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#### Abstract

A novel exact solution of the $m$ ultichannel spin-S $K$ ondo $m$ odel is presented, based on a lattice path integral approach of the single channelspin $-1=2$ case. T he spin exchange betw een the localized m om ent and the host is of X X Z -type, including the isotropic X X X lim it. The free energy is given by a nite set of non-linear integral equations, which allow for an accurate determ ination of highand low tem perature scales.


PACS num bers: $72.15 . Q \mathrm{~m}, 04.20 \mathrm{Jb}, 75.20 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{r}, 75.10 \mathrm{Lp}, 71.27 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{a}, 75.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 75.30 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{w}$

## 1. IN TRODUCTION

The isotropic multichannel spin-S $K$ ondo model describes the XXX-like spin scattering between noninteracting spin-1/2 ferm ions in $m$ channels and a single localized spin-S impurity. It has been proposed by $N$ ozieres and B landinit to account for the orbital structure of spin-S im purities in $m$ etals. P hysical realizations are discussed in the review articlen' An exact solution to this $m$ odelw as found by $T$ svelick and $W$ iegm ann $n^{314,4,51}$ using the Bethe Ansatz (BA). By applying the them odynam ic Bethe A nsatz ( TBA ), the them odynam ics has been calculated by T svelick $\mathrm{l}^{\prime \cdot}$ and A ndrei and D estri'. In the TBA approach, the free energy is encoded by in nitely $m$ any coupleq, non-linear integral equations. Recently, Schlottm ann ${ }^{1819}$ and Zarand et alla ${ }^{10}$ obtained the free energy for the anisotropic $m$ ultichannel spin-S K ondo m odel w ith X X Z -like exchange in the TBA approach. Schlottm ann observed a quantum criticalpoint for the overscreened $m$ odel in the lim it of low tem peratures, Zarand et al focused on the underscreened twochannelm odel. Here, we present a novel exact solution in which the free energy is encoded in a nite set of non-linear integral equations ( $\mathbb{N} L \mathbb{E}$ ), including both the anisotropic and isotropic $m$ odels for arbitrary $S$ and $m$. On the one hand, we con m known results by this novel solution, on the other hand, we obtain farther reaching results as far as ratios of high-and low -tem perature scales are conœmed.

In a recent publication $\mathbf{1}^{-111}$, we proposed a lattice path integral approach to an A nderson-like im purity in a correlated host. $W$ e obtained this $m$ odel from the H am irtonian lim it of a $g l(2 \boldsymbol{j} 1)$ sym $m$ etric transfer $m$ atrix. T he free energy contributions of both the host and the im purity were calculated exactly by com bining the Trotter Suzukim apping w ith the quantum transfer $m$ atrix technique. $W$ e showed that the $m$ odel allow $s$ for the $K$ ondo lim it, i.e. a localized $m$ agnetic im purity in a free host $w$ ith linearized energy $-m$ om entum relation. In the $K$ ondo lim 止, the sym $m$ etry of the coupling betw een host and im purity is reduced from $g l(2$ 11) to su (2). In the follow ing, we exploit this observation to propose a $U_{q} s u(2)$ sym -
$m$ etric quantum transfer $m$ atrix ( Q TM), whose largest eigenvalue yields the free energy contribution of the im, purity in the them odynam ic lim it, in close analogy to ${ }^{111}$. The q-deform ation accounts for an X X Z-like spin exchange betw een the localized $m$ om ent and the host, including the isotropic lim it $q$ ! 1. The transfer $m$ atrix is built from local $R$-operators, each acting in the tensor product space of two $U_{q}$ su (2) m odules, carrying an ( $m+1$ )-dim ensionalirrep and an (l+1)-dim ensionalirrep of $U_{q} s u(2)$, respectively. H ere $m$ denotes the num ber of the electronic host channels and $l=2 \mathrm{~S}$ is tw ice the im purity spin. By m aking use of analyticity argum ents, the free energy is represented by ( $m$ ax $[; m]+1$ )-m any $N L \mathbb{E}$. $T$ hese equations are investigated both analytically and num erically for the accurate calculation of scales in the lim its of low and high tem peratures.
$T$ his article is organized as follow S . In the next section, the free energy contribution of the im purity is determ ined as the largest eigenvalue ofa certain Q TM .T he third and fourth sections are devoted to the calculation of them odynam ic equilibrium functions and scales in the lim its of low and high tem peratures, respectively. In the last section, we sum $m$ arize the results.

In all that follow s we set $k_{B}=1$, and $g{ }_{B}=1$, where $k_{B}$ is Boltzm ann's constant, $g$ is the gyrom agnetic factor and $B$ is the Bohrm agneton. An index $i(h)$ denotes quantities pertaining to the im purity (host).

## 2. CALCULATION OF THE FREE ENERGY

Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{(1)}$ be the m odule carrying the l-dim ensional irrep of $U_{q} S u(2)$; in the $\lim$ it $q=1, V_{1}^{(1)}$ carries the l-dim ensional irrep of su(2). C onsider the $m$ atrices $R_{q}^{(1, m)}(x) 2 E n d V_{q}^{(1)} \quad V_{q}^{(m)}$ constructed such that the Yang-BaxterEquation (YBE) is ful led:


The $R_{q}^{(1, m)}(x)$ are obtained by fusing a lattice of $m \quad l$ m any operators $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(1 ; 1)}$. Then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ is the subspace of com pletely symmetric tensors in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(1)^{\text {i }} \mathrm{k}}$, with $\mathrm{k}=1 ; \mathrm{m}$. In $\underline{1}^{[12}{ }^{2}$, the explicit expression of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(1 ; m)}(\mathrm{u})$ is given. If in the isotropic single channel case, the spectral param eter is chosen to take the special value $\mathrm{x}_{0} \stackrel{=}{=}$ $\frac{2}{1+1} \tan J(1+1)^{1}$, them atrix $R_{1}^{(1 ; 1)}\left(x_{0}\right)$ sim pli estol ${ }^{(11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{(1 ; 1)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=\exp [\text { i2JS }] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logarithm of equation ( $\overline{11}$ (1) is the spin exchange operatorbetw een the im purity spin $S=1=2$ and one electron, $w$ here the spin-exchange coupling $J$ is param eterized by $\mathrm{x}_{0}$.

It has been argued by T svelick et a' that the exchange in the $\mathrm{m} u$ ultichannel K ondo m odel is equivalent to an exchange $m$ odel for spin $m=2$ electrons scattered by the spin-S im purity. This equivalence has been proven by the equality of the exact solutions ofboth $m$ odels at zero tem perature. $W$ e expect that $m$ ore insight into th is question is possible by a lattioe path integral form ulation in analogy to the $S=1=2 ; m=1 ; \quad=0$ case ${ }^{111}$. W e leave this task as a future challenge. H ere, we rely on the above $m$ entioned equivalence, so that the $H$ am iltonian density is given by:
$w$ here $x_{0}$ and $q$ param eterize the coupling constants. In the follow ing, $q$ is given by a realconstant,$q=: \exp (i)$, such that 0 induces an Ising-like anisotropy, $J_{?}<$ $J_{j j}$. Them atrix $R_{q}^{(1, m)}$ acts in the electronic space $w i t h$ operator-valued entries in the im purity space. O nly the totally sym $m$ etric com bination of spinors, $w$ ith totalspin $m=2$, interactsw ith the im purity, so that the spin indioes in equation (2blin are ; ${ }^{0}=m=2 ;:: ; m=2$.
$C$ onsider the $m$ onodrom y $m$ atrix

$$
q^{(1, m)}=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~T}^{(1, m)}
$$

where $R_{q}^{(l ; m)^{i}}{ }_{a ; n}^{i}$ acts in the tensor product $V_{a}^{(1)} \quad V_{n}^{(m)}$. In the last line the transfer $m$ atrix $q_{q}^{(1, m)}$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
H=H_{h}+H_{s d}+H_{\text {ex }} \\
X \quad X^{n}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1=2 \mathrm{k}=1 \\
& H_{i}=i(x)^{X}:{ }^{y}(x)^{h} \ln R_{q}^{(1, m)}\left(x_{0}\right)^{i 0} \quad 0(x):(2 b) \\
& H_{\text {ex }}=\frac{h^{2}}{2} 4^{\mathrm{XX}^{\prime 2}} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{S}}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
3 \\
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}} ; 5^{2} \quad \text { (2c) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { k }
\end{aligned}
$$

the trace over the auxiliary space of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{(1, m)}$. In view of the de nition of the $H$ am iltonian in equation $\left(\overline{2} \bar{b}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$, the auxiliary space $a$ is identi ed $w$ ith the im purity space and the quantum spaces $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ correspond to the electrons. W ew ill now show that under the substitution $N$ ! i D, the largest eigenvalue ${ }_{1}^{(1 ; 1)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ of $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{(1 ; 1)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ is directly related to, the free energy contribution of the im purity $f_{i}$ found inill ${ }^{11}$,

Here D has them eaning of a bandw idth param eter of the host. A magnetic eld is introduced by tw isted boundary conditions.

The largest eigenvalue in equation (4) is expressed through auxiliary functions $\mathrm{b} ; \overline{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{B}=1+\mathrm{b}, \overline{\mathrm{B}}=1+\overline{\mathrm{b}})$ in analogy to ${ }^{1}{ }^{4}$.'. A fter the substitution $N$ ! i D, these functions are given by the non-linear integralequation

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})= & 2 \mathrm{D} \quad \arctan \mathrm{X}+\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2}  \tag{5}\\
& +\quad \ln \mathrm{B} \quad \ln \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{x}) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad(x): \frac{1}{2}^{R_{1}} 1 \frac{e^{=2 j k j}}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2}} e^{i k x} d k$ and $\quad(x):=(x$ i ). An equation sim ilar to $\frac{\underline{2}(5)}{(5)}$ holdsw th $\mathrm{b}!\overline{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{h}$ ! h and ! + . The free energy is obtained from the auxiliary functions by

$$
\left.\frac{1}{1}^{\mathrm{f}_{1}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \frac{1}{\cosh \left(x+\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)} \ln \mathbb{B} \overline{\mathrm{B}}\right](x) d x:
$$

These equations are equivalent to the corresponding T B A equation $\mathbf{1}^{19}$, as can be shown by, fiusion techniques described in $1^{51}$. P roceeding as in ${ }^{13}$, the universal lim it D ! 1 is taken by de ning the tem perature scale

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}:=2 \mathrm{D} e^{\mathrm{x}_{0}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his results in the $D$-independent equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln b(x) & =\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}}+\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2}+\quad \ln B \quad \ln \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{x}) \\
\mathrm{f} & \left.=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{1} \frac{1}{\cosh \left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)} \ln \mathrm{B} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}\right](\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

in com plete agreem ent $w$ ith results from '11. T hus we argue that the impurity contribution to the free energy of the anisotropic $m$ ultichannel spin- S K ondo m odel is given by
where the universal lim it D ! 1 is im plicit.
The eigenvalue, ${ }_{-1,1, m)}^{(1, m)}$ is found, by standard Bethe-
 ably chosen auxiliary functions in order to exploit the
analyticity properties of the largest eigenvalue. W e restrict ourselves to the anisotropy param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\overline{2 n}=: \max ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{m}$ ax $(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{W}$ e de ne a tem perature scale

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=2 \mathrm{D} e^{x_{0}=} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the isotropic case $(\bar{G})$ is recovered by scaling $x_{0}!\quad x_{0} . O$ ne is left $w$ th the follow ing system of $L \mathbb{E}$ for the auxiliary functions $Y_{j}=1+Y_{j},(j=1 ;::: ; n 1)$, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}=1+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{n}}=1+\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(x)=d(x)+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\wedge & Y
\end{array}\right](x)  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{y}:=\ln \mathrm{y}_{1} ; \ln \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \quad \quad{ }_{n} ; \ln \operatorname{li}_{1} \dot{\ln } \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \ln \overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& Y:=\ln Y_{1} ; \ln Y_{2} ; \quad \quad i \ln \mathbb{H} \bar{B}_{n}^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & ::: & 0 \\
0 & ::: & s(x)
\end{array} \\
& \text { (x) } \\
& \text { (x) A } \\
& 0 \text { ::: s }(x) \quad+(x) \quad(x) \\
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z_{1}} \frac{\sinh \frac{-k}{2}-(n+1)}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{-}{2} k-n} e^{i k x} d k  \tag{12}\\
& \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{1}{2}{ }_{1}^{Z} \frac{e^{\overline{2} k j}}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2}} e^{i k x} d k \\
& S(x)=\frac{1}{2 \cosh x} ; f=\frac{1}{2(1 \quad n=)} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \frac{1}{2} \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and the free energy contribution of the im purity is given by

A gain, them agnetic eld is introduced by tw isted boundary conditions. The integration kemel depends on $n$ if 0 . The asym $m$ etric driving term $e$ in the $m$ th equation gives rise to di erent asym ptotes in the lim its
$x!1, x!1$, sum $m$ arized in the follow ing:

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Y_{j}^{(1)} & =2 \ln \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}(j+1)}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}}  \tag{15a}\\
\ln Y_{j<m}^{(1)} & =2 \ln \frac{\sin \frac{m+2}{m}(j+1)}{\sin \frac{m+2}{m}}  \tag{15b}\\
\ln Y_{j}^{(1)} & =2 \ln \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}(j \operatorname{m}+1)}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}} \tag{15c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\ln B_{n}^{( } 1\right)=\ln e^{\frac{h}{2} n} \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}(n+1)^{\#}}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}} \tag{15d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.\ln B_{n}^{(1)}=\ln e^{\frac{h}{2}(n} m\right) \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}(n ~ m+1)^{\#}}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}}
$$

w th $=(1 \quad \mathrm{~m}=)^{1}$ and analogously for $\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}=$ $B_{n}^{(1)}{ }^{1}$ !. The lim its of $\ln y_{j}, \ln b_{n}, \ln \bar{b}_{n}$ are obtained from the above formulas by $Y_{j}=Y_{j} \quad 1, b_{1}=$ $\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ 1. For $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, the results take the sim ple expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln b_{n}^{(1)}=\ln e^{\frac{h}{2}(n+1)} \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2} n}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}} \\
& \quad " \\
& \left.\ln b_{n}^{(1)}=\ln e^{\frac{h}{2}(n} m+1\right) \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}(n \quad m)^{\prime}}{\sinh \frac{h}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ th h ! h .
The above presented derivation of the closed set of nitely $m$ any integral equations for the im purity's free energy is speculative in the sense that an observation m ade for the w ell-understaod case $\mathrm{S}=1=2, \mathrm{~m}=1 \mathrm{w}$ th in a path integralapproach $1^{11}$ is extended to the case ofgeneral. S and $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{W}$ e intend to report on the generalization of in a future publication. O ur claim, how ever, that
 a sim pler reasoning. By use of the algebral ${ }^{512} n^{77}$ of fused transfer $m$ atrioes one can show that our key equations are equivalent to the in nitely $m$ any TBA equat, ions ofli in the isotropic case and to the equations o in the anisotropic case. A s an illustration, gures entropy and speci c heat for som e special cases. The
 those for $\& 0$ are novel.

W e expect that in the fram ew ork of a generalized lattice path integral approach, additional electron-electron interactions in the host $m$ ust be introduced to keep the m odel integrable. These interactions m ay lead to both a renorm alization of the Ferm ivelocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and the eleqtronic 9 -factor, analogous to the known exact solution ${ }^{1 / 3!}$. These additional interactions $w$ ill be the concem of future work; the analysis in this w ork is based on the m odel



FIG. 1: Entropy for im purity spin $S=5=2$ and di erent channel num bers. At low tem peratures, the asym ptotes ln $(m+1)$ depend on the channel num ber, at high tem peratures, the com $m$ on asym ptote $\ln (2 S+1)$ is approached.

## 3. LOW TEMPERATURE EVALUATION

## 3.1. $2 S=m: E$ xact screen ing

T he rst non-vanishing order in a low tem perature, low eld expansion of the free energy in the exactly screened case can be obtained by expanding the corresponding integral in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}(T ; h)=\frac{T}{2}{ }^{Z_{1}} \frac{\ln B_{1} \bar{B}_{1}(x)}{\cosh x+\ln \frac{T}{T_{k}}} d x \\
& { }^{T ; h}=T_{K} \quad \frac{T^{2}}{T_{K}} \quad e_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \ln B_{1} \bar{B}_{1} \quad(x) d x \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

T he tem perature and the eld are supposed to be sm all com pared to $T_{K}$. A s can be seen from equations ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{-}^{1}\right)$, the integralin equation $\left(1{ }^{-1}\right)$ only exists for $l=m$. T he above approxim ation $m$ akes sense if $T$; $h \quad T_{K}$. The integralin equation ( $\left.\mathbf{1}^{-1} \underline{-1}\right)$ can-be done exactly, by a generalization of a m ethod used in'l leading to dilogarithm s apd-applied for instance to the spin-S H eisenberg chain in 1720

In the notation of equation $\left(\underline{1} \mathbf{1 d}_{1}\right)$, consider the integral

$$
I(T ; h):=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{d x} Y(x) Y(x) \quad Y(x) \frac{d}{d x} Y(x) \quad d x:(17)
$$

From the sym m etry of the integration kemels one deduces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{h})=\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{h}) \quad \frac{\mathrm{f}}{2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{~m}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Op,the other hand, the integral I ( $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{h}$ ) is calculated as in $1^{17}$ by using dilogarithm ic identities, the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\quad 2 \frac{m}{m+2}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 in an expansion of the free energy for low elds and tem peratures:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{i}(T ; h)=\frac{T^{2}}{2 T_{K}} \frac{m}{m+2} \quad \frac{m}{4(m}\right) \frac{h^{2}}{T_{K}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.2: Speci c heat for im purity spin $S=5=2$ and di erent channel num bers. T he inset show $s$ the Ferm i liquid behavior $\lim _{\mathrm{T}}$ ! o $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{T}=$ const. for $2 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{m}=5$.

From equation $\left(\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$,

$$
C_{i}(T)=\frac{T}{T_{k}} \frac{m}{m+2} \quad i(h)=\frac{1}{T_{k}} \frac{m}{2(m)}(21)
$$

T hese relations exhibit clearly the role of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}_{-}}$as a \low tem perature scale". A ccording to equation (21), the im purity contribution to the speci $c$ heat and $m$ agnetic susceptibility are Fem i liquid like at $T$; $\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ in the exactly screened case $l=m$. This is the regim e of \strong coupling": T he anti-ferrom agnetic spin exchange leads to the form ation of a $m$ any particle state betw een the im purity and the host electrons, which screens the m agnetic m om ent of the im purity. E lem entary, excitations of this bound state are Fem i like. N ozieres ${ }^{1} \mathbf{L}^{2} 1_{4}^{11}$ built up a phenom enological Ferm i liquid theory to describe this regim e. This Fem i liquid behavior is to be com pared $w$ ith the host. It consists of spin-1/2-ferm ions ofm noninteracting channels (or ofm avors), so that the density of states is enhanced by a factor ofm.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}(T)=T \frac{m}{}_{3}^{h} \quad h(h)=\frac{m \quad h}{4}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cient of the linear $T$-dependence of $C_{i}\left(C_{h}\right)$ is denoted by i ( h ). The low-tem perature $W$ ilson ratio $R$ is de ned and calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R:=\lim _{T!0} \frac{i}{h} \frac{h}{i}=\frac{2(m+2)}{31 m-} \quad 2: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound 2 is reached for $m=1,=0$. The striking feature in com paring equations $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is that $C_{i}$ is reduced by a factor of $3=(2 m+4)$ in com parison to $C_{h}$, if the constant $h$ is chosen such that $i=h$ for
$=0$. This $m$ ay be interpreted by the localization of the im purity: C ontrary to the host electrons, it does not m ove, so that the speci c heat is reduced.

### 3.2. L in earization

In this section, the ground-state and the lowest Tdependent contribution to the free energy are calculated


FIG. 3: Entropy for $m=5$ channels and spins $S=1=2 ;::: 5=2$. In the low tem perature lim it, the entropy takes the unusual value $\ln f \sin [(2 S+1)=(m+2)]=\sin =(m+2) g$, equation [15ba), whereas for $h$ igh tem peratures, the asym ptotes are given ${ }^{-1}$ buy $\ln (2 S+1)$.
by linearizing the $N L \mathbb{E}$ for $h \in 0$. From equations (1-1) one observes that for $h \in 0$ and ! 1 in the lim it x ! 1 the auxiliary functions scale with $h$. Especially, $\lim \quad l_{1} \ln \bar{B}_{1}=0+0 e^{h}$, so that one can neglect $\ln \bar{B}_{1} w$ th exponential accuracy.

O ne introduces the scaling functions $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln y_{j}(x)=: h K_{m} \quad j\left(x+\ln h K_{m}\right) \quad j=1 ;::: ; 1 \quad 1 \\
& \ln b_{1}(x)=: h K_{m} \quad 1\left(x+\ln h K_{m}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{m}$ is de ned by $\ln y_{m}\left(\ln h K_{m}\right)=0$. The shiff in the spectral param eter is perform ed in order to deal w ith functions which have a zero in the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(0)=0: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
&<>0 ; x>\ln K_{m} ; j=m  \tag{25}\\
&\langle(x)<0 ; x<\ln K_{m} ; j=m \\
&>0 ; \text { otherw ise }
\end{align*}
$$

so that one linearizes the logarithm s

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\ln \left[1+\exp \left(h K_{m} j(x)\right)\right] & \\
\quad<\quad h K_{m} j(x)+O \text { (const.); } & j<m \\
=\quad h K_{m} m(x)+\frac{2}{6 K_{m} j_{m}(0) j}(x) ; & j=m  \tag{26}\\
=\quad h K_{m} j+O(\exp (\text { const. } h)) ; & j>m
\end{array}
$$

The linearization is done w ith exponential accuracy for $j$ m,butw th only algebraic accuracy for $j<m$ because of the constant asym ptotes of the latter functions. T he rst T -contribution for these is calculated below w ith in a di erent linearization schem e. Here, the low est Tcontribution for the exactly and under-screened single channel case $1 \quad m=1$ is determ ined. For $=0$, the results O $^{131}$ are con med .

It is convenient to de ne a m atrix $\hat{A} \quad 1$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\hat{A_{i ; j}}}^{1}(k):= & \left.\begin{array}{ll}
1 & F_{k}[] \\
i ; j & F_{k}[s](i ; j+1+j \\
i ; j & 1)
\end{array}\right) \text { otherw ise. } \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$



FIG.4: Specic heat for $m=5$ channels and spins $S=$ $1=2 ;:: 5=2$.

By inserting the -functions into the original set of $\mathrm{NL} \mathbb{E}$ and using equations $(\underline{2} \overline{2}),\left(\overline{2}_{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{i j}^{1}{ }_{j}^{i}=i_{i, m} \sigma_{m} \quad m \quad+i ; 1 \frac{g}{K_{m}} \text {; } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned the driving term

$$
\tilde{a}_{m}(x)=e^{x}+\frac{2}{6 h K_{m} j_{m}^{0}(0) j}(x) ;
$$

and

The term $s j_{j} 0$ contain the ground-state, and from the rest, the low est $T$-dependent contributions are obtained. W e will calculate the latter explicitly for $1 \mathrm{~m}=1 . \mathrm{We}$ $m$ ade use of the shorthand-notations

$$
j(x) \quad(x)=:_{j}^{+}(x) ; \quad{ }_{j}(x) \quad(x)=:_{j}(x)
$$

for functions in direct space. Their Fourier transform s are denoted as

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \stackrel{1}{j}_{+}^{2}{\frac{1}{Z_{1}}}_{j}^{+}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{e}^{i \mathrm{kx}} \mathrm{dx}=:_{j}^{+}(\mathrm{k}) ;
$$

hence an index ${ }^{+}$denotes analyticity in the upper halfof the com plex $k$-plane. De ne a new energy scale

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}:=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{m}}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen the free energy, $m$ agnetization and speci cheat are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}(h) & =\frac{h K_{m}}{2} Z_{1} \frac{1(k)}{\cosh \frac{k}{2}} e^{i k \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}} d k}  \tag{31}\\
M_{i}(h) & =\frac{K_{m}}{2} \quad \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{k}{2}} \quad \text { i; }(k) e^{i k \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}} d k  \tag{32}\\
C_{i}(T ; h) & =\frac{{ }^{2} T}{6 h K_{m} j_{m}^{0}(0) j} \sum_{1} \frac{U_{1}(k) e^{i k \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}}}{\cosh \frac{k}{2}} d k: \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 5: The entropy for the under-screened case $S=$ $1 ; m=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith elds $\mathrm{h}=0 ; 0: 1 ; 1 ; 10$ and an isotropies
$=0 ; 0: 9 \mathrm{max}=0: 707$ (for max , see equation (i) ).

O bviously, the $W$ ilson ratio $C_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T_{i}\end{array}\right)$ is a function of $h$ alone. In the follow ing, the $m$ agnetization and the susceptibillty are calculated from .1;0, and the speci c heat from $\mathrm{U}_{1}$, de ned in equation $\left(2_{2} \underline{g}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

### 3.3. U nder-screened and exactly screened cases, 2 S m

The linear system (28) is solved by inverting the $m$ atrix $\hat{A}^{1}(\mathrm{k})$. This gives the $m$ atrix $\hat{A}(k) w$ th elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A C}_{\hat{A}(k)}^{i}{ }_{i ; j}^{i}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} \hat{A}{ }^{1}(k)} i ; j(k) ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j$ is the adjunct to $\hat{A^{\hat{1}}}{ }^{1}{ }_{i ; j}$ in $\operatorname{dett} \hat{A}{ }^{1}$. Both quantities are seen to satisfy recursion relations, which can be solved explicitly w ith the results

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} \hat{A}^{1}(k) & =\frac{\sinh -\frac{k}{2}}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2}{ }^{1} \sinh -1 \frac{k}{2}}  \tag{35}\\
\hat{A}_{j ; j}(k) & =\frac{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} \sinh j \frac{k}{2} \sinh -j \frac{k}{2}}{\sinh \frac{k}{2} \sinh -\frac{k}{2}} \\
\hat{A}_{j ; 1}(k) & =\frac{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} \sinh j \frac{k}{2} \sinh -1 \frac{k}{2}}{\sinh \frac{k}{2} \sinh -\frac{k}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

O ther $m$ atrix elem ents are not needed. T hen

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{m(k)}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(k)}= & m(k)+\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{m}(\mathrm{k})+\frac{\hat{A_{m} ; 1}(\mathrm{k})}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(\mathrm{k})} \frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{~K}_{m}}  \tag{k}\\
{ }_{1}(\mathrm{k})= & \frac{\hat{A_{m ; 1}}(\mathrm{k})}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(\mathrm{k})} m(\mathrm{k})+ \\
& \hat{\hat{A}_{1 ; 1}}(\mathrm{k}) \frac{\hat{A}_{m ; 1}^{2}(\mathrm{k})}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(\mathrm{k})} \frac{f}{\mathrm{~K}_{m}}(\mathrm{k})
\end{align*}
$$

 $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}_{+}^{-}$( G ) being analytic in the upper (lower) half of


FIG. 6: The speci c heat for the under-screened case $S=$ $1 ; \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith elds $\mathrm{h}=0 ; 0: 1 ; 1 ; 10$ for anisotropies = $0 ; 0: 9 \mathrm{max}=0: 707$ (for max , see equation (i) ).
the com plex $k-p l a n e$ ( $W$ iener $H$ opf factorization),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A_{m}, m}(k)=G+(k) G \quad(k): \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{\mathrm{m} ; 0}^{+}(k) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{+}(k) G(i)}{\left(k+i 0^{+}\right)(k+i)}  \tag{39}\\
U_{1}^{+}(k)_{m=1}+1 & =\frac{1}{2} G+(k) ; l>1 ; \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K}$ is the Fourier transform of the kemel de ned in equation (121) for $l=1$ and

$$
G \quad(k)=G+(k):
$$

The constant a is chosen to be $\mathrm{a}=\ldots \overline{2} \ln (1 \quad \mathrm{~m}=)+$ $\frac{m}{2} \ln (1 \quad=(\mathrm{m}))$. From equation $\left(3_{-}^{\prime}, 6\right)$ the constant $K_{m}$ is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}=f \frac{\hat{A}_{m ; 1}(0)}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(0)} \frac{G \quad(0)}{G \quad(\quad i)}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith this inform ation and equations ( (G), (3]) we know the $m$ agnetic eld scale $T_{h}$ whose role is revealed in various expansions of the $m$ agnetization $m(h)$ below .

## G round state

The ground state contribution to the free energy equation $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is given by inserting $1 ; 0$ from equation $\left(3 Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ w th equation ( $\beta_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}_{1}$ ).

Them agnetization is w ritten dow $n$ from equation (32),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{+}(k)=\frac{2 m \quad 1}{}-1_{1-(1-m)}^{!\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{i}(h)=\frac{l m}{2} \frac{1}{1 m-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral can be calculated by closing the contour in the lower ( $h>T_{h}$ ) or in the upper ( $h<T_{h}$ ) half plane. $T$ his results in tw o pow er series in $T_{h}=h$ for $h>T_{h}$ and in $h=T_{h}$ for $h<T_{h} w$ ith integer and non-integer powers, depending on the poles of the integrand. These calculations are straightforw ard but lengthy. Especially, in the lim its $h \quad T_{h}, h \quad T_{h}$, one extracts the constant values

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}(\mathrm{~h})! \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus for $h=0$, a non-integer rest-spin rem ains in the case $0, l>\mathrm{m}$ and h -dependent corrections are of non-integer powers of $h$. The constant term $s$ in (43) agree, precisely $w$ ith the asym ptotes, equations ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) and w ither, ${ }^{819} \mathbf{1}$, w here the sam em odelw as investigated by T B A techniques in the lim its indicated in (4)

In the isotropic lim it ! 0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{+}(k)=\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{p} \\
2 \mathrm{~m} & 1 & \frac{k}{2} & \mathrm{ikm} \\
{ }^{\frac{m_{2}}{2}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i k m}{2 e} \quad e^{\frac{j k m}{2}(1 \mathrm{~m})} \frac{e^{\mathrm{jk} \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}}}{k+i 0^{+}} d k \\
& +\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{2}!\quad \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{2} ; \mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}} . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last line, only the leading behavior due to the simple pole at if for high elds has been included. $T$ he integral in equation (44) has been given by T svelick and $W$ iegm ann ${ }^{4 / 2}$. It allows to determ ine the zerotem perature scales de ned below. T here are several singularities of the integrand equation (4, $\overline{4} \mathbf{1}$ ) in the com plex k -plane:
i) $m=1$ : Poles are distributed in the upper and lower half-planes, w ith an additional dom inating cut along the negative im aginary axis.
ii) $m$ < : A cut along the whole im aginary axis goes along w ith sub-leading poles in both the upper and low er half planes.

Singularities in the low er (upper) half plane are relevant for $h>T_{h}\left(h<T_{h}\right)$. Poles $k_{n}=i(2 n+1) ; n=0 ; 1 ;::$ : in the upper half plane only give a leading contribution in the exactly screened case $l=\mathrm{m}$. They have residuals $2 i(1)^{n+1}=n$ !, such that the $m$ agnetization is given by a series

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i}\left(h<T_{h}\right) & \stackrel{m=1}{=} P^{m}= \\
X^{X} & \frac{(1)^{n}}{(2 n+1) n!} \frac{n+\frac{1}{2}}{m n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \frac{m n+\frac{1}{2}}{e} \\
!m\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) & \frac{h}{T_{h}}
\end{aligned}
$$

O ne recognizes the signature of a Ferm i liquid in rst order: $M_{i}(h) / h$ and $i(h=0)=$ const. $U$ pon inserting explicit values, one nds agreem ent $w$ th equation (211). W e shall establish this agreem ent explicitly for arbitrary

Let us draw our attention to the cut in the lower half plane, for values l m. By linearizing the integrand, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}\left(h>T_{h}\right) \quad \frac{l_{l}}{2}={ }^{m} \quad \frac{m l}{4} \quad \frac{1}{\ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}}+\frac{m}{2} \frac{\ln \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}}{\ln ^{2} \frac{h}{T_{h}}}! \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\ln ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}}}}+\mathrm{O} \quad \ln \quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& A=\frac{1}{2}[m \ln m+(m \quad 2) \ln 2]:(45) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution $O$ ( $\mathrm{ln}^{2} \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) is absorbed by the de nition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}=e^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}  \tag{46}\\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~h}>\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{=}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{ml}}{4} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{h}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2} \frac{\ln \ln \frac{h}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}}}{\ln ^{2} \frac{h}{P_{h}}} \\
&+0 \quad \ln { }^{3} \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

E quation (4-1) de nes the zero-tem perature scale for large magnetic elds.

Finally, upon "encircling" the cut in the upper half plane, one only replaces the pre-factor 1 in equation $\left(\overline{4} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$
by $1 \quad m$ and sets $\ln h e^{1}=\ln \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{h}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}\left(\mathrm{~h}\left\langle\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \stackrel{1>m}{=}\right. \frac{l}{2}+\frac{m(l \mathrm{~m})}{4} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{e_{\mathrm{h}}}{h}} \\
& \frac{m}{2} \frac{\ln \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}}{h}}{\ln ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}}{h}}+0 \quad \ln ^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{h} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that this sim ple replacem ent does not hold for 0 , as can already be seen from the low est order, equation (4)

The free spin value of the $m$ agnetization is approached logarithm ically at high elds. This asym ptotical freedom in the weak coupling lim it is a genuine feature of the $K$ ondo $m$ odel. A $n$ analogouse ect occurs for low elds in the under-screened case; how ever, the rst correction is of opposite sign com pared to the high-tem perature case,
 appears at low tem peratures if the im purity is exactly screened. The physical origin of these results has been revealed by $N$ ozierers' already before the exact solution of the $K$ ondo m odel was known: At high elds, corrections to the asym ptotical freedom ofthe im purity spin are caused by the w eak anti-ferrom agnetic coupling w th the host particles. At low elds, the im purity spin is partially screened due to strong anti-ferrom agnetic exchange. T wo kinds of interactions $w$ th this im purity-electron system $m$ ay occur: O $n$ the one hand, a weak ferrom agnetic coupling of the residual spin $S \quad \mathrm{~m}=2 \mathrm{w}$ th the host, due to the P auli principle (this explains the change of sign in the leading order on the ms of equations ( $4 \overline{4}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}$ ), ( $\left.4 \overline{4} \overline{8}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ). O $n$ the other hand, a polarization of the bound com plex by host electrons, analogously to the Ferm iliquid excitations at $S=m=2$. This polarization is given by the poles and dom inated by the ferrom agnetic K ondo interactions, re ected by the cuts in the com plex plane.

## $F$ in ite tem perature

A fter the calculation of the $m$ agnetization, we proceed w th the speci c heat for $1 \mathrm{~m}=1$. By inserting equa-


$$
C_{i}\left(T \quad T_{K} ; h\right)=T=\frac{2}{3 h K_{1} j_{1}^{0}(0) j} V\left(\quad \ln h=T_{h}\right) ;
$$

where the Fourier transform of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ is given by

$$
V(k)=\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
\\
s(k) & U_{1}^{+}(k)+1
\end{array}\right. & l=1 \\
\frac{A_{11}(k)}{A_{11}(k)} s(k) U_{1}^{+}(k)+1 & ; \\
l & 1
\end{array}:
$$

From equation ( 40 $G_{+}(k) s(k)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}} ; \mathrm{h}\right)=\mathrm{T}=\frac{2}{3 \mathrm{j}_{1}^{0}(0) \mathrm{j} G \quad(\quad \mathrm{i}) \mathrm{K}_{1}^{2}} \quad(\mathrm{~h}) ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

 constant $j_{1}^{0}(0) j$ is determ ined from the condition that equation (4d) $m$ atches equation (211 ) for $l=1=m$, so that $j_{1}^{0}(0) j=1=\left(K_{1} G \quad(0)\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}} ; \mathrm{h}\right)=\mathrm{T}=\frac{2\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)}{3}(\mathrm{~h}): \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4. O ver-screened and exactly screened cases, 2S m (ground state)

In this section, we calculate the ground state forl $m$, $n$ ite tem peratures are dealt $w$ ith in the next section.
If 1 m , there are $m$ equations, the last one being

$$
m(x)=e^{x}+\frac{f}{K_{m}}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
s & m & 1+ \\
m
\end{array}\right](x) ;
$$

where $f=\frac{1}{2(1 \mathrm{~m}=}$. On the right-hand side of equation (28), only the $m$ th entry is di erent from zero. C onsequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{+m}{\hat{m}(k)} & =\frac{m}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}(k)}(k)+\sigma_{m}(k)+\frac{f}{K_{m}}(k)  \tag{51}\\
\quad \# & =\frac{\hat{A}_{l ; m}}{\hat{A_{m ; m}}}+\frac{+}{m} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

 $m$ ethod, afterw ards ${ }_{m}^{-}$is inserted in equations (3-7), (524). $T$ he relevant $m$ atrix entries read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{A_{m, m}}(k)=\frac{2 \cosh \frac{1}{2} k \sinh m \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{k}{2}-m}{\sinh \frac{k}{2} \sinh -\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \hat{A}_{l, m}(k)=\frac{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} k \sinh 1 \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{k}{2}-m}{\sinh \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{k}{2}-}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ ith $\hat{A_{m}, m}(k)=G+(k) G \quad(k)$, the auxiliary functions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{m}^{+}(k)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G+(k) G(i)}{\left(k+i 0^{+}\right)(k+i)} \\
& G_{+}(k)=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{2} 1 \begin{array}{lllll}
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{k}{2} \\
\hline & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{k}{2} & 1 & \text { in } \frac{k}{2}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{1 \dot{ \pm} \frac{k}{2} e^{\text {iak }}}{1 \text { 苃 }-m} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and $K_{m}=f \frac{G(0)}{G(i)}$. In the lim it ofhigh eldsh $T_{h}$, the $m$ agnetization reads

The isotropic lim it ! 0 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i k m}{2 e} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{f} k}}{2} e^{\mathrm{ik} \ln \frac{h}{\mathrm{~T}_{h}}} d k
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that for $=0$, a cut along the negative part of the im aginary axis occurs. It dom inates the poles in the low er halfof the com plex plane, and one is faced w ith the expected K ondo behavior for $\mathrm{h}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$, again in accordance w ithis.

For $h<T_{h}$, the leading behavior is given by the poles $w$ th sm allest positive in aginary part.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}(h) /{\frac{h}{T_{K}}}^{2=m} ; i_{i}(h) /{\frac{h}{T_{K}}}^{2=m}: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus over-screening induces non-integer exponents of $h$, independent of , at $T=0$.
$F$ inally note that for $l=m$, the tw $o$ expressions equations ( $444_{1}^{1}$ ), ( $53_{1}^{3}$ ) coincide. It is show $n$ that the rst nonvanishing order linear in $h$ of the $m$ agnetization leads to the $T=0, h=0$ susceptibility, calculated in equation (2111). For $l=m$, the $m$ agnetization reads

$$
\frac{e^{i k \ln \frac{h}{T_{h}}} \text { iak }}{k+i 0^{+}} d k:
$$

Being interested in elds $h<T_{h}$, one takes account of the poles at $k_{n}:=i(2 n+1) w$ ith residuals $2 i(1)^{n+1}=n!$. $T$ hese result in the series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{i}(h)=\frac{1}{1=2} 1 \quad-m_{n=0}^{X^{3}} \frac{(1)^{\mu}}{(2 n+1) n!} \\
& \begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{2}+n & -n+\frac{1}{2} a^{2 n+1} \\
m \frac{1}{2}+n & -m \quad n+\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}{\frac{h}{T_{h}}}^{2 n+1}:
\end{aligned}
$$

From the de nition of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$, equation [ $\overline{3} \mathrm{~d}$ ), one gets to rst order in h :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{T_{h}} M_{i}(h) & =\frac{m}{21-m} \frac{h}{T_{K}}  \tag{55}\\
i(T=0 ; h=0) & =\frac{m}{21-m} \frac{1}{T_{K}}: \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

This result is expected from equation (211).
$F$ in ite tem perature

As pointed out above, we are not able to account for corrections of the linearized functions $j<m, m>1$ in the fram ew ork of the rigorous linearization. In the follow ing, we consider the case $j<m$ for $s m$ all $m$ agnetic elds and low tem peratures;-For $=0$, this case was treated previously by A eck ${ }^{221}$ using bosonization and CFT -techniques. He calculated the low -tem perature W ilson-ratio analytically for arbitrary m . A nalpgous results have been obtained by the TBA-solution ${ }^{23}$. only for $m=2, S=1=2$. Here, wewillcon m A eck's ndings form 2, extended to the anisotropic case $\xi_{0}$.

F irst consider the case $\mathrm{m}=2 ; 2 \mathrm{~S}=1$. T he relevant auxiliary functions are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{s} \quad \ln \mathrm{~B}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \ln b_{2}(x)=\quad e^{x}+\frac{h}{2}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s & \ln ¥
\end{array}\right](x)+\left[\quad \ln B_{2}\right](x)
\end{aligned}
$$

 2):

$$
\lim _{x}\left[s \quad \ln B_{2} \bar{B}_{2}\right](x)=e^{x} \frac{(h)^{2}}{4} \frac{2(2)}{:}
$$

C onsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x} \ln Y_{1}(x)=\ln 2+e^{x} \frac{(h)^{2}}{8} \frac{(2)}{4(2)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne approxim ates the kemel in the sam e schem e as in equation ( $2 \mathbf{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ - $)$,

$$
\lim _{T} \frac{1}{T_{K}} \frac{2 T}{\cosh \left(x+\ln T=T_{K}\right)}=\frac{2}{T_{K}} e^{x} \quad \ln \frac{T}{T_{K}}:(59)
$$

The approxim ations $\left(\overline{5} \overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(55_{1}^{2}\right)\end{array}\right)$ lead to a constant integrand, which we dealw ith by introducing a cuto D,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(T ; h)=\frac{T}{4} \ln 2 \\
& \frac{T^{2}}{T_{K}} \frac{1}{8}+\frac{(h)^{2}}{4(2)} Z_{D} \ln T=T_{K} \\
& 1 d x ; D
\end{aligned}
$$

The cuto $D$ depends nether on $T$ nor on $h$, so the occurrence of the logarithm $\ln T=T_{K}$ in the above equation leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{T ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{h})=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~T} \ln \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}}  \tag{60a}\\
& \lim _{\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{h})=\frac{1}{2(2} \frac{\ln \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}}  \tag{60b}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}{\dot{\underset{f}{n}}}^{\dot{n}_{n} ; 2 ; 2 \mathrm{~S}=1}=\frac{8}{3(1 \quad 2=)} ; \tag{60c}
\end{align*}
$$

con m ing $r_{1-}$, for $=0$, num erical ndings by Sacram ento ${ }^{231}$ and A eck's prediction.

For valuesm > 2, we perform an asym ptotic limpariza-
 rections to $\ln Y_{j}^{(1)}$ are expressed through a correction function $D_{j}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln Y_{j}(x)=\ln Y_{j}^{(1)}+D_{j}(x) ; \lim _{x!1} D_{j}(x)=0: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linearizing $\ln y_{j}$ to rst order in $D_{j}, j=1 ;::: ; m$

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Y_{j}(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \ln Y_{j}^{(1)}{ }_{1}^{( } Y_{j+1}^{(1)}+\frac{f_{j}^{2}}{f_{j} f_{j+1}} D_{j}(x) \\
f_{j} & \left.=Y_{j}^{(1)}\right)^{1=2}=\frac{\sin \frac{1}{m+2}(j+1)}{\sin \overline{m+2}} \\
D_{j}(x) & =\frac{f_{j}{ }_{1} f_{j+1}}{f_{j}^{2}}\left[s \quad\left(D_{j} \quad 1 \quad D_{j+1}\right)\right](x)  \tag{61}\\
D_{m} & \ln B_{m} \bar{B}_{m}: \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation ( 6 ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ has been derived for x 0. This is approxim ately accounted for by writing $D_{j}(x)$ $D_{j}(x)(x)$. The linearized equations form an algebraic system by Fourier transform ing. Each unknown function $D_{j}(x)$ can be expressed in term $s$ of $D_{m}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{j}(x) & \left.=t_{j} \quad D_{n}\right](x)  \tag{63}\\
t_{j}(k) & =\frac{f_{j} \quad 1 \sinh (j+2) \frac{k}{2}}{f_{j}} \quad f_{j+1} \sinh j \frac{k}{2} \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

A s usuall, $\left.F_{k} t_{j}(x)\right]:=t_{j}(k)$. W e see that $D_{j}$ from equa-
 function $g(k)$ has to be determ ined from the last equation $j=m \quad 1$ and is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(k)=2 \cos \frac{}{m+2} \sinh (m+2) \frac{k}{2}^{1}: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he rst equation, $j=0$, is already contained in equation (63]) by to 0 and therefore $D_{j=0} \quad 0$. Equations ${ }^{\prime}(64)$, (65.) im ply that the auxiliary functions $\ln Y_{j}$ behave as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln Y_{j}(x!1) \quad \ln Y_{j}^{(1)}+\text { const. } \quad e^{4 x=(m+2)}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant is given through $\ln \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}} \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{m}}$, equations ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$, $\left.\left.{ }^{(6-3}\right]^{3}\right)$, and will be determ ined num erically below. Com bining equations ( $6=1$
im purity part of the free energy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}\left(T ; h \quad T_{K}\right)=T \ln \frac{\sin \frac{(2 S+1)}{m+2}}{\sin \frac{T^{2}+2}{}} \\
& \frac{T}{2}{ }_{1} \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{k}{2}} D_{2 S}(k) e^{i k \ln T=T_{K}} d k: \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T<T_{K}$, only negative im aginary values for $k$ are allow ed.
$T$ he leading $T$-contribution is given by the singularity closest to the real axis of the integrand in equation $\left.\left.{ }^{(6-7}\right)_{1}^{-1}\right)$. Form 3, this is a simple pole at $k_{p}=4 i=(m+2)^{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}\left(T ; h \quad T_{K}\right)=T \ln \frac{\sin \frac{(2 S+1)}{m+2}}{\sin \frac{m+2}{m}} \quad T \quad \frac{T}{T_{K}}{ }^{\frac{4}{m+2}} \\
& =\frac{\sin \frac{4 s}{m+2} \sin \frac{2(S+1)}{m+2} \quad \sin \frac{2 s}{m+2} \sin \frac{4(S+1)}{m+2}}{Z_{1}^{(m+2)} \cos \frac{}{m+2} \cos \frac{2}{m+2} \sin \frac{(2 S+1)}{m+2}} \\
& \left.e^{\frac{4 x}{e^{+2}}} \ln \mathbb{B}_{m} \bar{B}_{m}\right](x) d x \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

The speci cheat and susceptibility are derived:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i}(T ; h=0) & =\dot{h}_{=0} \frac{4(m+6)}{(m+2)^{2}} \frac{T}{T_{K}}{ }^{\frac{4}{m+2}}  \tag{69a}\\
i(T ; h=0) & =\frac{T}{T_{K}} \frac{Q}{}_{\frac{4}{m+2}} \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@(h)^{2}} \quad h=0 \tag{69b}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative in equation (69bi) only acts upon the integrand in equation (68). Both $C_{i}=T$ and $i$ show the sam e $T$-dependence, yielding the low -tem perature $W$ ilson ratio, de ned in equation $\left(\underline{2} \overline{3}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ :

$$
R_{w}=\frac{i(T)}{C_{i}(T)} \frac{C_{h}(T)}{h(T)}=\frac{4^{2}}{3} \frac{(m+2)^{2}}{4(m+6)} \frac{\frac{\Theta^{2}}{(h)^{2}}}{h=0}
$$

for $m$ 3. The quantity de ned in (6"\$) depends on $S ; m$; and $h$, which is taken to be zero in (69a), (699). This $m$ eans that the factors in ( $\left.\mathbf{6}^{-1} \mathrm{a}^{1}\right)$, ( $\left.\mathbf{6}^{-1} \mathrm{a}^{\top}\right)^{-}$are constant and known, so that from $m$ easuring $\mathrm{C}_{i}$ or $\mathrm{i}_{\text {, the }}$ scale $T_{K}$ can be determ ined. So the param eter $T_{K}$ has the $m$ eaning of a low -tem perature scale also in the overscreened case.

W e did not nd an analytical expression of the integral in equation $(\overline{6} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$, but determ ined the coe cients in equations (69a), (69bi) num erically. T herefore, the NLE are solved as described in the appendix, and the coefcient of the leading $T^{4=(m+2)}$-decay of $C_{i}\left(T \quad T_{K}\right)$, ${ }_{i}\left(T \quad T_{K}\right) \quad T$ is extracted from the num erical data. In g. $\overline{1} / 1$ som e curves are show $n$. The $W$ ilson ratio for the isotropic case is

$$
R_{w} j=0=\frac{(2+m=2)(2+m)^{2}}{18} ; m \quad 2:
$$

2: (71)

For nite anisotropy $\quad 0$, the $m$ agnetic eld is scaled by the factor $1=(1 \quad \mathrm{~m}=)$ in the $\mathrm{NL} \mathbb{E}$, equation (1 2 ), $\left.(1)^{-1}\right)$. Furtherm ore, the non-integer powers in ( $\left.69 a_{1}\right)$, (69b) are determ ined by the fusion hierarchy, (65), where the anisotropy param eter does not enter explicitly. W e therefore conjecture that in analogy to the exactly screened case (2 2 (60()), the $W$ ilson ratio for nite is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{w} j=\frac{1}{1 m=} \frac{(2+m=2)(2+m)^{2}}{18} ; m \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thism eans that anisotropy is irrelevant in the renom alization group sense, in accordance w ith pperzipus treat$m$ ents of the underscreened case by TBA ${ }^{\text {bun }}$ other hand it has been shown in the CFT -approachl'! that a generic anisotropic spin-exchange is relevant. Since the spin-exchange (2ab) is a polynom ial in the spin, operators, the situation here is di erent from that in ${ }^{2}$. W e leave further investigations on this issue as a future task. In table 'I, our results are com pared $w$ th equations (7근), (7212).


FIG. 7: The low-tem perature $W$ ilon ratios for the overscreened case w th $\mathrm{m}=5$ and anisotropy $=$ $0 ; 0: 2 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 8 \mathrm{~m}$ ax , where the low est set of curves is for $=0$.

## 4. H IG H TEMPERATURE EVALUATION

In this section, the NLIE are analyzed in the lim it of high tem peratures $T \quad T_{K}$ by an asym ptotic linearization. The fact that contrary to the TBA approach, we deal with a nite set of NLE, is most usefil here. In the isotropic single channel case, our results are farther reaching than those obtained from the TBA equations ${ }^{13}=$ the treatm ent of the isotropic $m$ ultichannel case and of the anisotropic $m$ odels in this regim e is novel.

This section is divided into three parts. In the rst part, the isotropic lim it $=0$ is considered. The second part treats the low -tem perature lim it of the underscreened case, which is conceptually very sim ilar to the regim e of high tem peratures. The anisotropic case is treated in the last part.

```
4.1. Isotropic case =0;h=0
```

In the high tem perature regim e, the rst corrections to the asym ptotic value of the free energy are given by the asym ptotic corrections of the concemed auxiliary functions for $x$ ! 1 . Corrections are of algebraic and logarithm ic-algebraic nature and can be found w thin an asym ptotic linearization schem e. This is also true for $l>m$ in the low -tem perature lim it, referring to the region x ! 1 . In this case, the set of 1 equations decouples into two sets of $m$ and $l m$ equations and the high-tem perature-linearization is directly transferable.

O ne m akes use of the know ledge of the asym ptotic behavior of the auxiliary functions derived in appendix "̆1. to extract the high-tem perature behavior of the free energy, the speci c heat and $m$ agnetic susceptibility in zero eld. Employing once again the approxim ation equation ( (A-3), this tim $e$ in the integral of the free energy, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{T_{K}} f_{i}(T)=T \ln (l+1)+\frac{m l(l+2)}{12} \frac{T}{\ln ^{3} \frac{T}{T_{K}}}  \tag{73a}\\
& \lim _{T_{K}} C_{i}(T)=\frac{1}{\ln ^{4} \frac{T}{T_{K}}} \frac{m l(1+2)^{2}}{4}  \tag{73b}\\
& \lim _{T_{K}} i(T)=\frac{l(1+2)}{12 T} \quad 1 \quad \frac{m}{\ln \frac{T}{T_{K}}} \quad \frac{m^{2} \ln \ln T=T_{K}}{2 \ln ^{2} \frac{T}{T_{K}}} \\
& +\frac{4 m^{3}(m)+m^{2}=4}{\ln ^{2} \frac{T}{T_{\mathrm{K}}}} \tag{73c}
\end{align*}
$$

Values for $(m)$ are given in table iII for $m=1 ;::: ; 5$. Let us cite the special case $m=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m=1)=0: 04707 \quad 2 \quad 10^{7} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (ī3ai)-(73 $\mathbf{y}$ ) constitute the rst calculation of the leading orders of the speci c heat and $m$ agnetic susceptibility for general $m$ in the fram ework of an exact solution. The single-channel case $m=1$ agrees $w$ ith known TBA-resultsil . Especially, the coe cient of the ( $\ln \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) ${ }^{2}$-decay of the m agnetic susceptibility is determ ined, from which we w ill calculate $W$ ilson's num ber, relating the high-tem perature to the low-tem perature scale.

From equation ( i 3 Z a) , w e calculate $W$ ilson's num ber for the underscreened and exactly screened cases, 1 m . Let us rst draw our attention to the exactly screened spin$1=2$ case, $1=1=\mathrm{m}$. W ilsont obtained for the zeroeld spin $-1=2$ susceptibility by his renorm alization group approach

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\mathrm{T}!_{0}(T)} & =\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}}=\frac{0: 1032 \quad 0: 0005}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} & =2 \quad(0: 1032 \quad 0: 0005)=: 2 \quad: \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his ratio relates the low-tem perature scale $T_{K}$ to the high-tem perature scale $\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$, de ned by absorbing the

TABLE I: N um erical results for the low tem perature $W$ ilson ratios in the over-screened case $m>2 S$. In the isotropic case, we
 from equation (122).

| m | $=0$ | [1] | $=0.2$ | $=0.5$ | $=0: 8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.202638 | 0.202642 | 0.2251582 | $(0.2251582)$ | 0.2071899 | $(0.2071898)$ |
| 3 | 0.370 | 0.369 | $0.4102(0.4104)$ | $0.3377373(0.3377373)$ |  |  |
| 4 | 0.60791 | 0.60793 | $0.6749(0.6755)$ | $0.490(0.493)$ | $0.607(0.616)$ |  |
| 5 | 0.928 | 0.931 | $1.033(1.034)$ | $1.23(1.24)$ | $0.99(1.01)$ |  |

term $O\left(x^{2}\right)$ in the asym ptotic expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{T_{K}} i_{i}(T)=\frac{1}{2 T} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{2}{ }_{h} \ln B_{1} \bar{B}_{1} \quad(x)}{\cosh \left(x+\ln T=T_{K}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2 T} \mathrm{a}^{2}{ }_{h} \ln B_{1} \bar{B}_{1} \quad\left(x=\quad \ln T=T_{K}\right) \\
& =b_{1 ; 0}^{()}+\frac{b_{1 ; 1}^{()}}{x}+\frac{b_{1 ; 1}^{()} \ln \dot{x} j j}{x^{2}}+{\frac{b_{1 ; 2}^{()}}{x^{2}}}_{x=\ln T=I_{K}}  \tag{76}\\
& \begin{array}{r}
=\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 0}^{()}+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 1}^{()}}{\mathrm{x} \frac{\left.\mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 2}^{( }\right)}{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 1}^{()}}}+\frac{\left.\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 1}^{( }\right) \ln \dot{x} j}{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \\
\quad \mathrm{x}=\ln \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

W ilson's num ber is identi ed to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\exp \frac{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}^{()}!}{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 1}^{()}}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ndrei and Low enstein ${ }^{2}$ 6! carried out a perturbative expansion of the $2 S=m=1$ free energy, both for $h=T \quad 0$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{h} \quad 0$. By requiring that in the rst case, the result should depend on $h=T_{h}$, in the second case on $T=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$, they deduced the ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$. M oreover, they determ ined the ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ from the (conventional) BA. Arguing that the ratios of the energy scales are universal (unlike the scales them selves, which do depend on the cuto -schem e used), they found by com bining their, tw o results (the analytical expression is due to H ew son ${ }^{27}$-)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{e^{c+3=4}}{4^{3=2}}=0: 102676::: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e generalizeW ilson's de nition $\left(\overline{7} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ to the generalspin$S$ case, in the presence of $m$ channels. A schem e of nu$m$ erically solving the integral equations which allow s for the calculation of the corresponding ratios is given in the appendix. For general $l, m$, equation $\left(7 \eta_{1}\right)$ reads in the notation of section

$$
2=\exp m^{2} 4(m)+\frac{1}{4}
$$

which only depends on $m_{\text {_ }}$, analogously to the ratio $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ for $T=\rho_{r}$, equations (45), (4) 4 tion w the ${ }^{81}$. There, the $\bar{W}$ ilson num bers for $S$ arbitrary,
$m=1$ are calculated. The ratio $T_{K}=T_{h}$ for $T=0$ is found by BA techniques for $=0$ and agrees w th ours, equation ( 3 - ${ }^{-}$) for $=0 . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is found by conventional perturbation theory. The resulting $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$ depends exponentially on $S(S+1)$. W e leave this question to be clari ed.
$B y$ inserting equation (7-7), one gets for $m=1$ :

$$
=0: 102678 \quad 2 \quad 10:
$$

 $W$ ilson numbers for the general $l^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ case are given. $N$ ote that for $2 \mathrm{~S}>\mathrm{m}$, the susceptibility at low tem peratures can be obtained from that at high tem peratures by replacing 1 ! ( m ). T his does not change the value of , which $m$ eans that in the under-screened cases, only one scale (nam ely $\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) govems the low -and high-tem perature behavior.

| m | $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $0: 04707$ | 2 | $10^{5}$ | $0: 102678$ | 2 | $10^{6}$ |  |
| 2 | $0: 0502$ | 1 | $10^{4}$ | $6: 4604$ | $10^{2}$ | 6 | $10^{6}$ |
| 3 | $0: 044$ | $1: 4$ | $10^{3}$ | $4: 455$ | $10^{2}$ | 8 | $10^{5}$ |
| 4 | $0: 038$ | $1: 4$ | $10^{3}$ | $3: 178$ | $10^{2}$ | 7 | $10^{5}$ |
| 5 | $0: 034$ | $1: 4$ | $10^{3}$ | $2: 124$ | $10^{2}$ | 6 | $10^{5}$ |

TABLE II: $W$ ilson numbers for $l \mathrm{~m}$.

The over-screened case $m>l$ is obtained from equa-

 the de nitions of the free energy, speci c heat and susceptibility. T he W ilson num bers are again given in table "III, where now m ! 1 , (m) ! (1). In analogy to the exactly and under-screened cases, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ has been identied as a low -tem perature scale also for the over-screened case in equations ( 69 a) , ( $69{ }^{-1}$ ). . From the universality of the low tem perature $W$ ilson ratio, we expect the $W$ ilson num ber in the over-screened case also to be universal, in analogy to the exactly screened case.

### 4.2. Low tem perature evaluation in the under-screened case

In this section, we m ake use of the results of appendix , from which one deduces the low-tem perature behavior in the under-screened case of thefollow ing quantities (T $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}(T)= & T \ln (l m+1) \\
& \frac{T}{\ln ^{3} \frac{T_{K}}{T}} \frac{m(l \quad m)(1 \quad m+2)}{12} \\
C_{i}(T)= & \frac{T}{\ln ^{4} \frac{T}{T_{K}}} \frac{m(1 \quad m)(1 \quad m+2)^{2}}{4} \\
i(T)= & \frac{(1 m)(1 m+2)}{12 T} 1+\frac{m}{\ln \frac{T_{K}}{T}} \\
& \frac{m^{2} \ln \ln T_{K}=T}{2 \ln ^{2} \frac{T_{K}}{T}}+\frac{4 m^{3}(m)+m^{2}=4}{\ln ^{2} \frac{T_{K}}{T}}:(79)
\end{aligned}
$$

The low-tem perature behavior for exact screening is given in section 13.11 , where the expected Ferm iliquid behavior show s up. Sim ilarly to $T=0$, a change of sign in the leading corrections to the asym ptotic values of the
 physical interpretation has been given in the sequel of equation (488'). It applies analogously in this case.

### 4.3. A n isotrop ic case, € 0

A ccording to equation ( $\bar{q}_{6}^{\prime}$ ), the anisotropy param eter is restricted to $0 \quad \overline{2 n}$, where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}$ ax $(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{m})$. Since the kemelk $(x)$ decays exponentially in direct space, corrections to $\ln B{ }_{1}^{(1)}, \ln Y_{j}{ }^{(1)}$ are expected to be exponentially sm all. Thus it is no longer perm itted to replace convolutions w ith $s(x)$ by algebraic $m$ ultiplications. Instead, let us write equation ( $\bar{A} \overline{1})$ in direct space, with the sam e notations as equation $\bar{n}_{(A-\overline{2})}^{-1}$, how ever inchuding a nite $m$ agnetic eld from the beginning. First subtract the asym ptotes ( $=h=2$ ),

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{x} 2 e \frac{\sinh (1+1)}{\sinh l} l_{1}(x)=\lim _{x} f e e^{x}  \tag{80}\\
+s l_{1}+\quad l_{1}(x) \quad:
\end{array}
$$

C ontrary to the isotropic case, the driving term cannot be neglected since allquantities on the rhs ofequation ( 80 O.1) are exponentially sm all. W e did not nd a closed solution to equation ( 88 d ), but determ ine the exponent of the leading exponential decay. Therefore rst note that the equation

$$
{ }^{(0)}(x)=e^{z}+{ }_{1}^{Z}(x \quad y)^{(0)}(y) d y
$$

is directly solvable by $W$ iener $H$ opf techniques. This solution relies on the fact that

$$
1 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}[]=\frac{\sinh \frac{\mathrm{k}}{2}-}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{k}{2}-1}
$$

is factorizable in functions analytical in the upper and low er half planes. The leading decay is ${ }^{(0)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 0\end{array}\right)$ $e^{\frac{2}{x}}$. W e take the solution ${ }^{(0)}$ as an ansatz for, where is assum ed to be sm all. It is seen that there is no further restriction on the leading decay of , such that

$$
(x \quad 0) \quad e^{\frac{2}{x}}:
$$

H ow ever, we did not succeed in determ ining the coe cient. It depends on , especially,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
\lim _{x}\left[+^{-}\right](x) \quad\left(a_{1}+(h)^{2} a_{2}\right) e^{\frac{2}{x}} \\
f_{i}\left(T \quad T_{K}\right)=T \ln (1+1)
\end{array} \\
& +\left(a_{1}+(h)^{2} a_{2}\right) \frac{T_{K}}{T} \stackrel{2}{ }^{\#}  \tag{81}\\
& C_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & \left.T_{K}\right) \quad T_{K}^{T}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{82}\\
& i_{i}\left(T \quad T_{K}\right)=\frac{l(1+2)}{12 T} \quad 1 \quad a_{2} \quad \frac{T_{K}}{T} \quad \underline{2} \text { ! } \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that both $C_{i}$ and $i_{i}$ show sim ilar decays, contrary to the isotropic case.

If $T \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}$, one argues in close analogy to the isotropic case: The decoupling into two independent sets still holds. H ow ever, the asym ptotic values of the auxiliary functions for x ! 1 are related to their x ! 1 counterparts by substituting l! ( 1 m ) and scaling $\mathrm{h}!\mathrm{h},=(1 \mathrm{~m}=)^{1}$, equation (15-5). This scaling a ects the su sceptibility:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)= \mathrm{T}[\mathrm{ln}(1 \mathrm{~m}+1)+ \\
&\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}+(\mathrm{h})^{2} \mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \underline{\underline{2}} \#  \tag{84}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}} \underline{-}  \tag{85}\\
&{ }_{i}\left(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)= \frac{(1 \mathrm{~m})(1 \mathrm{~m}+2)^{2}}{12 \mathrm{~T}} \\
& 1 \quad \mathrm{a}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}}{\mathrm{~T}} \underline{2}! \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants in equations $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1,-(\overline{8} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ di er from those in equations (84)-(86). For ease of notation, the same sym bols have been used. H ow ever, if we send T ! 0 rst w Ith h 0 and $\mathrm{l}>\mathrm{m}$, the rigorous linearization, section 132 is done. Then $i h^{1} \ln { }^{2} h=T_{K}$, equation (4) : T he T -dependent pow er-like divergence is replaced by a logarithm ic, $h$-dependent divergence.

## 5. CONCLUSION

W e presented a novelexact solution to the anisotropic multichannel spin-S $K$ ondo $m$ odel. The free energy contribution of the impurity is given by a nite set of ( m ax $[m ; 2 \mathrm{~S}]+1$ ) m any NL IE . By analytical and num erical studies, we con $m$ and extend known properties of this $m$ odel.

The low tem perature case is characterized by Ferm i liquid behavior in the sense that $W$ ilson ratios are dened. H ow ever, only in the exactly screened case $l=m$, the $\lim$ its $T ; h!0$ com mute and $C_{i}(T)=T, \quad i$ approach nite values. T hese values w ere calculated by the dilogarithm technique and for $1 \quad \mathrm{~m}=1$ by the dressed charge form alism, in the fram ew ork of a rigorous linearization.

We analysed the ground state for arbitrary anisotropy, spin and channel number and observed non-commutativity of the lim its $h ; T$ ! 0 for models w ith $\mathrm{l} \in \mathrm{m}$. In the underscreened case, if $\mathrm{h}=0$, an asym ptotic approach to free ( 1 m ) $=2$ spin asym ptotes was recovered for $T \quad T_{K}$, form ally analogous to the $T \quad T_{K}$ case, paragraph in 14 on the other hand, perform ing nst the lim it $T^{\prime \prime}$ !" 0 while letting $h \in 0$, a non-integer rest spin occurs for $\in 0$ in the underscreened case, equation (43), connected to a quantum criticalpointir ${ }^{1012}$.

W e analyzed the low-tem perature behaviour of the over-screened models and found non-integer exponents of $h$ if $T_{-}=0$, equation $\left(55^{-1}\right)$ and of $T$ if $h=0$, equations (69bí), (69a). E specially, w e determ ined num erically low tem perature $W$ ilson ratios for arbitrary, anisotropy, con $m$ ing and extending results by $A$ eck $1_{2}^{22}$.

At high tem peratures, $T \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}$, the im purity spin approaches asym ptotically the behavior of a free spin of $m$ agnitude $S=l=2$. Corrections to the asym ptotic values depend in their am plitude on the channel num ber $m$ and have been calculated analytically. Especially, W ilson num bers relating low - to high-tem perature scales are determ ined w th the help of a num erical solution.

W e expect that new insight into the multichannel case is obtained by generalizing the lattice path integral approach proposed in ${ }^{111}$ for the isotropic $S=1=2, m=1$ model. To this aim, R m atriges m ust be constructed which are invariant under the action of $g l(2 \mathfrak{j})$, containing higher dim ensional irreps of su (2) $\mathfrak{F}^{29}$.

Finally, it should be possible to derive the quantities determ ined num erically in this work also by analytical $m$ ethods. This question is left open for future investigations.

APPENDIX A:ASYMPTOTIC LINEARIZATION

1. The region x ! 1

W e begin $w$ ith the asym ptotic expansion of $\ln B_{1}$, $\ln \bar{B}_{1}, 1 \quad m$ in the region $x!1$. The case $m>1$ is obtained therefrom afterw ards. C onsider the equation
for $B_{l}$ in the $h=0$-case:

$$
\ln \mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{s} \quad \ln \not Y_{1}+\quad \ln \mathrm{B}_{1} \quad \ln \mathrm{~B}_{1}(\mathrm{~A} 1)
$$

$W$ e shall show that $\ln B_{1}(x) ; \ln \bar{B}_{1}(x)$ approach their asym ptotes $x$ ! 1 as $x^{3}$ and calculate the corresponding coe cient $b_{3}^{(1)}$.

The nst 11 integral equations determ ine the jdependence of $\ln Y_{j}(x), j=1 ;::: ; 1$ 1. To see this, de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Y_{j}(x) & =2 \ln (j+1)+2_{j}(x) \\
\ln B_{1}(x) & =\ln (1+1)+{ }_{1}(x) \\
\lim _{1} j(x) & =0 \\
\lim _{x} \ln Y_{j}(x) & =\ln j(j+2)+2 \frac{(j+1)^{2}}{j(j+2)} j(x)+0 \\
\lim _{x} \ln b_{l}(x) & =\ln 1+\frac{1+1}{1} l_{1}(x)+0{ }_{j}^{2}: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\ln \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{1}\left(\ln \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{1}\right)$ is related to $\ln \mathrm{B}_{1}\left(\ln \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$ by com plex conjugation. T he crucial approxim ation is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s & j
\end{array}\right](x) \quad j(x)=2+O\left(\begin{array}{l}
\infty  \tag{A3}\\
j
\end{array}(x)\right):
$$

Since $s$ is an exponentially decaying kemel, this approxim ation is justi ed for algebraically decaying j. Such an algebraic behavior is indeed expected from the integration kemelk (x), which itself decays algebraically for
$=0$. In the anisotropic case $\in 0$, this is not true, since ${ }_{j}(x)$ also decays exponentially. The ${ }_{j}$ satisfy the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
2 \frac{(j+1)^{2}}{j(j+2)}{ }_{j}(x) & j_{1}(x)+{ }_{1}(x) & \text { (A 4a) } \\
0(x) & 0 & \text { (A 4b) } \\
\frac{1+1}{1}{ }_{1}(x) & =11(x)+3(1+1) d(x) ; & \text { (A 4C) }
\end{array}
$$

where in the last line the function $d(x)$ is to be determ ined and its prefactor has been chosen by convenience. $T$ hese equations determ ine iup to a constant factor.


$$
j(x)=j(j+2) d(x):
$$

Sum $m$ arizing,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln Y_{j}(x)=2 \ln (j+1)+2 j(j+2) d(x) \\
& \ln B_{1}(x)=\ln (1+1)+1(1+1) d(x): \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

T he fiunctions $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{1}$ are related by com plex con jugation, the $Y_{i}$ are real-valued.

$$
\left.\ln B_{1}=: B_{1 ; 1}+i B_{2 ; 1} ; \quad \ln \bar{B}_{1}=: B_{1 ; 1} \quad i B_{2 ; 1}:(A) 6\right)
$$

De ne the sum and the di erence of the integration kernels,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (s) }(x)=x+i \frac{1}{2}+x \quad \frac{i}{2}=F_{k}^{1} e^{h}{ }^{\frac{h j j}{i}} \\
& \text { (d) }(x)=x+\frac{i-}{2} \quad x \quad \frac{i}{2} \\
& =F_{k}^{1} e^{\overline{2} j k j} \frac{\sinh \overline{2} k}{\cosh \frac{2}{2}} \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

A sym ptotically,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (s) } x+i-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2 x^{2}} \frac{i}{2 x^{3}} ; \dot{x} j!1 ; \\
\text { (d) }(x) & \frac{i}{2 x^{3}} ; \dot{j} j!1: \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

The convolutions with the kemels are written in the follow ing w ay:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \mathrm{B}_{1} \quad & \overline{\ln \mathrm{~B}_{1}}=\text { (d) } \mathrm{B}_{; 1}+i^{(\mathrm{s})} \quad \mathrm{B}_{; 2} \\
(1)(\mathrm{x}) & =() \times \frac{\dot{\Sigma}}{2} ; \quad=\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{d}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The rst non-vanishing term in an asym ptotic expansion of $B_{1 ; 1}(x)$ around $j x j!1$ is

$$
B_{1 ; 1}(x) \quad \ln (1 \quad m+1)+\ln \frac{1+1}{1} m+1 \quad(x):
$$

The -function has to be understood asym ptotically for large $x$. This regim $e$ is equivalent to sm all $k$-values in Fourier-space, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}[(\mathrm{x})]=\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}+(\mathrm{k})$. In this region around the origin in Fourier space, $\mathrm{kF}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[{ }^{(\mathrm{s})}\right]=2$ $F_{k}\left[{ }^{(d)}\right]$. Thus it follow $s$ that
h
(d) $\quad \mathrm{B}_{; 1}{ }^{i}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \ln \frac{1+1}{1 \mathrm{~m}+1} \quad \frac{2}{4 \mathrm{x}^{3}} \quad \underset{4 \mathrm{x}^{2}}{i} \quad:(\mathrm{A} 8)$

It is usefiul to de ne correction term $s$ to the asym ptotic behavior for x :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x!} \ln B_{1}(x)=\ln (1+1)+\frac{b_{1 ; 3}^{(1)}}{x^{3}}+i \frac{b_{2 ; 2}^{(1)}}{x^{2}}: \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne then perform s the asym ptotic expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h (s) i } \\
& \text { i (s) } \quad B_{; 2} \quad(x) \\
& \frac{i}{x^{2}} \frac{1}{2}_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{1}^{1}} B_{l_{12}(x) d x} b_{2 ; 2}^{(1)} Z_{1}{ }_{s}(x) d x  \tag{A10}\\
& \overline{x^{3}} \frac{1}{2}^{Z_{1}^{1}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 2}(x) d x+b_{2 ; 2}^{(1)} Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \quad s(x) d x \quad ;
\end{align*}
$$

where
$Z_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}^{1}{ }^{(s)}(x) d x=1 \\
& B_{1}^{1 ; 2}(x) d x=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(m \quad 10^{5}\right)+\ln \frac{1+1}{1 \quad m+1} \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

The last integral is done num erically $w$ th the indicated precision. In the follow ing, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 2}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}=\frac{\overline{2}}{} \mathrm{~m}+\ln \frac{1+1}{\mathrm{l} m+1} \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insert equation $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ in equation $\left(\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ linear order in 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mathrm{b}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \ln 1+\frac{1+1}{1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 3}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{x}^{3}}+i \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{2 ; 2}^{(1)}!}{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \quad: \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 equation (A극) around x! $\overline{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln 1+\frac{1+1}{l} \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 3}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{x}^{3}}=\ln 1+(1 \quad 1)(1+1) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \quad+\frac{2}{4 \mathrm{x}^{3}} \ln \frac{1+1}{1 \mathrm{~m}+1} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}^{3}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2 ; 1}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}+\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 2}^{(1)} \\
& \frac{1+1}{1} \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{2 ; 2}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{x}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \quad-\ln \frac{1+1}{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{~m}+1 \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2 ; 1}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}+\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 2}^{(1)}:
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing equation ( $\bar{A} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ), , we nd:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x) & =\frac{2}{12} \frac{m}{x^{3}} ; b_{2 ; 2}^{(1)}=\frac{l m}{4} \\
b_{1 ; 3}^{(1)} & =\frac{1(1+2) m}{3} \frac{2}{4} ; \\
\lim _{1} \ln Y_{j}(x) & \left.=2 \ln (j+1)+j(j+2) \frac{m^{2}}{6 x^{3}} ; \quad \text { (A } 13\right) \\
x!\lim _{1} \ln B_{1}(x) & =\ln (1+1) \quad \frac{m l}{\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}}+\frac{m l(1+2)^{2}}{12 x^{3}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that the $x$-dependence of the corrections is deter$m$ ined through the asym ptotic behavior of the kemel in the convolutions $\quad \ln \mathrm{B}_{1}, \quad \ln \mathrm{~B}_{1}$. The am plitudes follow from the $Y$ hierarchy.

We proceed with the asymptotic evaluation of $@_{h} \ln Y_{j}(x), @_{h} \ln B_{1}(x)$ in the regimex $0 . T$ he $\ln Y_{j}$ are sym $m$ etric $w$ th respect to $h: T$ he system of $N L \mathbb{E}$ rem ains the same upon replacing $h!h$ and substituting $B_{1}$ by $\bar{B}_{1}$. So © ${ }_{h} \ln Y_{j}$ vanishes identically for $\mathrm{h}=0 . \mathrm{Dene} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{(m)}=@_{\mathrm{h}} \ln \mathrm{B}_{1}$. Then the only equation which rem ains is

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}^{(m)}(x)=1 \quad e^{\ln B_{1}(x)} i^{\frac{1}{2}+\left[\quad B^{(m)}\right.} \\
B^{\left.B^{(m)}\right](x)}: \tag{A14}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(m)}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{1}^{(m)}$ are related by change of sign and com plex con jugation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{1}^{(\mathrm{m})}=: \mathrm{B}_{\frac{1 ; 1}{(m)}}^{(\mathrm{h})}+\underset{1 \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 2}^{(m)}} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{1}^{(\mathrm{m})}=\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(\mathrm{m})}{ }^{i}=\mathrm{B}_{1 ; 1}^{(\mathrm{m})}+i \mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 2}^{(\mathrm{m})}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Below it is shown that the im aginary part of $B_{1}^{(m)}$ vanishes for $h=0$, so $B_{1}^{(m)} \quad B_{1 ; 1}^{(m)}$ is real valued. $W$ e shall determ ine asym ptotic corrections to $B_{1}^{(m)}$ up to the order $O x^{2}$, so that corrections to $\ln B_{1}(1)$ (of order $0 \mathrm{x}^{3}$ ), can safely be neglected. In this approxim ation,

$$
\lim _{x!} 1 \quad e^{\ln B_{1}(x)}=\frac{1}{1+1} 1+i \frac{1 x^{2}}{4}
$$

$$
\lim _{x!1} 1 \quad e^{\ln B_{1}(x)}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \mathrm{~m}+1} 1+i \frac{4 x^{2}}{\text { (A 15) }}
$$

and one nds the asym ptotic equation for $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(m)}$,

$$
\lim _{x} B_{1}^{(m)}(x)=\frac{1}{1+1} \frac{1}{2}+(s) \quad B^{(m)} \quad:(A 16)
$$

The im aginary contributions vanish as expected. An equation analogous to ( $\bar{A} \overline{\mathrm{~A}} \overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ) does not exist in the TBA approach, where one deals $w$ th the in nitely $m$ any $Y_{j}$ and their derivatives. But $Y_{j}{ }^{(m)} 08 j$, as $m$ entioned above.

T he constant asym ptotic behavior of $B_{1}^{(m)}$ is written in the com pact form

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1}^{(m)}(x) & =+\quad \operatorname{sgn} x  \tag{A17}\\
++ & =\frac{1}{2}+\quad=\frac{1 m}{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Equation ( $\left(\begin{array}{l}-17 \overline{1}) \text { ) and sim ilar equations in the follow ing }\end{array}\right.$
have to be understood asym ptotically, for kj k ! 1 . In a sim ilar m anner,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (s) } \operatorname{sgn} x=\operatorname{sgn} x \frac{1}{x} \\
& \text { (s) } \frac{\operatorname{sgn} x}{x}=\frac{\operatorname{sgn} x}{x}+\frac{\ln \dot{x} j}{x^{2}} \frac{(2)}{x^{2}} \\
& \text { (s) } \frac{1}{x}=\frac{1}{x} ; \quad \text { (s) } \quad \frac{\ln j \dot{x}}{x^{2}}=\frac{\ln j x j}{x^{2}} \text { (A 18b) }
\end{aligned}
$$

(x) is the digamma function; (2) $=C+1, C=$ $0: 577:::$ is Euler's constant. T he asym ptotic evaluation of the convolutions is done by using distributions. To determ ine accurately the $x{ }^{2}$-ooe cient, the precise behavior of the auxiliary functions around the origin $m$ ust be known. This is done num erically as shown in the appendix.

W em ake the follow ing ansatz for $B_{1}^{(m)}$, which consists in extrapolating the asym ptotic behavior over the whole axis $w$ ith the aid of distributions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}^{(m)}(x)=+\quad \operatorname{sgn} x+\frac{1}{x}\left(\stackrel{(1)}{+}_{(1)}^{(1)} \operatorname{sgn} x\right)+\frac{\ln \dot{x} j}{x^{2}}\left({ }_{+}^{(1)} \quad{ }^{(1)} \operatorname{sgn} x\right)+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\left(\stackrel{(2)}{+} \quad{ }^{(2)} \operatorname{sgn} x\right) \\
& \text { h } \\
& \mathcal{B}^{(m)^{i}}(x)=\frac{+\operatorname{sgn} x}{2}+\frac{1}{2 x}+\quad{ }_{+}^{(1)} \\
& { }^{(1)} \operatorname{sgn} x \\
& \text { (1) } \quad{ }_{+}^{(1)}+{ }^{(1)} \operatorname{sgn} x \frac{\ln j \dot{x} j}{2 x^{2}} \\
& { }^{(2)} \operatorname{sgn} \mathrm{x}+\frac{\text { " }}{2} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{x}^{2}} \quad \text {; } \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

where ". is determ ined num erically (cf. appendix, equation ( $\left(\mathbb{B} \mathbf{s}_{-1}\right)$ ). The convolutions in the second equation are done w th the help of equations (A 18a'), (A 18W'). The term $s \operatorname{sgn} x$ and sgnx=x give the next higher order contribution when convoluted $w$ th the kemel. The asym ptotic form of equation ( $\bar{A} \overline{1} \bar{G})$ is found by inserting equations


$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{+}^{(1)}+\quad{ }^{(1)}=1 \quad=\frac{m}{4} \quad \stackrel{(1)}{+}=\frac{m(21 \mathrm{~m})}{8} \\
& { }_{+}^{(1)} \quad(1)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m
\end{array}\right)=\frac{m(1 \quad m)}{4} \\
& \text { (1) }=\frac{m^{2}}{8} \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{+}+(1)_{(1)}={\frac{m^{2}}{8}}^{1} \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{+} \quad(1) \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{~m})^{(1)}=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}(1 \mathrm{~m})}{8} \\
& { }_{+}^{(2)}+{ }^{(2)}=1\left(^{(1)}(2)+"=2\right)  \tag{A21}\\
& { }_{+}^{(2)} \quad(2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m
\end{array}\right)\left({ }^{(1)}(2)+"=2\right) \tag{A22}
\end{align*}
$$

behavior is of im portance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x} B_{1}^{(m)}(x)= & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{m l}{4 x} \frac{m^{2} l}{8} \frac{\ln j j}{x^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{8}+\frac{(2)}{2}: \quad \text { (A 23) }
\end{aligned}
$$

C om pare equation ( $\left(\bar{A} 23^{\prime}\right)$ ) w th the low tem perature re-




W e shall focus on the $x!+1$ asym ptotes in the next paragraph.

O ur analysis is continued by expanding $@^{2}{ }_{h} \ln Y_{j}=$ : $\left.\left.Y_{j}{ }^{( }\right), @^{2}{ }_{h} \ln B_{1}=: B_{1}{ }^{( }\right), @^{2}{ }_{h} \ln \bar{B}_{1}=: \bar{B}_{1}{ }^{()}$. These functions are given by the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Y_{j}{ }^{( }\right)=1 \quad e^{\ln Y_{j}} s \quad Y_{j}{ }^{( }{ }_{1}+Y_{j+1}{ }^{()}  \tag{A24a}\\
& Y_{0}{ }^{()} 0 \\
& B_{1}^{()}=\frac{e^{\ln B_{1}}}{1 e^{\ln B_{1}}} B_{1}^{(m)^{i_{2}}}+1 e^{\ln B_{1}} s f^{(1} \\
& +1 \quad e^{\ln B_{1}} \quad B^{(1)} \quad \text { B }^{(1)} \quad \text { (A 24C) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{B}_{1}^{()}=: \mathrm{B}_{1 ; 1}^{()}+i \mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 2}^{()}:
$$

The vanishing of $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{(m)}$ helps to expand $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{()}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1 ; 1}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{1}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~B}_{1 ; 1}^{(\mathrm{m})^{i_{2}}}+\frac{1}{2(1+1)} Y_{1}^{()}{ }_{1}^{(1)} \\
& +\frac{1}{1+1} \operatorname{Re} \quad \text { (d) } \quad B_{i ; 1}^{(1)}+i^{(s)} \quad B_{i ; 2}^{()} \quad \text { (A 25a) } \\
& B_{1 ; 2}^{()}=\frac{m}{4 x^{2}} B_{1 ; 1}^{(m)^{i_{2}}}+\frac{l m}{1+1} \frac{1}{8 x^{2}} Y_{1}^{()} \\
& +\frac{1}{1+1} \operatorname{Im} \quad \text { (d) } \quad B_{i ; 1}^{(1)}+i^{(s)} \quad B_{1 ; 2}^{()}:(A 25 b)
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation $\left(\bar{A}-\overline{2} \overline{5} \overline{b_{1}^{\prime}}\right), B_{1 ; 2}^{()}=0 \mathrm{x}^{2}$. Together $w$ ith equation ( ets in equation ( $A$ 25a) is $0 x^{3}$. This m eans that for our purposes, the convolutions in equation (A 25a') can be entirely neglected. T hus in the asym ptotic lim it, equations (

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Y_{j}^{()}=\frac{j(j+2)}{2(j+1)^{2}} Y_{j}^{()}{ }_{1}+Y_{j+1}^{( }\right) \\
& Y_{0}^{()} \\
& B_{1}^{()}=\frac{1}{1}{ }^{(\prime)} B_{1}^{\left.(m)^{( }\right)}+\frac{1}{2(1+1)} Y_{1}^{()}
\end{aligned}
$$

 From the solution of those equations, we conchide

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{1} Y_{j}^{()}(x)=\frac{j(j+2)}{6} 1+\frac{m}{x} \frac{m^{2} \ln j x j}{2 x^{2}} \\
& +\frac{m^{2}}{2}(2)+\frac{m^{2}}{4}+2 " \frac{1}{x^{2}} \quad(A 26) \\
& x!\lim _{1} B_{1}^{()}(x)=b_{1 ; 0}^{()}+\frac{\left.b_{1 ; 1}^{( }\right)}{x}+b_{1 ; 2}^{(1)} \frac{\ln \dot{x} j}{x^{2}}+\frac{\left.b_{1 ; 2}^{( }\right)}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{l(1+2)}{12} 1+\frac{m}{x} \frac{m^{2} \ln j x j}{2 x^{2}} \\
& \quad+\frac{m^{2}}{2}(2)+\frac{m^{2}}{4}+2 \prime \frac{1}{x^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the appendix, a procedure for num erically determ ining the coe cient $\stackrel{(2)}{+} \quad{ }^{(2)}$ sgnx of the $x^{2}$-decay is described. It deviates slightly from the analytical esti$m$ ate. W e introduce an extra sym bol ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m): \frac{\stackrel{+(2)}{+}+{ }^{(2)}}{m^{3}}=\frac{1}{m^{3}} \frac{m^{2}}{8}(2)+\frac{"}{2} \tag{A27}
\end{equation*}
$$

N um erically, is found to be independent of l. H ow ever, our data do not su ce to exchude a dependence on $m$. $T$ he results are given in table in in the $m$ ain body of this work.

Ifl < $m$, one replaces in the w hole preceding analysis of this appendix $1!\mathrm{m}$ and m ! 1 . The them odynam ical quantities are given by $Y_{1}$ and its derivatives.

## 2. The region x ! 1

W e determ ine the behavior of the auxiliary functions for large values $x$ ! 1 in the under-screened case $1>m$. In this lim it, the set of NLIE decouples in two separate sets $w$ th exponential accuracy. Consider rst the eld-free case $h=0$. The last 1 m functions, nam ely $Y_{m+1} ;::: ; B_{1} ; \bar{B}_{1}$, satisfy a set of equations form ally
 $j \mathrm{~m}$; l . The whole analysis of the preceding paragraph ${ }_{1}^{11}$ applies to this case; of special interest are now the corrections to the $x \quad \ln \frac{T_{k}}{T} \quad 0$-behavior of the auxiliary fiunctions. $T$ he results are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}(x)=\ln (1 \quad m+1) \quad \begin{array}{l}
m(1 \quad m) \\
4 x^{2}
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{m(1 \quad m)(1 \quad m+2)^{2}}{12 x^{3}} \\
& \ln Y_{j>m}(x)=\ln \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+1 & j
\end{array}\right)+\frac{m(1 \quad m)(1 \quad m+2)^{2}}{6 x^{3}} \\
& B_{1}^{(m)}(x)=\frac{1 \quad m}{2}+\frac{m(1 m)}{4 x} \quad \frac{m^{2}(1 m)}{8} \frac{\ln \dot{x} j}{x^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1 m}{x^{2}} \quad \frac{m^{2}(2)}{8}+\frac{"}{2} \\
& Y_{j}{ }^{()}(x)=\frac{(j \quad m)(j \quad m+2)}{6} 1+\frac{m}{x} \frac{m^{2} \ln j \dot{x} j}{2 x^{2}} \\
& +\frac{m^{2}}{2}(2)+\frac{m^{2}}{4}+2 \text { " } \frac{1}{x^{2}} \\
& B_{1}{ }^{()}(x)=\frac{(1 \quad m)(1 \quad m+2)}{12} 1+\frac{m}{x} \frac{m^{2} \ln j j}{2 x^{2}} \\
& +\frac{m^{2}}{2}(2)+\frac{m^{2}}{4}+2^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \\
& \text { APPENDIX B:DETALLSOFTHENUMERICAL } \\
& \text { TREATMENT }
\end{aligned}
$$

From the analytical analysis of the $N L \mathbb{E}$, num erically ill-conditioned term $s$ were found, nam ely those $O$ (1), $O\left(x^{1}\right)$ in the lim it $j x j!1{ }^{3 d}$ d Since in Fourier space, they would appear as sim ple poles and discontinuities in the origin, they are subtracted from the auxiliary functions before perform ing the FFT and treated separately. A fter having applied the inverse FFT, the analytically convoluted term s are re-added:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\mathrm{jx} j!} \ln \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

and is a kemel, $\ln Y$ an auxiliary function. T he function f _is chosen to be asym ptotically equal to $\ln \mathrm{Y}$, equation (B2) and contains the num erically ill-conditioned contributions. The di erence $\ln Y \quad f$ is therefore num erically
transform able. The term denoted by $N$ is treated nu$m$ erically, that labeled by A analytically. T he Fouriertransform sof and $k$ are know $n$. The convolution $k \quad f$ is solved separately, such that no further $m$ anipulations in Fourier space are necessary. W e solve num erically for ( $\ln Y \quad \mathrm{f})$ : By including tem $\mathrm{S} O(1), O\left(\mathrm{X}^{1}\right)$ and $O$ ( $\ln \dot{j} \dot{j} x^{2}$ ) in $f(x)$, the function (ln $Y \quad f$ ) decays as $x^{2}$. Since the kemels also decay as $x{ }^{2}$, the coe cient of the $x{ }^{2}$ decay of $\ln Y$ is obtained from the integral of (ln $Y$ f), a quantity which is accessible num erically w ith high accuracy. C onsider the case $\ln Y \quad B_{1}^{(m)}$, given by
( $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ ), and de ne the regular fiunction $B_{\text {reg }}:=B_{1}^{(m)}$ such that $B_{\text {reg }}(j x j!1) x^{2}$. Then for $j x j!1$
$Z_{1}$
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{eg}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad(\mathrm{x}) \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}$

$+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathrm{x})$
(B3)
and the quantity " in equation $(\bar{A} \overline{-1} \overline{2} \overline{0})$ is identi ed as " : $1_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\text {reg }}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}$.
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