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#### Abstract

A study of ferm ion nodes for spin-polarized states of a few-electron ions and molecules with $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{p}$; d one-particle orbitals is presented. W e nd exact nodes for som e cases of two electron atom ic and $m$ olecular states and also the rst exact node for the three-electron atom ic system in ${ }^{4} S\left(p^{3}\right)$ state using appropriate coordinate $m$ aps and $w$ avefunction sym $m$ etries. $W$ e analyze the cases of nodes for larger num ber of electrons in the $H$ artree Fock approxim ation and for som e cases we nd transform ations for projecting the high-dim ensional node manifolds into 3D space. The node topologies and other properties are studied using these projections. W e also propose a general coordinate transform ation as an extension of Feynm an-C ohen back ow coordinates to both sim plify the nodaldescription and as a new variational freedom for quantum M onte C arlo trialw avefunctions.


PACS num bers: 02.70 .Ss, 03.65 .G e

## I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of ferm ion nodes is one of the most intriguing challenges in quantum sim ulations of ferm ionic system $s$ by strpahastic $m$ ethods such as quantum $M$ onte
 tion is represented by an ensemble of sam pling points (w alkers) in the space of ferm ion coordinates. The walkens are propagated according to the $m$ atrix elem ents of the pro jector $\exp (H)$ where is a real param eter and $H$ is a Ham iltonian. It is straightforw ard to show that the propagated wavefunction solves the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation in im aginary time $=$ it and converges to the ground state for ! 1 . U nfortunately, for ferm ions such a straightforw ard sam pling process runs into di culties and the projection becom es very inefcient. The ensembles of walkers which initially sam ple negative and positive parts of the wavefunction are independent and asym ptotically converge to the sam e (bosonic) distribution $w$ ith an exponential grow th of errorbars for ferm ionic observables. In electron ic structure Q M C calculations ${ }^{3}$ th is well-known ferm ion sign problem is circum vented by the xed-node approxim ation which restricts the negative and positive walkers into separate regions of space de ned by an approxim ate ferm ion node (zero boundary) of the best available trial/variational w avefunction. T hat guarantees stability of the statistical error bars at the price of a xed-node bias. The xednode bias is proportional to the square of the nodal displacem ent error and therefore in typicalelectronic structure calculations the resulting bias is rather sm all. Even for hundreds ofelectrons, $H$ artree $F$ ock orm ulti-reference $H$ artree Fock (HF) nodes lead to im pressive accuracy when used within QMC.The xed-node QMC calculations typically provide about $95 \%$ of the correlation energy in real system $s^{31}$ such as m olecules, clusters, solids, etc.

At a m ore fundam ental level, know ledge of the exact node enables one to elim inate the xed-node bias com -
pletely, and the exact energy can be calculated in tim e which scales as a low-order polynom ial in the num ber of particles. Therefore elim ination of the xed-node error rem ains one of the intriguing possibilities for em ploying QM C to attack a num ber of im portant $m$ any-body problem s which require accuracy beyond a few-determ inant H artree Fock nodes.

Let us assume a system of spin-polarized electrons described by a real wavefunction (R) where $R$ denotes the electron spatial coordinates. T he exchange of an electron pair with labels $i ; j$, denoted as $P_{i j}$, gives
$(R)=\quad\left(P_{i j} R\right) . C$ onsequently, the antisym $m$ etry im plies that there exists a subset ofelectron con gurations, called a ferm ion node, forw hich the w avefunction is zero. Let us elim inate the regions in which the wavefunction vanishesbecause ofother reasons (eg, extemalpotential); then the ferm ion node is given by an im plicit equation
$(\mathbb{R})=0$. In general, the ferm ion node is a ( $\mathbb{N} D-1$ )dim ensional $m$ anifold (hypersurface) assum ing that we have $N$ ferm ions in a D dimensionalspace. The ferm ion

 eral properties of ferm ion--Rodes were analyzed in an extensive study by C eperle $y^{10}-$ which included a proofof the tiling property and generalizations of the ferm ion nodes to density $m$ atrices. $W$ em ention tw o of the results which w ill be used later.
i) Tiling property for the nondegenerate ground state: Let us de ne a nodalcll ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) as a subset of con gurationsw hich can be reached from the point $R_{0}$ by a continuous path $w$ ithout crossing the node. The tiling property says that by applying all possible particle perm utations to an arbitrary nodalcell of a ground state w avefunction one covers the com plete con guration space. N ote that this does not specify how m any nodalcells are there. Furthem ore, sym $m$ etry of the state is also sym $m$ etry of the node and tiling property is valid for any non-degenerate ground state $w$ ithin the given discrete sym $m$ etry.
ii) If two nodal surfaces cross each other they are or-
thogonal at the crossing. If n nodal surfaces cross each other, the crossing angles are all equal to $0=\mathrm{n}$.

In addition, it was num erically show $n^{101}$ that the nodal cells for a num ber of nite free particle system $s$ are $m$ axim al, ie, all regions w th the sam e sign of wavefunction are interconnected. The ferm ion nodes for degenerate and excited, states were further studied by Foulkes and co-workera1 ${ }^{111}$. Recent interesting work by Bressanini, R eynolds and C eperley revealed di erences in the nodal surface topology betw een $H$ artree $F$ ock and correlated w avefunctions for the Be atom explaining the large im pact of the $2 \mathrm{~s} ; 2$ p near-degeneracy on the $x e d$-node QM C energy ${ }^{121}$.
$T$ he rem ainder of this paper is organized as follow $s$ : in Sec. II we discover new exact ferm ion nodes for two and three-electron spin polarized system s. In Sec. IIIT we categorize the nodal surfaces for the several half- lled subshells relevant for atom ic and molecular states. In Sec. ' 'IV-1' we suggest a general particle position transform ation, both as a tool to sim plify the description of the nodes and also as a possible new variational freedom for trial w avefunctions. F inally, in the last section we present our conclusions and suggestions for future work.

## II. EXACTNODALSURFACES

W e assum e the usualelectron-ion H am iltonian and we rst investigate a few-electron ions focusing on ferm ion nodes for subshells of one-particle states $w$ ith $s ; p ; d ; f::$ : sym m etries using variable transform ations, symmetry operations and explicit expressions for the nodes.
A. Three-electron quartet ${ }^{4} S\left(p^{3}\right)$ state

Let us rst analyze a special case w ith $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $r_{23}=r_{31}$. It is then easy to see that the inversion around the origin $w$ ith subsequent rotations is equivalent to the exchange of tw o particles, say, 1 and 2 ( $F$ ig $\left.g_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. . Therefore for this particular con gurations of particles the com bination of parity and rotations is closely related to the exchange sym $m$ etry. The illustration also show $s$ that the six distances do not specify the relative positions of the three electrons unam biguously. For a given set of the distances there are tw o distinct positions, say, of the electron 3, relative to the xed positions of electrons 1 and 2 (see Fig ind electron.

In order to analyze the wavefunction in an unam biguous $m$ anner it is convenient to de ne new coordinates. Let us denote $r_{12}^{+}=r_{1}+r_{2} ; r_{12}^{+}=j \dot{r}_{12}^{+} j$ together $w$ ith the custom ary $r_{12}=r_{1} \quad r_{2} ; r_{12}=\dot{j}_{12} j$. We can now introduce the follow ing m ap of the C artesian coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{1} ; r_{2} ; r_{3}\right)!\left(r_{12}^{+} ; r_{12} ; r_{3} ; \cos ; \cos ; ;\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith de nitions: cos $=r_{3} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}r\end{array}\right)=\left(r_{3} \dot{j}_{1} \quad r_{2} j\right.$, $\cos =r_{12}^{+} \quad r_{2}=\left(r_{12}^{+} r_{12}\right)$ and being an azim uthal an-


FIG. 1: Inversion and two subsequent rotations of three particles: (a) O riginal and inverted (prim ed) positions; (b) Positions after the rotation $R_{1}$ in the plane given by the particles 1,2 and the origin; (c) Positions after the second rotation $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ around the $r_{1}+r_{2}$ axis. $N$ ote that the original positions of the particles 1 and 2 are exchanged.
gle of $r_{3}$ in the relative coordinate system $w$ ith unit vectors $e_{\mathrm{x}}=r_{12}^{+}=r_{12}^{+}, e_{z}=r_{1} \quad r_{2}=j_{1} \quad r_{2} j e_{y}=$ $e_{z} \quad e_{x}$. For completeness, denotes three Euler angles which x the orientation the three-particle system in the original coordinates (eg, two spherical angles of $r_{1} \quad r_{2}$ and an azim uthal angle of $r_{12}^{+}$). Since the angles are irrelevant in $S$ sym metry, the rst six variables fully specify the relative positions of the three particles and the wavefunction dependence simpli es to
$\left(r_{12}^{+} ; r_{12} ; r_{3} ; \cos ; \cos ;\right)$. C onsider now two sym metry operations which change the sign of the wavefunction and keep the distances unchanged: parity $P_{I}$ and exchange $P_{12}$ betw een particles 1 and 2 . The exchange ips the sign of all three cos ; cos ; while the parity changes only the sign of cos. The action of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ on leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(::: ; \cos ; \cos ; \quad)=(:: ; \cos ; \cos ;) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that the wavefunction is even in the sim ultaneous sign ip (cos ; ) ! ( cos ; ). Applying the exchange operator $P_{12}$ to the wavefunction and taking advantage of the previous property gives us
(:::; cos ;cos ; )= (:::;cos ;cos ; )
suggesting that there is a node determ ined by the condition cos $=0$. It is also clear that the sam e argum ents can be repeated w ith exchanged particle labels $2 \$ 3$ and 3 \$ 1 and we end up w ith the the sam e nodal condition: $r_{3} \quad\left(r_{2}\right)=0$. This show $s$ that the node is encountered when all three electrons lie on a plane passing through the origin. N ow we need to prove that this is the only node since there $m$ ight possibly be other nodal surfaces not revealed by the param etrization above. T he node given above clearly full lls the tiling property and all sym me tries of the state. Furthem ore, the state is the low est
quartet of S symm etry and odd parity (low er quartets such as $1 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}$, and $1 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}^{2}$ have either di erent parity or sym $m$ etry) and for the ground state we expect that the num ber of nodal cells will be minim al. This is indeed true since the node above speci es only two nodal cells (one positive, one negative): an electron is either on one or the other side of the nodalplane passing through the rem aining two electrons. Futherm ore, any distortion of the node from the plane necessarily leads to additionalnodalcells (see Fig. hill w hich can only increase energy by im posing higher curvature (kinetic energy) on the wavefunction. This is basically the Feynm an's argu-


FIG.2: (a) An illustration of an arti cial distortion of the planar ground state node for the quartet state (see text); (b) The original and parity transform ed distorted node; (c) Finally, a subsequent rotation of the inverted distortion necessarily leads to a new nodal pocket which is arti cial for the ground state. In fact, nodes w ith sim ilar topologies are present in excited states ${ }^{131}$.
$m$ ent from the proof dem onstrating that the energy of ferm ionic ground state is alw ays above the energy of the bosonic ground state (and also essentially the sam,e argum ent as used for the proof of the tiling property $\mathbf{1}^{(01)}$ ). In fact, as we show in another paper, higher excited states of this sym $m$ etry have additional nodes, as expectedi ${ }^{13}$. G iven all the argum ents above we conclude the proof that the plane is the exact node. $N$ ote that it is identical to the node of $H$ artree Fock wavefunction of 2 p orbitals given by $\mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{F}}=\operatorname{detf}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{x}$; (r)y; (r)zg where ( r ) is a radial function.

The coordinate transform ation above is not the only one which can be used to analyze this state. The high sym $m$ etry of the problem enables us to nd an altemative coordinate $m$ ap $w$ ith de nitions of cos $m$ odi ed to
 to ${ }^{0}$ by rede nition of $e_{z}$ to $e_{z}^{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\left(\begin{array}{ll}r_{1} & r_{2}\end{array}\right) & r_{12}\end{array}\right]$ $\left.\left.r_{12}^{+}=j\left(r_{1} \quad r_{2}\right) \quad r_{12}\right] \quad r_{12}^{+}\right] j$ and $e_{y}^{0}=e_{z}^{0} \quad \ell$. In the rede ned coordinates the search for the node sim pli es to an action of $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ on ( $\left.\mathrm{r}_{12}^{+} ; \mathrm{r}_{12} ; \mathrm{r}_{3} ; \cos ; \cos { }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(::: ; \cos ; \cos { }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)=\left(:: ; \cos ; \cos { }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the distances and cos ${ }^{0}$; ${ }^{0}$ are invariant to $\mathrm{P}_{12} . \mathrm{O} \mathrm{b}-$ viously, this leads to the sam e nodalcondition as derived above.

It is quite interesting to com pare these two coordinate $m$ apsw th ; and ${ }^{0}$; ${ }^{0}$. A lthough parity and exchange
are independent operators the analysis above show s that in an appropriate coordinate system they im ply the sam e nodal surface. B oth these operators cause an identical sign change of the wavefunction indicating thus a special sym $m$ etry of the ${ }^{4} S\left(p^{3}\right)$ ground state node which is higher than w ould be expected solely from antisym $m$ etry. Sim ilar observation wasm ade in a study of ferm ion node in another case of tw o electron atom ic stata ${ }^{\left[11 n_{1}^{1}\right.}{ }^{14}$.
B. Two-electron triplet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~g}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ states

A pparently, the exact node of this_case was derived in a di erent context by $B$ reit in 1930 $0^{1514} 4$. . Here we or an independent proof which enables us to apply the analysis to som e $m$ olecular states $w$ ith the sam e sym $m$ etries. $T$ he exact node for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ state can be found in a sim ilar way as in the case of quartet above. T he state has even parity, cylindric sym $m$ etry, say, around $z$-axis, and is odd under rotation by around $x ; y$ axes, $R(x)$, $R(y)$. The mapping of C artesian coordinates which enables to analyze the wavefunction symmetries is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)!\left(r_{12}^{+} ; r_{12} ; \cos !; \cos ;^{\prime} ;^{\prime 0}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here cos! $=z_{0} \quad\left(\begin{array}{rl}( & r_{2}\end{array}\right)=j_{1} \quad r_{2} j w$ th $z_{0}$ being the unit vector in the $z$-direction and ${ }^{0}$ being the azim uthal angle of $r_{1} \quad r_{2} ;{ }^{\prime 0}$ can be om itted due to the cylindric sym $m$ etry. Further, ' is the azim uthal angle of $r_{12}^{+}$in the relative coordinate system $w$ th the $x$-axis unit vector given by a projection of $z_{0}$ into the plane de ned by $r_{1} ; r_{2}, \dot{i}, e_{x}=z_{0 p}=\dot{K}_{0 p} j e_{z}=\left(r_{1} \quad r_{2}\right)=j_{1} \quad r_{2} j$ and $e_{y}=e_{z} \quad e_{x}$. Action of $P_{I} P_{12} R(x)$ reveals that the w avefunction is invariant in the sim ultaneous change (cos ;')! ( cos ; '). This property and action of $P_{12}$ to the $w$ avefunction together lead to
(:::; cos! ;:::) = (:::;cos! ;:::)
w th the rest of the variables unchanged. The node is therefore given by cos! = 0 and is encountered when an electron hits the plane which contains the $z$ axis and the other electron. As in the previous case the nodal plane fullls the tilling property and $m$ anifestly divides the space into two nodal cells so that we can conclude that this node is exact. The exact node again agrees w ith the node of $H$ artree $F$ ock wavefiunction
$=\operatorname{detf}(r) x$; (r)yg.
The xed-node QMC energies for the ${ }^{4} S\left(p^{3}\right)$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ cases derived above w ere calculated for a nitrogen cation with valence electrons in these statess T he core electronsw ere elim inated by pseudopotentia ${ }^{111}$. The trial wavefunction was of the com $m$ only used form $w$ ith single HF determ inant tim es a Jastrow correlation factoris. $N$ ote that the pseudopotential nonlocals channel does not couple to either odd parity S state or even parity $P\left(p^{2}\right)$ state so that that the nonlocal contribution to the energy vanishes exactly.

TABLE I: T otal energies (a.u.) of $\mathrm{N}^{+}, \mathrm{N}^{++}$and $\mathrm{N}^{++}$ions w ith core electrons elim inated by pseudopotentials. T he energies are calculated by variational (VMC) and xed-node di usion ( $\mathrm{D} M \mathrm{C}$ ) quantum M onte C arlo and C on guration Interaction (C I) m ethods. The HF energies are given as a reference for estim ation of the correlation energies.

| State | H F | C I | V M C | D M C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ | -5.58528 | -5.59491 | $-5.59491(2)$ | $-5.59496(3)$ |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{p}^{3}\right)$ | -7.24716 | -7.27566 | $-7.27577(1)$ | $-7.27583(2)$ |
| ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ | -8.98570 | -9.02027 | $-9.01819(4)$ | $-9.01962(5)$ |

In order to com pare the $x$ ed-node QMC calculations w ith an independent m ethod we have carried out also C on gharation Interaction (C I) calculations w th ccpV 6Z basis ${ }^{2}$ ? (w ith up to three $g$ basis functions) which generates more than 100 virtual orbitals in total. In the CIm ethod the wavefiunction is expanded in excited determ inants and we have included all single, double and triple excitations. Since the doubles and triples include tw o-and three-particle correlations exactly, the accuracy of the C I results is lim ted only by the size of the basis set. By com parison with other two- and three-electron C I calculations we estim ate that the order ofm agnitude of the basis set C Ibias is 0.01 mH ( m ili i artree) fortw o electrons and 0.1 mH and for three electrons (despite the large num ber of virtuals the C I expansion converges relatively slow ly ${ }^{201}$ in the $m$ axim um angular $m$ om entum of the basis functions, in our case $l_{n}$ ax $=4$ ). The pseudopotentials we used were identical in both QM C and C I calculations.

The rst two rows of Tab 足 show the total energies ofvariational and xed-node D-M C calculations w th the trial wavefunctions w th HF nodes together w th results from the C I calculations. For ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ the energies agree w thin a few hundredths ofm H w th the C I energy being slightly higher but w thin tw o standard deviations from the xed-node QMC result. For ${ }^{4} S\left(\mathrm{p}^{3}\right)$ the C I energy is clearly above the xed-node D M C by about 0.17 mH as expected due to the lim ited basis set size. In order to illustrate the e ect of the xed-node approxim ation in the case when the HF node is not exact we have also included calculations for four electron state ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ (for further discussion of this H artree Fock node see part III. below ). For this case, we estim ate that the C I energy is above the exact one by $0: 3 \mathrm{mH}$ so that the xednode energy is signi cantly higher than both $C$ I and exact energies. U sing these results we estim ate that the xednode error is 1 mH , ie, close to $3 \%$ of the correlation energy.

Since in the $p^{2}$ case we have assum ed cylindric sym $m e-$ try, the derived node equation is applicable to any such potential, eg, equidistant hom onucleardim er, trim er, etc, $w$ ith one-particle orbitals x ; y which couple into the triplet state ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x} \mathrm{y})$.

N ote that the param etrization given above autom at-
ically provides also one of the very few known exact nodes in atom s so fack ie, the lowest triplet state of $H e^{3} S(1 s 2 s)$. The spherical sym $m$ etry $m$ akes angles ! and ' irrelevant and simpli es the two-electron wavefunction dependence to distances $r_{1} ; r_{2} ; r_{12}$ or, altematively, to $r_{12} ; r_{12}^{+} ; \infty$. Applying $P_{12}$ to $w$ avefunction ( $r_{12} ; r_{12}^{+} ; \cos$ ) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{12} ; r_{12}^{+} ; \cos \right)=\left(r_{12} ; r_{12}^{+} ; \cos \right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the node is given by the condition cos $=0$, ie, $r_{1} \quad r_{2}=0$.

In addition, the presented analysis sheds som e light on the, $\mathrm{He}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( 1 s 2 p ) state node which was investigated before ${ }^{7}$ '1 as having higher sym $m$ etry than im plied by the wavefunction sym $m$ etries. The sym $m$ etry operations reveal that the wavefunction depends on jcos! jand that the node is related to the simultaneous ips such as (cos ;') ! ( cos ; ') or angle shifts' ! ' + . Since, how ever, two of the variables are involved, the node has a m ore com plicated shape as the previous study illustrates ${ }^{\frac{1}{1}}$. In order to test the accuracy of the HF node we have carried out a xed-node di usion $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation of the $\mathrm{He}^{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( 1 s 2 p ) state. The resulting total energy of -2.13320 (4) a.u. is in an excellent agreem ent with the estim ated exact value of -2.13316 which show $s$ that the HF node is very close to the exact one ${ }^{\text {h. }}$.

## III. APPROXIMATEHARTREEFOCKNODES

It is quite instructive to investigate the nodes of halflled subshells of one-particle states w ith higher angular m om entum .


FIG. 3: (C olor online) The 3D projected $H$ artree Fock node of ${ }^{6} S\left(d^{5}\right)$ state which is an elliptic cone (left and right pictures). T he m iddle picture ilhustrates a case when two pairs of two electrons lie on orthogonal planes which pass through the origin. This tw o-plane node is of low er dim ension because of the additional condition on positions of the electrons. It appears as a crossover betw een the cones $w$ ith di erent orientation (left and right pictures). T he sm all spheres show the positions of the four electrons while the line denotes the $z$ axis.
A. A pproxim ate H artree $\mp$ ock node of ${ }^{6}$ S $\left(d^{5}\right)$ state

The HF determ inant wavefunction for ${ }^{6} S\left(d^{5}\right)$ is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { HF }={\underset{i=1}{5}\left(r_{i}\right) \operatorname{detf} 2 z^{2} \quad x^{2} \quad y^{2} ; x^{2} \quad y^{2} ; x z ; y z ; x y g ~}_{\text {in }} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(r_{i}\right)$ is the radial part of the d-orbital and we assum e that all the orbitals are from the samel= 2 subshell, eg, 3d subshell. Since all radial functions are the same they factor out from the determ inant and for the purpose of nding the node they can be om itted. The $S$ symmetry allows to rotate the system so that, say, electron 1 is on the $z$-axis, and then the corresponding colum $n$ in the Slater $m$ atrix becom es $\left(2 z_{1}^{2} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0\right)$. A $s-$ sum ing that $z_{1} \in 0$ we can then $w$ rite the nodalcondition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{detfx}^{2} \quad y^{2} ; x z ; y z ; x y g=0: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing one of the electrons as a probe (ie, looking at the node from the perspective of one of electrons) we can nd the projection of the node to 3D space. By denoting the probe electron coordinates sim ply as ( $x ; y ; z$ ) and by expanding the determ inant we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right) m_{1}+x z m_{2}+y z m_{3}+x y m_{4}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}$ are the corresponding cofactors. W e divide out the rst cofactor assum ing that it is nonzero (not a crucial assum ption as clari ed below ). W e get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right)+a x z+b y z+c x y=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=m_{2}=m_{1}, b=m_{3}=m_{1}, c=m_{4}=m_{1}$. By com pleting the square this can be further rearranged to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{k}_{1} y \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{y}\right)+\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{by})=0
$$

$w$ ith $k_{1 ; 2}=\left(c^{p} \overline{c^{2}+4}\right)=2$. Let us de ne rotated and rescaled coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(a k_{2}\right. & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{y}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}_{1} & \mathrm{k}_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a} k_{1} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{y}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}_{1} & \mathrm{k}_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{z}\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{ak} \mathrm{k}_{1} & \text { b) } \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}_{2} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}_{1} & \mathrm{k}_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

so we can write the Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v+w u+w v=0: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this equation has a form which is identical to Eq. ( $1 \mathbf{L}^{-1}$ ) w ith $\mathrm{m}_{1}=0$ so this representation is correct for generalm $m_{1}$. A fter somee ort one nds that Eq. (1) in a cone equation (ie, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital) as can be easily veri ed by using the follow ing identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 u^{2} \quad v^{2} \quad w^{2}\right)=8=u v+w u+w v \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u+v+2 w, v=(u+v+2 w), w=$ (u $\quad v+2 w$ ). The 3D projected node is therefore rotated and rescaled (elliptic) cone.

At thispoint it is usefiulto clarify how the derived node pro jection cone is related to the com plete 14-dim ensional node. Rem arkably, the 3D projection enables us to understand som e of the properties of the 14 -dim ensional $m$ anifold. First, the cone orientation and elliptic radii (ie, rescaling of the two axes with respect to the third one) are determ ined by the position of the four electrons in 3D space: w ith the exception of special low er dim ensional cases explained below there alw ays exists a unique cone given by the Eq. (1] ${ }^{-1}$ ) which " ts" the positions of the four electrons. Besides the special cases (below) we can therefore de ne a pro jection of a single point in 43 = 12-dim ensionalspace offour electrons onto a cone. $T$ hat also im plies that the com plete 12-dim ensionalspace describes a set (or fam ily) of cones which are 3D projections of the nodalm anifold. Sim ilar pro jection strategies are often used in algebraic geom etry to classify or analyze surfaces with com plicated topologies and/or high dim ensionalities.

Since the cone orientation and two radii are uniquely de ned by the point in 12 dim ensions and the cone itself is a 2D surface in 3D space of the probe electron the com plete node then has $12+2=14 \mathrm{dim}$ ensions. Therefore the $d^{5} \mathrm{HF}$ node is a set of cone surfaces speci ed by the positions of the electrons. T his particular form is sim ply a property of the $d^{5} H$ artree $F$ ock determ inant. From the derivation above it is clear that after factoring out the radialparts one obtains a hom ogeneous second-order polynom ial in three variables w ith coe cients determ ined by the positions of the four,electrons. In fact, from the theory ofquadratic surfaces ${ }^{\frac{1}{1}}-$, one nds that a generalelliptic cone can possibly $t$ up to ve 3D points/electrons, however, in our case the cone has an additional constraint. O ur system was reoriented so that one of the electrons lies on the $z$-axis; that im plies that the $z$-axis lies on the cone. Therefore the cone alw ays cuts the xy (ie, $z=0$ ) plane in two lines which are orthogonal to each other. The orthogonality can be veri ed by im posing $z=0$ in Eq. (12) and checking that $k_{1} k_{2}=1$. In addition, one can nd "degenerate" con gurations w ith tw o pairs oftw o electrons lying on orthogonalplanes ( $F$ ig.
 one of the elliptic radiibecom ing in nite and the resulting node having a form of two orthogonal planes ( F ig. $\underset{1}{1-1)}$ ). Since in this case there is an additional condition on the particle positions, the tw o-plane node has low er dim ension and is a zero $m$ easure subnode of the general 14-dim ensional node. The condition is equivalent to $A_{44}=b^{2} \quad a^{2}-a b c=0$, where $A_{44}$ is one of the quadratic invariants ${ }^{60}$. There are $m$ ore special cases of low er dim ensional nodes: a) when two electrons lie on a straight line going through the origin; b) when three electrons lie on a plane going through the origin; c) when four electrons lie in a single plane.

Rem arkably, the analysis above enables us to nd the
num ber of nodal cells. From Fig. $\overline{1} \mathbf{1 3}$, one can infer that by appropriate repositioning of the four electrons the cone surface sm oothly "unw raps" the dom ains inside the cone, form stw o crossing planes and then "w raps" around the cone dom ains of the opposite sign. T hat im plies that an electron inside one of the cone regions can get to the region outside of the cone (w ith the sam e wavefunction sign) w thout any node crossing, using only appropriate concerted repositioning of the rem aining four electrons. That enables us to understand that a point in the 15dim ensional space (positions of ve electrons) can continuously scan the plus (or m inus) dom ain of the wavefunction: there are only two $m$ axim al nodal cells.


F IG . 4: (C olor on line) P ro jected H artree Fock node of ${ }^{8}$ S ( $f^{7}$ ) state. T he node has tw o topologies: cone tim es planar surface or a cone "fused" w ith planar surface w hat form s a single sheet surface. T here is a sm ooth transition betw een these tw o form $s$ depending on the positions of six electrons which are denoted by the $s m$ all spheres. $N$ ote that the node contains the $z$ axis which is denoted by the dashed line.

B . A pproxim ate $H$ artree Fock nodes of the ${ }^{8} S\left(f^{7}\right)$ ion

W e will use sim ilar strategy as in the preceding case. A fter rotating one of the electrons to $z$-axis we expand the determ inant in the probe electron colum $n$ and elm inate the radial orbitals which form an overall prefactor of the Slater determ inant since we assum e that all seven $f$-states are from the samel=3 subshell (eg, 4f). We get

$$
\left(m_{1} x+m_{2} y\right)\left(4 z^{2} \quad x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right)+m_{3} z\left(x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right)+m_{4} x y z
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+m_{5} x\left(x^{2} \quad 3 y^{2}\right)+m_{6 y}\left(y^{2} \quad 3 x^{2}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the node contains the $z-a x i s$ and there are tw o possible values of $z$ for any $x ; y$ since the form is quadratic in $z$. $T$ his restricts the node shapes signi cantly and by further analysis one can nd that the nodal surface projection into 3D has two topologies ( $F$ ig. $\mathbf{I N}_{1}^{(1)}$ ). The rst one is a cone tim es a planar surface (topologically equivalent to the $Y_{40}$ sphericalharm onic) . $N$ ote that, in general, the planar surface is deform ed from a straight plane since it
passes through the origin and, in addition, it ts three of the electrons. T he second topology is a "fiused" cone and planar surface which results in a general single sheet cubic surface. The node transform $s$ sm oothly betw een these tw o topologies depending on how the six electrons $m$ ove in space. These two topologies de ne the projection of the node into the probe 3D space and therefore enable us to capture the $m$ any-dim ensionalnode for this particular $H$ artree Fock state. This again enables to describe the com plete node using a theorem from algebraic geom etry which states that any cubic surface is deter$m$ ined by an-appropriate $m$ apping of six points in a projective plane $1^{18191}$. To use it we rst need to realize the follow ing property of the 3D projected node: T he node equation above contains only a hom ogeneous polynom ial in $x ; y ; z$ which im plies that in spherical coordinates the radius can be elim inated and the node is dependent only on angular variables. H ence, any line de ned by an arbitrary point on the node and the origin (ie, a ray) lies on the node. In other words, we see that the surface is ruled, ie, it can be created by continuous sw eep (s) of ray (s) passing through origin. T his enables us to pro ject the positions of the six electrons on an arbitrary plane which does not contain the origin and the node will cut such a plane in a cubic curve. A s we m entioned above, a theorem from the algebraic geom etry of cubic surfaces and curves says that any cubic surface is finlly described by six points in a projective plane (sect surfaces any plane not passing through the origin is a projective plane and therefore we can specify a one to one correspondence betw een the $63=18$ dim ensionalspace and our cubic surface in 3D. O bviously, there will be a num ber of low er-dim ensionalnodes w hich w illcorrespond to positions ofelectrons $w$ ith additional constraints such as when they lie on curve with the degree lower than cubic; ie, a conic.


FIG.5: (C olor online) The 3D projection of the nitrogen cation ${ }^{5}$ S (sp ${ }^{3}$ ) H artreeFock node (the core electrons are elim inated by pseudopotentials). T he pro jected node exh ib its tw o topologies. It is either a planar surface deform ed by the radial orbital functions at the nucleus or, in certain con gurations, the deform ation form $s$ a $s m$ all bubble detached form the surface (the picture on the right). T he sm all cross is the location of the ion while the sm all spheres denote positions of electrons.
C. A pproxim ate $H$ artree $F$ ock nodes of the ${ }^{5} S\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ ion

The HF node for th is tw o-shell spin-polarized state can be investigated in a sim ilarw ay as in previous cases w ith a new feature that the radial parts w illbe present in the expansion of the determ inant. By expanding the deter$m$ inant in the colum $n$ of the probe electron $w$ th position $x ; y ; z$ the 3D node projection is sim ply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+b^{0} y+c^{0} z+d^{0}(r)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{0} ; c^{0} ; d^{0}$ depend on ratios of cofactors and $(r)=$ $s(r)=p(r)$ is the ratio of radial parts of $s$ and $p$ orbitals and $r=\overline{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$. The probe electron $w$ ill see a plane w ith a approxim ately bell-shape deform ation in the area of the nucleus (See Fig. 'ST). T he shape of deform ation depends on the ratio of $s$ and $p$ radialparts and the $m$ agnitudes and signs of the cofactors. For certain con gurations the deform ation is so large that it gets detached from the surface and form s a separated ellipsoid-like bubble. The bubble results from the radialdependence of ( $r$ ) which for pseudized core is not a $m$ onotonic function and therefore can create new topologies. $N$ ote that despite the fact that the 3D projection show s a separated region of space (the bubble) the com plete node has again the $m$ inim al num ber of nodal cells property. To understand this, suppose that the probe electron is located inside the bubble and wavefunction there has a positive sign. Let us try to im agine how the electron can get to the other positive region (the other side of the planar surface). Seem ingly, the electron w ould need to cross the nodal surface tw ige (the surface of the bubble and the planar surface). H ow ever, the com plete node is a collective-coordinate ob ject and by $m$ oving the other tw o electrons in an appropriate way the bubble attaches to the surface and then fuses into a single surface ( $F$ ig. 5, left) so that the probe electron can reach the positive region $w$ thout node crossing.

In order to see whether the correlation would change the H F node w e have carried out a lim ited study of the C I w avefunction nodes for this case; we have found som e differences but w e have not discovered any dram atic changes to the HF nodes. To quantify this further we have calculated the C I energy (w ith the sam e basis and levelof correlation as in the previous cases) and the result is in the last row of Tab . l . W e estim ate that the xed-node bias of the HF node is of the order of 0.001 H artree which is close to $3 \%$ of the correlation energy. O bviously, the D M C energy is above the exact one and peroentage-w ise the am ount of $m$ issing correlation energy is not insignificant. We conjecture that the HF node is reasonably close to the exact one although the ne details of the nodal surface are not captured perfectly.
D. A pproxim ate H artree $F$ ock nodes of $s p$ in-polarized $p^{3} d^{5}$ and $s p^{3} d^{5}$ shells w ith $S$ sym $m$ etry

Let us for a mom ent assume a model wavefunction in which the radial parts of s;p;d orbitals are identical. $T$ hen, using the arrangem ents sim ilar to $d^{5}$ case, we can expand the determ inant of $p^{3} d^{5}$ in one colum $n$ and for the 3D node pro jection we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u^{2} \quad v^{2} w^{2}+u+v+w=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where u;v;w are appropriate linear combinations of $x ; y ; z$. This can be further rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(u+=4)^{2} \quad(v \quad=2)^{2} \quad(w \quad=2)^{2}+0=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=\left({ }^{2}=2+{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)=4$. It is clear that the quadratic surface is o set from the origin (nucleus) by a vector norm al to $u+v+w=0$ plane. U sing the properties of quadratic surfaces one nds that for $\left({ }^{2}=\left(2^{2}+{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)\right)<2=3$ the node is a single-sheet hyperboloid with the radius ${ }_{0}$; otherw ise it has a shape of a double-sheet hyperboloid. T he double-sheet hyperboloid form swhen there is an electron located close to the origin. A special case is a cone which corresponds to $(0=0)$. The case of $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{5}$ is sim ilar, but w th di erent 0 which now has a contribution from the s-orbital (see Fig. I' ${ }^{\prime}$ ). O nce we include also the correct radial parts of orbitals in the s;p;d channels the coe cients of the quadratic form depend on both cofactors and onbital radial functions. The resulting nodal surface is deform ed beyond an ideal quadric and show s som e m ore com plicated structure around the nucleus (see Fig. $\overline{1}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}$ ) as ilhistrated on HF nodes of the $m$ ajority spin electrons in $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{++}$ion (note that the Ne -core electrons were elim inated by pseudopotentials).


F IG . 6: (C olor online) T he 3D projection of the angular part of the ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{5}\right)$ state H artreeFock node ( w th radial parts of orbitals identical for all spd orbitals). T he projection has a topology of a single-sheet or double-sheet hyperboloid. T he sm all cross show s the location of the nucleus w hile the spheres illustrate the electron positions.


FIG. 7: (C olor online) $P$ rojected $H$ artree Fock node of ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{5}\right)$ of the m ajority spin valence electrons in $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{+2}$ ion. The N e-core electrons are elim inated by pseudopotentials. N ote the deform ations from the radial parts of orbitals, including a sm allbubble detached from the rest of the surface (the right picture). For clarity, the positions of electron s have been om itted.

## IV. GENERALIZED ELECTRON COORDINATES

W hat we have leamed from the previous cases is that for sm allnum ber ofelectrons the $H$ artree $F$ Fock w avefunctions display nodes which, if transform ed in an appropriate w ay, lead to rather sim ple geom etries.

In addition, it is instructive to consider how the nodal surfaces evolve w ith increasing num ber of electrons. O bviously, HF theory leads to low kinetic energies and the resulting $m$ ean- eld nodes are very sm ooth. T he exact nodes of the high sym m etry $P\left(p^{2}\right)$ and $S\left(p^{3}\right)$ cases can be interpreted as reoriented planes which enable us to
$t$ one or two electrons and obviously such rotations do not cause any increase in the kinetic energy. For $m$ ore particles the rotations and translations are not su cient to $t$ the electron positions and the lowest increase in kinetic energy in atom ic system $s$ is apparently produced by rescaling of the axes.

Finally, for larger num ber of electrons the node becom es $m$ ore deform ed and com plex $w$ ith possibilities of new topologies and topological changes. The 3D node projections we have analyzed above show that often there exist coordinate transform ations which can sim plify the node description and enable us to nd useful node param etrizations (at least, for our cases of spinpolarized electronic subshells in ions). As we dem onstrated on the ${ }^{3} P\left(p^{2}\right)$ state sim ilar spin-polarized open shells can be studied by analogous techniques as well.

In the analysis of the nodes we have presented a num ber of coordinate transform ations and $m$ aps which enabled us to understand particular nodal structures and their topologies. It is interesting to explore this idea further and think about possible research directions. In order to ilhustrate som e of the possibilities let us de ne a single-electron coordinate $r_{i}$ ! $r_{i}$ transform ation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=M_{i}(\mathbb{R}) r_{i}+t_{i}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}(R)$ is a $m$ etric tensor, $t_{i}(R)$ is a spatialo set (translation) while $R$ represents coordinates of all electrons. The dependence of $M_{i}(R)$ and $t_{i}(R)$ on $R$ can be nonlinear and even include an explicit dependence on extemal potential to describe charge inhom ogeneities or required sym $m$ etries. The antisym $m$ etry condition furher restricts the dependences ofm $i_{i}(R)$ and $t_{i}(R)$ on $R . O b-$ viously the $m$ etric tensor has to be positive de nite but otherw ise the transform ation is variationally free. A ctually, the usefulness of th is transform ation can be tw ofold. $F$ irst, as wem entioned, it can be em ployed as an e cient way to pro ject the high-dim ensionalnodalm anifold into a sim pler, low-dim ensional projection. Second, it can be usefiul as a new variational freedom to optim ize the wavefunction nodes using the follow ing form of a single determ inant or linear com bination of determ inants

$$
=\int_{k}^{X} d_{k} \operatorname{det}_{k} f^{\prime(k)}\left(r_{i}\right) g
$$

where $f^{\prime \prime}{ }^{(k)} g$ are one-particle orbitals and $d_{k}$ are expansion coe cients. Therefore, besides optim izing the orbitals, one can also optim ize the $m$ etric tensor and $\circ$ set in order to get better variational wavefunctions and xed-node energies. In fact, the transform ation above can be considered a generalization ofthe Feynm an-C ohen back ow quasi-particle coordinates ${ }^{233}$. By sim plifying $M_{i}(R)$ to the unit $m$ atrix tim es a scalar function we can easily recover the back ow w avefunction-w hich Feyn$m$ an and $C$ ohen suggested for liquid helum $\underline{2 l}^{3!}$ and which was súcqessfinlly em ployed in QM C in several previous studies $4^{24} 2^{251} 12$. The new feature proposed here is them etric tensor which enables to better describe the system $s$ w th inhom ogeneities and/or w ith rotation sym m etries.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

W e have investigated the nodes of atom ic and molecular spin-polarized system swith one-particle states in s;p; d channels. W e have studied cases w ith high sym $m$ etries which enabled us to nd exact nodes for several states $w$ th a few electrons $\left(p^{2} ; p^{3} ;^{2}\right)$. M oreover, the projection of $m$ ulti-dim ensional $m$ anifolds into 3D space enabled us to study and characterize properties of nodes, in particular, their topologies for the H artreeFock wavefiunctions. T his analysis has provided usefiulinsights and enabled us to form ulate a general transform ation of one-particle coordinates using coordinate translation (back ow ) and $m$ etric tensor to capture inhom ogeneities and/or rotation sym $m$ etries. Such transform ations can be usefulfor understanding the nodalproperties and topologies and also as a new variational freedom for QMC trialwavefunctions.

The support by ONR-N 00014-01-1-0408, and N SF DMR-0121361, DMR-0121361 grants is gratefully ac-
know ledged. L M . w ould like to thank D.B ressaniniand P. R eynolds for discussions and N. Salw en for help in the early stages of this work.
${ }^{1}$ D M. C eperley and M H . K alos, in M onte C arlo M ethods in Statistical Physics, edited by K. B inder, pp.145-194, Springer, B erlin, 1979; K E. Schm idt and D.M.C eperley, in $M$ onte $C$ arlo $M$ ethods in Statistical $P$ hysics II, pp 279355, edited by K. B inder, Springer, B erlin, 1984.
2 B L. H am m ond, W A. Lester, Jr., and P J. R eynolds, $M$ onte $C$ arlo $M$ ethods in $a b$ initio quantum chem istry, W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1994.
${ }^{3}$ M .W .C.Foulkes, L. M itas, R J. N eeds and G . R a jagopal, Rev.M od. Phys. 73, pp. 33-83 (2001).
${ }^{4}$ E.A. Hylleraas, Z. Phys. 54 , 347 (1929); 48, 469 (1928).
${ }^{5}$ G . B reit, P hys. R ev 35, 569 (1930); D. B ressanini, private com $m$ unication.
${ }^{6}$ J. B. A nderson, J. C hem . Phys. 63, 1499 (1975); 654121 (1976).

7 J.B.A nderson, P hys. Rev. A , 35, 3550 (1987).
${ }^{8}$ C.Schwartz, Phys. Rev. A 134, 1181 (1964).
${ }^{9}$ W . A . G lauser, W . R . B row $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{W}$. A . Lester, D . B ressan ini, B. L. H am m ond and M.L.K oszykow ski, J. C hem . P hys. 97, 9200 (1992).
10 D. M . C eperley, J. Stat. P hys. 63, 1237, (1991).
${ }^{11}$ W .M .C.Foulkes, R . Q . H ood and R .J.N eeds, P hys. R ev . B 60, 4558 (1999).
12 D. B ressan in i, D. M. C eperley and P. Reynolds, in Recent Advances in $Q$ uantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo $M$ ethods II, Ed.W . A. Lester, S.M . R othstein, and S. Tanaka, W orld Scienti C, Singapore (2002).
${ }^{13}$ L . M itas, G . D robny, M . B a jdich, L K . W agner, in C ondensed M atter Theories, V ol. 20, Eds. J.W. C lark and R . P ano , N ova Science P ublishers, to appear.
${ }^{14}$ D. B ressan in i and P J. R eynolds, U nexpected sym m etry in the nodal structure of the He atom, subm itted.
15 A nother system with such symmetries is the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 3 + (1s 2p ) state, rst analyzed by H. M. Jam es, A. S . C oolidge, and R D. P resent, J. C hem . Phys. 4, 184 (1936).
${ }^{16}$ K . R ektorys, Survey of A pplicable M athem atics, K luw er, 1994.
${ }^{17}$ D. Pedoe, G eom etry C om prehensive C ourse, D over, N ew York, 1988.
18 J.G . Sem ple, G.T . K neeb one, A lgebraic P rojective G eom etry, O xford U niversity P ress, O xford, 1979.
${ }^{19}$ P. Gri ths and J. H arris, P rinciples of A lgebraic G eom etry, W iley, N ew York, 1978.
${ }^{20}$ W . K utzeln igg, in E xplicitly C orrelated W ave Functions in C hem istry and P hysics, Ed. J. R ych lew ski, K luwer, D ordrecht 2003, pp.4-82 and references therein.
${ }^{21}$ I. O vcharenko, A. A spuru-Guzik, W . A. Lester Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 114, 7790 (2001).
22 A. K.W ilson, T.v.M ourik and T. H.D unning, Jr., J. M ol. Struct. (THEOCHEM) 388, 339 (1997).
${ }^{23}$ R. P. Feynm an and M. Cohen, Phys. Rev. 102, 1189 (1956).
${ }^{24}$ Y. K w on, D M . Ceperley and R M . M artin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 12037 (1993); ibid. 50, 1684 (1994); ibid. 53, 7376 (1996); ibid. 58, 6800 (1998).
${ }^{25}$ M . H olzm ann, D . M . C eperley, C . P ierleoni, and K . E sler Phys. R ev.E 68, 046707 (2003).
${ }^{26}$ R M. P ano and J. C arlson, Phys. R ev. Lett. 62, 1130 (1989).

