Dynamics of Molecular Motors with Finite Processivity on Heterogeneous Tracks

Yariv Kafri, David K. Lubensky $^{\sharp}$ and David R. Nelson Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138 and

BioMaPS Institute, Rutgers University, Piscataway NJ 08854 and Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies, Murray Hill, NJ 07974
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

The dynamics of molecular motors which occasionally detach from a heterogeneous track like DNA or RNA is considered. Motivated by recent single molecule experiments, we study a simple model for a motor moving along a disordered track using them ical energy while an external force opposes its motion. The motors also have nite processivity, i.e., they can leave the track with a position dependent rate. We show that the response of the system to disorder in the hopping or rate depends on the value of the external force. For most values of the external force, strong disorder causes the motors which survive for long times on the track to be localized at preferred positions. However, near the stall force, localization occurs for any amount of disorder. Existence of localized states near the top of the band implies a stretched exponential contribution to the decay of the survival probability. To obtain these results, we study the complex eigenvalue spectrum of the time evolution operator. A similar spectral analysis also provides a very e cient method for studying the dynamics of motors with in nite processivity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Single molecule experiments which study molecular motors provide a powerful tool for understanding their function [1]. By applying a mechanical force, one can often discern details of their reaction steps. For example, a recent paper considered the motion of a kinesin moving along a microtubule under the in uence of a force opposing its motion [2]. By measuring the relationship between the applied force, F, and the velocity of the kinesin, v, at dierent ATP concentrations it was possible to infer that the chemical reaction cycle contains at least one load dependent transition.

M ore recently, it has been possible to study, using similar techniques, the motion of RNA polymerase (RNAp) [3,4], DNA polymerase (DNAp) [5], helicases [6] and -exonuclease [7]. In contrast to kinesin and myosin, which move along hom ogeneous polymer laments, these motors move along DNA, which is inherently a heterogeneous track, with the energy landscape determined by the nucleotide sequence [8]. Indeed, the dynamics of these motors seems far richer than that of kinesin [2] or, say, myosin V [9], which move along periodic laments. Theoretically, it is expected that heterogeneous and hom ogeneous (or periodic) tracks can give rise to very dierent motor dynamics. On long time and large length scales the motion of motors moving along a hom ogeneous track is described well by a random walker moving along a tilted potential. In contrast, the motion of molecular motors which use them ical energy to move along disordered laments is described by a random walker moving on a random forcing energy landscape [10,11]. The energy dierence between two points separated by moucleotides scales as $\frac{1}{10}$. The large energy barriers implied by such landscapes lead to anom alous dynamics [12] when the overall tilt of the energy landscape is small; the displacement of the motor grows as a sublinear power of time. For molecular motors this corresponds to applying an external force strong enough to place the system near the stall point of the motor.

The theories described above do not treat the e ect on the dynam ics of a (position-dependent) detachment probability of motors from the track. We will refer to models where no unbinding of the motor from the track is allowed as \in nite processivity" models. In this paper we study theoretically the eect of a nite processivity on the behavior of molecular motors. Previous work has considered the eect of detachment mainly on the dynamics of many molecular motors or with homogeneous tracks [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. We consider a motor which can leave the polymer on which it is moving but can never rebind to it. This case is relevant to single molecule experiments which follow a specific motor or a dilute concentration of motors on a long DNA track. In such experiments (especially if a background hydrodynamic ow washes away detached motors) the probability of rebinding to the track after detachment is negligible.

We show that when molecularm otors are moving along a homogeneous (orperiodic) lament the long time dynamics of those motors that remain attached is unaltered by detachment events. However, for motors moving along a heterogeneous track this is not the case. For proteins such as RNAp and DNAp that walk along DNA, the detachment rate depends on the monomer on which the motor is located. The disorder in the detachment rates is thus correlated with the disorder in the hopping rates as both are determined by the same DNA sequence. When the detachment rate varies from monomer to monomer, the motors' dynamics can be in unneed by spatially localized eigenfunctions of the evolution operator. For the regime where the displacement of the motor is linear as a function of time, strong disorder causes the last motors which remain attached to the track to stall out before falling on. Moreover, when the displacement of the motor as a function of time is sublinear, any amount of disorder in the hopping on rates causes the last motors which remain attached to the track to halt before falling on. A schematic representation of the resulting hase diagram "for the dynamics is shown in Fig. 1.




FIG. 1: Schem atic behavior of the in uence of disorder in the rates for leaving the track on the dynam ics of the motors. represents the strength of the disorder, measured through the variance of the hopping or rates divided by the square of their mean. Note that when = 0 the motors are always delocalized except at F $_{\text{stall}}$. This is emphasized by the shading. It should be stressed that the notions \localized" and \delocalized here refer to motors which remain on the track for long times.

To show these results we use methods developed in [18,19], in the context of the physics of vortices, and numerically study the eigenvalue spectrum of the non-hermitian evolution operator for the probability distribution of the motor. As will be shown, the characteristics of this operator's spectrum (which include localized and delocalized states as well as a mobility edge) allow the long time, large length-scale dynamics to be obtained in a straightforward manner. Interestingly, the dynamics of motors on heterogeneous tracks with nite processivity is similar to the non-hermitian statistical mechanics arising in models of vortex physics [18, 19].

To support these results further we also study analytically a toy model which consists of a directed walker among traps with a broad distribution of release times. The model was studied for the case of in nite processivity in [12]. We show that in the in nite processivity case the model yields the same spectrum as observed in the numerics. Moreover, we show that for a motor with nite processivity, when the corresponding in nite processivity model shows sublinear drift, any amount of disorder in the hopping or rates leads to localization of the eigenfunction, consistent with our numerical results.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II the model we study is introduced and known results for the in nite processivity limit are reviewed. In Section III the in nite processivity model is analyzed using the eigenvalue spectrum of the evolution operator. It is shown that the long time, large length—scale behavior of the model can be extracted from the properties of the spectrum. In Section IV we study the spectral properties of an evolution operator that takes account of detachment of motors from the track and their physical implications. Specifically, the implications for measurements of the probability of noting a motor on the track as a function of time are discussed. Finally, in Section V the analysis of the toy model is presented.

II. THE MODEL

The model we consider was introduced and studied in the limit of in nite processivity in [11]. It is inspired by previous models of molecular motors [10, 20, 21, 22, 23], but is simple enough so that exact solutions can be found, in the limit of in nite processivity, with and without disorder. The model is dened on a discrete lattice, x = 0;1;2:::, with distinct a (even) and b (odd) sites and a distance a_0 between lattice points. A monomer of the track (a nucleotide, say) is taken to be of size $2a_0$. The arrangement is shown schematically in Figs. 2 and 3. To model the two internal states of the model we take even sites to have an energy " = 0 while odd sites have an energy " = ". The transition rates depicted in Fig. 3 take the form

$$w_a^! = (e^{-T} + !)e^{-T} = 2T$$
 $w_b^! = (+!)e^{f-2T}$
 $w_a^! = (e^{-T} + !)e^{-T} = 2T$
 $w_b^! = (e^{-T} + !)e^{-T} = 2T$
 $w_b^! = (e^{-T} + !)e^{-T} = 2T$

(1)

where we have set the Boltzm ann constant to be $k_B=1.N$ ote that there are two parallel channels for the transitions. The rst, represented by contributions containing and 0 , arise from utilization of the chem ical energy . The chem ical energy di erence could be, for example, a result of an excess concentration of NTP's (or just ATP) with respect to its therm alequilibrium value. The second channel, represented by the term scontaining! and! 0 , correspond to them altransitions unassisted by chem ical energy. In addition, the externally applied force F, with $f=Fa_0$, biases the motion. To model nite processivity we add rates w_0^a and w_0^b corresponding to the detachment from the track at even and odd sites respectively.




FIG. 2: Setup modelled. The motor is moving from the end to the + end, driven by hydrolysis of nucleotide tri-phosphate. A force is pulling on the motor in the opposite direction. The track shown is made up of two types of monomers (depicted as shaded and white areas). A lithough this schematic suggests a microtubule with disorder in the protein constituents, we actually have in mind motors moving on DNA or RNA templates, with four distinct nucleotides. E laborations which make our model more realistic at a microscopic level should not a ect the predictions for long time, large length-scale dynamics.




FIG. 3: G raphical representation of the model for molecular motors. The distinct even and odd sites are denoted by a and b respectively.

Before turning to the study of nite processivity we review some of the results which are known for the in nite processivity \lim it [11]. We show later that these results may be reproduced by studying the spectral properties of the evolution operator of the probability distribution. It is the spectral method that will allow us to determine the dynamics most readily when the processivity is nite. In the homogeneous case (; 0 ;! and! 0 independent of position), when no disorder is present the model is described on long times and large length-scales by a random walker moving along a potential with an overall tilt between two even sites which is given by

$$E = T \ln \frac{w_a w_b}{w_a! w_b!}$$

$$= T \ln \frac{(+!)(^0e^{-T} + !^0)}{(e^{-T} + !)(^0 + !^0)} + 2f:$$
(2)

We refer to such an energy landscape as an excitive energy landscape. It is an alternative description of the dynam ics associated with the rates of Eq. 1, which in general will not satisfy detailed balance since they describe nonequilibrium processes. Note that when f = 0 and the chemical potential dierence = 0, one has E = 0 and no net motion is generated. Also, when there is directional symmetry in the transition rates = 0, ! = ! 0 (recting directional symmetry in the DNA track) and f = 0 one has E = 0 even when = 00. Absent this symmetry, chemical energy can be converted to motion and an excitive tilted potential is generated. Similar conditions for biased motion have been shown to exist for continuum models [22, 23]. The exect of the externally applied force is $\sin p \ln p$ to change the overall tilt in the potential. Thus, for motors moving along a homogeneous (or periodic) polymer, one expects the velocity to change continuously as f is changed (see Fig. 4).

For motors moving on heterogeneous laments the situation is very dierent. Here the set of parameters fpg = f : 0; ! ; ! 0; "g is drawn from a random distribution. Each set of parameters describes the dynamics on a given type of monomer. Using the results presented above it is easy to see using equation (2) that the totale ective energy change after monomers is given by

$$E (m) = X^{n}$$
 $E (1) :$ (3)

Here, each E (m) corresponds to an independent set of values of fpg drawn random ly for the mth monomer. A ssuming

that E (m) is drawn from a random distribution with a nite variance, the e ective energy landscape corresponds to a biased random walk. Such energy landscapes are typically referred to as random forcing energy landscapes.

The above scenario applies as long as the chem ical potential dierence 60. In the case when =0 it is easy to see that E (m) = 0 unless we allow for the energy at even sites also to vary and take the value "(m) [24]. In this case we obtain

$$E (m) = 2fm + "(m);$$

$$(4)$$

corresponding to a random energy landscape provided "(m) has only short range correlations.

The dynam ical behaviors of random walkers in random forcing or random energy landscapes have been studied in detail [12, 25]. Using the results of Derrida [25] one can calculate the transition points between the dierent regimes including the elect of random ness for our model [11]. Upon denoting averages over the disorder rates by an overbar one individual individual ness for our model [11].

Regim e I: Ordinary biased di usion occurs when

$$f < \frac{T}{4} \ln \frac{w_a w_b}{w_a^! w_b^!};$$
 (5)

or

$$f > \frac{T}{4} \ln \frac{w_a^! w_b^!}{w_a w_b}^2;$$
 (6)

where the subscript f = 0 denotes that f has been set to zero when evaluating the average. In this regime hxi = vt and $hx^2i - hxf = 2D$ t for long times, where the angular brackets denote an average over di erent them all histories of a particle starting from a particular point. A nother way of stating this result is that for large times the calculated velocity and di usion constant, de ned by the above relations, de not depend on the size of the time de window, d over d which they are evaluated. This results only holds for biases satisfying Eqs. (5) and (6).

Regime II: The calculated di usion constant now depends on the size of the time window, t_W , over which it is evaluated. The velocity does not. Namely, in this region hxi = vt and hx²i hxf̂ t̂ = , where 1 < (f) < 2. In the in nite t_W limit the di usion constant, D $\lim_{t_W} \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln \left(t_W \right)^2 i \right) \ln \left(t_W \right)^2 \right) = t_W$, diverges. This anomaly occurs in the ranges

$$\frac{T}{4} \ln \frac{w_a w_b}{w_a^! w_b^!} < f \frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{w_a w_b}{w_a^! w_b^!};$$
(7)

and

$$\frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{w_{a}^{!} w_{b}^{!}}{w_{a} w_{b}} = f < \frac{T}{4} \ln \frac{w_{a}^{!} w_{b}^{!}}{w_{a} w_{b}} = \vdots$$
(8)

R egim e III: Here both the velocity and the di usion constant are functions of the size of the tim e window t_W , and hxi t and hx²i hx² t², where (f) < 1. In the in nite t_W limit the velocity v_W limit the veloci

$$\frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{w_a w_b}{w_a^! w_b^!} = \int_{f=0}^{f} \frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{w_a^! w_b^!}{w_a w_b} = 0$$
(9)

Sinaidi usion: Exactly at the stall force f_s , hxi = 0 and hx^2i (In (t=)), where is the microscopic time needed to move across one monomer. The \stall force" corresponding to a disordered track is defined by

$$f_{s} = \frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{w_{a}^{!} w_{b}^{!}}{w_{a}^{*} w_{b}^{*}} :$$
 (10)

The resulting behavior as the force is varied is sum m arized qualitatively in Fig. 4 in the limit of an in nite averaging time window t_W . Most notable is the region of forces (region III) overwhich the displacement of the motor is sublinear and the usual long time velocity vanishes. Experiments are performed with nite t_W . The measured velocity then




FIG. 4: Schem atic behavior of the velocity for a heterogeneous linear motor track as a function of the applied force. It is assumed that chem ical forces (from the NTP hydrolysis) lead to a positive velocity in the absence of a force. The anomalous dynamics arises in the vicinity of a stall force dened by Eq. 10. The dierent dynamical regimes dened in the text are denoted in the gure. The striped line on the f-axis denotes the region where anomalous diusion is present.




FIG. 5: The velocity as a function of f=T for di erent values of t_W . Here =T=3 and parameters were chosen with equal probability to be either fpg = f5;1;0:3;1;0:g or fpg = f4;0:1;0:7;1;0:g (see text for notation). The calculated regime of anomalous velocity is 0:5116 < f=T < 0:699. Data incorporates 100 runs (thus averaging over thermal uctuations) for a single realization of the disorder.

behaves in region III as t_W^{-1} , sm oothing the curve shown in Fig. 4, and naturally giving rise to a convex shape of the velocity-force curve. This convexity is demonstrated in Fig. 5 where the model was simulated and the velocity measured using dierent averaging time windows t_W^{-1} (see Appendix A for details). As is evident in this gure, the larger t_W^{-1} , the closer is the velocity-force curve to that shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 6 typical trajectories of the motor on the track are shown for dierent values of f=T for a single realization of the disorder. Plateaus and jumps appear as one moves closer to the region of anom alous displacement, and the dynamics is controlled by deep minima in the elective energy landscape with rapid transitions between them. Such motion is typical of random forcing energy landscapes [12].

III. IN FIN IT E PROCESSIVITY: A SPECTRAL ANALYSIS APPROACH

The behavior of the in nite processivity limit, as summarized above, is rather well understood. In this section we show that the dynamics with in nite processivity may also be deduced by an alternative approach: the spectral properties of the evolution operator of the probability density are exploited to deduce the long time, large length-scale properties of the model. The important features of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions which characterize the long time dynamics are expected to be insensitive to details of the model. The method has previously been applied in the study of the physics of vortex lines in superconductors [18, 19] and population dynamics [26, 27]. Earlier studies considered the spectral properties of a random walker on a random forcing energy landscape after \gauging away" the external bias, making the evolution operator herm it in [12]. However, as we will show, a more direct approach reveals in portant features (such as complex eigenvalue spectra) which are not easily observed in the approach of [12].




FIG. 6: Typical motor trajectories shown for the same parameters as Fig. 5. The values of f=T are indicated in the gure. Note that the plateaus and jumps become more pronounced as fincreases toward the stall force $f_{\rm s}$ / 0.56

A lthough here the approach is used to reproduce known results, it m ight prove useful in the study of m ore complicated models with an in nite processivity where an analytic solution is not possible. In addition, the spectral approach to the in nite processivity model will be very useful as a benchmark once we address the question of nite processivity.

In what follows, we will offen consider a coarse-grained elective dynamics in which each new lattice site represents a unit cell, containing one a and one bisite, of the original lattice (Eq. 1 and Fig. 3). The lattice spacing in this new coarse-grained model is $a = 2a_0$. Below we is review the spectrum (and the associate dynamics) of the trivial homogeneous model before turning to the disordered one.

${\tt A}$. ${\tt H}$ om ogeneous ${\tt M}$ odel

As discussed above the homogeneous problem is well described on long time-scales and large length-scales by a random walker moving along a tilted potential. To see this from the model's spectrum, we rst solve for the probability of being in odd sites and substitute the solution in the equation for the probability of being in even sites. The resulting coarse-grained equation [11], in the long-time limit, for the probability, P (x;t), of being at site x at time t is given by

$$(w_b^! + w_b^!) \theta_t P(x;t) = w_a^! w_b^! P(x 2;t) + w_a^! w_b^! P(x+2;t)$$

 $(w_a^! w_b^! + w_a^! w_b^!) P(x;t) :$ (11)

In the continuum lim it, which describes the long time, large length-scale behavior of the model we have

$$\theta_t P(x;t) = D \theta_x^2 P(x;t) \quad v \theta_x P(x;t);$$
 (12)

with $D = a^2 (w_b^! w_a^! + w_b^! w_a^!) = 2 (w_b^! + w_b^!)$ and $v = a (w_b^! w_a^! - w_b^! w_a^!) = (w_b^! + w_b^!)$. Here, as noted above, $a = 2a_0$ is a lattice constant analogous to a unit cell size in conventional solid state physics. We consider a system of size L = N a and perform a Laplace transform in time and a Fourier transform in space so that

$$P(x;t) = X g(k)e^{ikx+(k)t}$$
: (13)

The coe cient g(k) will depend on the initial conditions. If periodic boundary condition are imposed on a lattice of size L, the wavevectors k specifying the (delocalized) eigenfunctions $_k$ (x) e^{ikx} are quantized, $k_n = 2$ n=L, n = 0; 1;::. In general, since the evolution operator is non-herm itian eigenvalues m ay be complex. The complex eigenvalues m ust come in complex conjugate pairs to ensure a real probability density P(x;t) [19]. The eigenvalue spectrum is given by

$$(k) = D k^2 \quad ivk; \qquad (14)$$

corresponding to di usion with driff. The dependence of the real part of the spectrum on k describes di usion, while the dependence of the imaginary part describes drift with a constant velocity. This identication is possible when periodic boundary conditions allow a current to o win the system even in the o limit of t! 1.

B. Heterogeneous Model

For the heterogeneous model the situation is more subtle, because the Fourier transform cannot be used to diagonalize the evolution operator. Nevertheless, we will show that a numerical analysis of the eigenvalue spectrum can be used to deduce the dynamical properties of the model. The role of the wave numbers in the homogeneous model is taken by winding numbers [28].

The winding number, de ned only for complex eigenfunctions, is given by the number of times the eigenfunction spirals around the origin in the complex plane as it traverses the whole lattice with periodic boundary conditions. For hom ogeneous systems and eigenfunctions which behave like $e^{ik_n x}$ we have $k_n=2$ n=N a, in plying a winding number n. For disordered systems, it can be shown [28] that the winding number increases linearly with the eigenvalue index n which orders the eigenfunctions from the lowest value of j (n) j to the highest. That is, the winding number associated with an eigenvalue of magnitude j (n) j is n. Moreover, if the eigenvalue (n) is in the upper part of the complex plane its winding number is n. Its complex conjugate pair (n) will then have a winding number n. For real eigenvalues the eigenfunctions can be chosen to be real and the winding number is not de ned. In this case, the eigenfunctions can be classied by the number of zeros, as in one dimensional quantum mechanics [29].

The eigenvalue spectrum of the heterogeneous model with periodic boundary conditions can be used to encapsulate the long time dynamical properties of the model. Specifically, the dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues on the winding number signals the properties of the mean square displacement and drift of the motor molecule within our model.

To this end we consider the model of Sec. II with, as before, two types of monomers drawn at random. The set of parameters representing each type of monomer fpg = f; ${}^0;!;!^0;$ "g is chosen with probability 1=2 to be fp₁g = f ${}_1; {}^0;!_1;!^0;$ " ${}_1g$ and with probability 1=2 to be fp₂g = f ${}_2; {}^0;!_2;!^0;$ " ${}_2g$. The chemical potential dierence is assumed to be the same for both types of monomers. A llowing to depend on the type of monomer does not alter the qualitative long time behavior of the model.

We calculated the eigenvalue spectrum of the evolution operator numerically by diagonalizing a matrix with a speci c realization of the disorder (see Appendix B for more details). From the exact solution of the model we expect three dierent regimes. Below a typical spectrum from each of the regimes is shown and examined in detail. Throughout we set T = 1 and use the parameters $fp_1g = f_1; {}_1^0; {}_1^1; {}_1^1; {}_1^0; {}_1^1g = f_0; {}_1^1; {}_1^1; {}_1^1; {}_1^0; {}_1^1g = f_0; {}_1^1; {}_$

Regime I: For f < 0.2256 and f > 0.518 the motion is biased di usion. A typical spectrum of eigenvalues in the complex plane (for f = 0) is shown in Fig. 7. We show only the eigenvalues with the smallest j j because those with larger values are non-universal and are highly dependent on the details of the model used to describe the motion of the motor. The spectrum has two branches in the complex plane. Also, it has no purely real parts (with the exception of f = 0).

For usual di usion with uniform drift in a homogeneous system, as discussed above, $(k) = D \hat{k}$ ivk. The imaginary part of the spectrum corresponds to drift, the real part to di usion. A similar behavior might be expected in our heterogeneous model for (k) where k is related to the winding number as $k = k_n = 2$ n=N a. This can be seen in a plot of the real and imaginary parts of the spectrum as a function of the eigenvalue index as dened above. Since in this regime the dynamics is biased directly usion we expect Im ((n)) / n, while Re $((n)) / \hat{n}$.

To test this hypothesis, we order the eigenvalues according to their magnitude and plot Im ((n)) and Re((n)). Fig. 8 shows Im ((n)) for small n. One can see that indeed the slope in linear. Fig. 9 shows Re((n)) for small n on a log-log scale along with a line Re((n)) = Ar² with A some constant. At small n indeed Re((n)) / n^2 for more than a decade.




FIG .7: The eigenvalues obtained for f=0. Here the system size is N $\frac{=4500}{\Re e()}$. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. In the region near the origin we expect that Im () /




FIG.8: The imaginary part of the eigenvalue, Im ((n)) for f=0 as a function of n. Here the system size is N=4500. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j.




FIG. 9: The real part of the eigenvalue, Re() for f=0 as a function of the winding number n. Here the system size is N=4500. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. The solid line is the function $A n^2$ with A a constant.




FIG. 10: The eigenvalues obtained for f = 0.25. Here the system size is N = 4500. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. Near the origin we expect that Im () / $\Re e()$ j - , with 1 < < 2.




FIG. 11: The imaginary part of the eigenvalue for f = 0.25 as a function of the winding number n. Here the system size is N = 4500. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j.

R egim e II: Next we turn to look at the region where the di usion constant depends on the size of the time averaging window used to evaluate it, while the velocity does not. For the parameters used in the numerics this occurs for force windows given by 0.2256 < f < 0.2882 and 0.4555 < f < 0.518. A typical spectrum of eigenvalues in the complex plane is shown in Fig. 10. Again we concentrate on the small j jpart of the spectrum and examine Im ((n)) and Re((n)). Fig. 11 presents a plot of Im ((n)) for smalln. The expected dependence on n is linear. A careful analysis of the curve shows that Im ((n)) can be twell to An + B \vec{n} for smalln (here we have used that fact that Im ((n)) is expected to be an odd function of n). The coe cient B decreases as the size of the system studied is increased. Such a correction is expected if the time to reach the asymptotic behavior hxi vt is so large that it is comparable to the relaxation time of the system. For larger systems the correction will become elss important and the asymptotic behavior will be observed more easily. Fig. 12 shows Re((n)) for small n on a log-log scale along with the lines An and B \vec{n}^2 with A and B some constants. At smalln, Re((n)) indeed does not behave as \vec{n}^2 . It shows a smaller slope in accordance with a behavior consistent n with 1 < < 2. In fact, from naive dimensional considerations (behaves as 1=t while x n) this is the behavior expected when $1 \times t^2 = t$

R egim e III: Finally, we turn to look at the region where the velocity is exectively zero as $\frac{1}{8}$! 1 and the disciplinary is again anomalous. For the parameters used in our numerical analysis this regime occurs for 0.2882 < f < 0.518. A spectrum of eigenvalues in the complex plane is shown in Fig. 13. Again we concentrate on the small j jpart of the spectrum. To get a clear picture one needs to plot both Im ((n)) and Re((n)). The positive part Im ((n)) is plotted on a log-log plot in Fig. 14. Here the displacement of the particle is expected to behave as twith < 1. This leads to an expected dependence Im ((n)) / n^{1} . A reference line An with A a constant is plotted for comparison.




FIG.12: The real part of the eigenvalue, Re() for f=0.25 as a function of n. Here the system size is N=4500. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. The function An and Bn² with A and B constants are plotted for reference. From a to the slope of our numerical data we nd = 1:915 0:004.




FIG. 13: The eigenvalue spectrum obtained for f = 0.31, where both di usion and drift are anomalous. Here the system size is N = 4500. Shown are the 40 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. Near the origin, we expect Im () / Re() j.

C learly, Im ((n)) behaves as expected.

Fig. 15 shows Re((n)) for small n on a log-log scale along with a line Ar 2 with A some constant. As can be seen at small n indeed Re((n)) does not behave as n^2 . Here a similar argument as before leads one to expect Re((n)) / r^{1-} for small n, consistent with the numerics.

We comment that the analysis is only possible not too far from the transition point into regime III.Deep inside the region with anomalous velocity, the small j j spectrum becomes very noisy due to nite size elects. A nalysis of the spectrum then becomes dicult due to these strong sample-to-sample uctuations. Hence we have not attempted an analysis in the Sinai dicusion regime, where the energy landscape is nearly horizontal.

IV. FINITE PROCESSIVITY

A. Hom ogeneous M odel

As discussed above, the hom ogeneous model is well described on long time scales and large length scales by a random walker moving along a tilted potential. The unbinding of motors from the track adds a non-conserving term




FIG. 14: The imaginary part of the eigenvalue for f = 0.31 as a function of the winding number n. Here the system size is N = 4500. Shown are the 40 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. A t to Im () / jnj , over only one decade, gives = 0.6 0.1. The function An with A a constant is plotted for reference.




FIG .15: The real part of the eigenvalue, Re() for f=0.31 as a function of n. Here the system size is N=4500. Shown are the 40 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j. A to Re() / n^{1} , over only one decade, gives = 0.6 0.1. The function An with A a constant is plotted for reference.

to the equation for the probability density. In a continuum description, one now has

$$\theta_t P(x;t) = D \theta_x^2 P(x;t) \quad v \theta_x P(x;t) \quad w_0 P(x;t)$$
 (15)

In terms of the microscopic model as before one has D = a^2 ($w_b^!$ $w_a^!$ + w_b^* w_a^*)=2 ($w_b^!$ + w_b^*) and v = a ($w_b^!$ $w_a^!$ + w_b^*). In addition, here $w_o = (w_o^b)$ ($(w_a^l) + w_a^a$) + $(w_b^a) + (w_b^b) + (w_b^b)$ (where $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$) and $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$ and $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$ (where $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$) and $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$ (where $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$) and $(w_b^l) + (w_b^l) + (w_b^l)$

Again, we consider the model with periodic boundary conditions and perform a Laplace transform in time and a Fourier transform in space. The eigenvalue spectrum is given by $(k) = D k^2$ ivk w_0 . When $w_0 = 0$ the motion is discussion with drift. However, when $w_0 > 0$ the probability density decays exponentially to the empty track state P(x;t) = 0 with a typical time scale $1=w_0$. Note, however, that the eigenfunctions are identical to the in nite processivity case. In fact, the probability density of the motor can be written as

$$P(x;t) = e^{w_{off}t}P_{w_{off}=0}(x;t)$$
: (16)

Up to a rescaling of the probability density the time evolution is unaltered. That is, the motors which remain on the track are una ected by a constant hopping or rate.

B. Heterogeneous Model

If the hopping o rates are uniform along the track, but the remaining parameters are chosen random ly, w_0^a and w_0^b are the same for all types of monomers, and it is straightforward to see that the elect is the same as for a homogeneous system: the dynamics of the motors which remain on the track are unallected by the non-conservation.

We now show that, when random ness in the rates for hopping o the track is introduced into the system, the dynam ics of the motors which remain on the track can be altered in a dramatic way. The eigenfunctions of the evolution operator are a ected by the heterogeneous hopping o rates as well as by the sequence heterogeneity as it a ects the local di usion constant and drift velocity. As we shall see, disorder in the hopping o rates has a profound e ect on the behavior of motors which remain on the track. We stress that, with applications to molecular motors in mind, the disorder in the detachment rates we consider is correlated with the local hopping rates.

As for the conserving heterogeneous model with its random force landscape, we study the dynamics by considering the spectral properties of the evolution operator of the model. The elect of detachment is included by setting the rates w_o^a and w_o^b , corresponding to leaving the track at even and odd sites respectively, to be non-zero and site dependent. We not two types of behavior which depend on the drift properties of the motor for the corresponding conserving model. When the drift is linear in time the last motors to be left on the track localize only beyond a critical disorder strength in the hopping of rates. Similar behavior arises in the physics of vortices [18, 19] and in population dynamics [27]. The behavior when the displacement of the motor for the conserving model is sublinear (i.e. when it is not possible to define a drift velocity) is different. Now, the last motors to remain on the track are always localized for any strength of the disorder.

1. Ballistic displacem ent

First, we consider the regime where the displacement of the motor in the conserving model is linear as a function of time (>1). We will show that when the disorder in the rates of leaving the track is strong enough the eigenvalues with the smallest j jbecome purely real. This is a regime of localized eigenfunctions and corresponds to motors with zero drift velocity. This feature is present over the whole region where >1.

To explore this behavior, we have studied the eigenvalue spectrum of the model numerically for systems of size 4500. In Fig. 16 results are shown for the case f=0 (> 2). However, the localization elects which are of interest to us here remain unchanged for all values of f such that > 1. The parameters used are the same as used previously in the paper augmented by $w_o^a = 0.01$ and $w_o^b = 0.01$ ($(w_o^a) = 0.02$) and $(w_o^b) = 0.02$) for the parameter set $(x_o^a) = 0.02$) for the parameter set $(x_o^a) = 0.02$. As can be seen, except for the lowest j jeigenvalue, all eigenvalues have an imaginary part. Thus, the motors are biased to move across the lattice in a positive direction. In Fig. 17 we show the spectrum with $(x_o^a) = 0.01$ and $(x_o^a) = 0.01$ ($(x_o^a) = 0.01$) and $(x_o^a) = 0.01$ ($(x_o^a) = 0.01$) for the set $(x_o^a) = 0.01$, which corresponds to a larger disorder strength. Here eigenvalues beyond a certain mobility edge become real, in plying that the long lasting motors are described by localized states [19, 26]. Note, that the disorder in the hopping or rates is correlated with the disorder in the hopping rates them selves, as required if both are due to the same underlying heterogeneous polynucleotide sequence.

The transition between moving and localized motors can be understood, in the case of ballistic displacement, by adapting the ideas of Ref. [30]. We consider a simplied model for the motors. The energy landscape consists of two slopes s_1 and s_2 (corresponding to two types of monomers). The velocity on a track with a slope s_1 (s_2) is denoted be v_1 (v_2). The rate for leaving the track on a region with a slope s_1 is assumed to be w, while the rate for leaving the track on a region with slope s_2 is 0. Note that as before the local detachment rates are correlated with the local biases.

Next, compare two \survival" strategies for the motor in the long time limit. In the rst it spreads across the lattice probing regions with slope s_1 and s_2 . In the other it remains in regions with slope s_2 where detachment rates are zero. The probability of staying in such regions can be estimated by solving for a random walker moving on a tilted potential of some size with absorbing boundary conditions at both ends. One nds [30] that this probability behaves as

$$P_{stay}$$
 (t) $e^{v_2^2 t = 4D}$; (17)

where D is the di usion coe cient on a track with slope §. The probability of survival of a particle moving across the lattice can also be evaluated. One expects

$$P_{\text{m ove}}(t) = e^{\text{cw t}};$$
 (18)

where c is a constant which will depend on the disorder averaged velocity. For large enough w, the particles that choose the localized strategy clearly will have a better chance of survival. One therefore expects that as the disorder




FIG. 16: The eigenvalue spectrum for f = 0 and > 2 with rates for hopping of the track as specified in the text. Except for the largest eigenvalue, all eigenvalues have an imaginary part. All eigenfunctions, moreover describe extended states with a well-defined winding number. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j and the system size is N = 4500.




FIG.17: The eigenvalue spectrum for f = 0 with rates for hopping o the track as specified in the text. As can be seen the eigenvalues with the lowest value of j jbecome localized. Shown are the 300 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j jand the system size is N = 4500.

in the hopping o rates is increased a transition from localized states to delocalized states will occur at the high end of the spectrum (corresponding to smallk and smallwinding numbers). Note that the argument would not be altered if the rate for hopping o from negative slope regions were non-zero, although, the transition point between the two regimes would be shifted.

Finally we comment that this argument could also be used for a model with a random energy landscape (see Eq. 4). This case is very similar to problems which have been studied in the context of the vortex physics [18, 19] and population dynamics [26]. For completeness, Appendix C presents eigenvalue spectra, for weak and strong disorder, in that case.

2. Sublinear displacem ent

A typical spectrum in the regime of sublinear displacement, < 1, is shown in Fig. 18. Note the small band of localized states with real eigenvalues near the top of the spectrum (small j j). Again the parameters used are the same as used previously with the falling from the track rates $w_o^a = 0.01$ and $w_o^b = 0.01$ ($w_o^a = 0.02$ and $w_o^b = 0.02$) for the set fp₁g (fp₂g). Note, that even for these small values of the falling or rates localized states appear. This exect persists even for falling or rates smaller by more than an order of magnitude and for various values of fine




FIG. 18: The eigenvalue spectrum for f = 0.31 with rates for hopping of the track as specified in the text. The small band of real eigenvalues at the top of the spectrum characterizes localized states. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j and the system size is again N = 4500.




FIG. 19: The eigenvalue spectrum for f = 0.31 with rates for hopping of the track as specified in the text. The spectrum is qualitatively similar to that of Fig. 18 with weak disorder. The band of real eigenvalues at the top of the spectrum characterizes localized states and now contains, as expected, more states than Fig. 18. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j and the system size is again N = 4500.

this regim e as long as large enough systems were studied. For comparison Fig. 19 shows the spectrum in the case of strong disorder. Here $w_0^a = 0.01$ and $w_0^b = 0.01$ ($w_0^a = 0.06$ and $w_0^b = 0.06$) for the parameter set fp₁g (fp₂g). As always in this paper, the two parameter sets are assumed to occur with equal probability. As can be seen from the gure, while details of the spectrum are dierent from the weak disorder case shown in Fig. 18 they are qualitatively the same.

3. Im plications for the probability of nding a motor on the track as a function of time

Next, we discuss the elect of the localized states occurring near the top (low j) of the spectrum on the probability of inding a motor on the track as a function of time. It may be possible to reveal these localized states by looking at the density decay as a function of time of a dilute concentration of unorescently labeled motors placed on a track. The probability of inding a motor on the track as a function of time, $P_{\rm s}$ (t), could then be monitored by looking at the decay of the unorescent signal as a function of time (possibly averaging over several experiments).

The implication of localized states for the probability P_s (t) has already been considered in the context of random walkers subject to the in-uence of random traps [30]. The arguments are unaltered for the case of a random forcing energy landscape, and here we consider a simple version. A more detailed proof can be carried out along the lines of Ref. [26]. We consider a system where the hopping and detachment rates can only assume two values. We are interested in the behavior in the long-time limit. When localized states exist the eigenfunctions are strongly peaked in regions where the probability of detachment from the track is small. The probability for such a region of length 1 to occur behaves as e^{-1} where is a constant. On the other hand the time to leave the region behaves as $e^{-v\frac{2}{2}t=4D+-D-t=1^2}$, where is a constant of order unity and we have included higher order corrections to Eq. 17 associated with the inite size 1 of the region. Note that we have used the fact that the detachment rate is correlated with the local hopping rate so that the behavior inside the region is disusive irrespective of the value of . Sum ming over the contribution from regions of discrete lengths one obtains

$$P_s(t) = e^{v_2^2 t = 4D - (t = t_0)^{1-3}}$$
: (19)

Here t_0 depends on the constants and and D the di usion coe cient. As expected the leading behavior is still exponential. However, a signature of the localized states appears in the correction which has a stretched exponential form.

In contrast, when no localized states exist the behavior is dominated by the detachment rates. In that case no di usive corrections to the detachment rate from a region of size lare present. The stretched exponential correction to the decay of P_s (t) displayed in Eq. (19) will be absent.

In sum mary, in the region of sublinear displacement one expects a stretched exponential behavior to always be present at long times when the probability of noting a motor on the track is monitored. In the ballistic displacement regime the correction will be present only for strong enough disorder in the detachment rates.

V. TOY MODEL

The understanding of the dynam ics of random walkers (with in nite processivity) on random forcing energy land-scapes has been enhanced by a simple toy model introduced by Bouchaud et. al. [12]. The model builds on the fact that at nite tilt the sojourn time at any site is found to have a broad distribution () $^{(1+)}$ for large. This behavior suggests that the dynam ics could be m in icked by a directed walk between traps with a broad release time distribution. Speci cally, the model consists of a particle moving unidirectionally on a lattice with hopping rates W_k between site k 1 and k which are drawn from a probability distribution which satis es W \overline{A} \overline{W} \overline{A} \overline{W} \overline{W}

Here we analyze the model from a somewhat dierent perspective and show that indeed it yields for the in nite processivity limit the types of spectra described in Section III. Moreover, we study the nite processivity model in the limit of weak disorder in the rates for leaving the track. Here the rate for leaving the track at site k, w_k , is assumed to be uncorrelated with the hopping rate W_k . If one views the directed model as a coarse-grained version of the original undirected model, then one expects that the hopping rates W_k are determined by the depth of the traps in the energy landscape. In contrast, since the particles spend most of their time near the bottom of the traps, the coarse-grained orates in the directed model will depend primarily on the local orates near the trap bottoms in the original model, suggesting that the w_k and W_k should indeed be uncorrelated. With this simplication, we show that similar to the more complex models discussed earlier in this paper, any strength of disorder in the rates for leaving the lattice causes the eigenfunctions describing the long-time behavior of the model to be localized for $w_k = 1$. In contrast for $w_k = 1$, weak disorder leaves the eigenfunctions delocalized.

It is convenient to st consider a more general model with non-zero rates for leaving the track. We derive an equation for the eigenvalue spectrum of the model and then analyze the spectra separately in the in nite and nite processivity limits.

The time evolution of the model is described by the set of master equations

$$\frac{dP_k(t)}{dt} = W_k P_{k-1} \qquad W_{k+1} P_k \qquad W_{k+1} P_k :$$
 (20)

A firer a Laplace transform, the corresponding equations for the eigenfunctions is then

$$(+ W_{k+1} + W_{k+1})P_k () = W_k P_{k-1} ();$$
 (21)

which yields a recursion relation for the P_k () which can be readily solved. The possible eigenvalues — are then determ ined by imposing periodic boundary conditions, yielding

$$1 = \frac{\sqrt[M]{1}}{\frac{W_{i}}{(+W_{i}+W_{i})}} \exp(N \ln \frac{W}{+W+W})$$
(22)

where the last line holds in the lim it when the system size N is taken to in nity and the overline denotes as before average over disorder. C are must be taken when is real. In this case the recursion relation might allow for some + W $_{k+1}+$ W $_k$ to be zero. In that case P $_k$ () can assume any value (to be set by norm alization), while P $_k$ 1 () = 0. Such eigenfunction will be referred to as \localized", with a detailed justication given below. When is complex, we refer to the eigenfunctions as delocalized.

According to Eq. (22), we need to calculate

$$R () = \ln \frac{W}{+W+W}$$
 (23)

Since we are considering the long time behavior and small rates for leaving the track we consider the limit j + w j = 1. It will be useful to hold we keep for now and average over it later. We denote the function obtained by averaging only over Win Eq. (23) by Q (; w). We not that it depends on the value of the exponent as follows:

C ase I: > 2. Here one not beading order in (+ w) that

$$Q(;w) = \frac{1}{W}(+w) + \frac{1}{W^{2}}(+w)^{2} :$$
 (24)

Case II: 1 < < 2. Here \overline{W}^{2} diverges and one has

$$Q(;w) = \frac{1}{W} (+w) + B(+w);$$
 (25)

where $B = \overline{A} = \sin()$. Here, \overline{A} is the amplitude of the tail of the probability distribution, \overline{W} \overline{A} \overline{W} \overline{W} \overline{A} \overline{W} \overline{W}

$$Q(;w) = B(+w)$$
: (26)

W ith these results at hand we now turn to analyze the in nite processivity lim it.

A. In nite Processivity lim it

In this case we set w = 0 for all sites. To analyze the eigenvalue spectrum we rewrite Eq. (22) in the form

$$R() = 2 \text{ in} = N :$$
 (27)

Consider the solution for the dierent cases

Case I: > 2. Here one has

$$2 \text{ in} = N = \frac{1}{W} + \frac{1}{W^2} - \frac{2}{2} : \tag{28}$$

It is straight forward to solve the quadratic equation for $\$ and realize that Im () / $\$ n while Re() / $\$ n² in agreem ent $\$ w ith the num erics of section III.

Case II: 1 < 2. In this regime one has to solve

$$2 \text{ in=N} = \frac{1}{W} () + B () ;$$
 (29)

where $B = \overline{A} = \sin()$. Again, a straightforward analysis shows that Im() / n while Re() / n in agreement with the numerics.

Case III: < 1. Finally, in this case one has

$$2 \text{ in} = \mathbb{B} () ; \tag{30}$$

which evidently gives $\operatorname{Im}()/\operatorname{n}^{1=}$ and $\operatorname{Re}()/\operatorname{n}^{1=}$.

B. Finite Processivity

Next, we turn to the $\,$ nite processivity case. Here it will be su $\,$ cient to consider only the leading order contribution to R (). To simplify, we study the case where w can take only two values $w_1=w$ and $w_2=0$, which occur with probability p_1 and p_2 . The general case can be analyzed very similarly. This assumption gives, after performing the average over w,

$$R (E) = p_1 Q (E; w) + p_2 Q (E; 0) :$$
 (31)

As in the previous subsection we analyze behavior of the solutions of the equations for $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ and $\$ and $\$ and $\$ and $\$ and $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ and $\$ and $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ analy $\$ and $\$ analy $\$ analy $\$ analy $\$ analy $\$

Case I: > 1. Here we have

$$\frac{1}{W}i(p_1(+w)+p_2) = 2 \text{ ni=N}$$
 (32)

with n an integer. Clearly, to solve the equation must have an imaginary part. (Note that we are missing the dependence of the real part of the solution on n since we neglected higher order terms in). An imaginary part of the eigenvalue implies that delocalized states exist for small disorder in w [19].

Case II: < 1. Here one has

$$B(p_1(+w) + p_2) = \frac{2 \text{ ni}}{N}$$
 (33)

Consider rst the case n=0. In this case the eigenvalue must be real [31] and since the decay of particles from the system can not be faster than e^{wt} one must have w<0. Clearly, such a solution can not exist. Thus, the assumption leading to Eq. (33), that none of the P_k () is zero, fails. As we argued early, P_k () = 0 away from a particular site, can only occur when is real. It is possible to show that in this case the density is peaked near the site where P_k () = 0 decaying exponentially fast as k increases. We conclude that for any strength of disorder in the hopping or rates the eigenfunctions become localized. The lack of solution for cases with n>0 can be proved by expanding around the lowest j j (real) eigenvalue and discovering that a solution is impossible.

A cknow ledgm ents: DKL and DRN thank Thom as Franosch for helpful discussions during the early stages of this investigation.DKL also bene tted from discussion with PietBrouwer and Christopher Mudry. Work by YK and DRN was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant DMR-0231631 and the Harvard Materials Research Laboratory via Grant DMR-0213805.YK was also supported through Grant DMR-0229243.

APPENDIX A:SIM ULATIONS

In the following we describe brie y the procedure we used to simulate the model Eq. (1). To make the simulation e cient we rst normalize the rates so that the largest one is equal one. Then, at each step we choose with equal probability between moving the motor to the right or left on the lattice. Following this choice a random number is drawn from a uniform distribution. The motor is moved in the chosen direction provided the random number is smaller than the corresponding rate. This protocol ensures relaxation to equilibrium in the absence of chemical or mechanics driving forces. Time in the simulations is measured by the number of attempted moves.

APPENDIX B: EVOLUTION OPERATOR

Here we describe the construction of the evolution operator corresponding to model Eq. (1). First consider the case when the rates for leaving the track are set to zero. To do this we write the M aster equation describing the time evolution as

$$Q_t$$
(t) $i = M$ (t) $i;$ (B1)

where $\mbox{$\mathcal{P}$}$ (t) i is a vector with components p_n (t), the probability of being at site n at time t [31]. M is the evolution operator of the model with non-zero components given by




FIG. 20: The eigenvalue spectrum for the random energy model with rates for hopping of the track as specified in the text. Here an example of the weak disorder regime spectrum is shown. Note that the right most part of the Re() axis is displaced slightly below zero. Shown are the 140 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j j and the system size is N = 4500.

The last relation ensures conservation of probability. For a heterogeneous model the rates corresponding to a given type of monomer M $_{i;i+1}$, M $_{i+1;i}$, M $_{i+2;i+1}$, M $_{i+1;i+2}$ with i even are chosen at random. The diagonal terms are then automatically given by Eq. B2. To study the eigenvalue spectrum periodic boundary conditions are imposed. Finally, the hopping o rate from site i, h (given by w_o^a or w_o^b depending on wether i is even or odd) is added through M $_{i;i}$! M $_{i;i}$ h h. The spectra presented in this paper were calculated using MatLab.

APPENDIX C: RANDOM ENERGY MODEL

In this Appendix we discuss the spectrum of the random energy version of the model, described by Eq. 1, which also allows the energy at even sites to vary. The rates are slightly modilled so that they to satisfy local detailed balance with respect to these energies. An example of the relevance of random energy models to biological system can be found in [32], which studies the dillusion of regulatory proteins along DNA. Another realization (although there is no analogy of \falling o ") is translocation of ssDNA through a pore with identical environments on the trans and discussives [11]. As stated in the text, the long time large wavelength properties of this model are very similar to those of a model studied in the context of vortex physics [19]. We use model (1) with T = 1, 0, T = 1 and use the parameters T = 1, T =

In Fig. 20 and 21 the spectra of the evolution operator of the model are shown for weak ($w_o^a = 0.1$ and $w_o^b = 0.1$ ($w_o^a = 0.12$) for the parameter set fp_1g (fp_2g)) and strong disorder ($w_o^a = 0.1$ and $w_o^b = 0.1$) for the set fp_1g (fp_2g) in the detachment rates. As can be seen from the gures strong enough disorder in the hopping or rates, as before, causes eigenvalue with small j jto be real and therefore associated with localized eigenfunctions [19].

^[1] C. Bustam ante, Z. Bryant and S. B. Sm ith, Nature, 42, 423 (2003).

^[2] K. Visscher, M. J. Schnitzer and S. M. Block, Nature, 400, 184 (1999).

^[3] R.J.D avenport, G.J.L.W uite, R. Landick and C. Bustam ante, Science 287, 2497 (2000).

^[4] M.D.Wang, M.J.Schnitzer, H.Yin, R.Landick, J.Gelles and S.M.Block, Science, 282, 902 (1998).

^[5] G.J.L.W uite, S.B.Sm ith, M. Young, D.K eller and C.Bustam ante, Nature. 404, 103 (2000).

^[6] T. Ha, I. Rasnik, W. Cheng, H. P. Babcock, G. H. Gauss, T. M. Lohm an and S. Chu, Nature, 419, 638 (2002).

^[7] T.T.Perkins, R.V.Dalal, P.G.M itsis and S.M.Block, Science, 301, 1914 (2003).

^[8] R ibosom al translation of messenger RNA into a protein sequence provides a similar, albeit more complex, example of a \motor" on a heterogeneous track.

^[9] A.Yildiz, J.N. Forkey, S.A.McKinney, T.Ha, Y.E.Goldman and P.R. Selvin, Science, 300, 2061 (2003).

^[10] T. Harms amd R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett., 79, 2895 (1997).




FIG. 21: The eigenvalue spectrum for the random energy model with rates for hopping of the track as specified in the text. Here an example of the strong disorder regime spectrum is shown. Note that the right most part of the Re() axis is displaced slightly below zero. Shown are the 300 eigenvalues with the lowest value of j jand the system size is N = 4500.

- [11] Y. Kafri, D. K. Lubensky and D. R. Nelson, Biophys. J., 86, 3373 (2004).
- [12] J.P.Bouchaud, A.Com tet, A.Georges and P.LeDoussal, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 201, 285 (1990).
- [13] S. Leibler and D. A. Huse, J. Cell. Biol., 121, 1357 (1993).
- [14] A. A jdari, Europhys. Lett., 31, 69 (1995).
- [15] R. Lipowsky, S. Klumpp and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, 108101 (2001).
- [16] A. Parm eggiani, T. Franosch, E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett., 90, 086601 (2003).
- [17] A. Parm eggiani, F. Julicher, L. Peliti and J. Prost, Europhys. Lett., 56, 603 (2001).
- [18] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, 570 (1996).
- [19] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B, 56, 8651 (1997); 58, 8384 (1998).
- [20] M.E. Fisher and A.B. Kolomeisky, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 96, 6597 (1999).
- [21] A.B.Kolom eisky and M.E.Fisher, Biophys. J., 84, 1642 (2003).
- [22] J. Prost, J.F. Chauwin, L. Peliti and A. A. jdari, 72, 2652 (1994).
- [23] F. Julicher, A. A. jdari and J. Prost, Rev. M. od. Phys., 69, 1269 (1997).
- [24] Note that the same energy landscape could be obtained by decimating over even sites and allowing "to vary.
- [25] B.Derrida, J. Stat. Phys., 31, 433 (1983).
- [26] D.R.Nelson and N.M.Shnerb, Phys. Rev. E, 58, 1383 (1998).
- [27] K.A.Dahmen, D.R.Nelson, N.M.Shnerb, J.Math.Biol. 41, 1 (2000).
- [28] N.M. Shnerb and D.R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 5172 (1998); K.A. Dahmen, D.R. Nelson and N. Shnerb, in The Statistical Mechanics of Biocom plexity, edited by D. Reguera et.al., (Springer, Berlin, 1999) p.144.
- [29] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, 3rd edition (Pergamon, New York, 1977).
- [30] P.G rassberger and I.Procaccia, Phys. Rev. A, 26, 3686 (1982).
- [31] N.G. Van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1992).
- [32] M. Slutsky, M. Kardar and L.A. Mirny, q-bio/0310008.