Theory of isotope e ect in photoem ission spectra of high-Tc superconducting cuprates

G. Seibold¹ and M. Grilli²

¹ Institut fur Physik, BTU Cottbus, PBox 101344, 03013 Cottbus, Germany and ² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, Unita Roma 1 and SMC Center, and Dipartimento di Fisica Universita di Roma "La Sapienza" piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Roma, Italy (Dated: March 22, 2024)

We investigate the e ect of isotope substitution on the electronic spectral functions within a model where the charge carriers are coupled to bosonic charge-order (CO) uctuations centered around some mean frequency $!_0$ and with enhanced scattering at wave-vector q_c . It is shown that a mass dependence of $!_0$ is not su cient in order to account, especially at high energies, for the dispersion shifts experimentally observed in an optimally doped superconducting cuprate. We argue that isotope substitution induces a change of the spatial CO correlations which gives good account of the experimental data.

PACS num bers: 74.72.-h, 74.20 M n, 71.38 C n, 79.60.-i

Several isotope e ects (IE) have been found in underdoped superconducting cuprates, not only in the critical temperature but also in the super uid density, in the ferm ion e ective mass, and in the dynamic lattice distortion [1] and in the pseudogap crossover temperature T [2]. M ore recently a remarkable IE has also been found in angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) experim ents on optim ally doped Bi-2212 [3]. In this experim ent the dispersive single-particle excitations were investigated and strong peculiar variations upon replacing 16 O with 18 O were found. Specically, little isotopic dependence was found both for the kink and the low-energy part of the spectra along the ! X, [i.e., (0;0)! (;)] direction. On the other hand the dispersive \hump" at higher binding energy displayed a sizable IE, which caused a shift of about 15 meV towards larger binding energies. A round the M points at (;0) and (0;) the \mathbb{E} has a reversed sign and both the low-and high-energy parts of the dispersion shift to smaller binding energies upon isotope substitution. In this region shifts as large as 40 m eV are reported. This IE is absolutely non trivial in various respects. First of all, the ion replacement is expected to change by only 6% the phonon frequencies involving oxygen ions. The changes of the electron dispersions are large and within a standard perturbative E liashberg-like scheme would imply too large e-ph couplings [4]. Secondly the isotopic shifts have dierent signs depending on the region of the Ferm i surface. Thirdly they do not substantially occur around the \kinks" of the dispersion curves, as it would be expected if the isotope replacem entwere only changing the frequency of the phonon [or m ore generally of the collective m ode (CM)] interacting with the quasiparticles (QP's).

In this letter we speci cally address the issue of this peculiar IE in ARPES and we provide a natural explanation for it within the theory based on the occurrence of a charge-ordering (CO) quantum -critical point (QCP) around optimal doping of the cuprates [5]. This theory predicts the occurrence of a CO phase in a substantial

region of the phase diagram below a doping-dependent critical temperature $T_{C\,O}$ (x) ending at zero temperature in a QCP around optimal doping. Actually several mechanisms (pairing, disorder, and low dimensionality) may prevent a fully realized long-range CO state. Nevertheless, despite this \m issed" criticality, in the lowtem perature underdoped region below T T_{CO} , local dynamical CO should be revealed as a natural inclination of the system. A fter this theoretical prediction, many evidences have arisen that a QCP could be hidden under the superconducting \dom e" [6, 7]. The prediction of a local CO in the underdoped cuprates is also nding new experim ental con mations [8], which corroborate less recent observations of \stripe" phases. Within the CO-QCP theory it was also possible to explain the peculiar E of the pseudogap crossover tem perature T mentioned above [9]. Therefore it seems legitim ate to adopt this theoretical fram ework to address the issue of IE in ARPES experiments. In particular there are several characteristics of the theory, which render it a particularly suitable scheme: a) the presence of a \m issed" criticality justies the presence of low-energy (nearly critical) charge collective modes (CM), which have already been shown to produce \kinks" in the dispersive spectra [10]; b) on general grounds, the proxim ity to a (\m issed") criticality renders the system highly susceptible so that large e ects (e.g. large IE on the single-particle excitations) can arise from small changes (like a 6% shift of some phonon frequencies); c) within the Hubbard-Holstein model, which was the starting point of the CO-QCP theory, the CM are m ixed phonon-plasm on modes, which therefore have both a built-in dependence on the phonon frequency naturally leading to IE's and an electronic character, which renders them similar to the spin modes considered in Refs.[11]; d) the CM 's are only critical near speci c wavevectors q related to the wavelengths of the CO textures. As a consequence they have a strong m om entum dependence, which allows them to play dierent roles in interacting

with QP's in di erent regions of the Brillouin zone. As

we shall see this is a crucial feature in order to explain the opposite sign of the IE on dispersive bands along the nodal and antinodal directions. Within the above fram ework we performed a systematic analysis of the effects of changing the CM frequency $!_0$, the QP-CM coupling g, and the CO inverse correlation length. Our main noding is that changes of $!_0$ and/or g alone by no means allow to reproduce the experimental results. On the other hand the crucial parameter to vary in order to sem iquantitatively reproduce the experimental isotopic shift is the inverse charge-charge coherence length

. Before reporting on our detailed calculations, we st present qualitative argum ents to give an intuitive understanding of this result. We start, e.g., from an hole state at wavevector p, which is scattered by a narrow CM of energy $!_0$ and wavevector q_c . This hole acquires a selfenergy $_{p}(!) = g^{2} = (! + !_{0} | "_{p+q_{c}})$. If the CM has instead a wavevector broadening , the self-energy becom es proportional to the hole density of states (DOS) p+qc in the region of the momenta, where the hole is scattered by the CM. In the two-dimensional case of our interest this region has an area 2 around p + q_c . If the DOS does not vary appreciably in the Brillouin zone, one obtains a result sim ilar to the one found by Engelsberg-Schrie er [12] which was derived under the assumption of electron-hole symmetry and a q-independent matrix element between the electrons and the CM (a Holstein phonon): There is a dispersion break at a binding en-! o and a reduction of ! o always leads to a shift of the electron dispersion at higher binding energies (and only in a lim ited energy range around the break). On the other hand, if p+qc varies substantially around the Fermi surface, a di erent situation can occur. Let us suppose that the isotope replacem ent acts on $(^{18}\text{O}) > (^{16}\text{O})$, this is what we nd to be the relevant e ect in our detailed calculations]. Then upon isotopic substitution the hole can be scattered in a broader momentum region where the average DOS can be substantially di erent. In particular, if the local DOS increases Re ${}^{16}O;! < !_0) < Re {}^{18}O;! < !_0)$ (cf., e.g., Fig. 2c) and as a result the electronic dispersion is shifted upwards to lower binding energies $"_k (^{18}O) > "_k (^{16}O)$. This is indeed the desired e ect to explain the experiments of Ref. [3] near the M points.

In order to substantiate these ideas within more detailed calculations we consider a system of superconducting electrons exposed to an electron

$$S = g^{2} \begin{bmatrix} X & Z & Z \\ & d_{1} & d_{2q}(_{1} & _{2})_{q}(_{1}) & _{q}(_{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

describing dynam ical incommensurate CO uctuations. Using Nambu-Gorkov notation the unperturbed Matsubara Green's functions $\underline{\underline{G}}^0$ are 2 2 matrices and the leading order one-loop contribution to the self-energy reads

2.5

$$= (k; i!) = \frac{g^2 X}{q; ip} \qquad q (ip) \underline{zG}^0 (k q; i! ip) \underline{z} \qquad (2)$$

which in turn allows for the calculation of $\underline{\underline{G}} = \underline{\underline{G}}^0 + \underline{\underline{G}}^0 \underline{\underline{G}}$. The spectral function can be extracted from \overline{A}_k (!) = (1=)Im G_{11} (k;!).

In order to simplify the calculations we consider a Kampf-Schrie er-type model susceptibility [16] which is factorized into an !-and q-dependent part, i.e.

$$_{q}(i!) = W(i!)J(q)$$
 (3)

where, choosing a unit lattice spacing,

$$J(q) = \frac{N}{4} \frac{X}{q_{x}^{c}; q_{y}^{c}} \frac{2 + 2 \cos(q_{x} - q_{x}^{c}) \cos(q_{y} - q_{y}^{c})}{(4)}$$

N is a suitable normalization factor introduced to keep the total scattering strength constant while vary- $\frac{1}{2}$ ng . The (real-frequency)-dependent part W (!) = d $F_{!,0}$; ()2 = (!² 2) w $\sharp th F_{!,0}$; (!) ²] is a normalized lorentzian distribution function centered around ! with halfwidth . If only this part were present in (i!), one would have a bosonic spectrum B(!) = $\tanh (! = (kT))F_{!_0}$; (!) which is a \sm eared" version of the Holstein phonon considered in Ref.[12]. The crucial feature of the susceptibility (3) is the substantial m om entum dependence, which describes the local order form ation and re ects the proximity to a (missed) CO instability. This susceptibility contains the charge-charge correlations which are enhanced at the four equivalent critical wave vectors (=2;0), (0; =2). The static $\lim_{n\to\infty} it g() = () together with an in nite charge-charge$ correlation length! O reproduces mean-eld results for a long-range CO phase [17]. For the bare electron dispersion used in the following

$$\mathbf{w}_{k} = 2t(\cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y})) + 4t^{0}\cos(k_{x})\cos(k_{y}) \quad u$$

the parameters have been tted so that the resulting spectral functions approximately agree in energy with the data of Ref. [3]. We use t=0.35 eV, $t^0=t=0.32$, u=0.42 eV. The superconducting d-wave gap is $_k=35 \text{m eV} \left[\cos(k_x) \cos(k_y)\right]=2$. The width of the lorentzian in frequency space is =50 m eV

In Ref. [17] we demonstrated that a static two-dimensional eggbox-type charge modulation with q_c oriented along the (1,0), (0,1) directions can account for the basic Ferm isurface (FS) features in the optimally and underdoped B i2212 compounds. In a subsequent paper [10] we analyzed ARPES spectra in Bi-2212 showing that the main dispersive features [18,19] were reproduced, including the well-known kink in the nodal dispersion which appears as a break for momentum scans far from the node

[19]. The main point to be recollected here is that the M-points de ne the \hot" regions for incommensurate CO scattering. In these regions of k-space the low-energy QP's are strongly scattered by the CM's into other states with high DOS (still near the M points). On the other hand, along X the coupling of electronic states to the CO mode is signi cantly reduced (\cold" region) at low binding energies and one nds weakly interacting QP's which get heavily dam ped only at high binding energies. Here the QP's both those at low and at high binding energies are scattered by the CM 's into regions with rather low DOS. This di erent character between \hot" and the \cold" regions is a key point to understand the behavior of the ARPES-IE, with the \surprising" change of sign moving from diagonal scans to scans near M and sets the stage for our analysis.

Only mode-frequency change | First of all we tried a simple change of the CM frequency only. Since we are not dealing with simple phonons, but rather with (nearly critical) CM 's, it is di cult to a priori determ ine the isotopic shift (if any) of the CM frequency. We take $!_0(^{16}O) = 75m \text{ eV}, !_0(^{18}O) = 60m \text{ eV}$ to optim ize the agreem ent with experim ents. The frequency shift is quite large (15m eV) with respect to the one expected for phonons. The coupling constant is $g(^{16}O) = g(^{18}O) =$ 0:13eV, which leads to self-energies at the nodal point of the same order of magnitude than those reported in [3]. Both along the diagonal direction and along the vertical cut corresponding to cut # 7 in Ref. [3] there is a significant isotope shift only in a narrow energy window near the kink around 0:1eV. Our dispersions do not display an isotope shift in the high energy region. Upon changing in the opposite way the frequency $!_0(^{16}O) < !_0(^{18}O)$ the agreem ent with experim ents is even worse. Therefore, within our model and despite the large shift in ! the IE can not be ascribed to a simple shift of the CM frequency.

Coupling and mode-frequency change As before we choose $!_0(^{16}O) = 75m \text{ eV}$ and $!_0(^{18}O) = 60m \text{ eV}$. In addition we consider now di erent coupling constants for the isotopes, g can be determined from the requirement that the normal contribution to the self-energy $_{11}$ (k_F ; E_F) at the nodal point gives a reasonable t to the Re extracted in Ref. [3]. We nd $q^{1/6}$ 0) = 0:13eV and $q^{(18)}$ 0) = 0:114eV. A long the diagonal direction one nds qualitatively the same behavior as with $!_0$ changes only: the E is still con ned to a rather narrow energy window. Moreover the theoretical dispersions along the vertical cut do not properly describe the ndings of Ref.[3]: contrary to the experiments, with isotopic replacem ent the low-energy part of the dispersion for 180 is shifted at higher energies with respect to the dispersion for 160. Furtherm ore, while 160 shows the break in the dispersion as in the experiment, the lower coupling for 180 turns this break into a kink.

Only change of Finally we consider a change

of alone. We take $!_0(^{16}O) = !_0(^{18}O) = 50m \text{ eV}$ and $g(^{16}O) = g(^{18}O = 0.16eV, but we choosedi erent spatial$ correlation lengths, i.e. = 0.15 for 16 O and = 0.30for 180. Fig. 1 shows energy distribution curves (EDC's) and the corresponding dispersions for a cut along the diagonal (Fig. 1 upper panel) and a vertical cut near the M-point sim ilar to cut # 7 in Ref. [3] (Fig. 1 lower panel, cf. inset to Fig. 2d). A long the diagonal direction, below the kink energy we nd a shift of the 180 dispersion towards larger binding energies. Sim ilarly to what is experimentally observed, the E shift extends far below the kink energy. In the low energy regime above the kink the shift becomes negligible like in the experiments. One only nds a minor discrepancy with experim ent since in the crossover region the theoretical 160 dispersion falls below the 180 one. In the vertical cut




FIG. 1: EDC's and the corresponding EDC-derived dispersions (inset) for the case where only is varied upon isotope substitution. Intensities have not been scaled to the same amplitudes as done in Ref. [3]. Upper panel: diagonal cut; Lower panel: vertical cut at $k_y = 0.6$ (=a) corresponding to cut 7 in Fig. 2 of Ref. [3] (cf. inset to Fig. 2d).

as shown in Fig. 1 (lower panel) one sees that the 18 O dispersion is shifted above the 16 O dispersion both at low and high binding energies. This behavior is also in agreement with the experimental ndings of Ref. [3]. We notice that, despite the qualitative agreement, the calculated shift (15 20m eV) is substantially smaller than the experimental one (30 40m eV). However, this

quantitative discrepancy could be solved by assuming a (m ore realistic) momentum dependence of the QP-CM mode coupling g, which instead we take constant for sim -plicity reasons.




FIG. 2: Realpart of the norm alcontribution to the self-energy Re $_{11}$ (q;!). Panels (a,b) show Re $_{11}$ for two mom enta along the nodal direction and panels (b,c) are for two mom enta on cut #7 (cf. inset to d).

Fig. 2 shows Re 11 (q;!) for the case where is increased by isotope substitution, while keeping !0 and g xed. Results for two momenta along diagonal cuts are reported in the two top panels, where them ost prominent feature is that at large binding energies the self-energy generically decreases upon increasing (i.e. upon decreasing the CO correlation length). Remarkably this reduction persists down to very high binding energies. While this reduction is expected on general grounds even for the phonon case, [4], the frequency extension of this e ect is rather unusual and arises from the plateau in the high-energy part of the self-energy occurring when

is small. On the other hand the self-energy generically varies little at low energies, while a moderate increase, Re $(^{8}0)$ > Re $(^{6}0)$, occurs near its maximum (around which the kink in the dispersion takes place) due to the enhancement of the phase space of the scattered QP states induced by the increased [21]. This elect is even more pronounced in the antinodal region reported in the two lower panels. A long cut #7 one therefore observes a shift of the $^{18}0$ dispersion to smaller binding energies.

Conclusions | We applied here a simple perturbative scheme of superconducting quasiparticles coupled to charge CM 's. From the above systematic analysis we not that, if the isotopic substitution changes the CM momentum broadening, our model accounts both for the large energy range in which isotopic shifts are observed and for the change in sign of these shifts moving from the! X cuts to the cuts near the M points. The fact that the crucial elect of the isotopic replacement

is to change the CO coherence length (inversely related to) also supports the idea that charge uctuations are highly correlated in optimally doped cuprates. This is consistent with Ref. [9], where it was found that the 16 O! 18 O replacem ent shifts the (\m issed") quantum critical line to higher dopings (or equivalently confers to the system properties of more underdoped materials). For optimally or underdoped materials at low temperature this \pushes" the system more deeply in the nearly ordered region away from the \m issed" critical line, naturally reducing the spatial CO correlations. An additional broadening of the CM m om entum distribution can arise from disorder, if the 160 ! 180 substitution is incomplete. Another possible way of arguing can be derived from Gutzwiller+RPA calculations of the stripe phase, which indicate that upon underdoping the intensity distribution of the mode becomes more extended in momentum space [22]. All these arguments provide support to an increase of upon 160 ! 18 0 substitution.

Since it turns out that isotopic changes of ! 0 and of g play a minor role, one could argue that the CM 's not necessarily are charge modes (mixed with phonons), but could as well be spin modes. Indeed, our perturbative scheme previously adopted in Ref. [10] provided an interpretation of spectral features in Bi-2212 quite similar to those of Ref. [11], where the coupling of electrons to antiferrom agnetic (AF) spin CM's was considered. This is natural because for both AF and CO scattering the states near the M points are the \hot spots", i.e. are most strongly a ected by the scattering. To (at least prelim inarly) discrim inate between charge and spin modes, we also checked whether CM 's peaked around $Q_{AF} = (;)$ relevant for A F uctuations could account for the E in ARPES. While a more detailed analysis of AF modes is beyond the scope of this work, we found that CM 's peaked at Q_{AF} fail in reproducing the major features of the experim ental isotopic shifts in the dispersion curves. Although a more speci c analysis for AF spin modes should be carried out, our analysis suggests that the critical m om enta typical of charge m odes [stripes and \egg-(=2;0);(0;box", with qc =2)] are an important ingredient to account for ARPES experim ental ndings.

We acknow ledge interesting discussions with C.C astellani, C.DiCastro, G.H.Gweon, A.Lanzara, who we also thank for showing us her data before publication, and J.Lorenzana.M.G.acknowledges nancial support from MIJR Co n 2003 and G.S. from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

^[1] For a review see, e.g., H. Keller, Unconventional isotope e ects in cuprate superconductors, in Structure and Bonding, Springer Verlag, 2004, and references therein.

^[2] D. Rubio Tem prano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1990 (2000).

^[3] G.H.Gweon, et al., Nature 430, 187 (2004).

- [4] E.G.M aksim ov, et al., cond-m at/0408251.
- [5] C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, and M. Grilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4650 (1995).
- [6] For a list of early evidences see C. Castellani, et al., J. of Phys. and Chem. of Sol. 59, 1694 (1998); J.L. Tallon, J.W. Loram, Physica C 349, 53 (2001).
- [7] C.M. Varm a, cond-m at/0312385 and references therein; Y.D agan, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 167001 (2004); Y. Ando, et al., ibid., 247004 (2004); L.Al, et al., Nature 422, 698 (2003).
- [8] C. Howald, et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 014533 (2003); M. Vershihin, et al., Science 303, 1995 (2004); M cE lroy, et al., cond-m at/0406491.
- [9] S. Andergassen, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 056401 (2001).
- [10] G. Seibold and M. Grilli, Phys. Rev. B 63 224505 (2001).
- [11] M. Eschrig and M. R. Norman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3261 (2000), A. V. Chubukov and M. R. Norman, condmat/0402304.

- [12] S. Engelsberg and J. R. Schrie er, Phys. Rev. 131, 993 (1963).
- [13] A. Lanzara, et al., Nature 412, 510 (2001).
- [14] A.Grom ko et al., Phys. Rev. B 68, 174520 (2003).
- [15] G.H. Gweon, et al., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 65, 1397 (2004).
- [16] A.P.Kampfand J.R.Schrie er, Phys.Rev.B42, 7967
 (1990).
- [17] G. Seibold, et al., Eur. Phys. J. B 13, 87 (2000).
- [18] J. C. Cam puzano, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3709 (1999).
- [19] A.Kaminski, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1070 (2001).
- [20] M .R.Nom an, Phys.Rev.B61, 16117 (2000).
- [21] This inding differs from the result of Ref. [4], where calculations were carried out for an Holstein phonon within a particle-hole symmetric model and keeping (within our notations) the $g^2=!_0$ independent on the isotopic mass.
- [22] G. Seibold and M. Grilli, to be published.