M ulti-Trip let M agnons in $SrCu_2$ (BO $_3$) $_2$ Studied by Therm al C onductivity M easurem ents in M agnetic Fields

Kazutaka Kudo ^y, Takashi Noji, Yoji Koike, Terukazu Nishizaki¹ and Norio Kobaya shi¹

Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, 6-6-05 Aoba Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579

¹ Institute for M aterials Research, Tohoku University, 2-1-1 K atahira, A oba-ku, Sendai 980-8577 (Received April 14, 2024)

KEYW ORDS: therm alconductivity, magnon, spin gap

Recently, them alconductivity in low-dimensional quantum spin systems has attracted interest, because the them alconductivity due to magnons, magnons, has been found to be large in some low-dimensional copper-oxides. Theoretically, the existence of a ballistic magnon has been predicted in integrable systems, and actually a large them alconductivity associated with the ballistic magnon has been observed in one-dimensional Heisenberg chain systems $\operatorname{SrC} uO_2$ and $\operatorname{Sr}_2\operatorname{C} uO_3$. As for non-integrable systems such as spin-gap systems, on the other hand, magnon has theoretically been predicted to be discussed and small Experimentally, however, a large magnon has been observed in a spin-gap state of the two-leg spin-ladder system $\operatorname{Sr}_{14}\operatorname{C} u_{24}\operatorname{O}_{41}$, Alg_{10} owing to the marked decrease of the magnon-magnon scattering. Therefore, the mechanism of large magnon has not yet been understood so clearly.

The compound $SrCu_2$ (BO $_3$) $_2$ is a layered material composed of the two-dimensional $CuBO_3$ plane, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a). The ground state is a spin-singlet state with the spin gap of 34 K $_9^{(11)}$ and can be expressed in terms of the Shastry-Sutherland model, $_9^{(12,13)}$ In the spin gap state of $SrCu_2$ (BO $_3$) $_2$, it has been reported that the thermal conductivity due to phonons, $_{phonon}$, is enhanced, owing to the decrease of the phonon-magnon scattering, and that magnons do not contribute to the thermal conductivity. $_{14}^{(16)}$ This is because single-triplet magnons in the rest-excited state are extremely localized, according to the magnetization curve measurements, $_{9}^{(10)}$ the inelastic neutron scattering experiment $_{11}^{(11)}$ and the perturbation calculations. $_{13}^{(13)}$ On the other hand, the theoretical calculation has suggested that the excited state with higher energy composed of two or several triplet magnons (multi-triplet magnons) can propagate rather fast. $_{17}^{(17,18)}$ In fact, the existence of such multi-triplet magnons has been con med experimentally in the ESR, $_{19}^{(19)}$ the inelastic neutron scattering, the far-infrared absorbtion $_{11}^{(20)}$ and the Raman scattering. The magnons may contribute to the thermal conductivity when the energy bands

E-m ail address: kudo@ im r.tohoku ac.p

^yP resent address: Institute for M aterials R esearch, Tohoku U niversity, 2-1-1 K atahira, A oba-ku, Sendai 980-8577

are lowered by the application of magnetic eld. In order to distinguish the contributions of magnon and phonon from each other, it is elective to study elects of the partial substitution of Zn for Cu on the thermal conductivity, because Zn^{2+} (the spin quantum number S=0) is expected to shorten them ean free path of magnons running in the Cu²⁺ (S=1=2) network. In this paper, we have measured the thermal conductivity parallel to the a-axis (i.e. parallel to CuBO₃ plane) of the Zn-free and 1% Zn-substituted SrCu₂ x Zn_x (BO₃)₂ in magnetic elds up to 14 T, in order to examine magnon due to the multi-triplet magnons. We have also measured the magnetic susceptibility to check the magnetic properties.

Single crystals of SrC $u_2 \times Zn_x$ (BO $_3$) $_2$ w ith x=0 and 0.02 were grown using the traveling-solvent oating-zone m ethod. Therm alconductivity m easurem ents were carried out using the conventional steady-state technique. M agnetic elds up to 14 T were applied parallel to the therm alcurrent direction, using a superconducting m agnet. The m agnetic susceptibility was measured in a magnetic eld of 1 T using a SQUID magnetom eter (Quantum Design, MPMS-XL5).

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility, , for $SrCu_2 \times Zn_x$ (BO₃)₂. As reported in the previous report, ^{9,14)} the susceptibility of x=0 exhibits a peak at 17 K and decreases with decreasing temperature at low temperatures below 17 K, independent of the applied eld direction. This is a typical behavior rejecting the formation of spin-singlet dimers with a nite spin-gap. The small Curie-tail observed below 3.5 K may be due to magnetic impurities and/or defects of Cu^{2+} ions. The peak temperature does not change through the 1% Zn substitution, indicating no change in the spin gap. The relative large Curie-tail observed below 6 K may be due to isolated spins which are located adjacent to Zn and able to form no spin-singlet dimers.

Figure 2(a) shows the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity parallel to the a-axis, $_{\rm a}$, of SrC u₂ (BO $_{\rm 3}$)₂ in magnetic elds. In zero eld, $_{\rm a}$ exhibits an anomalous enhancement at low temperatures below 10 K, as reported in previous papers. ^{14(16,23)} The enhancement in zero eld is attributed to the increase of $_{\rm phonon}$, which is caused by the suppression of phonon-magnon scattering owing to the spin-gap formation. The suppression of the enhancement by the application of magnetic eld is explained as being due to the reduction of the spin gap. Figure 2(b) shows the temperature dependence of $_{\rm a}$ in the 1% Zn-substituted SrC u_{1:98}Zn_{0:02} (BO $_{\rm 3}$)₂ in magnetic elds. In low magnetic elds below 6 T, it appears that the peak of $_{\rm a}$ in the Zn-substituted sample coincides with that in the Zn-free one. This is because the enhancement of $_{\rm a}$ in the spin-gap state is due to the enhancement of $_{\rm phonon}$ and because the spin gap does not change through the 1% Zn-substitution, as mentioned above. In high magnetic elds above 6 T, on the other hand, the peak of the Zn-substituted sample is smaller than that of the Zn-free one. For the clarity, the magnetic eld dependence of the relative reduction of the thermal conductivity peak due to the 1% Zn eld dependence of the relative reduction of the thermal conductivity peak due to the 1% Zn

substitution, $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0.02) \quad a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)$, is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). It is found that $a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x = 0)] = a^{\text{peak}} = [a^{\text{peak}} (x =$

The therm alconductivity measurements were performed at the High Field Laboratory for Superconducting Materials, IMR, Tohoku University. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan, and also by CREST of Japan Science and Technology Corporation.

References

1) K.Kudo, Y.Koike, S.Kurogi, T.Noji, T.Nishizaki and N.Kobayashi: J.Magn.Magn.Mater. 272-276 (2004) 94.

- 2) K. Saito, S. Takesue and S. Miyashita: Phys. Rev. E 54 (1996) 2404.
- 3) A.V. Sologubenko, K.G. ianno, H.R.Ott, A.V. ietkine and A.R. evcolevschi: Phys.Rev.B 64 (2001) 054412.
- 4) K. Kudo, S. Ishikawa, T. Noji, T. Adachi, Y. Koike, K. Maki, S. Tsuji and K. Kumagai: J. Low Temp. Phys. 117 (1999) 1689.
- 5) A.V. Sologubenko, K.Gianno, H.R.Ott, U.Ammerahland A.Revcolevschi: Phys.Rev.Lett.84 (2000) 2714.
- 6) K.Kudo, S.Ishikawa, T.Noji, T.Adachi, Y.Koike, K.Maki, S.Tsuji and K.Kumagai: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 437.
- 7) K.Kudo, Y.Koike, K.Maki, S.Tsu ji and K.Kumagai: J.Phys.Chem. Solids 62 (2001) 361.
- 8) C. Hess, C. Baumann, U. Ammerahl, B. Buchner, F. Heidrich-Meisner, W. Brenig and A. Revcolevschi: Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 184305.
- 9) H.Kageyama, K.Yoshimura, R.Stem, N.V.Mushnikov, K.Onizuka, M.Kato, K.Kosuge, C.P. Slichter, T.Goto, Y.Ueda: Phys.Rev.Lett.82 (1999) 3168.
- 10) K.Onizuka, H.Kageyama, Y.Narumi, K.Kindo, Y.Ueda, and T.Goto: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69 (2000) 1016.
- 11) H. Kageyama, M. Nishi, N. Aso, K. Onizuka, T. Yoshihama, K. Nukui, K. Kodama, K. Kakurai, and Y. Ueda: Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 5876.
- 12) B.S. Shastry and B. Sutherland: Physica (Am sterdam) 108 (1981) 1069.
- 13) S.M iyahara, and K. Ueda: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3701.
- 14) K.Kudo, T.Noji, Y.Koike, T.Nishizaki and N.Kobayashi: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 1448.
- 15) M. Hofmann, T. Lorenz, G. S. Uhrig, H. Kierspel, O. Zabara, A. Freimuth, H. Kageyama and Y. Ueda: Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 047202.
- 16) K.Kudo, T.Noji, Y.Koike, T.Nishizaki and N.Kobayashi: Physica B 329-333 (2003) 910.
- 17) T.Momoiand K.Totsuka: Phys.Rev.B 61 (2000) 3231.
- 18) T.Momoiand K.Totsuka: Phys.Rev.B 62 (2000) 15067.
- 19) H.Nojiri, H.Kageyama, K.Onizuka, Y.Ueda and M.Motokawa: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.68 (1999) 2906.
- 20) T.Room, U.Nagel, E.Lippmaa, H.Kageyama, K.Onizuka and Y.Ueda: Phys.Rev. 61 (2000)
- 21) R.Lem m ens, M.Grove, M.Fischer, G.Guntherodt, V.N.Kotov, H.Kageyama, K.Onizuka and Y.Ueda: Phys.Rev.Lett.85 (2000) 2605.
- 22) H.Kaqeyama, K.Onizuka, T.Yamauchi, and Y.Ueda: J.Cryst. Growth 206 (1999) 65.
- 23) The enhancement of a reported in Ref. 14 is smaller than those in Refs. 15,16 and the present paper. This is because a in Ref. 14 was measured by a two-term inal method where the thermal conductivity was underestimated due to the contact thermal resistance. In Refs. 15,16 and the present paper, meanwhile, a was measured by a four-term inal method.
- 24) K. Amaya, N. Tateiwa, T. Kobayashi, H. Kageyama, K. Onizuka and Y. Ueda: Meeting Abstracts of the Physical Society of Japan, Vol. 55, Issue 2, Part 3 (Niigata, 2000) p. 349 [in Japanese].

25) G. Jorge, M. Jaime, N. Harrison, R. Stern, H. Dabkowska and B. D. Gaulin: Journal of Alloys and Compounds 369 (2004) 90.




Fig. 1. Tem perature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility in a magnetic eld of 1 T parallel to the a-and c-axes for $SrCu_2 \times Zn_x$ (BO $_3$) $_2$ with x=0 and 0.02. The inset shows the data in a wide tem perature-region.




Fig. 2. Tem perature dependence of the thermal conductivity parallel to the a-axis, a, of $SrCu_2 \ _xZn_x \ (BO_3)_2 \ w$ ith (a) x=0 and (b) x=0.02. The inset of (a) is a schematic picture of the $CuBO_3$ plane in $SrCu_2 \ (BO_3)_2$, where the solid square indicates the unit cell. The inset of (b) shows the magnetic eld dependence of the relative reduction of the thermal conductivity peak due to the 1% Zn-substitution, $P^{eak} = P^{eak} \ (x=0.02)$ $P^{eak} \ (x=0) = P^{eak} \ (x=0)$.