Hall conductivity as a topological invariant

A.Kunold¹, M.Torres²

¹Departamento de Ciencias Basicas,
Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana Azcapotzalco,
Av. San Pablo 180, Col. Reynosa Tamaulipas,
Azcapotzalco, Mexico D.F. 02200, Mexico,
email: akb@correo.azc.uammx, Phone: +53189381

²Instituto de Fsica,
Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico,
Apdo. Postal 20-364, Mexico D.F. 01000, Mexico,

March 22, 2024

e-mail: tones@sica.unam.mx., Phone: 56225144

A bstract

The object of the present work is to study the quantum Halle ect through its sym metries and topological aspects. We consider the model of an electron moving in a two-dimensional lattice in the presence of applied in-plain electric eld and perpendicular magnetic eld. We refer to this as the two dimensional electric-magnetic Bloch problem (EMB). The Hall conductivity quatizations beyond the linear response approximation is analyzed.

1 Introduction

The quantization of the Hall conductance ($_{\rm H}$) in integer ($_{\rm L}$) and fractional values [$_{\rm L}$] is an astonishing and unexpected result. For the $_{\rm L}$ 0 HE, the exact quantization of the Hall conductivity was explained based on a topological argument by Thouless et al. [$_{\rm L}$ 2]; $_{\rm H}$ in units of $_{\rm L}$ 2 h is a topological invariant, the so-called rst Chem number [$_{\rm L}$ 3], which can only take integer values if the Ferm i level lies in a gap between Landau levels. The precense of a periodic potential broadens each Landau level in a series of minibands, separated by the corresponding minigaps. Contrary to the obvious suggestion that each subband carries a fraction of $_{\rm L}^2$ 2=h, Thouless et al. demonstrated that the contribution to $_{\rm H}$ 0 of each led miniband is also an integer multiple of $_{\rm L}^2$ 2=h. Clear experimental evidence for the internal structure of the Hofstadter butter y spectrum has only been found very recently in the measurement of $_{\rm H}$ for lateral superlattices [A]. The work of Thouless et al. makes use of the Kubo linear response theory.

It is of our interest to consider the structure of the Hall current when a nite electric eld (E) is applied, in particular we shall be able to demonstrate that even in this case the Hall conductivity remains an integer multiple of e^2 = h. From the study of electron wave packet propagation in similar physical conditions; it has been observed the existence of transitions from extended to localized states when the electric eld switches from commensurate to incommensurate orientations relative to the lattice [5]. One interesting feature of our model is that it can be utilized in order to explore the conductivity behavior as a function of the electric eld orientation [7].

2 Bloch-Floquet Wave Functions

W e consider the EMB problem given by the time dependent Schrodinger equation

S j;
$$ki = \frac{1}{2m}$$
 $\frac{2}{x} + \frac{2}{y} + V$ 0 j; $ki = 0$: (1)

Here m is the electron mass, = p + A , p = ({h@=@t; {hr}}), the vector and scalar potentials, A = (;A), are selected to yield: r A = B k̂, and E = r @A =@t. For simplicity we shall consider a periodic square lattice: V (x;y) = V0 [cos (2 x=a) + cos (2 y=a)]: The energy and lengths are measured in units of h! c = heB =m ; and '0 = h=eB, respectively, where !c is the cyclotron frequency and '0 the magnetic length, which is equivalent to setting h = e = m = 1.

A symmetry group of S is given by the electric-magnetic translation group [6], which consists of a combination of space translations and time evolution operations compensated by a gauge transformation. In general, the two magnetic translations and the electric-evolution operators do not commute amongst them selves, unless three commensurate conditions are imposed [7]: (1) The direction of the electric eld, given by the angle with respect to the lattice axis, is commensurate, i.e. = $\arctan m_1 = m_2$ where m_1 and m_2 are relative prime numbers. Hence an extended lattice along the electric eld preserves a spatial periodictity, the extended lattice parameter is $b = a m_1^2 + m_2^2$. (2) The magnetic ux through each unit cell of the extended lattice per ux quanta is a rational number p = q = 1 = (3) Time in the evolution operator is restricted to multiple values of $p = b = v_0$, where $p = v_0$ is the drift velocity of the electron.

The eigenstate base of this group is given by the B loch-F loquet wave functions (BF) [7]

$$'_{jk}$$
 (t;x) = $e^{\{k \ x \ \{E \ t} u_{jk}$ (t;x) (2)

$$u_{jk}(t;x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\{x(y=2+k_x)} e^{\{E tx=2\}} x$$

$$i_m e^{\{qbE lt} e^{\{b(k_1 y)(m+pl)\}}$$
 (3)

here $x + 2 = \frac{m+p1}{b}$ is the harm onic oscillator wave function and

 b_m is a coe cient that satis es a nite di erence equation. For the particular lim it in which E=0 and the Landau inter-level couplings are neglected, the equation coincides with the H arper equations; results for the generalized H arper equation are reported in reference [7].

Besides the nite dierence equation, a useful alternative form of the generalized Harper's equation in the presence of electric eld can be derived within the present form alism, it reads

$$(E \quad (E \quad r_k) j; ki = H j; ki;$$
 (4)

where H is the Harper's or generalized Harper's ham iltonian [7].

3 Hall conductivity

U sing the above described states, the current density operator takes the form

$$\hat{J} = \frac{X}{100} = \frac{Z}{(2)^2} = \frac{d^2k^0}{(2)^2} = \frac{d^2k}{(2)^2} = \frac{d$$

where b^y (k) and b (k) are the usual Ferm i creation and annihilation operators. At low temperatures, it can be proved that the electric current becomes

$$J = h j \hat{J} j i = \begin{cases} X & \frac{Z}{Z} \\ \frac{d^2k}{(2)^2} & \text{[r_k E (k) E r_k A (k)];} \end{cases}$$
 (6)

where A $(k) = (2)^2 = (qa^2) hu_{jk} (t;x) j\{r_k ju_{jk} (t;x)i$. Although it is clear that A (k) can be identified with the Berry connection, we notice that there is not any adiabatic approximation involved, the connection A (k) is evaluated using the states that exactly solve eq. (4). The rst term on the right hand side of the previous equation represents the usual group velocity contribution. Additionally there is a novel contribution arising from the gradient of the Berry connection along the longitudinal electric eld direction. Considering the diagonal matrix elements obtained from eq. (4), it yields E(k) = (k) + E(k) + E(k) = (k) + E(k) = (k)

$$J = \frac{F}{2 p} E e_{T} \frac{1}{2 p} E = \frac{X}{2 p} \frac{Z}{2} (k);$$
 (7)

here e_T is a unit vector transverse to E and the Berry curvature is given by $(k) = r_k - A - (k)$. Equation (7) shows that the longitudinal magnetoresistance exactly cancels, regardless of the value of E . On the other hand, the Hall conductance can be read from eq. (7) and written (restoring units) in a compact form as

$$_{\rm H} = \frac{{\rm e}^2}{{\rm h}} \, {\rm X} \qquad ; \qquad = \frac{1}{{\rm p}} \, (1 \quad {\rm q} \quad) \; ; \qquad \qquad (8)$$

where is the subband contribution to the conductance given as

$$= \frac{1}{q} \frac{d^2k}{2} [(k)]_3 = \frac{1}{q} \frac{dk}{2} A (k) :$$
 (9)

 ${\tt H}$ ere ${\tt CM}$ ${\tt B}$ ${\tt Z}$ denotes the contour of the ${\tt M}$ ${\tt B}$ ${\tt Z}$ and the Stoke's theorem was used to write the second equality.

An expression similar to eq. (7) was originally discussed by Thouless and K ohm oto [2]. However, their work is based on the K ubo linear response theory, consequently only terms linear in E appear in that case. Our result reduces to that of Thouless and Kohm oto if we drop the electric eld, i.e. if we evaluate the conductivity in eq. (9) using the zero order solution of eq. (4). As far as the gap condition is satis ed the argum ents of Thouless and Kohm oto can be repeated in order to show that the expression in eq. (9) is related to the st Chem number, and consequently is quantized. In the case of intermediate electric el intensities, altough modi ed, the band structure is preserved [7] and it is then expected that the quantization of the band conductivities should be in principle observable. However as the electric eld is increased the high density of levels makes it practically impossible to resolve the conductance contributions for each m iniband, as far as the original Hofstadter m iniband is concerned this fact can be evaluated as a nonlinear contribution to that will lead to a break down of its quantization. Higher order corrections to the Hall conductivity can be calculated by a perturbative evaluation of eq. (4).

We observe that the term $\{E \ r_k \ \text{in eq. (4)} \ \text{can be considered as a perturbative potential; hence a series expansion in E can be worked out. The Hall conductance for the band is expanded as$

$$= {}^{(0)} + {}^{(1)}E + {}^{(2)}E^2 + \dots; \qquad = {}^{(0)} + {}^{(1)}E + {}^{(2)}E^2 + \dots$$
(10)

Table 1 shows the values of $^{(0)}$ for various selections of the inverse m agnetic ux . It is veri ed that $^{(0)}$ always yields an integer value; here = (;n) labels the Landau level $\,$ and the n internal m iniband. For = 1=3 we nd: $^{(0)}_{;1}$ = 1, $^{(0)}_{;2}$ = 2, and $^{(0)}_{;3}$ = 1, regardless of . The num bers q and p are relatively prime, so there must be integer num bers u and v such that up+ vq = 1. We can identify v $^{(0)}$, hence (1 q $^{(0)}$)=p is also a integer, but according to eq. (8) this number is the Hall conductance of the subband ; thus is quantized in units of e^2 =h. If the coupling between Landau levels is small, the sum rules $^{(0)}_{n}$ is $^{(0)}_{n}$ = 1 are satis ed guaranteing that the Hall conductivity of a completely led Landau level takes the value e^2 =h.

As the Fermi energy sweeps through a Landau level, the partial contributions of the subband (although integer multiples of e^2 =h) do not follow a monotonous behavior. If the Fermi energy plies in the n-minigap, the accumulated conductance is dened as $m = \frac{j=n}{j=1}$; The n-minigap conductance satis es the Diophantine equation n = q + p, m, (j j p=2) in agreement with the results obtained by Thouless et al. [2].

Table 1: Zero and second order coe cients	$^{(0)}$, and $^{(2)}$ (= (;n)) for
= 1=3.1=5 and 1=7.	

-	= 1=3	, q = 1, p = 3	}	= 1=	=5,q= 1,p= 5	5	= 1	=7, q = 1, p = 7
n	(0)	~(2)	n	(0)	~(2)	n	(0)	~(2)
3	1	0:416	5	1	0:018	7	1	0:0239
						6	1	0:2760
			4	1	4:152	5	1	110:2766
2	2	0:328	3	4	17 : 836	4	6	117:3056
			2	1	13 : 660	3	1	7:3303
						2	1	0:0011
1	1	0 : 087	1	1	0:006	1	1	0:0003

We now turn our attention to the higher order correction to the Hall conductance. Restoring units, the second order contribution to the Hall conductance has the form $\frac{(2)}{H} = \frac{e^3}{h V_o^2 B} \sim^{(2)}$ where

here the function h (k) can be selected as the gauge potential of a unit ux tube in k space: h (k) = $\frac{1}{2}$ (k₁; k₂)=(k₁² + k₂²). In Table 1 we quote some of the values obtained for $\sim^{(2)}$. It is observed that as the internal structure of a band increases, the corrections to the conductance of the internal m inbands increases abruptly. It can be easily proved that the sum rule $_{n}^{P}$ (2) $_{m}$ = 0 applies. Consequently, even if each m inband presents E 2 corrections to the H all conductance, the contributions from a completely lled Landau level cancel exactly, and the H all resistance quantization remains valid to order O (E 3). The nonlinear correction to $_{H}$ are then expected to be dominant for each m in band and as Table 1 suggests these become larger as the denominator in increases.

4 Summary

We address the calculation of the Hall conductance beyond the linear response approximation. A closed expression for the Hall conductance, valid to all orders in E is obtained. As far as the band structure is preserved the conductivity for each miniband takes integer values. However for intense electric elds the high density of levels makes it practically in possible to resolve the conductance contributions for each miniband, as far as each miniband is concerned this can be interpretated as nonlinear corrections to $_{\rm H}$. The leading order contribution

is quantized in units of $e^2=h$. The storder correction exactly vanishes, while the second order correction shows a $_{\rm H}^{(2)}$ / $e^3=V_0^2$ B dependence. $_{\rm H}^{(2)}$ cancels for a completely lled Landau band. Hence the nonlinear correction to the conductance is expected to be of order O (E 2) for a lled m in band, whereas for a complete Landau level the correction is expected to be of order O (E 3).

5 A cknow ledgem ents

We acknowledge the nancial support endowed by CONACyT through grant No. 42026-F, the Departamento de Ciencias Basicas UAM-A through project No. 2230403 and Rector a General de la UAM-A.

R eferences

- [1] K.Klitzing, G.Dorda, and M.Pepper, Phys.Rev.Lett. 45, 494 (1980); D.C.Tsui, H.L.Storm er and A.C.Gossard, Phys.Rev.Lett. 48, 1559 (1982).
- [2] D.J.Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M.P.Nightingale and M. den Nijs, Phys.Rev. Lett.49,405 (1982); Qian Niu, D.J.Thouless and Yong-ShiWu, Phys.Rev. B,31,3372 (1985); M. Kohmoto, L.P. Kadano and C. Tang, Phys.Rev. Lett.,50,1873 (1983).
- [3] J.E.Avron and R.Seiler, Phys.Rev.Lett.54,259 (1985); D.Sim on, Phys. Rev.Lett.51,2167 (1983).
- [4] C.Albrecht, J.H.Smet, K.von Klitzing, D.Weiss, V.Umansky and H. Schweizer, Phys.Rev.Lett.86 147 (2001)
- [5] M. Torres, A. Kunold, Physics Letters A 323 (2004) 290-297.
- [6] J. Zak, Phys. Rev. 134, A 1602 (1964); I. Dana and J. Zak, Phys. Rev. B 28, B 811 (1982); J. Zak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 533 (1997); N. Ashby and S.C. Miller, Phys. Rev. B 139, A 428 (1965); A. Kunold, M. Torres, Phys. Rev. B 64, 045112 (2001).
- [7] A.Kunold, M. Torres, cond-mat/0311111.