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#### Abstract

W e show that near densest-packing the perturbations of the HCP structure yield higher entropy than perturbations of any other densest packing. The di erence between the various structures shows up in the correlations between motions of nearest neighbors. In the HCP structure random motion of each sphere im pinges slightly less on the $m$ otion of its nearest neighbors than in the other structures.
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## I. Introduction.

W e are interested in the solid phase of the hard sphere gas m odel, a phase which is generally agreed to exist based on com puter experim ents re ned over the past 50 years, as well as certain experim ents w ith m onodisperse colloids. A lthough the existence of the solid phase is uncontroversial, the intemal structure of the solid is not well understood, and is the ob ject of this paper. See [1-2] for review $s$ of the earliest com putationalw ork, show ing the transition, and [3-9] for m ore recent work trying to determ ine the intemal structure. See [10] for a relevant experim ent $w$ ith colloids.

The m odel consists of the classical statisticalm echanics of point particles for which the only interaction is a hard core: the separation betw een particles $m$ ust be at least 1. W e use the canonicalensem ble, corresponding to xed density $d$ and tem perature $T$. (For the rem ainder of the paperw e use the $m$ ore convenient term inology of spheres rather than particles. In particular, by the \density" of a con guration of spheres we will m ean the fraction of space occupied by the spheres.) In the usual way we can integrate out the velocity variables and consider the \reduced" ensem ble associated only w th the spatial variables. T his ensem ble is independent of tem perature, e ectively leaving only the density variable $d$, and consists of the uniform distribution on all con gurations of the unit spheres at density d. (For a nite system of $N$ spheres, constrained to lie in a container $C \quad R^{3}$ of volume $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{d}$, a con guration can be represented by the point in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}}$ corresponding to the centers of the spheres, and the uniform distribution is understood in the usual sense of volum e in $R^{3 N}$.) The entropy density of the nite-sphere ensemble is then $S_{N ; d}=\left(\log V_{N ; d}\right)=N$, where $V_{N} ; d$ is the subvolum e of $C^{N}$ available to the (centers of the) spheres.

W e will not be concemed w ith the solid/ uid transition, associated with density around $0: 54$, but $w$ th the nature of the solid near maxim um possible density, $d_{c}=\overline{18} \quad 0: 74$. The con gurations ofdensity $d_{c}$ are know $n$ to be those obtained by 2 -dim ensionalhexagonal layers, as follow s. Ifwe denote one such layer by , then on either side of it we can choose either of the two ways of $\backslash$ lling the gaps", either or . The FCC lattice corresponds to the choice :::; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;::; the HCP structure is obtained from the choioe :::; ; ; ; ; ; ;:::, and there are in nitely $m$ any other \layered con gurations" of the sam e optim aldensity. Since we w ill be concemed with an expansion of the ensemble in the deviation $d=d_{c} d$, there is a $m$ inor problem $w$ ith nonuniqueness of the con guration at the optim al density $d_{c}$. The ensemble is, by construction, the distribution which maxim izes entropy. O ur ob jective then is to show that, to low est order in the deviation $d$, perturbations of the HCP layering yield the highest entropy com pared w ith perturbations of other layerings. There is com puter evidence, and experim ental evidence based on colloids, that, how ever, it is the FC C layering which is optim al, by roughly the sam em agnitude e ect that we obtain, 0:1\% . W em ake m any few er assum ptions than these works, and will spell out our assum ptions unam biguously.

The essential question is how much \w iggle room " is available to each sphere. To rst approxim ation, this can be com puted by freezing the positions of all spheres
but one, and com puting the volum e available to the single unfrozen sphere. FCC, HCP and all other close packing con gurations give exactly the sam e result to this order, proportional to the volum e of the V oronoi œell. The next approxim ation is to consider the e ect that the $m$ otion of one sphere has on the volum e available to its nearest neighbors. To com pute this e ect, we freeze the (equilibrium ) positions of all but tw o nearest-neighbor spheres and exactly com pute the volum $e$, in $R^{6}$, of the allow ed 2-sphere con gurations. W hen the two nearest-neighbor spheres are in the sam e layer, the results are the same for FCC and HCP or indeed any layering. H ow ever, when the tw o spheres are in ad jacent layers there is slightly m ore available volume in the HCP case than in the FCC or any other layering. W e conclude that the motion of each sphere in the HCP lattice im pinges less on the motion of its neighbors than the m otion of each sphere in the FCC lattice, and hence that sm all perturbations of the H CP lattice have m ore entropy than sm allperturbations of the FCC lattice.

## II. C alcu lations

W e choose C artesian ( $x ; y ; z$ ) coordinates such that there are hexagonal layers parallel to the x;y plane. In particular we will call that layer a plane which contains sphere centers at the origin $O=(0 ; 0 ; 0)$ and the six sites:

See $F$ igure 1. The centers for spheres in the layers above or below this layer are possible at som e of:

See Figure 1.
C onsider the V oronoi cell of the sphere centered at O. W ithout loss of generality, we assum $e$ there are spheres in the layer above $O$, w ith $z$-coordinate of the centers equal to $2=3$, at sites $\mathrm{A}^{+}$; $\mathrm{C}^{+}$and $\mathrm{E}^{+}$. W e will call this an -plane. In the layer below $O, z=2=3$, there are spheres at either A ; C and $E$ (another
-plane, for instance for HCP), or at $B$; $D$ and $F$ (a -plane, for instance for FCC). In the latter case the Voronoi cell is a rhom bic dodecahedron, with the 14 vertioes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{12} ; \quad \frac{1}{6} \text { ) ( } \frac{1}{2} ; ~ \overline{\frac{1}{12}} ; ~ \overline{\frac{1}{24}} \text { ) }
\end{align*}
$$

3b)
and in the form er case it is a trapezo-thom bic dodecahedron, w ith 14 vertioes:


Figure 1. A ; B ;:::; F are centers of spheres above or below the x y plane; a;b;:::;f, and O, are in the plane.

N eighboring spheres of diam eter 1 centered at the sites 3) or 4) actually touch. To dealw ith densities below $d_{c}$ it is convenient to shrink the spheres rather than $m$ ove the centers. W thout loss of generality we need only consider ve cases of pairs of neighboring spheres as follow s. A s noted above, the spheres in the $z=2=3$ plane are an -plane, and those in the $z=0$ plane are a -plane. The ve cases of pairs of spheres can then be chosen $w$ th centers at: 0 and $b$, w th the $z=2=3$ plane being either or ; or centered at $O$ and $A^{+}$w ith one of three possibilities:
for the $\mathrm{z}=2=3$ plane and for the $\mathrm{z}=4=3$ plane, or for the $\mathrm{z}=2=3$ plane and for the $z=4=3$ plane, or for both the $z=2=3$ plane and the $z=4=3$ plane. A gain, our aim is to free up such a pair of spheres, leaving their environm ent frozen in place, and com pute the volum e in $R^{6}$ available to the centers of the pair.

Im agine rst that only the sphere at $O$ is freed up from its lattioe position, and consider the volum e in $R^{3}$ available for its center. The boundary of this region consists of portions of 12 spherical surfaces $\{$ think of the free sphere rolling on the surface of its frozen neighbors. If the density is close to $d_{c}$ then the region is very sm all, and to low est order in d we can linearize these surfaces, obtaining a sm all copy \{ volum e of order (d) ${ }^{3}$ \{ of the Voronoi cell of the (frozen) central sphere. N ow free up the other sphere also, the one centered at $\mathrm{A}^{+}$. T hen each sphere is in part constrained by its 11 frozen neighbors, but also by the other free sphere. A gain to low est order in $d$ we can assum e that the constraint on each free sphere due to the other free sphere only depends on one degree of freedom, a coordinate along the line separating their frozen centers. In other words, the region available to one of the free spheres is, to low est order in $d$, the polyhedron obtained by $m$ oving inw ard or outward one of the faces of the (sm all) Voronoi cell, sim ultaneously extending the faces that touch the $m$ oving face. At $m$ axim um separation each sphere is then constrained by an 11 -sided polyhedron $\mathrm{P}^{r}$. In Figure 2 we give an analogous 2dim ensional version of this process for a pair of circles freed up from an hexagonal packing.

The (entropic) volum $e V_{S}$ in $R^{6}$ which we want to com pute can then be represented as:

$$
V_{S}=Z_{B_{1} h^{2}}^{Z_{1}^{2}} \quad{ }^{B_{2}} \quad A_{w} d w A_{w} d w
$$

$w$ here $A_{w}$ represents a cross-sectional area of one of these $m$ axim al regions $P^{r}$, cut by a plane $m$ idw ay betw een the two spheres, and $B_{j}$ refers to the end of $P_{j}{ }_{j}$ which is opposite the other free sphere.

W e have determ ined these cross-sectional areas, as follow s. The polyhedron Pr is associated w th the sphere near the origin, and there are four cases to consider: whether the frozen con guration is FCC or HCP \{ note that every other layering would produce the sam e e ect as one of these for this com putation \{ and whether the second sphere is in the $z=0$ plane or the $z=2=3$ plane. The results are as follow $s$.

For three of the cases, nam ely: FCC and the second sphere in the $z=0$ plane, FCC and the second sphere in the $z=2=3$ plane, and HCP and the second sphere in the $z=0$ plane, we get the sam e results:

The fourth case is di erent, HCP and the second sphere in the $z=2=3$ plane:

W e graph these tw o functions $A_{w}$ in $F$ igure 3.

-


Figure 2. Sm all copies of the V oronoi cells of 2 disks, with dashed lines show ing how they extend when the centers of the disks separate.


Figure 3. The 2 area functions of 6) and 7). They overlap for $w \quad 1=6$.

It only rem ains to com pute $V_{S}$ from 5) for each of the ve distinct cases of pairs of neighboring spheres. $W$ e have done this and obtained the follow ing results.

It is immediate from 6) that the two cases in which the second sphere is also in the $z=0$ plane $w$ ill have the sam e value, and that this value $w$ ill.be the same if the $z=4=3$ and $z=2=3$ planes are both $\left\{\right.$ for instance $F C C$; this value of $V_{S}$ is $(467=960)\left[2(d)^{3}={ }^{2}\right] \quad 0: 48646\left[2(d)^{3}={ }^{2}\right]$.

If the second sphere is in the $\mathrm{z}=2=3$ plane, the $\mathrm{z}=4=3$ plane is and the $\mathrm{z}=$ $2=3$ plane is $\left\{\right.$ for instance HCP $\left\{\right.$ then $V_{S}=(908179=1866240)\left[2(\mathrm{~d})^{3}={ }^{2}\right]$ $0: 48664\left[2(d){ }^{3}={ }^{2}\right.$ ].

Finally, if the second sphere is in the $z=2=3$ plane, the $z=4=3$ plane is and the $z=2=3$ plane is , then $V_{S}=(1814587=3732480)\left[2(d)^{3}={ }^{2}\right]$ $0: 48616\left[2(d){ }^{3}={ }^{2}\right.$ ].

These results prove our assertion on the optim ality of the HCP layering. They also allow us to quantify the entropy di erence between HCP and FCC.Each o layer \bond" in the HCP con guration has entropy $\log (908179=907848)$ greater than in the FCC con guration. H alfofthis di erence is associated w ith each sphere. H ow ever, each sphere has 6 nearest neighbors in di erent layers, so the H C P entropy per sphere is $3 \log (908179=907848) \quad 0: 0011$ greater than the entropy of the FCC (and $m$ ore for other layerings).

## III. Sum m ary

O ur goalwas to com pare the entropies of certain fam ilies of perturbations of the perfect densest pack ings of unit spheres. W e start w ith packings obtained from the densest packings, view ed as consisting of two dim ensional hexagonal layers, by hom ogeneously low ering the density \{ for instance by uniform ly shrinking the size of the spheres. From these various starting points \{ nam ely the various layerings, including FCC and HCP, which are lower density versions of the densest packings \{ we m ake two assum ptions. F irst we look only for tem $s$ of low est order in the deviation of density from densest packing. A nd second, we only consider those
perturbations obtained by loosening isolated pairs ofneighboring spheres from their lattice positions. C learly the latter is our only nontrivial assum ption. O ur result is that perturbations of the HCP structure have the largest entropy, in contradiction with [9] in which it is claim ed that the contributions from nearest neighbor spheres alone yields a preference for FCC .
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