R ing exchanges and the supersolid phase of ⁴H e

D.M.Ceperley

Department of Physics and NCSA, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

B.Bemu

Laboratoire de Physique Theorique des Liquides, UMR 7600 of CNRS, Universite P. et M. Curie, boite 121, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France

U sing Path Integral M onte C arb we calculate exchange frequencies in bulk hcp 4 H e as atom s undergo ring exchange. We t the frequencies to a lattice m odel and exam ine whether such atom s could become a supersolid, that is have a non-classical rotational inertia. We nd that the scaling with respect to the num ber of exchanging atom s is such that super uid behavior will not be observed in a perfect 4 H e crystal.

Recent torsional-oscillator observations by E.K im and M.H.W. Chan on solid ⁴He, both in the disordered absorbed vycor[1] and in bulk ⁴He [2], have revived interest in the super-solid phase. In this phase, one has both long range crystalline order and super uidity. Experiments nd super uid response to rotation at tem peratures below 0.2K. The low temperature super uid density is about 1% of the solid density and is found to be independent of density within a pressure range of 25 to 60B ars. In the following, we assume the observed phenomena is described by equilibrium thermodynam ics of pure bulk helium . In practice, whether such is the case, is one of the most important issues. A ssum ing equilibrium [3], a supersolid is characterized by both longrange translational order and by a non-classical response to rotation (NCRI).

In proposing such a state, Andreev and Lifshitz [4] postulated the existence of suitable defects (e.g. vacancies) and then estimated the properties of the dilute system of bosonic defects. The super uid transition temperature of point bosons is $T_c = 3:31^{2=3} h^2 = m$ where is the density of the bose condensing quasi-particles and m their e ective mass. Taking the measured value for $T_c = 0.2K$, writing the mass in term s of the bare helium atom mass $m = m_4$ and their density as a fraction c of the bulk helium density at melting = 0.029 A³ we nd $0.012^{-3=2}$: if the quasi-particle is heavier than that c a helium atom, at least one out of every 83 lattice sites must have a defect; if the mass is 1/10 the atom mass, the relative density of defects will need to be 4 $\,$ 10 4 . Such a dense collection of defects could not be associated with the much lower concentration of ${}^{3}\text{He}$ in purities.

Experiments, particularly the NMR experiments [5, 6] on solid ³H e rule out zero point vacancy concentrations ofm ore than 1 part in 10^{14} . Pederiva et al.[7] have done calculations of the energy of vacancies and nd an energy of about 15 K 4K in the hcp phase of bulk ⁴H e at the melting density, and 30 K at 50 bars, in agreement with various experiments, most notably X-Ray scattering [8]. U sing path integral calculations (described below) we estim ate the energy to create an interstitial at the melting

density is 48K 5K; they are even more improbable than vacancies. Hence, the energies of point defects are substantially greater than zero; in equilibrium there should not be enough of them at 0.2 K to bose condense; this precludes the Andreev mechanism for a supersolid.

In this paper we exam ine the possibility that bulk solid hop helium, assumed to be free of defects such as im purities and vacancies, could have a supersolid phase. One m ight think that there would always be ground state defects, arising from the large quantum zero point uctuations. Near melting, the rm s. vibration about the lattice site is 30%, so that at any instant of time, a good fraction of atom s are closer to a neighboring site than to their home site. However, the absence of an atom from a lattice site is not su cient for having a supersolid; if the empty site is always accompanied by doubly occupied site, there can no mass current. Chester[9] proved that any Jastrow (i.e. pair product) wavefunction of nite range has both BEC and vacancies. However, Jastrow wavefunctions crystallize with much di culty and with a transition density o by an order of magnitude [10] so that they are not reliable enough to be used to predict superuidity. In general, variational wavefunction approaches are suspect, since the energy is not sensitive to the low

probability regions of con guration space that are in portant for the super uid density and bose condensation.

Leggett[3] has written an upper bound to the superuid density in terms of the zero temperature density of the solid. U sing densities from path integral calculations, we not that the Leggett bound gives $_{s}$ = 0:16 at the melting density, a value consistent with experiment. In this paper, we calculate values for ring exchange frequencies and using them not that the super uid density should be zero in solid helium.

To determ ine whether bulk helium could be supersolid, path integrals give a much cleaner fram e-work; they can be used to compute the super uid density and the momentum distribution without the assumption of a trial wave function or any other uncontrolled approximation. The partition function of N bosons is:

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{X}{R!} dR hR je^{-H} PRi$$
 (1)

where H is the Hamiltonian, the inverse temperature and R = $fr_1; r_2; ::: r_N$ g. For numerical calculations, the density matrix operator is expanded into a path, beginning at the con guration R and ending at P R. In terms of these paths, the super uid density (i.e. the number of atom s not moving with the walls of the torsional oscillator) is given by:

$$\frac{-s}{m} = \frac{m < \tilde{W} ~\tilde{W} >}{h^2 ~N}$$
(2)

where $\tilde{W} = \frac{R}{0} dt \sum_{i=1}^{P} dr_i(t) = dt$ is the winding number of the path around a torus. It is only exchanges on the order of the sample size that contribute to the super uid density; local exchanges make no contribution. U sing the P IM C m ethod, super uidity and freezing, happen naturally at the right density and temperature, without im - posing them in any way. The technical complications[11] concern ergodicity of the random walk, and nite size e ects: one has to take the limit as N ! 1.

PIM C calculations nd a super uid density on the order of 3% at melting density (molar volum e 21.04 cm³) and about 1.2% at 55 bars (molar volum e 19.01 cm³) in a 48 atom (3 4 2) hop supercell. The super uid density is larger than what is observed, and has a relatively weak pressure dependance. How ever, a cell with 180 atom s, has zero super uid density. We cannot be sure that the lack of winding paths has a physical origin, or is due to a lack of ergodicity within the PIM C random walk. To change from one winding con guration to another involves a globalm ove of the paths which becomes very unlikely as the box size gets large. To avoid this problem, we turn to a PIM C approach which directly estim ates individual exchange probabilities.

The Thouless[12] theory of exchange in quantum crystals assumes that at low temperatures, the system will alm ost always be near one of the particular N ! arrangements of particles to lattice sites with rare, rapid, tunnellings from one arrangement to another. Hence, we label the particles with their initial lattice sites. Then the partition function is written as a sum over permutations of sites onto them selves. We break up the permutation into cyclic exchanges $fp_1; p_2:::p_n g;$ each cycle is independent, so that:

$$Z = Z_{0} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \quad \mathfrak{P}^{P} \\ p \quad i=1 \end{array} f_{p_{i}} (): \qquad (3)$$

where $Z_0 = {}^{R} dR hR je {}^{H} R$ is an uninteresting phonon partition function at low temperature. The contribution for a cycle:

$$f_{p}() = \frac{1}{Z_{0}}^{Z} dR hR je^{-H} jPR i J_{p}$$
 (4)

is proportional to because it is localized in time; the ∞ cient, J_p is the exchange frequency.

A special case of the above partition function is the Feynman-Kikuchi (FK) model[13] that assumes that $J_p = J_0 e^{-L_p}$ where L_p is the cycle length. The partition function is:

$$Z = Z_{0} \sum_{p}^{X} (J_{0})^{n_{p}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} L_{p_{i}}} :$$
 (5)

W em ake contact with previous work by assuming $J_0 = 1$. Calculations were done assuming a cubic 3D lattice,

rst by Feynm an and K ikuchi analytically and then numerically by E lser[14]. It is found that the super uid transition occurs as becomes smaller from a localized state (small cycles) to large cycles for $_{\rm c}$ 1:44. To understand this critical value, consider the free energy of adding a link to an existing loop. A cubic lattice has coordination number 6 but a return to the previously visited site is in possible since it is a cyclic permutation. Adding a link costs probability e but the entropy of the new link is 5; hence $_{\rm c}$ ln (5) = 1:6. The critical value is smaller because of the 'self-avoiding' restriction within a cycle and on overlapping cycles. U sing the same argument for the hcp lattice gives a critical coupling of $_{\rm c}$ 2:3.

U sing m ethods [15, 16] developed for solid ${}^{3}\text{He}$, we calculate the exchange frequencies and estimate how close they are to the critical value. We assume the helium interact with a sem i-em pirical [17] pair potential. The frequencies for 2, 3 and 4 atom exchanges is very sm all[11], e.g. J₂ 3 K at melting density. However, sm all cyclic exchanges are quite di erent from the long exchanges needed to get a supersolid. W e have perform ed exchange calculations of 50 di erent exchanges involving from 5 to 10 atom s. All exchanges involve nearest neighbors, since calculations show that next-nearest exchanges are much less probable. W e obtain accuracies on the order of 5% for the 5-particle exchanges and 10% for the 9 particle exchanges. M ore than halfof the exchanges involve w inding around the cell boundaries, im portant because they are representative of the type of exchanges in a supersolid.

Fig. 1 shows the results of calculations of the frequency of the sim plest winding exchanges: straight line exchanges in the basal plane. As assumed in the FK model, we not that the exchange frequencies decrease exponentially with the length of the exchange with an exponent of = 2.64 near the melting volume 21.04 cm⁻³, and = 3.14 at the volume 19.01 cm⁻³ corresponding to P 60 bars.

To construct a more realistic model than the FK model, we need to take into account more details of the geometry of the exchange than just the number of exchanging atoms. We assume that it is the internal geometry of the exchange that matters [18]; the detailed arrangement of the neighboring spectator atoms is much less in portant. In particular, we assume the action of a

-10 (f)ul 000000 -15 -20-252 4 6 8 10 Lp FIG.1: The exchange frequencies (J in K) versus exchange length L_p for straight line exchanges in the basal plane that wind around the periodic cell. The inset shows the lattice sites in the basal plane for $L_p = 6$; the red atom s show the atom s m idway through the exchange. The circles with error bars are the $\ln(J)$ at two molar volumes; 21.04 cm³ (black, open circles, solid line) and 19.01 cm³ (red, solid circles, dashed line). Multiple points at the same value of L_p are from cells with di erent num ber of atom s in the directions perpendicular

0

 $^{-5}$



to the exchange direction. The lines are least squares ts.

$$J_{p} = J_{0} \exp[((k_{k}))]$$
 (6)

Here k are angles between successive displacements in the exchange cycle. In an hop lattice there are 7 possible angles between two nearest neighbor displacem ent vectors, but = 0 only occurs in the pair exchange. We determ ine the parameters by thing to the PIMC exchange frequencies J_{p} . Good ts are obtained; the model predicts the exchanges frequencies with an accuracy of about 20%. The resulting coe cients are shown in Fig. 2. Typical errors on the coe cients are 0:03. Note that there is a strong preference for exchanges that proceed in a straight line versus ones which have sharp angles; for them the incom ing and outgoing particles are more likely to collide. The tting coe cients are seen to depend linearly on $\cos^4(=2)$. We nd no preference for exchange in the basalplane beyond the e ect induced by the selection of angles.

W e also veri ed this model by comparing with results of the direct P IM C calculations (where permutations are generated dynamically) mentioned above and obtained rough agreement with the winding numbers and permu-

FIG.2: (Color online) The model parameters vs. \cos^4 (=2) at the melting density (open circles). The angle is the interior angle of the vertex as shown. The ts were done using 50 exchanges in a 180 atom cell. The solid red circle corresponds to a pressure 60 bars. The two lines show a critical supersolid H am iltonian with (solid line) and without (dashed line) angular dependance.

tation cycle distributions. The 2- and 3- particle exchanges are about twice the prediction of model. On the other hand, the exchanges in the 180 atom cell are som ewhat sm aller than Thouless theory. We expect such disagreem ents because Eq. 6 does not contain the elect of spectator atom s on the exchange process, and sm all exchanges have not been included in the t.

The activation coe cient of the exchange is $J_0 = 7.2$ 0.5K. This is the order of magnitude but smaller than the vacancy energy. If it is related to vacancies, then J_0 should be of the same order of the vacancy energy at all densities, but on the contrary, we do not nd a signi cant density dependance.

We now analyze the model. Because the probability of retracing is small, we initially neglect the self intersections. This leads to a di usion problem on a lattice, with a large probability of continuing in the same direction. Since a displacement only depends on the previous displacement, it is an un-norm alized M arkov process. (Because the hcp lattice has a basis, we have to label the displacements consistently on the A and B planes so that the transition probabilities are independent of the plane.) The probability of a given displacement vector i will approach a steady state after many steps, (i refers to one of the lattice directions) and satisfy the eigenvalue





equation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \\
 & ie^{ij} = j: \\
 \end{array}$$
(7)

By symmetry $_{i}$ can only depend on whether the direction is in the basalplane, $_{1}$, or out of the basalplane, $_{2}$. De ne the partial sum $D_{i;j} = _{j} e^{_{1j}}$ where (i; j) are either in the basalplane (1) or out of it (2), e.g. D $_{11}$ is the sum of the probability of both vectors being in the basalplane). The eigenvalue , is the solution to the secular equation:

$$(D_{11}) (D_{22}) D_{12}^2 = 0$$
: (8)

Putting in the model parameters, we nd = 0.3030.005. The probability of having an exchange of length p will equal a prefactor times ^p, hence, since < 1, our PIMC results im ply that solid ⁴He will have only localized exchange and thus cannot be a supersolid.

Let us consider how the neglect of the self-intersections a ects the critical value. Qualitatively, self-intersections must decrease the probability of long exchange cycles. To estimate the e ect quantitatively, we perform random walks on the hop lattice and only count non-intersecting walks. If we articially change the model parameters by subtracting 1.2, the model becomes critical when

1:03 as opposed to = 1. This line is shown in gure 2. Our computed value of is much less than what is needed to allow for a supersolid. We expect that the presence of other exchange cycles will further increase the critical value of .

In sum m ary, P IM C -com puted exchange frequencies for hcp solid ⁴He show that only localized exchanges will be present and thus should not exhibit the property of nonclassical rotational inertia. B ased on other theoretical and experimental ndings, we think it unlikely that the observed phenom ena are due to vacancies, interstitials or ³He im purities. Hence, one m ust look for an explanation of the experiments elsewhere, either to non-equilibrium e ects or more complicated lattice defects.

This research was supported by the NASA program in Fundam ental Physics and the D epartm ent of Physics at the University of Illinois Urbana-Cham paign.

E lectronic address: ceperley@uiuc.edu

- [1] E.Kim and M.H.W. Chan, Nature 427, 225 (2004).
- [2] E.Kim and M.H.W. Chan, Science, to appear (2004).
- [3] A.J.Leggett, Phys.Rev.Letts.25, 1543 (1970).
- [4] A.F.Andreev and I.M.Lifshitz, JETP 29, 1107 (1969).
- [5] R.A.Guyer, Phys. Rev. Letts. 26, 174 (1971).
- [6] M.W. Meisel, Physica B 178,121 (1990).
- [7] B. Chaudhuri, F. Pederiva and G. V. Chester, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3271 (1999); F. Pederiva, G. V. Chester, S. Fantoni, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. B 56, 5909 (1997).
- [8] B.A.Fraass, P.R.G ranfors, and R.O.Simmons, Phys. Rev.B 39, 124 (1989).
- [9] G.V.Chester, Phys.Rev.A 2 256 (1970).
- [10] J. P. Hansen and E. L. Pollock, Phys. Rev. A 52651 (1972).
- [11] D.M. Ceperley, Rev.M od. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).
- [12] D. J. Thouless, Proc. Phys. London 86, 893 (1965).55, 1 (1983).
- [13] R.P.Feynm an Phys.Rev.90, 1291 (1953). see also StatisticalM echanics.R.K ikuchiPhys.Rev.96, 563 (1954);
 R.K ikuchi, H.H.D enm an and C.L.SchreiberPhys.Rev. 119, 1823 (1960).
- [14] V.Elser, PhD. Thesis, U.C. Berkeley, (1984).
- [15] D. M. Ceperley and G. Jacucci, Phys. Rev. Letts. 58, 1648 (1987).
- [16] B. Bernu and D. Ceperley in Quantum Monte Carlo Methods in Physics and Chemistry, eds. M P. Nightingale and C J. Um rigar, K luwer (1999).
- [17] R.A.Aziz et al, Phys.Rev.Letts. 74,1586 (1995).
- [18] B. Bernu and D. M. Ceperley, J. Phys. CM 16, 701 (2004).