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#### Abstract

The $N$-state chiral Potts $m$ odel in lattioe statistical $m$ echanics can be obtained as a \descendant" of the six-vertex m odel, via an interm ediate \Q " or $\backslash 2\left(t_{q}\right) " m$ odel. H ere we generalize this to obtain a colum $n$-inhom ogeneous 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) model, and derive the functional relations satis ed by its row to-row transfer $m$ atrix. W e do not need the usual chiral Potts relations betw een the $N$ th powers of the rapidity param eters $a_{p} ; b_{p} ; G_{p} ; d_{p}$ of each colum $n . T h$ is enables usto readily consider the case of xed-spin boundary conditions on the left and right-m ost colum ns. W e thereby re-derive the sim ple direct product structure of the transfer $m$ atrix eigenvalues of this $m$ odel, which is closely related to the superintegrable chiral Potts modelwith xed-spin boundary conditions.


## Introduction

In a rem arkable paper, $[\underline{11}]$ B azhanov and Stroganov showed in 1990 how the recently-discovered solvable chiral P otts m odel could be obtained from the six-vertex m odelby a two-stage process. F irst one looked for a $\backslash Q$ " or 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) $m$ odel whose colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrix com muted $w$ th that of the six-vertex $m$ odel. $T$ his tumed out to be a spin $m$ odel on the square lattice, each spin taking a given number N of values. T hen one looked for a third $m$ odel whose row to row transfer $m$ atrix com muted $w$ th that of the 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) m odel. Th is was the N -state chiral Potts $m$ odel. Som e of this working was re-presented and extended by B axter, B azhanov and P erk. [2]

H ere we focus attention on the square lattice $L$ of $L$ colum ns. W ith row i we associate a horizontal \rapidity" $q_{i}$. W ith column j we associate two successive vertical rapidities $\mathrm{p}_{2 j} 1$; $\mathrm{p}_{2 j}$, as in F igure ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{11}$ (except for the in itial six-vertex $m$ odel, which has only one rapidity line per colum $n$, as we m ention below ).

The $p$ and $q$ rapidities are of di erent types: $q$ is a six-vertex m odel rapid-弌y, speci ed by a single com plex variable $t$, while $p$ is a chiral P otts $m$ odel


Figure 1: The square lattice $L$ of $L$ colum ns with cyclic boundary conditions, show ing the horizontal rapidity lines $q_{i} ; q_{i} ;::$ and the vertical rapidity lines $\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}$;:: : ; $\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}$.
rapidity, speci ed by four hom ogeneous variables ap $_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Because the aim of the earlier papers was to extablish a link between the six-vertex and hom ogeneous chiral Potts m odels, the chiralP otts conditions [ [i]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p}^{N}+k G_{p}^{N}=k d_{p}^{N} ; k^{0} a_{p}^{N}+b_{p}^{N}=k c_{p}^{N} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

were im $m$ ediately introduced. H ere $\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}$ are xed constants (the sam e for all sites and edges of the lattice), satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{k}^{02}=1: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point ofth is paper is to em phasize that these conditions are not needed
 allowed to take arbitrary values for each of the 2L vertical rapidity lines of the lattice, it is still true that the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrix (the $\backslash Q \mathrm{Nm}$ atrix) of the $2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel com m utes w th that of the original six-vertex m odel (though not $w$ th one another). Further, the row -to-row transfer $m$ atrices of two models $2\left(t_{q}\right), 2\left(t_{q}{ }^{0}\right)$ ( $w$ ith di erent horizontal rapidity variables $t_{q}, t_{q}{ }^{0}$ but the sam e vertical p-rapidities) commute. These $2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ and $j\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ atrices satisfy straightforw ard generalizations of the functional relations (4.27) of Ref. [i]

W e shall also show, still without the conditions (İ1), that we can de ne a chiralPotts m odel that is related to the ${ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odelby appropriate generalizations of the transfer $m$ atrix functional relations of $R$ ef. in general inhom ogeneous, its Boltzm ann weights being of the usual form, but the param eters therein being related in a rather com plicated algebraic $m$ anner both to the $p$ variables and to the $t_{q}$ variable. Further, its row to-row transfer $m$ atrices do not in general com $m$ ute $w$ th one another, except in the
particular com bination

$$
T\left(!^{i} x ;!^{j} y\right) P^{\oplus}\left(!^{k} x ;!^{1} y\right)
$$

de ned in equations i(2, integens.) Such a tw o-row transfer $m$ atrix is basically that of the \superintegrable" chiral P otts m odel. [1్య ]

F inally, we shallrem ark that this generalinhom ogeneousm odelinchades as a specialcase the hom ogeneous $2\left(t_{q}\right) m$ odelw ith closed ( $\left.x e d-s p i n\right)$ boundary conditions. The functional relations betw een the $j\left(t_{q}\right) m$ atrioes then sim plify, and the eigenvalue spectrum is that of a direct product of $L$ single-spin $m a-$ trices. This agrees $w$ th the properties of such a superintegrable chiral P otts m odel that we observed in

W e have w ritten the conditions ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, (2, $(\underline{1})$ dow $n$ because they are so usually
 $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{fa} ; \mathrm{ob}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{g}$ has value $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{fa} \mathrm{p}_{(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} \mathrm{g}$ on vertical rapidity line $m$, for $m=1 ; 2 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~L}$. There is no restriction on the com plex num bers $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m})}$.

## The six-vertex m odel

W e start by de ning a six-vertex $m$ odel in a particular eld. For this model the doubled vertical rapidity lines $\mathrm{p}_{1} ;:$ : : ; $\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}$ in F igure ${ }_{1}^{1}$, should be replaced by single \type q" rapidity lines $r_{1} ;::: ; r_{\mathrm{L}}$.

A ssociate a spin i w ith each site i of the square lattioe L, and allow i to take $N$ successive integer values, say $1 ; 2 ;::: ; N$. These can be extended to all integer values $w$ th the modular $N$ convention $i=i+N . N$ ot all values
 m ust satisfy the adjacency rule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=j \text { or } j 1 ; \bmod \mathrm{N}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and horizontally adjacent spins $i$; $j, w$ ith $j$ to the right of $i, m$ ust satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=i \text { or } i+1 ; \bmod N: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A typical face $i ; j ; k ; l$, w ith the comer sites $i ; j ; k ; l$ arranged anti-clockw ise form the bottom -left of the square lattice is shown in $F$ igure $1_{1}^{1}$. W ith each face i; j; k;l associate a Boltzm ann weight function $W_{6 v}(i ; j ; k ; 1)$. If ${ }_{i}=a$ is xed, then there are only six possible choiges of the other three spins, as shown in $F$ igure ${ }_{2}^{2}$. W e de ne the corresponding Boltzm ann weights to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a})=!\mathrm{t} 1 \quad ; \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}+1 ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a} 1)=!\mathrm{t} 1 \text {; } \\
& W_{6 v}(a ; a+1 ; a+1 ; a)=t \quad 1 \quad ; W_{6 v}(a ; a ; a 1 ; a 1)=\text { ! (t 1); }  \tag{5}\\
& W_{6 v}(a ; a+1 ; a ; a)=!\quad 1 \quad \text { } W_{6 v}(a ; a ; a ; a 1)=(!1) t ;
\end{align*}
$$
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Figure 2: The six spin con gurations of the six-vertex model.
for all integers $a$. $H$ ere

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=e^{2 i=N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t$ is a free param eter. For all other (non-allowed) values of $a ; b ; c ; d$ we take $W_{6 v}(a ; b ; c ; d)$ to be zero. W e m ay exhibit the $t$ dependence by writing W бv (a;b;c;d) as W бv (tja;b;c;d).
$T$ he partition function is

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{j} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{l}}\right):  \tag{7}\\
& \text { ijkl }
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the product is over all faces ( $i ; j ; k ; l$ ) of the lattioe, with cyclic (toroidal) boundary conditions. The outer sum is over all values of all the spins.

O ne can regain the usualarrow picture of the six-vertex $m$ odelby draw ing arrow s on the edges of the duallattice, pointing to the left or up ifthe spins on either side are equal, to the right or dow $n$ else. T hen the six spin con gurations
 each vertex and two point out. N ote that the weights (5) are not those of the usual zero- eld six-vertex $m$ odel, since the weights of the third and fourth con gurations are unequal. A eld w th weight $!^{=2}$ has been applied to the
 are related to our present variables ! by $e^{2}=$ !, $e^{+v}=t$.

W th each horizontal (vertical) rapidity $q_{i}\left(r_{j}\right)$ we associate a param eter $t_{\mathrm{Cl}_{i}} t_{r_{j}}$. Then the m odel is solvable if for each face

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t_{q}=t_{r} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q=q_{i}$ being the horizontal rapidity and $r=r_{j}$ the vertical. $T$ his is in part because the function $W_{6 V}$ satis es the star-triangle relation
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for $a l l t_{q} ; t_{r}$ and all values of the extemal spins $a ; b ; c ; d ; e ; f . T$ his relation is depicted graphically in F igure ' ${ }_{1}$, provided we take $W_{1} ; W_{2} ; W_{3}$ therein to be $W_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(t_{\mathrm{q}}\right) ; \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) ; \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.
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Figure 3: The generalized star-triangle relation

## Transfer $m$ atrices

In this N -state spin form ulation, the row -to-row transfer $m$ atrix of the six vertex $m$ odel is an $N^{L}$ by $N^{L} m$ atrix $U_{6 V}$, w th entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{U}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\right]_{a ; b}=\mathrm{Y}_{j=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(a_{j} ; a_{j+1} ; \mathrm{b}_{j+1} ; \mathrm{b}_{j}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=f a_{1} ;::: ; a_{L} g$ is the set of spins in the low er of two successive row $s$ of the lattice $L$, and $b=f b_{1} ;::: ; b_{L} g$ is the set ofspins in the row im $m$ ediately above. It depends on $t$, so can be w ritten as $U_{6 V}(t)$.

Sim ilarly, the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrix is $V_{6 v}=V_{6 V}(t)$, where
and $a=f a_{1} ;::: ; a_{M} g$ is the set of spins in one colum $n, b=f b_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{lam}_{M} g$, is the set of spins one colum $n$ to the right, and $M$ is the num ber of row $s$ of the lattioe.
 view ed as the elem ent ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{d}$ ) ofan N by $\mathrm{N} m$ atrix relation. It involves $m$ atrioes w ith entries $\mathrm{W}_{3}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{e}), \mathrm{W}_{3}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{f})$. P rovided these are invertible (which they usually are), the relation ensures that $[\underline{6}, \mathrm{x} 9.6]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{U}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\mathrm{U}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathrm{U}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the row to-row transfer $m$ atriaes com $m$ ute, for all choiges of $t_{q} ; t_{r}$.

Sim ilarly, regarding $a ; c ; d ; f$ as $x e d$ and each side of ${ }_{(1-1}^{\prime}(9)$ as the elem ent (b;e) of an $N$ by $N$ m atrix, it also im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{6 V}\left(t_{q}\right) V_{6 V}\left(t_{工}\right)=V_{6 V}\left(t_{工}\right) V_{6 V}\left(t_{q}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrices also com $m$ ute $w$ ith one another.
The $2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel
The $2\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel is also an N -state m odel on the square lattige L , but now the spins only need to satisfy the vertical ad jacency rule (ī). . The horizontal
rule ( $\overline{4})$ is not im posed. The partition function is again given by $(\bar{i}, \bar{\prime})$, but w ith W 6 v replaced by W .

The vertical adjacency rule $m$ eans that the Boltzm ann weight function W $(a ; b ; c ; d)$ is zero unless $a \quad d=0$ or $1(\bmod N)$ and $b \quad c=0$ or $1(m o d$ N ). If these constraints are satis ed, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d})=\mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}} \dot{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d}\right)= \\
\mathrm{X} \quad!^{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~d} b)}\left(!\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{pq}}(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{m}) ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}(0 ; 0)=1 ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}(0 ; 1)=!\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}(1 ; 0)=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}(1 ; 1)=!\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}}: \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ his function $W$ is the $m$ ultiplicand ofeqn. (3.44a) of $R$ ef. [̄]. It is linear in the rapidity variable $t_{q}$ and is the $B$ oltzm ann w eight of tw o triangles fa; $d ; m$, fl ; $\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{m} \mathrm{g}$, sum m ed over the com m on spin $m$, with value 0 or 1 , as represented
 are also edge weights $!^{\mathrm{md}} ;!^{\mathrm{mb}} ;\left(!\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{ad}}$, and a site weight $\left(!\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}$.
$H$ ere $p$ denotes the four com plex variables $a_{p} ; b_{p} ; c_{p} ; d_{p}$. A uxiliary variables that we shalluse are

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{p}=a_{p}=d_{p} ; y_{p}=b_{p}=c_{p} ; t_{p}=x_{p} y_{p} ; p=d_{p}=c_{p}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly forp ${ }^{0}$. T hroughout thispaperwe im pose no restrictions on $a_{p} ; \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (or $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}} 0 ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{d}_{p^{0}}$ ). T hey are independent variables.

In (14) $p$ and $p^{0}$ are the values of $p$ for the particular face of the lattice under consideration. If the face is betw een spin colum ns $J$ and $J+1$, then $p=p_{2 J} 1$ and $p^{0}=p_{2 J}$.

W e see the reason for the doubling of the vertical rapidity lines in $F$ igure 1건. The odd rapidities $\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} 1$ are those of triangles such as the one on the left in $F$ igure 1


$F$ igure 4: The Boltzm ann weight $W$ ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d}$ ) of the 2 m odel as that of two osculating triangles. If a; $d$ are in colum $n ~ J$ and $b ; c$ are in colum $n J+1$, then $p=p_{2 J} 1$ and $\mathrm{p}^{0}=\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~J}}$.

The rem arkable feature of the $2\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel is that $W$ and $W 6 \mathrm{~V}$ together satisfy a second star-triangle relation:
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for all $t_{q} ; t_{r}$.
Th is can be obtained from $(\underline{\overline{9}}, \mathbf{1})$ simply by replacing the rst tw $\circ \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}$ functions on each side by $W$. It is also represented by $F$ igure $\overline{13}$, but now $\mathrm{W}_{1} ; \mathrm{W}_{2} ; \mathrm{W}_{3}$ therein should be replaced by $\mathrm{W} \quad\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) ; \mathrm{W} \quad\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) ; \mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.
$W$ e can de ne row to-row and colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrices $2\left(t_{q}\right)$, $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}^{0}\right)$ for the 2 m odel by replacing W 6v in ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ by W . Then (1] $]_{1}$ ) im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(t_{q}\right) 2\left(t_{x}\right)=2\left(t_{x}\right) 2\left(t_{q}\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the row to-row transfer $m$ atriges com $m$ ute for all $t_{q}$.

A lso, from $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{6 V}(t) V\left(p ; p^{0}\right)=V\left(p ; p^{0}\right) V_{6 V}(t) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ atriges of the six-vertex $m$ odel therefore com $m$ utes $w$ th that of any particular 2 m odel. This was the starting-point of B azhanov and Stroganov's derivation [1]1] $\overline{1}]$. N ote that it does not im ply that the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrioes of tw o di erent ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odels com m ute. T his is because when! has the particular \root of unity" value (i) (he eigenvalues of the six-vertex $m$ odel are degenerate.

W e shall not consider colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrices any further herein. A ll the transfer $m$ atriges we shall $w$ rite dow $n$ in subsequent equations w illibe row to-row m atrices of dim ension $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$. T he verticalp-rapidities are to be regarded as constants and the horizontal q-rapidity param eters $t_{q}$ as variables, in general com plex.

W e note in passing that a usefiul check on both star-triangle relations is provided by noting from ( ${ }_{-1}$ ) that

$$
\mathrm{W}_{6 \mathrm{~V}}(1 ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d})=(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}):
$$

$W$ hen $t_{q}=t_{r}$, it im mediately follow s that $g=d(g=a)$ on the LHS (RHS) of each relation, and that both are trivially satis ed.

Evaluating ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4}$ ) for the four values of $a \operatorname{d}$ and $b \quad c, w e$ nd that in each case it is linear in the variable $t_{q}$, so from ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ them atrix $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ is a polynom ial in $t_{q}$ of degree at $m$ ost $L$ (usually it is of degree $L$ ). From the commutation relation (1]) (assum ing, as seem $s$ to be the case, that the eigenvalues are not identically degenerate), the eigenvectors of $2\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ust be independent of $t_{q}$. $T$ he eigenvalues are therefore also polynom ials in $t_{q}$ of degree $L$.

## The 2 ; T relation

The com $m$ utation relation ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is true for any two 2 m odels w th the sam e vertical rapidities $p_{1} ; p_{2} ;::: ; p_{2 L}$ and di erent horizontal rapidities $t_{4} ; t_{4}$. H ere $p_{j}$ is short-hand for the set $f a_{p_{j}} ; b_{p_{j}} ; c_{p_{j}} ; d_{p_{j}} g$, so there are actually 8L com plex num bers specifying the vertical rapidities. W e em phasize that there are no constraints on these num bers. They can all.be chosen independently and (1] $\overline{1}$ ) w ill still be satis ed.

The ob ject of this paper is to generalize the transfer $m$ atrix functional relations ofR ef. [i] to this arbitrary inhom ogeneousm odel. $W$ e start $w$ ith the ${ }_{2}$; T relation of section 4 therein. Allequation num bers herein that contain a decim alpoint, e.g. (4.10), are references to equations of $R$ ef. [ $\mathbb{Z}]$.

W ithout loss of generality, we can take $\mathrm{k}=0$ in tā]. Then (4.4) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[G_{J}(a)\right]_{m, m 0}=} & x \\
& !^{m^{0} d m a}\left(!t_{q}\right)^{a d m} 0 \\
& F_{p q}\left(a \quad d ; m^{0}\right) F_{p^{0} q}(a \quad d ; m) g_{J}(d) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and (4.9) is

$$
\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{x}=0}\left[G_{J}(a)\right]_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~m}} 0\left(r_{J+1}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{0}=g_{J}^{0}(a)\left(r_{J}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}:
$$

Here $m ; \mathrm{m}^{0}$ take the values $0 ; 1$ and the sum in $(\underline{2} \overline{\underline{q}})$ is over the allow ed values $a ; a \quad 1$ of the spin $d$.


Figure 5: The sites and faces of $L$ involved in the working of section 4 of are in column $J$, then $p^{0}=p_{2 J} 2$ and $p=p_{2 J 1}$.

TheRHS of $(\overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{1})$ is the Boltzm ann weight oftwo successive triangles of the 2 m odel, as show n in F igure' 15, , w ith associated edge and site weights, and an additional site weight $g_{J}(d)$. The spins a; d are in colum $n J(J=1 ;::: ; L)$ of


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~J} 1} ; \mathrm{p}^{0}=\mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~J} 2}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith this identi cation, (4.11) follow s and (4.12) is
for $J=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{L}$, taking $p_{0}=p_{2 L}$. $T$ his is the condition that the function $g_{J}(a)$ be periodic of period $N$, i.e. $g_{J}(a+N)=g_{J}(a) . W$ e need this because the spins in the 2 m odelonly take N values and are alw ays to be interpreted as integers modulo N .

G İven $r_{1}^{N}$, we can solve the bilinear relation (23) successively for $r_{2}^{N} ;::: ;$ $r_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{N}}, r_{\mathrm{L}+1}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}+1}=r_{1}$, this gives a quadratic relation for $r_{1}^{N}$, and hence for all the $r_{J}^{N}$. In $[\bar{Z}]$, we then used the fact that we were taking the vertical rapidities to be those of the usualchiralP otts m odelto obtain the tw o explicit solutions (4.13) for the $r_{J}^{N}$.

H ere we can no longer do this: allwe can say is that the sequence $r_{1}^{N} ;::: ; r_{L}^{N}$ is one of the two solutions of $\left[\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)_{[1}^{I_{1}}$
$W$ e can still carry on $w$ th the rest of the working. In place of (4.13) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{J}=!^{1} \quad{ }^{J}{ }^{1} x_{J} 1 ; y_{J} 1=t_{q}=x_{J} 1 ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{1}$ is an integer that does not enter the relations $[\underline{2} \overline{3} \mathbf{3})$. For given vertical rapidities $\mathrm{p}_{1} ;:$ : : ; $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$, we take $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ;:$ : : ; $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{y}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}}$ to be xed , satisfying $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \overline{3} \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$. Then we allow $1 ;::: ;$ l to take any set of integer values.

Equations (4.15) - (4.18) still follow, provided we replace! ${ }^{J} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$ by ! ${ }^{J} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{J}}$, ! ${ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}$ by ! ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{J}}$, and sim ilarly w ith J replaced by J 1. $T$ hen in place of (4.19) we obtain

$$
g_{J}(a)=y_{p} Y_{p^{0}} \bar{W}_{J} 1\left(a \quad \text { J } 11!x_{J} 1 ; Y_{J} 1\right) W_{J}\left(a \quad \text { J } j!x_{J} ; y_{J}\right) ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{J}\left(\mathrm{a} \quad \text { Ј } \mathfrak{j}!\mathrm{x}_{J} ;!\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{J}}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

 functions $W, \bar{W}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{J}\left(a \dot{x}_{J} ; y_{J}\right)=Y_{i=1}^{a} \frac{d_{p_{2 J} 1} \quad!^{i} a_{p_{2 J}}=y_{J J}}{b_{p_{2 J} 1} \quad!^{i} C_{p_{2 J} 1} x_{J}} ; \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote the distinction betw een $x$ and $y$ w th a $p$ or $p^{0}$ su $x$, and $x$ and $y$ $w$ th an integer $J$ or $J 1$ su $x$. The form er are de ned by $\bar{i}(\overline{1} \bar{W})$ and are vertical rapidity variables. The latter are de ned by $\bar{i}(\underline{2} 4)$. In fact, $x_{j} ; y_{J}$ are generalizations of the $\mathrm{x}_{\underline{q}} ;$ Yq of $[\overline{\mathbb{Z}}]$, so can be thought of as $\backslash q$ variables", but they also depend via $\left(\underline{2} \overline{3} \mathbf{3}_{1}\right)$ on all the vertical rapidities.

[^2]Thes functions are generalizations of the chiral P otts $m$ odel edge-w eight functionsW, $\bar{W}$ [ $\overline{4}$, eqns. 2 and 3], $[\underline{6}$, eqns. (2.4) and (2.5)]. T heir de nitions can be extended to negative integers a in the usual way:

for any $s_{i}$. H ow ever, they do not satisfy the usual periodicity conditions $W(a+N)=W(a), \bar{W}(a+N)=\bar{W}(a)$. Instead they satisfy the weaker condition
where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{J}}^{0}=!^{i} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{J}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{J}}^{0}=!^{i} \mathrm{y}_{J}$ and the integers $a ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{i}_{;} j$ are arbitrary. In fact this is just the condition $\left.\overline{2}_{2} \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. It ensures that the functions $g_{J}(a), g_{J}^{0}(a), g_{J}^{\infty}(a)$ are all periodic of period $N$.

The \chiralP otts" transfer m atrix
W e now generalize the usual de nition of the chiral Potts transfer $m$ atrix in (2.15a) and de ne a m atrix $T(x ; y)$ w th entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x ; y)_{a ;}=Y_{J=1}^{Y^{L}} W_{J}\left(a_{J} \quad{ }_{J} \dot{x}_{J} ; y_{J}\right) \bar{W}_{J 1}\left(a_{J} \quad{ }_{J 1} \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{J 1} ; Y_{J} 1\right): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Herex $=$ fx $_{1} ;::: ; x_{L} g, y=f y_{1} ;::: ; y_{L} g, a=f a_{1} ;::: ; a_{L} g$ and $=f 1 ;::: ;{ }_{\mathrm{L}} g$.
Because of $\left(\underline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$, increm enting any of the spins $a_{1} ;:: ; a_{L}$ by $N$ leaves $T(x ; y)_{a}$; unchanged. Thus the row $s$ of the $m$ atrix $T(x ; y)$ have the sam $e$ m odulo- N spin invariance property as the row s and colum ns of the 2 m odel tranfer $m$ atrix $2\left(t_{q}\right)$. Restricting each of these spins to $N$ values, $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ is a square $N^{L}$ by $N^{L} m$ atrix; $T(x ; y)$ has $N^{L}$ row $s$.

The colum ns of $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$, labelled by $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{L}$, are slightly m ore subtle. Increm enting any $J$ by $N$ does change the RHS of ( $2 \overline{2} \mathbf{q})$, but only by multiplying it by a factor independent of $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{L}$. Further, this factor depends on $x_{1} ;::: ; y_{l}$ only via their $N$ th powens. It follows that $T$ ( $x ; y$ ) has at $m$ ost $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ linearly independent colum ns , and num erical calculations strongly suggest that in general there are indeed $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ linearly independent colum ns. Thus although wem ay take $T(x ; y)$ to have m ore than $N^{L}$ colum $n s$, there is a unique $N^{L}$ by $N^{L} m$ atrix $S_{i j}(x ; y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i j}(x ; y) T(x ; y)=T\left(!^{i} x ;!^{j} y\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $i ; j$. $W$ e form ally $w$ rite $S_{i j}(x ; y)$ as

$$
T\left(!{ }^{i} x ;!^{j} y\right) T(x ; y)^{1} \quad:
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(t_{q}\right) T(!x ; y)=c\left(x ; t_{q}\right) T(x ; y)+d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) T(!x ;!y) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.C\left(x ; t_{q}\right)=Y_{J=1}^{\Psi} \frac{\left(y_{p_{2 J}} \quad 1\right.}{} \quad x_{x_{J}}\right)\left(t_{p_{2 J}} \quad t_{q}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

As in (2.42), de nean $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{m}$ atrix X with entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
X ; 0=Y_{J=1}^{\Psi}\left(J ;{ }_{J}+1\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the operator that shifts all spins in a row by one. It com $m$ utes $w$ ith $2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{X}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

 index iby i 1 . It follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(!{ }^{1} x ;!y\right)=(x ; y) \times T(x ; y) ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

O ne other function that we need is given by the obvious generalization of (423):

It is a polynom ial in $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}$, of degree 2 L .
$T$ hen one can verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(x ;!t_{q}\right) d\left(y ; t_{q}\right)(!x ; y)=z\left(!t_{q}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follow s that we write ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(t_{q}\right) T(!x ; y)=\frac{z\left(t_{q}\right)}{d\left(!{ }^{1} y ;!^{1} t_{q}\right)} \times T\left(!x ;!^{1} y\right)+d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) T(!x ;!y): \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The 2; 'T relation

We obtained $(\overline{3} \bar{g} \bar{G})$ by considering an $N^{L}$-dim ensional vector $g$ which is a direct product of $L$ vectors of dim ension $N$, form ing $2\left(t_{q}\right) g$ and nding the conditions under which this is the sum of two such direct product vectors. $T$ here are $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ linearly independent vectors g . T he $m$ atrix w ith these colum ns is $T$ ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ).
w e can also form instead the row -vector $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad 2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. C orresponding working goes through and we are led to de ne two more Boltzm ann weight edgefunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{J}\left(a \dot{x}_{J} ; Y_{J}\right)=\underbrace{\mathrm{Y}^{a}}_{i=1} \frac{!\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J}} \mathrm{x}_{J}}{\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{P}_{2 J}}=\mathrm{y}_{J}}!^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J}}!^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J}} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\vec{W}}_{J}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\left.\mathrm{x}_{J} ; \mathrm{Y}_{J}\right)}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{a}} \frac{!\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J}}=\mathrm{y}_{J} \quad!^{\mathrm{i} 1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J} 1}}{!\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J} 1} \mathrm{x}_{J}}!^{i} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{p}_{2 J} 1}\right. \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a transfer $m$ atrix $P(x ; y) w$ th entries

Setting
we obtain the analogue of (421):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ;!y)_{2}\left(t_{q}\right)=\frac{z\left(!t_{q}\right)}{\mathscr{P}\left(!y ;!t_{q}\right)} P\left(x ;!^{2} y\right) X+\mathbb{P}\left(y ; t_{q}\right) P(x ; y): \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The j relations

H ere we extend the 2 m atrices to the set $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}+1$, where ${ }_{j}={ }_{j}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is a polynom ial in $t_{q}$ of degree ( $j$ 1)L. W e shall not give explicit de nitions in term $s$ of lattige m odels, as is done in section 3 of $R$ ef. 㳖], but will use only the relation $(\overline{3} \bar{z})$.

W e start by de ning

$$
\begin{align*}
r(x ; y)= & d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) d\left(!y ;!t_{q}\right) \quad d\left(!y ;!^{r 1} t_{q}\right) ; r \quad 0 \\
= & \left.\left.f d\left(!^{1} y ;!^{1} t_{q}\right) d\left(!^{2} y ;!^{2} t_{q}\right) \quad \text { dy }!!^{r} t_{q}\right) g^{1} ; r \quad 0 ; 44\right) \\
& D_{r}(x ; y)=\quad(x ; y) T\left(!x ;!^{r} y\right) T(!x ; y)^{1}: \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $D_{0}(x ; y)=I$ is the identity m atrix. From (2 $\left.\bar{q}_{1}\right)$, we expect the RHS to exist and be unique.

T he relation ( $\overline{3} \bar{q}$ ) can then be w ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(t_{q}\right)=z\left(t_{q}\right) X_{1}(x ; y)+D_{1}(x ; y): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin-shift operator $X$ com mutes with $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ and w ith all the $D_{r}(x ; y)$. It is consistent $w$ th ( $\overline{4} \underline{\underline{q}})$ that all the $m$ atrioes $D_{r}\left(!{ }^{i} X ;!{ }^{j} y\right)$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) and w th one another, for all $r ; i j j$. $T$ his is what we observe num erically : we shall assum e that this is so.

W e now de ne $j\left(t_{q}\right)$ to be
for $j \quad 0 . T$ hen

$$
0\left(t_{q}\right)=0 ; \quad 1\left(t_{q}\right)=I
$$

and 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) is as given in (4) . From this de nition it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(!^{j 1} t_{q}\right) j\left(t_{q}\right)=z\left(!^{j 1} t_{q}\right) X \quad j 1\left(t_{q}\right)+j+1\left(t_{q}\right) ; \tag{48a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
j\left(!t_{q}\right) 2\left(t_{q}\right) & =z\left(!t_{q}\right) X \quad j 1\left(!^{2} t_{q}\right)+j+1\left(t_{q}\right) ;  \tag{48b}\\
N+1\left(t_{q}\right) & =z\left(t_{q}\right) X N 1\left(!t_{q}\right)+{ }_{q}+-_{q} ; \tag{48c}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1 ;::: ; N$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& q={ }_{i=0}^{N_{Y} 1} d\left(!^{i} Y ;!^{i} t_{q}\right) ;  \tag{49}\\
& { }_{i=0}^{N_{Y} 1} z\left(!^{i} t_{q}\right)=d\left(!{ }^{i} y ;!^{i} t_{q}\right): \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

 unchanged by the $m$ apping

$$
x ; y ; t_{q}!x ;!y ;!t_{q}:
$$

$T$ hese equations are the generalizations of (4.27) - (4.29). In deriving them we have kept $x=f x_{1} ;::: ; x_{L} g$ xed and incorporated all $m$ ultiplications by powers of! into $y=f_{y_{1}} ;::: ; y_{L} g$ and therefore $t_{q}$, so if we write the ms of $\left(\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ m ore explicitly as $j(x ; y)$, then by $j\left(!{ }^{k} t_{q}\right)$ in the above equations we m ean $j\left(x ;!^{k} y\right)$. H ow ever, (48áal ) or ( form $3\left(t_{q}\right), 4\left(t_{q}\right)$, etc. Since $z\left(t_{q}\right)$ and $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ are polynom ials in $t_{q}$ of degree $2 \mathrm{~L} L$, respectively, from this construction it follows that each $j$ ( $t_{q}$ ) is also a polynom ial in $t_{q}$, of degree ( $j 1$ )L. So $j\left(t_{q}\right)$ is indeed a single-valued function of $t_{q}$, unchanged by replacing $x ; y$ by ! $x ;{ }^{1} y$, and by the choice of the solution of ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

From [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1})$ it follow s that all the $m$ atrioes $j\left(t_{q}\right)$ com $m$ ute, for all values of $t_{q}$. T here is therefore a sim ilarity transform ation, independent of $t_{q}$, that
 functionalrelations for each eigenvalue, which is also a polynom ialin $t_{q}$. T hese relations de ne the eigenvalue. T here are $m$ any solutions, corresponding to the di erent eigenvalues.

A nother w ay of looking at this is to note that if we replace y in ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ by !y;! ${ }^{2} y ;::: ;!{ }^{N}{ }^{1} y$, we obtain a total of $N$ hom ogeneous linear equations for N unknowns $\mathrm{T}(!\mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{y})$;:::;T(!x;!$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1} y\right)$. The determ inant of these relations $m$ ust vanish, and that is the relation for the function $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ obtained by elim -
 and ( $4 \overline{8} \mathrm{E}$ ) .
 could equally well have derived them from ( $\overline{4} \overline{3}$ ). .

## C alculation of $q^{+}{ }^{+}$

Since each $m$ atrix function $j\left(t_{q}\right)$ is a polynom ial in $t_{q}$, from ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{8} \bar{q}\right)$, the sam $e$ m ust be true of $q^{+}{ }^{-}{ }_{q}$, and it m ust be of degree at most N L. This is by no $m$ eans obvious: it appears from $\left(\overline{4} \bar{Y}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{0}_{1}\right)$ that $q$ and ${ }_{q}$ are each quite com plicated functions of the solution $x_{1} ;::: ; x_{\mathrm{L}}$ of $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1})$. T he ob ject of this section is to unravel th is little $m$ ystery.

From [1] $\overline{1}$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{4})$, using the shorthand notation $(\overline{2} \overline{2})$, we can w rite the the condition $[\overline{2} \overline{3})$ as

W e can use this relation to elim inate the factors containing $Y_{J}$ in ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ and


$$
\begin{align*}
& -_{q}=Y_{J=1}^{\Psi} \frac{\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)\left(t_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad Y_{q}^{N}\right)}{y_{p}^{N} Y_{p^{0}}^{N}\left(x_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)} ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the multiplicands we again write p; $p^{0}$ for $p_{2 J} 1 ; p_{2 J} 2$, respectively.
Since $J=1 ;::: ;$ L and $x_{0}=x_{L},\left(\underline{1} \underline{1}_{1}\right)$ is a set of $L$ equations for $x_{1}^{N} ;::: ; x_{L}^{N}$. W e noted above that it has two solutions. Let the other solution be $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{0 \mathrm{~N}} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0 \mathrm{~N}}$. $T$ hen from ( $\left.5 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{p^{0}}^{N} & x_{J}^{N} \quad 1
\end{array}\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad x_{p}^{N} x_{J}^{N}\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad y_{p^{0}}^{N} x_{J}^{N} \quad 1\right)\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{0 N}\right)=  \tag{53}\\
& \left(x_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{\alpha N} \quad\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad x_{p}^{N} x_{J}^{0 N}\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad y_{p}^{N} x_{J}^{N} \quad 1\right)\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right): ~
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his equation can be rew ritten in the $\backslash W$ ronskian" form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{J}^{N} & 1 & x_{J}^{\alpha N}
\end{array}\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad x_{p}^{N} x_{J}^{N}\right)\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{\alpha N}\right)\left(t_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad t_{q}^{N}\right)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{J}^{N} & x_{J}^{\alpha N}
\end{array}\right)\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad Y_{p^{0}}^{N} x_{J}^{N} \quad 1\right)\left(x_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{\alpha N} \quad 1\right)\left(t_{p}^{N} \quad t_{q}^{N}\right): ~ \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e can use ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ to elim inate the factors $\left(t_{q}^{N} \quad x_{p}^{N} x_{J}^{N}\right)$, ( $\left.t_{q}^{N} \quad y_{p^{0}}^{N} x_{J}^{N}{ }_{1}\right)$, leaving

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)\left(t_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad t_{q}^{N}\right)}{Y_{p}^{N} Y_{p^{0}}^{N}\left(x_{p^{0}}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N} \quad 1\right.}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the product over $J=1 ;:: ;$, $L$, the factors $\left(x_{J}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)$, ( $\left(\begin{array}{lll}x_{J}^{N} & 1 & x_{J}^{d N}\end{array}\right)$ cancel, gìing

$$
\begin{equation*}
-_{q}=[q]^{0} ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[q]$ is de ned by ${ }_{1}^{(522)}$, but $w$ th each $x_{J}$ replaced by $x_{J}^{0}$. Interchanging each $x_{J}, x_{J}^{0}$, it follow $s$ at once that $q=[q]^{0}$, so $q^{+}{ }^{-}{ }_{q}$ is unchanged by replacing the solution $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ by the altemative solution $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}$. It is therefore a single-valued function of $t_{q}$.

N ow we look at ( $\mathbf{5}_{1} \mathbf{1}_{1}$ ), considered as a recursion relation giving $x_{J}^{N} 1$ in term $\operatorname{s}$ of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{J}^{N}=f_{J}=g_{J} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $J=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{L} . \mathrm{T}$ hen we can choose the norm alization so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{p}^{N} Y_{p^{0}}^{N} g_{J}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
Y_{p^{0}}^{N} & \begin{array}{l}
N \\
p
\end{array} & p^{0} X_{p}^{N}
\end{array}\right) f_{J}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
N \\
p & p^{0}
\end{array} t_{q}^{N} \quad Y_{p}^{N} Y_{p^{0}}^{N}\right) g_{J} ; \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

where again $p=p_{2 J} 1, p^{0}=p_{2 J}$. This is a linear relation for $\left(f_{J} 1 ; g_{J} 1\right.$ ) in term $s$ of $\left(f_{J} ; g_{J}\right)$.

W ith these de nitions, we nd that them ultiplicand in the second equation (52) is sim ply $g_{J} 1=g_{J}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
-{ }_{q}=Y_{J=1}^{Y^{\amalg}} g_{J} 1=g_{J}=g_{0}=g_{L}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ne two-by-two m atrices
and set

$$
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad:
$$

Then ( 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{J}=A_{2 J}^{2} B_{2 J} 1 \text { J : } \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{0}^{N}=x_{L}^{N}$, it follows that $0=L$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\quad \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \quad 2_{2 L} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} 1 \quad \mathrm{~L} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus is the eigenvalue of $U=A_{2 L} B_{1} \quad{ }_{2 L} A_{2} B_{2 L} 1, \quad$ is the corresponding eigenvector and, from (50]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-_{q}=\text { : } \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U$ is a two-by-two matrix, it has two eigenvalues and 0 , corresponding to the two solutions $x$ and $x^{0}$ of the recurrence relations. H ow ever, we have just shown that interdhanging the solutions replaces ${ }^{-}{ }_{q}$ by ${ }_{q}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=0: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $+{ }^{0}$ is the trace of the $m$ atrix $U$, it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{+}+{ }_{q}=\operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} 1\right): \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are regarding the verticalrapidity param eters $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}}$, $p_{\mathrm{L}}$ as constants and $t_{q}$ as a com plex variable, so this is an explicit expression for $q+{ }_{q}$ that $m$ akes it clear that it is indeed a polynom ial in $t_{q}^{N}$. Since
$A_{2 J}^{2} B_{2 J} 1$ is linear in $t_{q}^{N}$, this polynom ial is of degree not greater than $L$ (in general it is of degree $L$ ).

From $(\overline{3} \overline{6}),(\overline{5} \overline{2})$ and $(\overline{6} \overline{0})$ it is readily seen that

$$
{ }^{0}=\operatorname{det} U=\int_{i=1}^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}} z\left(!!^{i} t_{q}\right)=q_{q}^{-} ;
$$

so we could have obained (6]í) w thout going through the working from equation $\left(\overline{5} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ to $(\overline{5} \overline{6})$. W e have included that w orking, partly for com pleteness, but also because it is an elegant exam ple of how in solvable models the algebra conspires to produce needed results.
 closed set of equations that determ ine the eigenvalues of the $j\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ atrices, allquantities being polynom ials in the com plex variable $t_{q}$. To use them, there is no need to solve the eigenvalue equation ( $\overline{6} \overline{2})$, which is equivalent to the recurrence relation (2̄3근). W e could presum ably have obtained these relations directly by a \fusion" m ethod, generalizing the de nition (3.26) - (3.44) of $j\left(t_{q}\right)$, but this is quite technical. W e prefer the present approach, based on the equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{B}_{1}^{1}\right)$.

W e have assum ed that the $m$ atrices $D_{r}\left(!^{i_{X}} ;!{ }^{j} y\right)$ com $m$ ute $w$ th one another and w th $2\left(t_{q}\right)$. This assum ption agrees w ith num erical calculations we have perform ed for $N=L=3$, but it can probably be rem oved. $W$ e can certainly apply a sim ilarity transform ation (independent of $t_{q}$ ) that diagonalizes 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) (for all $t_{q}$ ). A pplying this only to the left of ( $3 \overline{2} \mathbf{d}$ ), it becom es a set of $m$ any equations for each eigenvalue of $2\left(t_{q}\right)$. If we focus on just one eigenvalue and one such equation, then, as we rem arked above, we can obtain $N$ relations from it by replacing $y ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}$ by $!^{i} ;!^{i} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}$, for $\mathrm{i}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$. These are hom ogeneous linear relations for the corresponding $N$ elem ents of $T$ (! x; ! y ), so their determ inant $m$ ust vanish. T he resulting determ inantal relation $m$ ust


## The T; relations.

From the de nitions $\left.{ }^{(-\overline{4} \eta}\right)$ we can also establish that

$$
q j\left(t_{q}\right)+z\left(t_{q}\right) \quad \dot{z}\left(!t_{q}\right) X^{j}{ }_{N}\left(!!^{j} t_{q}\right)=D_{j}\left(x ;!^{1} y\right)_{N}\left(t_{q}\right)
$$

for $j=0 ;::: ; N$. From ( $\left.\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{1}\right)$, there $m$ ust therefore be a $m$ atrix $Y(x ; y)$, independent of $j$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(x ;!^{1} y\right) T\left(!x ;!^{j 1} y\right) Y(x ; y)=q j\left(t_{q}\right)+z\left(t_{q}\right) \quad \text { í }\left(!t_{q}\right) X^{j}{ }_{N} j^{\left(!{ }^{j} t_{q}\right)} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=0 ;::: ; N$.
$T$ hese equations have the sam e structure as the fiusion hierarchy ofrelations (3.46), except we still have to identify the m atrix Y. W e have not fully done


$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{J}\left(a j!x_{J} ; y_{J}\right) W_{J}\left(a \dot{x}_{J} ; y_{J}\right)=1 \text {; } \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { c } \\
& \frac{N\left(x_{p} \quad!^{1} y_{J}\right)\left(y_{p} \quad!x_{J}\right)\left(t_{p}^{N} \quad t_{q}^{N}\right)}{\left(t_{p} \quad t_{q}\right)\left(x_{p}^{N} \quad y_{J}^{N}\right)\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)} \quad \text { a;b; } \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=p_{2 J} 1$, and $a ; b=1$ if $a=b$ to $m$ odulo $N$, else $a ; b=0$. The sum is over any N consecutive integer values of C : although the W functions individually are not periodic functions, the products in the above tw o equations are indeed periodic functions of $a ; b ; c$, of period $N$.
$C$ onsider the $m$ atrix product $T(!x ; y) \oplus(x ; y)$, by which wem ean the usual sum over the interm ediate indices, in this case both being the indiaes in
 certain factors, but divides the row $s$ of ${ }^{P}(x ; y)$ by the sam e factors, so leaves their product unchanged. T hus we can naturally take the interm ediate sum to be over the values $0 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ (or any set of N successive values) of each of 1i:::; L.


Figure 6: The Boltzm ann weight of a face of the transfer $m$ atrix product T (! x;y) $\mathrm{P}(x ; y)$.

The matrix product is the Boltzm ann weight of two successive row of the lattice. A typical face of this double row is shown in $F$ igure ' $\bar{i}$. Suppose the tw o extemal spins $a_{j+1} ; b_{J+1}$ are equal. T hen from $\left[6 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, the $\mathrm{B} \circ \mathrm{ltzm}$ ann weight factors $\bar{W}_{J}$ W factors cancel. The sum over the centre spin $c$ then gives the RHS of ( $6 \overline{6}$ ), which vanishes unless $a_{J}=b_{J}, \bmod N$.

If $a_{J}=b_{J}$, then the sam e argum ent applied to the face to the left tells us that $a_{J}=b_{J} 1$, and so on. It follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(!x ; y) P(x ; y)=\left[1\left(t_{q}\right)+R\right]=g(x ; y) ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a matrix with non-zero elem ents $R_{a b}$ only when $a_{1} b_{1},:::$, $a_{L} \in b_{L}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ; y)=\underbrace{Y^{I}}_{J=1} \frac{\left(t_{p} \quad t_{q}\right)\left(x_{p}^{N} \quad y_{J}^{N}\right)\left(y_{p}^{N} \quad x_{J}^{N}\right)}{N\left(x_{p} \quad!{ }^{1} y_{J}\right)\left(y_{p} \quad!x_{J}\right)\left(t_{p}^{N} \quad t_{q}^{N}\right)} ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $p$ in the $m$ ultiplicand is $p_{2 \mathrm{~J}} 1$.
This is an \inversion identity": it has the same structure as the $j=1$ case of $(\overline{6} \bar{G})$, $w$ th the $m$ atrix $T(!x ; y)$ on the left and the rst term on the
right being proportional to $1\left(t_{q}\right)$, i.e. to the identity $m$ atrix. $W$ e con jecture (in agreem ent with num erical calculations) that the right-hand sides of the tw o relations are in fact the sam $e$, to $w$ thin a scalar factor, in which case $R=z\left(t_{q}\right) X \quad N \quad 1\left(!t_{q}\right)={ }_{q}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1\left(x ;!^{1} y\right) Y(x ; y)=q g(x ; y) Ð(x ; y): \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then ( 6 ( 6 ) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(x ; y) \quad j 1(x ; y) T\left(!x ;!^{j 1} y\right) P(x ; y)= \\
& j\left(t_{q}\right)+z\left(t_{q}\right) \quad \text { 主 }\left(!t_{q}\right) X^{j}{ }_{N} j^{j}\left(!t_{t_{q}}\right)=q_{q}: \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the generalization of (3.46).

C on sisten cy
A $n$ interesting consistency check on ( $\overline{7} \overline{2}$ ) is provided by post m ultiplying ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{1}$ ) by $\mathfrak{P}(x ;!y)$, and prem ultiplying (4-3) by $T(!x ; y)$. The lefthand sides are then the sam $e$, equating the right-hand sides gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{z\left(t_{q}\right)}{d\left(!^{1} y ;!^{1} t_{q}\right)} X T\left(!x ;!^{1} y\right) P(x ;!y)+d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) T(!x ;!y) P(x ; y)= \\
\frac{z\left(!t_{q}\right)}{d\left(!y ; t_{q}\right)} T(!x ; y) P\left(x ;!^{2} y\right) X+d P\left(y ; t_{q}\right) T(!x ; y) P(x ; y): \tag{73}
\end{gather*}
$$

W e can use ( $\overline{7} 2 \overline{1}$ ) to express each of the $T$ products as a sum of $j$ term s . In fact we get only term s proportional to ${ }_{1}\left(t_{q}\right)$, $1\left(!t_{q}\right), \quad \mathrm{N} \quad 1\left(!t_{q}\right)$ and $N_{1}\left(!^{2} t_{q}\right)$. There are two term $s$ proportional to each of these four factors. Since ${ }_{1}\left(t_{q}\right)=I$, we can interchange ${ }_{1}\left(t_{q}\right)$ w th ${ }_{1}\left(!t_{q}\right)$ on the RHS. U sing only the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{2}(x ;!y)=q^{\prime}=f d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) d\left(!^{1} y ;!{ }^{1} t_{q}\right) g ; \\
d\left(y ; t_{q}\right)=d\left(y ; t_{q}\right) g(x ; y)=g(x ; y)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the commutation of X w th all the j matrioes, we then nd that the two term $s$ for each factor cancel, thereby verifying [1]

## The $2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel w ith open boundaries.

 functions.

These relations sim plify greatly when we im pose xed-spin boundary conditions on the left and right sides of the lattioe. W e can do this by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}=0: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}}(1 ; \mathrm{m})=0$, so the weight function of F igure, $\frac{1}{4}$ van ishes for the faces betw een colum n 1 and colum n 2 unless a = d. This is equivalent to requiring that all the spins in colum $n 1$ of $F$ igure ', 1,1, be equal. T he m odel is unchanged
by increm enting every spin by one, so we can in particular require that every spin on colum n 1 be zero. It is evident from $F$ igure' 1 requiring that all spins on the left and right boundaries be zero.
(7-4ㄴ) im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}=\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=-{ }_{\mathrm{q}}=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the relations ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{-1})-(\overline{4} \overline{8} \bar{d})$ sim plify to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(t_{q}\right)_{2}\left(!t_{q}\right) \quad 2\left(!^{N}{ }^{1} t_{q}\right)=: \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [6] $\overline{6}$ ), noting that the second row of the $m$ atrix $B_{1}$ is now zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathbb{B}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \quad{ }_{2 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~J}_{11}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS is a polynom ial in $t_{q}^{N}$ of degree $L$ and we noted above that 2 ( $t_{q}$ ) and its eigenvalues are polynom ials in $t_{q}$ of degree $L$. Further, when either $t_{q}$ is large or small, to leading order $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ is diagonal, $w$ ith entries

$$
Y_{J=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{L}}\left(1 \quad!a_{J+1} a_{J}+1_{t_{q}=y_{2 J}} \quad 1 \quad Y_{2 J}\right)
$$

in row and column $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{a}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{g}$. Let the zeros of $\left[\bar{\eta}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ be $\mathrm{s}_{1}^{N} ; s_{2}^{N},:::, s_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{N}}$. $T$ hen $i t$ follow $s$ that all eigenvalues of $2\left(t_{q}\right)$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=\left(!^{L}=Y\right)_{j=1}^{Y^{L}}\left(S_{j} \quad!{ }^{j} t_{q}\right) ; \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $s_{1} S_{2} \quad$ L $=s!{ }^{L} Y$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Y_{J=1}^{Y^{U}} Y_{P_{2 J}} Y_{P_{2 J}}: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $1 ;::: ;$ l are integers $w$ th values in the range $0 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad$ 1. They satisfy the condition $1^{+} \quad \mathrm{I}^{+}=0$, and it seem s from low -tem perature expansions that the full set of $N^{L} 1$ eigenvalues is obtained by allow ing $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{L}}$ to take all such values (distinct to modull N ).

It appears that the other values of $1 ;::: ;$; that do not satisfy the sum rule also corresp ond to eigenvalues of $2\left(t_{q}\right)$, provided we generalize the m odel to allow the skewed boundary conditions

$$
a_{L+1}=a_{1}+r
$$

in every row of the lattice ( so all spins in colum $n 1$ are still the sam e, as are all spins in colum $n \mathrm{~L}+1$, but now those in the two boundary colum ns no longer need be equal). Then

$$
1+\quad \pm=r:
$$

W e can take $r$ to be an integer in the range $0 ;::: ; N 1$.

The eigenvahes therefore have the sam e sim ple structure as do direct products of $L \mathrm{~m}$ atrices, each of size N by N . For $N=2$ this is the structure of the eigenvalues of the Ising m odel. [ig $\overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ]

For the Ising $m$ odelth is property follow $s$ from $K$ aufm an's solution in term $s$ of spinor operators $[1][$ d, i.e. a C li ord algebra'[1], p.189] W hether there is som e generalization of such spinor operators to handle the $2\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odelw ith open boundaries rem ains a fascinating speculation. [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ]
$T$ he results of this section were obtained in $R$ ef. the superintegable chiral Potts $m$ odel and rotated it though 90 to obtain a $m$ odel that is in fact the present $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel. Then we inverted its row torow transfer $m$ atrix, thereby obtaining the present $2\left(t_{q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel. W e did in fact note in section 7 of $\left[\frac{15}{1} 1\right]$ that we could allow the m odulus $k$ to be di erent for di erent row $s$ : this corresponds to our here allow ing op; $\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ to all vary arbitrarily from colum $n$ to colum $n$.

## Sum m ary

W e have shown that the colum n-inhom ogeneous $2\left(t_{q}\right) m$ odel is solvable for all values of the 8 L param eters $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{I}}$, where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}_{J}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}_{J}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}_{J}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}_{J}}$ are associated w ith the Jth vertical dotted line in F igure $\overline{1}_{11}^{1 .}$. They do not need to satisfy the \chiral Potts" conditions (11). $T$. I he m odel then has the unusualproperty that its row -torrow transferm atrices (w ith di erent values of $t_{q}$ but the sam $e a_{p_{1}} ;::: ; d_{p_{2 L}}$ ) com $m$ ute, while the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer m atrices do not.
 (421), (427a) - (427c), (3.46) of Ref. [2]. The last generalization (7̄2) is essentially a con jecture, depending as it does on the identi cation of $w$ ith the $j=1$ case of ( $\overline{6} \overline{6})$. H owever, it has been tested num erically for $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{w}$ th arbitrarity chosen values of the param eters and found to be true to the 30 digits of precision used.

O ne signi cant di erence from the hom ogeneous $m$ odel is that the asso-
 solution $r_{1} ;::: r_{\text {L }}$ of $(\underline{2} \bar{z})$. If we change $t_{q}$ then we change $r_{1} ;::: ; r_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a nontrivialway, so it m akes little sense to com bine $T$ ( $x ; y$ ) for one value of $t_{q} w$ ith $\hat{T}(x ; y)$ for another value. It appears that our generalized chiral P otts transfer $m$ atrices $T$ and $\hat{T}$, $w$ th di erent values of $t$, do not satisfy any general com $m$ utation relations like (2.31) - (2.33) of $R$ ef. $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}]$ ].

In short, we can generalize the $2\left(t_{q}\right) m$ odel to arbitrary $a_{p_{1}} ;::: ; d_{p_{2 L}}$, but the only chiralP otts $m$ odelwe can correspondingly generalize is the \superintegrable" $m$ odel $w$ th the altemate row -to-row transferm atrices $T(x ; y)$, $\hat{T}(x ; y)$ de ned above. In each double row $\ddagger ; r_{1}^{N} ;:: ; r_{L}^{N} m$ ust be the sam e for $T(x ; y)$ and $\hat{T}(x ; y)$.

The functional relations ( $4 \overline{8} \overline{-1})-(\overline{4} \overline{8} \bar{d})$ de ne the eigenvalues of the row -to-row transfer matrix $2\left(t_{q}\right)$. For xed-spin conditions on the left and right boundaries these can be solved explicitly, giving the sim ple \direct product" result (7̄-í).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $\hat{T}(x ; y)$ of this paper generalizes the $\hat{T}$ of $R$ ef. $\left.\bar{i}\right]$, but $w i t h x$ and $y$ interchanged.
    ${ }^{2}$ They ensure that the colum $n$-to-colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrices of the $2\left(t_{q}\right) m$ odel, i.e. the $Q \mathrm{~m}$ odel, com $m$ ute.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ asquier and Saleur consider the ham iltonian associated w ith the six-vertex m odel in this special eld. ${ }^{\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ T hey do have som e interesting properties, as in equation (5-6).

