# Non-extensive Random Matrix Theory - A Bridge Connecting Chaotic and Regular Dynamics

A. Y. Abul-Magd Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

December 30, 2021

#### Abstract

We consider a possible generalization of the random matrix theory, which involves the maximization of Tsallis' q-parametrized entropy. We discuss the dependence of the spacing distribution on q using a non-extensive generalization of Wigner's surmises for ensembles belonging to the orthogonal, unitary and symplectic symmetry universal classes.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 05.45.Mt, 05.30.Ch

#### 1 Introduction

Non-extensive statistics is by now recognized as a new paradigm for statistical mechanical consideration [1]. It revolves around the concept of Tsallis's information-entropy measure  $S_q$ , a generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon (BGS) entropy, that depends on a real parameter q. This parameter, which is called entropic index, characterizes the degree of extensivity of the system. If the system is composed of two independent systems A and B such that the probability p(A+B) = p(A)p(B), the entropy of the total system is given by the following pseudo-extensive relation

$$S_q(A+B) = S_q(A) + S_q(B) + (1-q)S_q(A)S_q(B),$$
(1)

from which the denunciation non-extensive comes. Tsallis's entropy becomes Shannon's measure for the particular value q=1. BGS entropy is the natural entropy for modelling chaotic dynamics that suffer from exponential sensitivity to initial condition, having at least one positive Lyapunov exponent. Tsallis statistics provide possible way to describe non-integrable systems when the sensitivity to the initial conditions diverges less than exponentially. This has been demonstrated by studying a great variety of systems. Among them is the logistic map [4, 5, 6], which have been used since more than two decades to demonstrate various routes to chaos [7].

Quantum chaotic systems are known to be described in terms of the randommatrix theory (RMT). It models a chaotic system by an ensemble of random Hamiltonian matrices H that belong to one of the three universal classes, orthogonal, unitary and symplectic. The Gaussian probability density distribution of the matrix elements  $P(H) \propto \exp \left| -\eta \text{Tr} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right) \right|$  is obtained by maximizing BGS entropy [2, 3]. A complete discussion of the level correlations even for these three canonical ensemble is a difficult task. Most of the interesting results are obtained for the limit of  $N \to \infty$ . Analytical results have long ago been obtained for the case of N=2 [8]. It yields simple analytical expressions for the nearest-neighbor-spacing (NNS) P(s), renormalized to make the mean spacing equal one. The spacing distribution for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE),  $P(s) = \frac{\pi}{2} s e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} s^2}$ , is known as Wigner's surmise. It is obtained by imposing the requirement of being invariant with respect to the base transformation [8, 9]. Analogous expression are obtained for the Gaussian unitary and symplectic ensembles (GUE and GSE), respectively [8, 9], and given here below. These expressions have been successfully applied to the NNS of various chaotic systems. On the other hand, there are elaborate theoretical arguments by Berry and Tabor [10] that NNS of classically integrable systems should have a Poissonian statistics. The Poisson distribution  $\exp(-s)$  of the regular spectra has been proved in some cases (see, results by Sinai [11] and Marklof [12], for instance). Still its mechanism is not completely understood. It has also been confirmed by many numerical studies, e.g. [13], so that the appearance of the Poisson distribution is now admitted as a universal phenomenon in generic integrable quantum systems. Nevertheless, it is well known that not all the regular systems have a Poissonian NNS distribution. The two-dimensional harmonic oscillator is a classical example [10]. In this case, numerical calculations show the NNS distribution vanishes everywhere except in a narrow region centered at  $s \approx 1$ . This suggests that the spectrum has nearly a picket-fence shape.

In this work, we suggest that the non-extensive generalization to RMT provides a principled way to accommodate systems with mixed regular-chaotic dynamics. We use the maximum entropy principle, with Tsallis' entropy, to obtain the distribution functions for random-matrix ensembles belonging to the three canonical symmetry universalities.

#### 2 Nonextensive RMT

The Tsallis entropy is defined for the continuous variables entering the Hamiltonian matrix H by

$$S_q[P_\beta(q, H)] = \frac{1 - \int dH \left[P_\beta(q, H)\right]^q}{q - 1},$$
 (2)

where

$$dH = \prod_{m \ge n} dH_{mn}^{(0)} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-1} \prod_{m > n} dH_{mn}^{(\gamma)}, \tag{3}$$

while  $\beta = 1, 2$  and 4 for the Hamiltonians having orthogonal, unitary or symplectic symmetries. We shall refer to the corresponding ensembles as the Tsallis

orthogonal ensemble (TsOE), the Tsallis Unitary ensemble (TsUE), and the Tsallis symplectic ensemble (TsSE). For  $q \to 1$ ,  $S_q$  tends to BGS entropy  $S_1[P_\beta(q,H)] = -\int dH P_\beta(q,H) \ln P_\beta(q,H)$ , which yields the Gaussian ensembles [2, 3]. There are more than one formulation of non-extensive statistics which mainly differ in the definition of the averaging. Some of them are discussed in [14]. We apply the most recent formulation [15]. The probability distribution  $P_\beta(q,H)$  is obtained by maximizing the entropy under two conditions,

$$\int dH P_{\beta}(q, H) = 1, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\int dH \left[P_{\beta}(q, H)\right]^{q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H^{\dagger}H\right)}{\int dH \left[P_{\beta}(q, H)\right]^{q}} = \sigma_{\beta}^{2}$$
 (5)

where  $\sigma_{\beta}$  is a constant. The optimization of  $S_q$  with these constraints yields a power-law type for  $P_{\beta}(q, H)$ 

$$P_{\beta}(q, H) = \widetilde{Z}_q^{-1} \left[ 1 + (q - 1)\widetilde{\eta}_q \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right) - \sigma_{\beta}^2 \right\} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q - 1}}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\widetilde{\eta}_q>0$  is related to the Lagrange multiplier  $\eta$  associated with the constraint in (5) by

$$\widetilde{\eta}_q = \eta / \int dH \left[ P_\beta(q, H) \right]^q,$$
(7)

and

$$\widetilde{Z}_{q} = \int dH \left[ 1 + (q - 1)\widetilde{\eta}_{q} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right) - \sigma_{\beta}^{2} \right\} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q - 1}}.$$
(8)

It turns out that the distribution (6) can be written hiding the presence of  $\sigma_{\beta}^2$  in a more convenient form

$$P_{\beta}(q, H) = Z_q^{-1} \left[ 1 + (q - 1)\eta_q \text{Tr} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{q - 1}},$$
 (9)

where

$$\eta_q = \frac{\eta}{\int dH \left[ P_\beta(q, H) \right]^q + (1 - q) \eta \sigma_\beta^2},\tag{10}$$

and

$$Z_q = \int dH \left[ 1 + (q-1)\eta_q \text{Tr} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}. \tag{11}$$

The non-extensive distribution (9) reduce to the statistical weight of the Gaussian ensemble when q = 1.

We now calculate the joint probability density for the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian H. With  $H = U^{-1}XU$ , where U is the global unitary group. For this purpose, we introduce the elements of the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues  $X = \operatorname{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  of the eigenvalues and the independent elements of U as new variables. Then the volume element (3) has the form

$$dH = \left| \Delta_N \left( X \right) \right|^{\beta} dX d\mu(U), \tag{12}$$

where  $\Delta_N(X) = \prod_{n>m} (x_n - x_m)$  is the Vandermonde determinant and  $d\mu(U)$  the invariant Haar measure of the unitary group [2]. In terms of the new variables,  $\text{Tr}(H^{\dagger}H) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2$  so that the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is independent of the "angular" variables in U. Integrating (6) over  $\mu(U)$  yields the joint probability density of eigenvalues in the form

$$P_{\beta}^{(q)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = C_{\beta}(q) \left| \prod_{n > m} (x_n - x_m) \right|^{\beta} \left[ 1 - (1 - q) \eta_q \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{1 - q}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $C_{\beta}$  is a normalization constant. This formula is the main result of the proposed nonextensive generalization of RMT and, to our knowledge, the eigenvalue distribution functions has never been expressed in this form before. In the limit of q=1, it reduces to eigenvalue distribution functions obtained in the RMT and used for further investigation of the Gaussian ensembles.

# 3 Nonextensive Wigner surmises

Analytical expressions for the nearest-neighbor spacing (NNS) distribution can be obtained for the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ensembles. For the Gaussian ensembles, this approach leads to the well-known Wigner surmises

$$p_{\beta}(s) = a_{\beta}s^{\beta} \exp\left(-b_{\beta}s^{2}\right), \tag{14}$$

where  $(a_{\beta}, b_{\beta}) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4})$ ,  $(\frac{32}{\pi^2}, \frac{4}{\pi})$  and  $(\frac{2^{18}}{3^6\pi^3}, \frac{64}{9\pi})$  for GOE, GUE, and GSE, respectively. They present accurate approximation to the exact results for the case of  $N \to \infty$ .

We consider the non-extensive generalization of the Wigner surmises, hoping that the results present a reasonable approximation to the physically interesting cases of large N, as they do well in the case of Gaussian ensembles. We rewrite Eq. (13) for the case of N=2, and introduce the new variable  $s=|x_1-x_2|$  and  $X=(x_1+x_2)/2$  to obtain

$$P_{\beta}(q, s, X) = C_{\beta}(q)s^{\beta} \left[ 1 + (q - 1)\eta_q \left( \frac{1}{2}s^2 + 2X^2 \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$
 (15)

We first consider the case of q > 1 where no limitations are imposed on the values of the variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Thus, we integrate over X from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ , and obtain

$$P_{\beta}(q,s) = A_{\beta}(q)s^{\beta} \left[ 1 + B_{\beta}(q)s^{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1} + \frac{1}{2}}, \tag{16}$$

where the quantities  $A_{\beta}(q)$  and  $B_{\beta}(q)$  can easily be expressed in terms of the factors  $C_{\beta}(q)$  and  $\eta_q$ , and can also be determined from the conditions of normalization and unit mean spacing as

$$A_{\beta}(q) = \frac{2 \left[ B_{\beta}(q) \right]^{(\beta+1)/2} \Gamma\left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q-1} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{\beta+1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( -1 - \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{q-1} \right)},\tag{17}$$

and

$$B_{\beta}(q) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{q-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-1 - \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{q-1}\right)} \right]^{2}.$$
 (18)

For q = 1, Eq. (16) yields the well-known Wigner distributions  $\sim s^{\beta} \exp(-as^2)$ , a = const. As we increase q, the NNS distribution takes the form of a power-law type. The quantity  $B_{\beta}(q)$  diverges when

$$q = q_{\text{max}} = 1 + \frac{2}{\beta + 3}. (19)$$

This imposes an upper limit on allowed values q. It is equal to 3/2, 7/5 and 9/7 for the TsOE, TsUE and TsSE, respectively. The variance of this distribution is given by

$$\sigma_{\beta}^{2} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-1-\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)\Gamma\left(-2-\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)\right]^{2}}.$$
 (20)

It diverges unless

$$q < q_{\infty} = 1 + \frac{2}{\beta + 4}. (21)$$

This imposes physical bound on the admissible values of q, because  $\sigma_{\beta}^2$  has to be finite in order to force condition (5). At values of the entropic index exceeding  $q_{\infty}$ , non-extensive statistics does not apply to the random matrix model. Figure 1 shows the evolution of the NNS distributions as q increases from 1 to  $q_{\infty}$ . The position of the peak of the distribution, which is located at  $s_{\beta} = \sqrt{\beta/B_{\beta}(q)} \left[1/(q-1)-1-\beta\right]$ , moves from  $s_1 = 0.798$  to  $s_1 = 0.368$  for TsOE, from  $s_2 = 0.886$  to  $s_2 = 0.408$  for TsUE and from  $s_4 = 0.940$  to  $s_4 = 0.671$  for TsOE. Neither reaches 0, the peak position of the Poisson distribution  $\exp(-s)$  of the integrable systems. The figure shows that distributions (16) evolve the shape predicted by the corresponding Wigner surmise towards the Poisson distribution, but never reach it. The limiting distributions are

$$P_{\beta}(q_{\infty}, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{8\pi^{2}s}{(4+\pi^{2}s^{2})^{2}}; & \text{for TsOE} \\ \frac{24s^{2}}{(1+4s^{2})^{5/2}}; & \text{for TsUE} \\ \frac{1474560s^{4}}{(9+64s^{2})^{7/2}}; & \text{for TsSE} \end{cases}$$
 (22)

which all tend to  $s^{-3}$  as  $s \to \infty$ . Recalling the results obtained for the logistic map [5], we may consider these distributions as signaling the edge of chaos where the Lyapunov exponents vanish. The Tsallis statistics cannot be extended to more regular regimes probably because the degree of mixing then does not justify the use of the principle of maximum entropy.

Now we consider the case of q < 1. For this case, the distribution (9) has to be complemented by the auxiliary condition that the quantity inside the square

bracket has to be positive. Thus, we integrate over X from -z to z, where  $z = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - q)\eta_q s^2}$ . We obtain

$$P_{\beta}(q,s) = a_{\beta}(q)s^{\beta} \left[1 - b_{\beta}(q)s^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{1}{2}},$$
 (23)

where

$$a_{\beta}(q) = \frac{2 \left[ b_{\beta}(q) \right]^{(\beta+1)/2} \Gamma\left( 2 + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{1-q} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{\beta+1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{1-q} \right)}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$b_{\beta}(q) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(2 + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{1-q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{1-q}\right)} \right]^{2}.$$
 (25)

Figure 2 demonstrates the behavior of the NNS distributions for the three ensembles as q decreases from 1 to 0. The figure shows that each of the three distributions evolves from that of the Wigner surmise towards a shape describing a picket-fence spectrum. The limiting distributions are

$$P_{\beta}(0,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{5^{3}\pi^{2}}{2^{10}}s\left(1 - \frac{5^{3}\pi^{2}}{2^{10}}s^{2}\right)^{3/2}; & \text{for TsOE} \\ \frac{2^{23}}{5^{3}7^{3}\pi^{4}}s^{2}\left(1 - \frac{2^{12}}{5^{2}7^{2}\pi^{2}}s^{2}\right)^{3/2}; & \text{for TsUE} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{2^{63}}{3^{16}5^{5}7^{5}}s^{4}\left(1 - \frac{2^{22}}{3^{6}5^{2}7^{2}}s^{2}\right)^{3/2}; & \text{for TsSE}.$$
(26)

These distributions have their peaks at  $s\cong 1$  as that of the picket-fence distribution, but their widths are not small.

### 4 Conclusion

In summary, the NNS distribution obtained from applying Tsallis statistics to RMT maps two routes for the transition from chaos to order. One leads towards the integrability described by the Poisson statistics by increasing q>1, but ends at the edge of chaos. The other is followed by decreasing q form 1 to 0. It leads to a picket-fence type spectrum, such as the one obtained by Berry and Tabor [10] for the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with non-commensurate frequencies.

In order to get a more complete picture of the non-extensive RMT, we need to extend our analysis using ensembles of large matrices and including other observables as level-number variance  $\Sigma^2$  and spectral rigidity  $\Delta_3$ . Work on these lines is in progress.

## References

[1] C. Tsallis, Lect. Notes Phys. 560, 3 (2001).

- [2] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices 2nd ed. (Academic, New York, 1991), T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rep. 299, 189 (1998).
- [3] R. Balian, Nuovo Cim. 57, 183 (1958).
- [4] C.Tsallis, A. R. Plastino, and W.-M. Zeng, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 8, 885 (1997).
- [5] U. M. S. Costa, M. L. Lyra, A. R. plastino, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E 56, 245 (1997); M. L. Lyra and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 53 (1998);
  F. A. B. F. de Mauro, U. Tirnakli, and M. L. Lyra, A. R. plastino, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6361 (2000); E. P. Borges, C. Tsallis, and P. M. C. Oliviera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 254103 (2002).
- [6] F. Baldovin and A. Robledo, Europhys. Lett.60, 512 (2002); Phys. Rev. E66, R045104 (2002).
- [7] P. Cvitanović, Universality in Chaos (A. Hilger, Bristol, 1984).
- [8] C.E. Porter, Statistical Properties of Spectra: Fluctuations, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [9] K. Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1991.
- [10] E.V. Berry, M. Tabor, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 356, 375 (1977).
- [11] Ya. G. Sinai, *Physica A* **165**, 375 (1990).
- [12] J. Marklov, Commun. Math. Phys. 199, 169 (1998).
- [13] M. Robnik, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 5, 1195 (1995).
- [14] Q. A. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. B 26,357 (2002).
- [15] C. Tsallis, R. S. Mendes, and A. R. Plastino, Physica A 261, 534 (1998).

# Figure Caption

Fig.1. The evolution of the NNS distribution for the three symmetry universalities from the Wigner form towards the Poissonian (both shown by solid curves) by varying the entropic index in the range of  $1 \le q \le q_{\infty}$ . The dashed curves are for distributions having second moments of 2, equal to the Poissonian. The dotted curves are for the values  $q = q_{\infty}$  explicitly given by Eqs. (22).

Fig.2. The evolution of the NNS distribution for the three symmetry universalities from the Wigner form (solid curves) towards the picket-fence shape by varying the entropic index in the range of  $0 \le q \le 1$ . The dashed curves are for distributions having q = 0.5. The dotted curves are for the values q = 0, where the distributions are explicitly given by Eqs. (26).



