}\right.$, and in particular to the BEC experim ents w th ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ [ [1] or ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ [1] : in that case, the deviations of the values of the nonlinear coe cients $a_{j k}$ from 1 are typically on the order of $3 \%$. Such a sm alldi erence acts as a sm allperturbation and does not alter the stability of the solutions (see also the num erical results below ). N ote that, typically, these solutions will be nonstationary and, in particular, tim e-periodic.
$T$ he success of this simple variation of param eters $m$ ethod can be phrased di erently in physicalterm $s$ : the
equation $(\overline{\bar{\sigma}})$ is invariant under unitary transform ations, as it is an additive com bination of the linear Schrodinger equation and a nonlinear term $w$ ith a $m$ atrix $G$ that com $m$ utes $w$ ith any $m$ atrix. T hen the extra linear coupling term s can be rem oved by using a tim e-dependent unitary transform ation, which does not a ect the other term s of the equation. Thus, the solutions of $(\underline{\overline{3}})$ can be thought of as rotating in \spin space".
$W$ e should note that $m$ ore general nonlinear coupling $m$ atrices $G$ com $m$ ute $w$ th $U$. In particular:

$$
G=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{7}\\
1 & 0
\end{array} ;
$$

also com $m$ utes $w$ th $U$. The relevant dynam ical equations, how ever, containing the term ( ${ }_{0}^{Y} G_{0}$ ) o result in nonlinearities of the form $j_{1} \xrightarrow[j]{?}$ and ${ }_{1}^{2} \underset{i}{?}$ in the dynam ical equation for 1 and hence seem less physically relevant.

The density of the di erent components $n_{i}=j i f$ ( $i=1 ; 2$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{1}=\cos ^{2}(t) j 01 \jmath+\sin ^{2}(t) j 02 \jmath \\
& + \text { j } 01 \text { ग̈ } 02 \text { j } \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sin (2 t) ;  \tag{8}\\
& n_{2}=\cos ^{2}(t) j 02 \jmath+\sin ^{2}(t) j 01 \jmath \\
& + \text { j } 01 \text { ग̈ } 02 \text { j } \sin (1 \quad 2) \sin (2 t) \text {; } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $01=j 01 j^{i}{ }^{1}, 02=j 02 j^{i}{ }^{2}$. Note that if 01 and 02 are tim e independent, then $\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}$ does not depend on time. Thus it is a local constant of the $m$ otion (as opposed to the global constant $\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \mathrm{dx}$ which is conserved for any G). This is equivalent to the state$m$ ent that the nonlinear term in (3) is invariant under the unitary transform ation given by $U(t)$. N otioe also that the existence of stationary solutions of Eq. (3) requires the expressions $(\underline{\bar{q}} \overline{1})-(\underline{\underline{g}})$ ) to be independent oft, which only happensw hen both 10 and 20 are stationary and equal. Furthem ore, clearly the above unitary transform ation illustrates that the linear coupling does not a ect the integrable nature of the 1D $M$ anakov $m$ odel (for $V(x)=0$ ).

Num erical Results. W e now tum to the practical usefulness of the transform ation i.e., constructing tim eperiodic solutions of Eq. (人ָㄴ) from stationary solutions of Eq. ( $\bar{\sigma}_{-1}$ ), as well as quasi-periodic solutions of the form er from periodic ones of the latter (i.e., the transform ation always inserts an additional frequency in the tim e-dependence of the solution). W hile one can follow this path also in the absence of the potential for the know $n$, exact solutions of the $M$ anakov $m$ odel, we will focus herein on the case $w$ ith the potential, which is $m$ ore relevant to BEC s $[15417$

Exact solutions in the presence of a potential are not often available in explicit form for Eq. (G). H ow ever, in the presence of the physically relevant, optical lattice potential $\left[{ }^{[30} 0^{\prime}\right]$ ] of the form $V=V_{0} \sin ^{2}(\mathrm{mx})$ (in one spatial dim ension), large classes of such ptationary solutions can exist such as [1]

$\left.{ }_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2}} \sin (\mathrm{~m} x)\right) e^{\mathrm{i}!{ }_{2} t}$, where $!_{1 ; 2} ; \mathrm{A}_{1 ; 2} ; \mathrm{B}_{1 ; 2}$ are appropriate constants. These solutions then becom e exact, genuinely_tim e-periodic solutions of Eq. ([ु]) according to Eq. (ST). Such a solution is given in the top panel of $F$ ig. '11. The bottom panel of the gure illustrates a quasi-periodic solution of Eq. a perigdic one of the form $01=\overline{\mathrm{A}_{1}} \operatorname{sn}(\mathrm{mx} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!{ }_{1} \mathrm{t}}$, $02=\overline{A_{2}}$ cn $(m x ; k) e^{i!}{ }_{2} t$ in the elliptic function potential $V=V_{0} \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\mathrm{mx} ; \mathrm{k})$, which degenerates into the $O L$ one for k ! 0 .

However, typically such explicit solutions are not know $n$, e.g., in the presence of a m agnetic trap potential. $T$ hen one can use relaxationalm ethods such as a $N$ ew ton iteration or im aginary tim e integration to obtain stationary solutions ofEq. (G) and exploit the tim e-dependence inherent in Eq. $\left.{ }^{(51}\right)$ ' ${ }^{-}$to excite exact $m$ atter wave oscillations between the tw o com ponents, producing exact,
 exam ple of this strategy is shown in $F$ ig. for the ground state BEC in a m agnetic trap potential [1] $V(x)={ }^{2} x^{2}=2 . \quad 2$ is intialized at an exact stationary solution (in the presence of $V(x)$, obtained via a $N$ ew ton $m$ ethod), while $1(x ; 0)=0$. H ow ever, an interesting question then concems the potential persistence of the Rabioscillations when the unitary transform ation is no longer exact (i.e., for $h(g)$. This is exam ined in the bottom set of panels in F ig. ${ }^{2}$. C learly, sm all deviations from the $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{g} \lim$ it (relevant to the BEC context where $\left.\begin{array}{lll}a_{11} & a_{22} & a_{12}\end{array}\right)$ lead to persistence of the $m$ atter $w$ ave oscillations but with a quasi-periodic beating character. B eyond a critical threshold, how ever, the oscillations disappear and give rise to chaotic behavior.
$N$ aturally, the sam e idea for constructing nonstationary solutions can be carried over to two spatial dim ensions. We illustrate the principle in the case of dom ainwalls (D W s) and vortioes in tw o spatialdim ensions (relevant results, but for a rotating trap were reported in [32']]). A s conœems the DW solutions, instead of using the m ore \standard" circular DW s betw een a less repulsive com ponent in the $m$ iddle of the trap and a m ore repulsive one form ing an outer shell) [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1 / 2} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ proposed dipolar (i.e., rectilinear) and quadrupolar (i.e., cross-like) D W s of [33]. O nce such a stationary state is reached for Eq. (G) (via im aginary tim e integration), using it as in itial condition in Eqs. (11) $-(\overline{1})$ produces a time periodic solution of the latter. Such exam ples are shown in $F$ ig. $m$ agnetic trap potentialV $(r)=(1=2)^{2} r^{2}\left(r^{2} \quad x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$. $W$ e should note that the $D W$ can, in principle, exist ifthe $\mathrm{m} m$ iscibility condition $\quad \mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{a}_{22} \quad \mathrm{a}_{12}^{2} \quad 0$ is satis ed. In particular, the quadrupolar DW s can persist even for
$=0$ (or $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{h}=1$ ) and hence the resulting \rotating propeller" solutions are exact tim e periodic solutions of Eq. ( is show $n$ for the practical case of $a_{11}=1: 03, a_{12}=1$ and $\mathrm{a}_{22}=0: 97$ (these values pertain to tw 0 di erent spin states of ${ }^{87}$ Rb [1] ). On the other hand, the dipolar D W s only persist if $0: 061$, which cannot be satis ed for
the ${ }^{87}$ R.b param eters. In the top panel of $F$ ig. $\overline{{ }^{3}} \mathbf{1}$, such a dipolar DW is show $n$, in the lim iting case $=0: 061$ (for $g=1$ and $h=1: 03$ ); apparently, the tim e-periodic, dipolar DW s are only approxim ate solutions. Sim ilarly to the 1D results, if $h \in g$ (or, generally, $\in 0$ ), we have found that there is a maxim um ( $m$ inim um ) criticalh $_{c}$ ( c) up to which the Rabioscillations persist ffor the bulk of each com ponent]: $h_{c}=1: 67(c=1: 78)$ for dipolar DW $s$ and $h_{c}=1: 28(c=0: 64)$ for the quadrupolar DW s.

F inally, one can use a sim ilar construction for a pair of vortex structures w ith tw o com ponents (see, e.g., Fig. (1-1)). In this case, we initialize the im aginary tim e integration, in the absence of the linear coupling, w th one com ponent having a vortex centered at $(5 ; 0)$, while the other has a vortex at ( $5 ; 0$ ). A fter the con guration relaxes
 again tum on the linear coupling and obtain a spiral rotation betw een the vortices resem bling a spiralw ave. In this case also, there is a critical $h_{c}=1: 32\left({ }_{c}=0: 74\right)$ beyond which the regularity of R abi oscillations is destroyed. In this case, the breakup leads to the form ation of spiral pattems in the condensate.

C onclusions and Generalizations. In this Letter, we have illustrated the possibility of coupled B ose $E$ instein condensates to sustain exact periodic and quasi-periodic pattems in the presence of an experim entally realizable, linear coupling betw een the com ponents. Sim ilar results should be im m ediately applicable to linearly coupled optical system s close to the $M$ anakov lim it. A unitary transform ation, com $m$ uting $w$ ith the nonlinearkemelw as identi ed as the source of such solutions and as a way of \factoring out" the linear coupling by $m$ eans of tim $e^{-}$ dependent oscillatory behaviorw ith a frequency equal to the strength of the linear coupling. W e dem onstrated the relevance of this transform ation in constructing various solutions in the presence of extemal potentials such as the optical lattice and the $m$ agnetic trap potential. W e also illustrated the robustness of the $m$ echanism in dem onstrating that the phenom enon persists even for a $w$ ide range of param eter values (rather than only for the special yet experim entally relevant case of equal interand intra-species interaction for which it is exact).

Finally, we would like to indicate that this mechanism is not restricted to the particular case of two linearly coupled com ponents, but in fact generalizes to higher num bers of com ponents (e.g., 3 linearly coupled hyper ne states can also be realized in the context of
 should be substituted with $\wedge$, where $\wedge$ is a sym $m$ etric $n \quad n \mathrm{~m}$ atrix ( w th zeros along the diagonal). The unitary transform ation then becom es $U(t)=e^{\text {it }}$; e.g., in the special case where $n=3$ and all $\circ$-diagonal ele$m$ ents are identical, then $U(t)=[i j]$, $w$ ith diagonalele$m$ ents $j j=(1=3)\left(2 e^{i t}+e^{2 i t}\right)$ and $o$-diagonalones ${ }_{i j}=j j+e^{i t}$.
A cknow ledgem ents $T$ his work was supported in part by $N S F-D M S-0139093$ (BD), NSF-DMS-0204585,

NSF-CAREER, and the Eppley Foundation for R esearch (PGK).
[1] F. D alfovo et al., R ev.M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
[2] S.Burger et al, P hys. R ev.Lett. 83, 5198 (1999); J.D enschlag et al, Science 287, 97 (2000); B P. Anderson et al, P hys. R ev. Lett. 86,2926 (2001).
[3] K E. Strecker et al, Nature 417, 150 (2002); L. K haykovich et al, Science 296, 1290 (2002).
[4] B A. M alom ed Prog. O pt. 43, 71 (2002); A.V.Buryak et al, Phys. Rep. 370, 63 (2002).
[5] Yu.S.K ivshar and B. Luther-D avies, P hys. Rep. 298, 81 (1998).
[6] T. .L. H o and V B. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3276 (1996); H. Pu and N P. B igelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1130 (1998).
[7] B D. Esry et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3594 (1997).
[8] Th. Busch et al., Phys. Rev.A 56, 2978 (1997); R.G raham and D.W alls, Phys. Rev.A 57, 484 (1998); H.Pu and N P.B igelow, Phys.R ev.Lett. 80,1134 (1998); B D. Esry and C H. G reene, Phys. Rev. A 57, 1265 (1998).
[9] M . Trippenbach et al, J. Phys. B 33, 4017 (2000).
[10] S. C oen and M . H aelterm an, P hys. R ev. Lett. 87, 140401 (2001).
[11] P. O hberg and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2918 (2001).
[12] Th. Busch and JR.A nglin, Phys. R ev. Lett. 87, 010401 (2001).
[13] P .G . K evrekidis et al, Eur. Phys. J.D 28, 181 (2004).
[14] B. D econinck et al, J. Phys. A 36, 5431 (2003).
[15] C J. M yatt et al, P hys. R ev. Lett. 78, 586 (1997).
[16] D S. H all et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1539 (1998); M R . M atthew s et al, P hys. Rev. Lett. 81, 243 (1998).
[17] D M . Stam perK um et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2027 (1998).
[18] G . M odugno et al, Science 294, 1320 (2001).
[19] M . M udrich et al, P hys. R ev. Lett. 88, 253001 (2002).
[20] D S.H all, personal com m unication.
[21] P .G .K evrekidis and D J.Frantzeskakis, M od. P hys. Lett. B 18, 173 (2004).
[22] B A M alom ed, Phys. Rev. A 43, 410 (1991).
[23] M J. P otasek, J. Opt. Soc.Am B 10, 941 (1993).
[24] S M. Jensen, IEEE J. Q uantum Electron. 18, 1580 (1982).
[25] B A. M alom ed et al, Phys. Rev.E_53, 4084 (1996).
[26] M A. P orter et al, nin . D $/ 0401023$.
[27] M R.M atthew $s$ et $\bar{a} \bar{l}, \overline{\text { Phys. Rev. }} \overline{\text { Lett }}$. 83, 3358 (1999).
[28] S.V .M anakov, Sov. Phys. JETP_ 38, 248 (1974).
[29] R M . B radley et al, in lin P S /0403043'.
[30] F S. C ataliotti et al, Science 293, 843 (2001); A. Trom bettoniand A. Sm erzi, P hys. R ev. Lett. 86, 2353 (2001); M . G reiner et al., A ppl. Phys. B 73, 769 (2001).
[31] J.C . B ronskiet al., Phys. R ev. Lett. 86,1402 (2001).
[32] J.J. G arcia-R ịpoll et al, Phys. Rev. A 66, 021602 (R) (2002).
[33] B A. M alom ed et al, \Static and rotating dom ain-w all
crosses in B ose E instein condensates", (subm itted Phys. Rev.A).


FIG.1. Top panel: two spatial periods of the tim e-periodic oscillations of the density $n_{2}$ for the trigonom etric solution in the OL potential. H ere $\mathrm{g}=1$, $=1$, $\mathrm{m}=1,!_{1}=!_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}+\mathrm{B}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{0}=1, \mathrm{~A}_{1}=2$, $A_{2}=V_{0} \quad A_{1}=1, B_{1}=1, B_{2}=2$. Bottom panel: two spatial periods of a quasiperiodic-in-tim e oscillation of the density $n_{2}$ for the elliptic function solution given in the text. H ere $m=1, g=1, \quad=\quad,!_{1}=\frac{1}{2} m^{2}\left(1+k^{2}\right) \quad A_{2},!_{2}=\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \quad A_{2}$. $A$ lso $V_{0}=1, A_{1}=2, A_{2}=V_{0}+m^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \quad A_{1}=1$.







FIG.2. (C olor O nline) Top tw o panels: the two subplots show exact Rabi oscillations of a ground state BEC in the presence of a $m$ agnetic trap. T he oscillation frequency is given by $=0: 8$. Them agnetic potential has a frequency $=0: 1$. T he two subplots show the contour of the space-tim e evolution of the density of each component. M iddle two panels: evolution of the density at the center of the $m$ agnetic trap i.e., at $x=0$ for the two com ponents. Bottom three panels: evolution at the center of the $m$ agnetic trap, for $h \in g$, nam ely for $h=1: 2$ (top subplot), $h=1: 5$ ( m iddle subplot) and $h=2$ (bottom subplot).


FIG.3. Top panels: C ontourplots of the density $j_{1} f$ for a dipolar DW (the density $j_{2}{ }^{j}$ of the other species is com plem entary to $j 1{ }^{2}$ ) for $t=0$ (a), $T=4$ (b), $T=2$ (c), and $3 T=4$ (d), with $T==15: 7(=0: 2) ;=0: 045,=0: 061$.

The pattem persists for long tim es with the species \interchanging places". Bottom panels: Same as the top but for the quadrupolar D W w ith $=910^{4}$ (the respective nonlinearity coe cients pertain to $\left.{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}\right)$. The R abi oscillation of this sloshing DW gives the im pression of a rotating propeller.


FIG.4. Top panels: C ontour plots of $j_{1} f$ for two coupled vortices, in itially placed at $x=5$, for $t=0$ (a), $T=4$ (b), $\mathrm{T}=2$ (c), and $3 \mathrm{~T}=4$ (d), with $\mathrm{T}==15: 7(=0: 2)$; $=0: 045,=910{ }^{4}\left({ }^{77} \mathrm{Rb}\right)$. The vortices $\backslash$ interchange locations" (in a structure resem bling a spiral wave). B ottom panels: Same as the top but for $=3(g=1, h=2)$. The con guration breaks up form ing spiral pattems.

