D istribution of the K ondo Tem perature in M esoscopic D isordered M etals

Stefan K ettem ann¹

 1 I. Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hamburg, Jungiusstra e 9, 20355 Hamburg, Germany

The K ondo temperature of a magnetic impurity in a weakly disordered metal is distributed due to the random ness in the local exchange coupling, and the local electronic density of states (LDOS). We show that in a closed, phase coherent metal particle the resulting distribution of T_K is strongly asymmetric and scales with the mean level spacing. Its width is $2 = T_K$, where is the mean level spacing, and = 1; 2, with, without time reversal symmetry, respectively. Increasing the density of magnetic impurities, the distribution of K ondo temperatures (DKT) is found to become more narrow. Corrections to these results due to Anderson localisation are discussed.

1 Introduction

A localm agnetic impurity is known to change the ground state of a Ferm i liquid due to correlations created by the exchange interaction between its localised spin and the delocalised electrons. As a result, the magnetic impurity spin is screened at zero temperature by the formation of the Kondo singlet with the conduction electrons. Disorder is a ecting the formation of the Kondo singlet in various ways. The distribution of exchange couplings, due to random positioning of the magnetic impurity in the host lattice, directly results in a corresponding distribution of the Kondo temperature 1;2. However, the distribution of LDOS in disordered metals is related nontrivially through an integral equation with the Kondo temperature. In a previous work the distribution of the Kondo temperature (DKT) has been related directly to the distribution of the LDOS at the Fermi energy 3;4. This could have some justication in open systems 5.

Here we rather consider a magnetic impurity in a closed, phase coherent metal particle, where the energy levels E_1 are discrete, a K ondo box $^{6;7}$. Then, the self-consistent equation, determining the K ondo temperature of a magnetic impurity at position r is 8

$$1 = J(r)Vol: d - \frac{(r)}{2T_K} tanh - \frac{2}{2T_K} :$$
 (1)

The local density of states (;r) is in terms of the local wave function amplitudes $_1(r)$ of eigenstates jl> of the disordered H am iltonian H given by

$$(;r) = {\overset{X}{j_1(r)}} {\overset{?}{j}} (E_1);$$
 (2)

Thus, Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$1 = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{l=1}^{x^{N}} \frac{x_{l}}{s_{l}} \tanh \frac{s_{l}}{2} ;$$
 (3)

where x==J, where =1=V ol:. is the average density of states which is =m=2 h 2 per spin channel in a 2D electron system (2DES). N $=E_F=$, with the Fermi energy E_F and $=T_K=.x_1=V$ ol: j $_1(r)$ j is the local eigenfunction probability. $s_1=E_1=$ are the Eigen energies in units of as measured relative to Fermi energy E_F .

2 Distribution of T_K

In a disordered, phase coherent m etal particle, the electron levels repeleach other, and the level spacings have the W igner-D yson distribution 9 . In this regime, the square of the wave function am plitudes x_1 and the E igenenergies s_1 , are distributed independently. x_1 obeys the exponential Porter{Thom as distribution, which is in the unitary regime, with broken time reversal invariance (GUE) and in the time reversal symmetric regime (GOE), given respectively by 5

$$P_{GUE}(x_1) = \exp(x_1);$$
 $P_{GOE}(x_1) = \frac{1}{2x_1} \exp(x_1) = \frac{x_1}{2} :$ (4)

The probability to nd the 1-th energy level above the Ferm ienergy at energy s_1 can be obtained from an integration over the measure of random matrix theory 9 . For large l=1 these probabilities are in good approximation Gaussian distributed around the equally spaced level spectrum l, l=1;2;::: with widths which scale like l=1 and depend on the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. For broken time reversal invariance (GUE), one obtains:

P (s₁) exp
$$c \frac{(s_1 \ 1)^2}{1}$$
; (5)

where c =4;4=, for = 1,GOE, = 2,GUE, respectively. The distribution of exchange couplings x, $P_{\rm J}$ (x) is a function of the random spatial position of the magnetic impurity in the host lattice², and can thus be taken to be independent from the distribution of the conduction electron probabilities x_1 . We will therefore take x to be xed for the moment. The distribution of K ondo temperatures $T_{\rm K}$ can thus in the random matrix theory regime be expressed as,

Approxim ating $\tanh (s_1=2)=1$ for s_1 and $\tanh (s_1=2)=s_1=2$ for s_1 , we can perform the integrals over x_1 . We note that the energy levels with $s_1<$ enter the distribution only through the number n_K of the energy levels which are in the interval 0 sq. T_K , $1=1;2;:::;n_K$. Thus, for >1, the random distribution of the energy levels enters the expression for the DKT only through the distribution function of n_K . For N ! 1 one can evaluate the resulting expression in the two limits n_K 1 and $n_K=1$. One obtains the DKT in good approximation in both limits and interpolates the distribution for nite n_K ,

$$P(T_{K}) = \frac{K}{T_{K}^{2}} \sum_{n_{K}=1}^{X^{1}} \exp^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{c}{n_{K}} \frac{T_{K}}{n_{K}} = n_{K}^{2} \frac{n_{K}}{8} \frac{n_{K}}{2T_{K}} + \ln \frac{n_{K}}{0}^{2} n_{K}^{2} + \ln \frac{n_{K}}{0}^{2} + \ln \frac{n_{K}}{0}^{2} n_{K}^{2}$$
; (7)

where K = p $_{\overline{C}}$ =2, $_0$ = D exp(x)= . Thus, the K ondo tem perature T_K has a very asymmetric distribution, and scales with the energy level spacing . While the average value of the K ondo temperature is found to be independent of the symmetry of the H am iltonian, T_K^0 , the width is larger with unbroken time reversal symmetry,

$$= 2 \frac{2}{T_K} : \tag{8}$$

It vanishes in the in nite volume lim it, ! 0, suggesting that in a large metallic sample the distribution of the K ondo temperature is only due to local uctuations of the exchange couplings $J==1=x^{-1/2}$. When there is time reversal symmetry the energy level repulsion is weaker and the tendency to localisation stronger. As a consequence the probability of a vanishing wave function at a position r is enhanced, as well as the probability of large wave function splashes. This explains that the DKT is wider than in the unitary regime.




Figure 1: The distribution of the K ondo temperature T_K as scaled with the level spacing $\$ for the GOE and GUE ensemble.

3 Dependence of the Distribution of the K ondo Temperature on the Concentration of magnetic impurities

W ithout an external magnetic eld, B = 0, the crossover between the orthogonal and the unitary regime is in a mesoscopic sample of size L, where the phase coherence length L, exceeds L, governed by the parameter 10

$$X_{s} = \frac{L^{2}}{D_{s}}; (9)$$

with the di usion constant D = v_F l=d, where d is the dimension of di usion, and 1 the elastic mean free path. The spin scattering rate 1= $_s$ is renormalised by the K ondo correlations with a maximal value limited by the unitary limit of the scattering crossection, yielding in 2 dimensions,

$$\frac{1}{sM} = n_M \frac{v_F}{2k_F}; \tag{10}$$

where $n_M=1$ =R 2 is the concentration of magnetic impurities with R the distance between them . Thus, the maximal value of the crossover parameter is given in 2D by,

$$X_{SM} = \frac{N_M}{g}; \qquad (11)$$

where N $_{\rm M}$ = $n_{\rm M}$ L 2 is the number of magnetic in purities in the sample of size L, and $g=k_{\rm F}$ l is the dimensionless elastic mean free path in the metal. When there are only few magnetic impurities, N $_{\rm M}$ < g, the crossover parameter X $_{\rm S}$ < 1 is small, and the metallic particle is in the orthogonal regime. Increasing the concentration of magnetic impurities, the parameter X $_{\rm S}$ increases. As found in the previous section this is accompanied by an according decrease of the width of the DKT. The spin scattering rate scales for $T_{\rm K}$ like ($T_{\rm C}$ $T_{\rm C}$ like ($T_{\rm C}$ $T_{\rm C}$

$$X_{s} = \frac{N_{M}}{g < T_{K}^{2} >};$$
 (12)

and we conclude that the distribution P (T_K) follows for N $_M$ < g < T_K^2 > = 2 the wider orthogonal distribution, crossing over to the unitary distribution in the opposite regime, N $_M$ > g < T_K^2 > = 2 , where < :::> denotes the average taken over the N $_M$ m agnetic impurities.

The superexchange between magnetic in purities competes with the K ondo screening. When J_{RKKY} exceeds the K ondo temperature T_K of single magnetic impurities, the spin of magnetic impurities is quenched, forming an array of classical spins, whose spin scattering rate is by factor 1=3 smaller than for free spins 12 . Thus the question arises, if the unitary regime of the distribution of K ondo temperatures can be reached before the magnetic impurities are quenched by the superexchange. While the average of the superexchange interaction $\frac{\langle J_{RKKY} \rangle}{\langle J_{RKKY} \rangle} = 0$ vanishes 13 , its typical value is of the same order as in a clean sample, $\frac{\langle J_{RKKY} \rangle}{\langle J_{RKKY} \rangle} = n_M$ $J^2 \cos(2k_F R)^{14}$ and it has a wide, namely lognormal distribution 15 .

In low dimensions d 2, electrons are localised even for weak disorder g>1. Recently, it has been argued that in this regime the K ondo renormalisation is stopped by the local level spacing $_{\rm c}=1=_{\rm d}^{\rm d}$, where $_{\rm d}$ is the density of states and is the localisation length 16 . A coordingly, it is expected that the distribution of the K ondo temperature converges to a nite width and scales with $_{\rm c}$, when size L and the phase coherence length L, exceeds the localisation length . In general, for nite g, corrections to the distribution of the local density of states, and the occurrence of anomalously localised states will result in further modications from the K ondo distribution as obtained from random matrix theory above.

4 Conclusions

The distribution of the K ondo tem perature depends in a phase coherent metal particle on the time reversal symmetry. The distribution is strongly asymmetric and scales with the mean level spacing. Its width decrases with increasing concentration of magnetic impurities.

A cknow ledgm ents

The author gratefully acknow ledges usefull discussions with Mikhail Raikh, Ganpathy Murthy, Claudio Chamon, Peter Fulde, and Eduardo Mucciolo. He acknow ledges the hospitality of the MPI for Physics of Complex Systems, and of the department of condensed matter theory of Boston University. This research was supported by German Research Council (DFG) under SFB 508, A9. and by EU TMR-network, Grant HPRN-CT 2000-0144.

R eferences

- 1. R.N. Bhatt, D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3072 (1992).
- 2. A. Langenfeld, P.W ole, Ann. Physik 4, 43 (1995).
- 3. V.Dobrosavljevic, T.R.Kirkpatrick, and G.Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1113 (1992).
- 4. E.M iranda, V.D obrosavljevic, and G.K otliar, J.Phys. Cond. Mat. 8, 9871 (1996).
- 5. A.D.M irlin Phys. Rep. 326, 259 (2000).
- 6. W.B. Thimm, J. Kroha, and J. von Delff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2143 (1999).
- 7. S. Suga, T. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72 Suppl. A, 139 (2003).
- 8. Y. Nagaoka Phys. Rev. A 138, 1112 (1965).
- 9. M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices, Academic Press, Boston (1991).
- 10. S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63, 707 (1980).
- 11. P. Nozieres, J. Low-Temp. Phys. 17, 3 (1974).
- 12. W. Wei, G. Bergmann, and R. Peters, Phys. Rev. B 16, 11751 (1988).
- 13. P.G. de Gennes, J. Phys. Radium 23, 630 (1962).
- 14. A. Yu. Zyuzin and B. Z. Spivak, Pis'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43, 185 (1986) [JETP Lett. 43, 234 (1986)].
- 15. I.V. Lemer, Phys. Rev. B 48, 9462 (1993).
- 16. S.K ettem ann, M.E.Raikh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 146601 (2003).