Stochastic stability in spatial three-player gam es

Jacek M iekisz Institute of Applied M athem atics and M echanics W arsaw U niversity ul. B anacha 2 02-097 W arsaw, P oland e-m ail: m iekisz@ m im uw edu pl

M arch 22, 2024

A bstract: W e discuss long-run behavior of stochastic dynam ics of m any interacting agents. In particular, three-player spatial gam es are studied. The e ect of the num ber of players and the noise level on the stochastic stability of N ash equilibria is investigated.

PACS:0520.-y,05.50.+q

Keywords: evolutionary game theory, Nash equilibria, multi-player games, spatial games, stochastic stability.

1 Introduction

Socio-econom ic system s can be viewed as system s of m any interacting agents or players (see for example Santa Fe collection of papers on econom ic complex system s [1] and econophysics papers on M inority G am e [2]). W e m ay then try to derive their global behavior from individual interactions between their basic entities. Such approach is fundam ental in statistical physics which deals with system s of m any interacting particles. W e will explore similarities and differences between system s of m any interacting players m axim izing their individual payo s and particles m inim izing their interaction energy.

W e will consider here gam e-theoretic models of many interacting agents [3, 4]. In such models, agents have at their disposal certain strategies and their payo s in a gam e depend on strategies chosen both by them and by their opponents. In spatial gam es, agents are located on vertices of certain graphs and they interact only with their neighbors [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The central concept in gam e theory is that of a N ash equilibrium. A conguration of strategies (an assignment of strategies to agents) is a N ash equilibrium, if no agent, for xed strategies of his opponents, can increase his payo by deviating from his current strategy. In spatial models, a N ash equilibrium is called a N ash conguration. W e see that the notion of a N ash conguration is sim ilar to that of a ground-state conguration in system s of interacting particles.

In most models with many players, their strategic interaction is decomposed into a sum of two-player games. Only recently there have appeared some systematic studies of truly multiplayer games [13, 14, 15]. Here we consider spatial games with players located on vertices of the triangular lattice. Each agent plays six three-player games with his neighbors on the same triangle.

O ne of the fundam entalproblem s in gam e theory is that of the equilibrium selection in gam es with multiple N ash equilibria. W e will discuss here the dynam ic approach to that problem. It m ay happen that only some equilibria are asymptotically stable in some speci c dynam ics. W e will be concerned here with a particular stochastic dynam ics. N am ely, at discrete m om ents of time, a random ly chosen player m ay change his strategy. He adopts with a high probability a strategy which is the best response to strategies of his neighbors, that is a strategy that m axim izes the sum of the payo s of individual gam es, and with a sm all probability, representing the noise of the system, he m akes a \m istake". Such process is repeated in nitely m any times. To describe the long-run behavior of stochastic dynam ics, Foster and Young [16] introduced a concept of stochastic stability. A con guration of strategies is stochastically stable if it has a positive probability in the stationary state of the above dynam ics in the zero-noise lim it, that is the zero probability of m istakes. It means that in the long run we observe it with a positive frequency. However, for any arbitrarily low but xed noise, if the number of players is big enough, the probability of any individual con guration is practically zero. It means that for a large number of players, to observe a stochastically stable con guration we must assume that players make m istakes with extrem ely small probabilities. However, as indicated by van D am me and W eibull [17], a small probability of mistakes should involve some some cost of learning strategies played by neighbors. To avoid paying these prohibitively big costs, players settle for regimes with low but not extrem ely low noise. On the other hand, it may happen that in the long run, for a low but xed noise and su ciently big number of players, the stationary state is highly concentrated on an ensemble consisting of one N ash con guration and its small perturbations, i.e. con gurations, where most players play the same strategy. W ewill call such con gurations low -noise ensemble stable.

W e will investigate here the e ect of the noise level and the num ber of players on their longrun behavior. In the rst part of our paper we will consider the so-called potential gam es [18]. In such gam es, if any single player changes his strategy, then the payo di erences are the sam e for all players. This is in absolute analogy to system s of interacting particles, where instead of m axim izing payo s, particles m in im ize their interaction energy. W e will exploit this analogy to describe long-run behavior of potential three-player gam es with two N ash con gurations. W e will show that a con guration can be stochastically stable but nevertheless m ay appear in the long run with an arbitrarily sm all frequency if the num ber of players is large enough - it is not low-noise ensemble stable. In the second part of our paper we will present an exam ple of a sim ple nonpotential three-player spatial gam e, where stochastic stability depends on the num ber of players.

In Section 2, we introduce spatial three-player gam es. In Section 3, we compare stochastic and ensemble stability in potential gam es. In Section 4, we discuss nonpotential gam es. D iscussion follows in Section 5.

2 Spatial three-player gam es

Let be a nite subset of the triangular lattice. Every site of is occupied by one player who has at his disposal one of two di erent strategies. Let S = fA; B g be the set of strategies, then = S is the space of all possible con gurations of players. For every i 2 , X i is the strategy of the i th player in the conguration X 2 and X $_{i}$ denotes strategies of all remaining players; X therefore can be represented as the pair (X $_{i}$; X $_{i}$). U : S S S ! R is a payo function of our game. W ithout loss of generality (see a discussion below) it can be represented by two matrices:

$$U = \begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & & & & & \\ a & 0 & & & & & & \\ 0 & b & ; & & b & c & ; \end{array}$$
(1)

where the ij entry, i; j = A; B, of the rst matrix is the payo of the rst (row) player when he plays strategy i, the second (colum n) player plays the strategy j and the third (matrix) player plays the strategy A; the second matrix represents payo s of the rst player when the third player plays the strategy B.W e assume that all players are the same and hence payo s of a colum n and a matrix player can be easily deduced from the above matrices; such gam es are called symmetric.

Every player interacts only with his nearest neighbors and his payo is the sum of the payo s resulting from individual games (six games on the triangular lattice). We assume that he has to use the same strategy for all neighbors. For X 2 we denote by $_{i}(X)$ the payo of the i th player in the conguration X :

$$_{i}(X) = \bigvee_{(j,k)}^{X} U(X_{i};X_{j};X_{k});$$
(2)

where the sum m ation is with respect to six elementary triangles containing i.

De nition 1 X 2 is a N ash con guration if for every i 2 and Y $_{i}$ 2 S, $_{i}$ (X $_{i}$; X $_{i}$) $_{i}$ (Y $_{i}$; X $_{i}$).

Let us note that the notion of a Nash con guration involves not only payo functions but also the spatial structure of players. It is similar to the notion of a ground-state con guration in classical lattice-gas models of interacting particles. However, there are dierences. One cannot decrease the energy of a ground state-con guration by any local change of particles. From this follows the existence of a ground-state con guration for any model with nite-range interactions. In the denition of a Nash con guration we are allowed to make only one-site changes. As a consequence of this restriction, a Nash con guration may not exist. We will be not concerned here with such situations.

Let us notice that if a > 0 and c > 0, then there are two hom ogeneous N ash con gurations: X^A and X^B, where all players play the same strategy, A or B respectively. If a > 0, c < 0 and b > 0, then we have a hom ogeneous N ash con guration X ^A and three con gurations, related by translations, where on every elementary triangle there are two B players and one A player. We donote by X ^{ABB} one of these con gurations. We see that for above payo parameters, there are multiple N ash con gurations. We are therefore faced with a standard gam e-theoretic problem of equilibrium selection. In the following, we will discuss one of the dynamics used in evolutionary gam e theory.

We start with the determ inistic dynamics of the best-response rule. Namely, at each discrete moment of time t = 1;2; ..., a random by chosen player may update his strategy. He simply adopts the strategy, X_{i}^{t} , which gives him the maximal total payo $_{i}(X_{i}^{t};X_{i}^{t})$ for given X_{i}^{t} , a con guration of strategies of remaining players at time t 1.

Now we allow players to make m istakes with a small probability, that is to say they may not choose the best response. It is reasonably to expect that the probability of making an error should increase if payo s from alternate strategies approach the payo of the best-response strategy. W e will consider here a well-known in statistical mechanics exponential rule which is used in gam e-theoretic and econom ic literature under the name of the log-linear rule [5, 19]. W e assume that the probability of chosing by the i th player the strategy X $_{i}^{t}$ at time t is given by the following conditional probability:

$$p_{i} (X_{i}^{t}) X_{i}^{t-1}) = \frac{e^{-i(X_{i}^{t}X_{i}^{t-1})}}{P_{X_{i}2S} e^{-i(Y_{i}X_{i}^{t-1})}};$$
(3)

where 1 = > 0 m easures the noise level.

Let us observe that if ! 1, p_i converges pointwise to the best-response rule. Such stochastic dynamics is an example of an irreducible M arkov chain with β j states (there is a nonzero probability of transition from any state to any other state in nite number of steps). Therefore, it has the unique stationary probability distribution (also called a stationary state) denoted by : The following de nition was introduced by Foster and Young [16]:

De nition 2 X 2 is stochastically stable if $\lim_{t \to 0} (X) > 0$:

If X is stochastically stable, then the frequency of visiting X converges to a positive number along any time trajectory almost surely.

Stationary distributions of log-linear dynam ics can be explicitly constructed for the class of the so-called potential gam es [18, 19]. In such gam es, if any single player changes his strategy, then the payo di erences are the sam e for all players. More precisely, a gam e is a potential gam e if there exists a function : S S S ! R, invariant under any permutation of argum ents such that for all x; x^0 ; y; z 2 S

$$U(x^{0};y;z) \quad U(x;y;z) = (x^{0};y;z) \quad (x;y;z)$$
(4)

W e call this function a potential of the gam e.

It is easy to see that (A;A;A) = a; (A;A;B) = 0; (A;B;B) = b; (B;B;B) = b + c is a potential of an elementary three-player game de ned in (1). For players on the triangular lattice playing six elementary gam es, for any X 2 ,

$$(X) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ (i;j;k) \geq 4 \end{array} \qquad (X_{i};X_{j};X_{k});$$
(5)

is a potential of the con guration X, where a sum is taken with respect to all elementary triangles in . We have to stress here that even if an elementary game has a potential it does not necessarily mean that a resulting spatial game has a potential. This depends upon the spatial structure of interactions as we will see in Section 4.

W e will now show that the following probability distribution is the unique stationary state of our spatial gam e.

P roposition

$$(X) = \frac{P}{P \times (i_{j};k)^{2} 4} \times (X_{i};X_{j};X_{k})}{P \times (Z_{i};Z_{j};Z_{k})};$$

is the stationary state of a three-player gam e on the triangular lattice.

Proof:

Wewill show that satis es the detailed balance condition

$$(X)P (X ;Y) = (Y)P (Y ;X)$$
 (6)

for all X; Y 2 , where P (X; Y) is the transition probability from X to Y given in (3).

Then it follows that is a stationary distribution because

Let us observe that Y can be different at at most one lattice site, say i, which was chosen random ly (with probability 1=j) out of . Let

$$D = \frac{1}{j j_{Z2}^{P} e^{(Z)}_{Z_{i}2S} e^{(j;k)} U(Z_{i};X_{j};X_{k})}};$$

W e have

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \end{pmatrix} P (X ; Y) = D e^{P} (i_{ijk})^{24} (X_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})} e^{P} (j_{ik})^{U} (Y_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})}$$

$$= D e^{P} (i_{ijk})^{24} (X_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})} e^{P} (j_{ik})^{U} (X_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})} (X_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})^{+} (Y_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})}$$

$$= D e^{P} (i_{ijk})^{24} (Y_{ij}Y_{j}Y_{k})} e^{P} (j_{ik})^{U} (X_{ij}X_{j}X_{k})} = (Y) P (Y; X)$$

so satis es the detailed balance condition which completes the proof of the proposition.

is a so-called nite-volum e G ibbs state - a probability distribution describing the equilibrium behavior of systems of many interacting particles. In the following section, we will investigate the stochastic stability of N ash con gurations for dierent payo parameters of three-player games.

3 Stochastic and ensemble stability

D i erent N ash con gurations of a given gam e usually have di erent values of a potential. It follows from the explicit form of the stationary state in the Proposition that N ash con gurations with the maxim all potential are stochastically stable. We obtain immediately the following theorem s.

Theorem 1 Let a;c > 0: If a > b + c, then X^A is stochastically stable; if a < b + c, then X^B is stochastically stable.

Let us notice that in our case, stochastically stable con gurations appear in the long run with the probability 1 in the zero-noise limit.

If a = b + c, then both X^A and X^B are stochastically stable and in the lim it of zero noise they occur with the probability 1=2.

Theorem 2 Let a > 0, c < 0, and b > 0. If a > b, then X^{A} is stochastically stable; if a < b, then X^{ABB} and its two translates are stochastically stable.

If a = b, then all four N ash con gurations are stochastically stable and they occur with the probability 1=4.

W e see that if there are two or more N ash con gurations with the maxim alpotential, then the problem of equilibrium selection is still not resolved. Let us notice that $\lim_{|Z|^2} (X) = 0$ for every $X \ge S^L$, where L is the in nite triangular lattice. Hence for large and any nonzero nisewe m ay only observe, with reasonable positive frequencies, ensembles of con gurations and not particular con gurations. It m ay happen that the stationary state is highly concentrated on an ensemble consisting of one N ash con guration and its sm all perturbations, i.e. con gurations, where m ost players play the same strategy. We will call such con gurations low-noise ensemble stable.

Denition 3 X 2 is low-noise ensemble stable if for every > 0, there exists () such that for every > () there exists () such that $(Y 2 ; Y_i \in X_i) <$ for any i2 if ().

If X is low-noise ensemble stable, then the ensemble consisting of X and con gurations which are dierent from X at few sites has probability close to one in the stationary distribution. It may happen that only one of many stochastically stable N ash con gurations is low-noise ensemble stable. We will show that this is exactly the case of three-player gam es with certain payo parameters.

We will rst consider the case of a;c > 0, b < 0, a = b + c and therefore a < c.

We perform st the limit ! Z 2 and obtain a so-called in nite-volume G ibbs state

$$= \lim_{\substack{! \ z^2}}$$
(7)

W e m ay then apply a technique developed by B ricm ont and Slaw ny [20, 21]. They studied low-tem perature stability of the so-called dom inant ground-state con gurations. It follows directly from Theorem A in [21] that

$$(X_i = A) > 1$$
 () (8)

for any site i of the lattice and ()! 0 as ! 1. For b > 0 so a > c we have the analogous inequality for the strategy B. The following theorem is a simple consequence of above inequalities.

Theorem 3 Let a = b + c: If b < 0, then X^A is bw-noise ensemble stable and if b > 0, then X^B is bw-noise ensemble stable.

Theorem s 1 and 3 say that for any low but xed level of noise and b < 0, if the number of players is big enough, then in the long run, alm ost all players use A strategy. On the other

hand, if for any xed number of players, the noise level is lowered substantially, then both strategies appear with frequencies close to 1=2.

Let us sketch brie y the reason of such a behavior. W e assume that a < c: W hile it is true that both N ash con gurations have the same potential which is one-third of the payo of the whole system (it plays the role of the total energy of a system of interacting particles), the X^A Nash con guration has more lowest-cost excitations. Namely, if one player changes his strategy to B, then the potential of the con guration decreases by 6a. If one player in the X $^{\rm B}$ N ash con quration changes his strategy to A , the potential of the con guration decreases by 6c > 6a. Now, the probability of the occurrence of any con guration in the G ibbs state (which is the stationary distribution of our stochastic dynamics) depends on the total payo in an exponential way. One then proves that the probability of an ensemble consisting of the X $^{\rm A}$ Nash con guration and con gurations which are di erent from it at few sites only is much bigger than the probability of the analogous X^B -ensemble. On the other hand, con gurations which are outside X^A and X^B-ensembles appear with exponentially small probabilities. It means that for large enough system s (and sm all but not extrem ely sm all noise level) we observe in the stationary distribution the X^A Nash con guration with perhaps few di erent strategies. The above argum ent was made into a rigorous proof for in nite system s of corresponding lattice-gas models of interacting particles by Bricm ont and Slawny in [20, 21]. They would call X^A a dom inant ground-state con guration.

W e have an analogous theorem for the other class of three-player gam es.

Theorem 4 For a > 0, c < 0, and a = b, if a < jcj then X^A is bw-noise ensemble stable; if a > jcj then X^{ABB} and its translates are low-noise ensemble stable.

Here the low est-cost excitation from X^{A} is still 6a. Let us describe the low est-cost excitations from X^{ABB} . When B changes to A, then the payo of the conguration decreases by 6b = 6a. How ever, if A changes to B, the payo decreases by jcj. Therefore, if a > jcj, then X^{ABB} has more low est-cost excitations and hence is low-noise ensemble stable.

4 Nonpotential three-player gam es

Now we will consider an example of a three-player spatial gam e without a potential. P layers are now placed on a nite subset of the one-dimensional regular lattice Z (for simplicity we will assume periodic boundary conditions and therefore agents will reside on a circle). Every agent can play only one three-player gam e with his right and left nearest neighbor. A lthough any single gam e with a payo matrix given in (1) has a potential as before but a sum of three-player interactions is not a potential of the spatial gam e. The reason for this is that if any agent chooses a best-response strategy, he does not take into account a gam e with two left or two right neighbors. However, his action may change their payo s as a result of two additional three-player gam es. Hence, given in the Proposition is no longer a stationary state of our stochastic dynam ics. To nd stochastically stable con gurations, we must resort to di erent methods. W e will use the following tree representation of stationary states of irreducible Markov chains [22]. Let (;P) be an irreducible Markov chain with a nite state space and the transition probabilities given by P : ! [0;1]. Let us denote by its unique stationary distribution. For X 2 , let an X-tree be a directed graph on such that from every Y $\stackrel{\bullet}{\leftarrow}$ X there is a unique path to X and there are no outcom ing edges out of X. D enote by T (X) the set of all X-trees and let

$$q(X) = \frac{X \quad Y}{d^{2}T(X)(Y;Z)^{2}d} P(Y;Z);$$
(9)

where the product is with respect to all edges of d. The following representation of a stationary distribution was provided by Freidlin and W entzell [22]:

$$(X) = \frac{q(X)}{P_{X2} q(Y)}$$
(10)

forallX 2 :

We will now use the above characterisation of a stationary distribution to nd stochastically stable states in our nonpotential gam e for the case of $a_i c > 0$.

Let us note that X^A and X^B are the only absorbing states of the noise-free dynamics. W hen we start with any state di erent from X^A and X^B, then after a nite number of steps of the best-response dynamics we arrive at either X^A or X^B and then stay there forever. It follows from the above tree representation of the stationary distribution that any state di erent from X^A and X^B has zero probability in the zero-noise lim it. Moreover, in order to study the zero-noise lim it of the stationary distribution, it is enough to consider paths between absorbing states. More precisely, we construct X-trees with absorbing states as vertices. The fam ily of such trees is denoted by T (X) Let

$$q_{m}(X) = m ax_{d2T(X)} P'(Y;Z);$$
 (11)

where $P'(Y;Z) = m ax^{Q'}_{(W,M^{0})} P(W;W^{0})$, and the last product is taken along any path joining Y with Z on the full graph and the maximum is taken with respect to all such paths.

Now we may observe that in our three-player game, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n (X^A) = 0$, then X^A is stochastically stable. Therefore we have to compare trees with biggest q_n in (11); such trees we call maximal.

Now we will use the above tree representation of a stationary state in two di erent noise models. We begin with a stochastic dynamics with a state-independent noise. Namely, at each discrete moment of time, a random ly chosen agent plays the best response with the probability 1 and with the probability he makes a mistake. Below we assume that a;c > 0 so there are two Nash con gurations, X^A and X^B:

Theorem 5 For the state-independent noise, if b < 0, then X^A is stochastically stable, if b > 0, then X^B is stochastically stable.

P roof: The theorem follows from the observation that if b < 0, then $q_n (X^A)$ is of order and $q_n (X^B)$ is of order $j \neq 2$, and if b > 0, then it is the other way around.

Now we come back to the state-dependent log-linear noise.

Theorem 6 For the log-linear noise, if a < c, then for every small b < 0, there is K (b) such that X^A is stochastically stable if j j > K (b) and X^B is stochastically stable if j j < K (b).

P roof: If joj< a, then we get

$$q_{n} (X^{A}) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{c})(1 + e^{b})^{j j 2}(1 + e^{a})};$$
(12)

$$q_{n} (X^{B}) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{a})(1 + e^{b})^{j j 2}(1 + e^{c})};$$
(13)

W e also have that $\lim_{b! \ 0} K$ (b) = 1 .

For a > c and b < 0, it follows from the above expressions of $q_n (X^A)$ and $q_n (X^A)$ that X^A is stochastically stable for any number of players. We see that in nonpotential gam as stochastic stability m ay depend upon the number of players. Let us notice that for any arbitrarily large c and b < 0, if the number of players is su ciently big, then in the zero-noise lim it, all of them play the ine cient strategy A which gives them the lower payo than the strategy B in the con guration X^B.

5 Summary

To address the problem of equilibrium selection in spatial gam es with many players, we introduced the concept of low-noise ensemble stability. We showed that in certain symmetric three-player gam es with two strategies, there exist N ash con gurations that are stochastically stable but not low-noise ensemble stable. It means that for any arbitrarily low but xed noise, if the number of players is large enough, then some stochastically stable strategies are played with arbitrarily sm all frequencies. We also showed that for nonpotential three-player gam es, stochastic stability may depend upon the number of players.

A cknow ledgm ents I would like to thank the Polish Committee for Scientic Research, for a nancial support under the grant KBN 5 P03A 025 20.

References

- The E conomy as an Evolving Complex System II, W .B.Arthur, S.N.Durlauf, and D.A.
 Lane, eds. (Addison-W esley, Reading, MA, 1997).
- [2] E conophysics bulletin on www.unifr.ch/econophysics
- [3] J.W eibull, Evolutionary G am e Theory (M IT Press, C am bridge M A, 1995).
- [4] J. H ofbauer and K. Sigm und, Evolutionary G am es and Population D ynam ics (C am bridge University P ress, C am bridge, 1998).
- [5] L.E.Blume, Games Econ.Behav. 5 (1993) 387.
- [6] G.Ellison, Econom etrica 61 (1993) 1047.
- [7] G.Ellison, Review of Econom ic Studies 67 (2000) 17.
- [8] M.A.Nowak and R.M.May, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 3 (1993) 35.
- [9] K. Lindgren and M. G. Nordahl, Physica D 75 (1994) 292.
- [10] K.Brauchli, T.Killingback, and M.Doebeli, Journal of Theoretical Biology 200 (1999) 405.
- [11] G. Szabo, T. Antal, P. Szabo, and M. Droz, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000) 1095.

- [12] Ch. Hauert, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 12 (2002) 1531.
- [13] M.Broom, C.Cannings and G.T.Vickers, Bull. Math. Biology 59 (1997) 931.
- [14] Y.Kim, Games Econ. Behav. 15 (1996) 203.
- [15] M. Bukowski and J. Miekisz, to appear in Int. J. Game Theory (2004), www.minuw.edu.pl/miekisz/multips
- [16] D. Foster and P.H. Young, Theoretical Population Biology 38 (1990) 219.
- [17] E.van Damme and J.Weibull, J.Econ. Theory 106 (2002) 296.
- [18] D M onderer and L.S.Shapley, G am es E con. B ehav. 14 (1996) 124.
- [19] H.P.Young, Individual Strategy and Social Structure: An Evolutionary Theory of Institutions (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1998).
- [20] J. Bricm ont and J. Slawny, First order phase transitions and perturbation theory in Statistical M echanics and Field Theory: M athem atical A spects, (Lecture Notes in Physics 257. Springer-Verlag, 1986).
- [21] J.Briam ont and J.Slawny, J.Stat. Phys. 54 (1989) 89.
- [22] M. Freidlin and A. Wentzell, Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems (Springer Verlag, New York 1984).