Sym m etries and G eneral P rincipies in the M ultiband E ective M ass Theory: A Transfer M atrix Study.

L.D iago-C isneros^y, H.Rodr guez-Coppola^z, R.Perez-A lvarez^x and P.Pereyra^y

y Departamento de Ciencias Basicas, UAM - Azcapotzalco, C.P. 02200 D.F. Mexico.

z Dpto. de F sica Aplicada, Facultad de F s., Univ. de La Habana, C P.10400, La Habana, C uba. and x Dpto. de F sica Teorica, Facultad de F sica, Univ. de La Habana, C P.10400, La Habana, C uba.

(D ated: D ecem ber 27, 2021)

W e study the tim e reversal and space inversion sym metry properties of those transfer matrices mostly used in the calculation of energy spectra and transport-process quantities. W e determ ine the unitary transform ation relating transfer matrices. W e consider the K ohn-Luttinger model for a quasi-2D system and show that even though the system studied in the (4 4) scheme satis es all the sym metry requirements, the (2 2) subspaces do not full ll such constrains, except in the point of the B rillouin Zone. W e nd new exchange properties between the (2 2) subspace quantities.

Keywords: Transfer Matrix, time reversal, parity, conservation laws. PACS: 11.30.-; 11.30 Er; 73.23.-b

I. IN TRODUCTION

In the last years a large number of theoretical studies of multilayered sem iconductor structures, using di erent schem es of k p multiband H am iltonians, have been published⁽¹⁷⁾. Besides the extensive application of these models, the sym metry problem in general, and the speci c characteristics in particular, such as the time reversal invariance in the K ram er (2 2) subspaces of the K ohn-Luttinger (K L) model and the parity of heavy- (hh) and light-hole (h) states, have been only partially considered in a small number of references^{1,12(15)}. The increasing interest in studying transport properties within the k p approximation puts forward the need of a simple procedure to obtain scattering am plitudes from Envelope Function Approximation (EFA) models, and to establish clear requirements to preserve the fundamental physical sym metries. M any physical properties, such as tunnelling and quantum coherence, depend strongly on the symm etries preserved by the system -particle interaction¹⁸. Recently, remarkable e orts have been done to study the breaking of discrete sym metries^{12,13,19(23)}. However some issues remain to be studied. The lack of a theoretical analysis of whether the time reversal symm etry and the space inversion symmetry in the K ram er (2 2) subspaces are fullled or not, show s the need of a detailed and com prehensive analysis of symmetry problem s in the K L and other EFA models. This is the aim of the present paper.

An important quantity, where the original Ham iltonian symmetries can be checked out in a simple way is the transfer matrix (TM). This object is being used with increasing frequency to study transport properties of di erent type of system s and within quite distinct approaches. Basically, two types of transferm atrices are being used in the literature. One of these transferm atrices, called here of the st kind, relates a eld F (z) and its derivative F (z) at any two points z_1 and z_2 of the scatterer system $^{26{28}}$. A nother transferm atrix, called here of the second kind, connects state vectors (z) that are expressed in the propagating modes representation 27,29344. Because of its simple functional relation with the scattering am plitudes, the latter matrices were mainly used in the scattering approaches to deal with transport processes. The rigorous ful 1m ent of symmetry requirements, such as time reversal invariance (TRI), spin rotation (SR), space inversion invariance (SII) and ux conservation (FC) principle, were amply discussed in the literature in connection with these transferm atrices, both for 1-D one-channel and for 3-D multichannel system $s^{30{3}}$. Transferm atrices of the st kind or their associated transferm atrices (which relate F (z) and a certain linear form G (z) = $v(z)F^{0}(z) + u(z)F(z)$, at any two points z_{1} ; and z_{2}), were mainly used in connection with the solution of EFA models^{35,36}. The matrices u (z) and v (z) appear as coe cients in the matrix equation of motion 36,37 . To obtain the transferm atrix in the EFA one needs to build up the vector F (z), called the envelope (see Refs. 13,27,35,38{42 and references therein). TM of the rst kind have been widely used to study the hole spectrum in III-V, II-V I and IV sem iconductors^{24,25,43{47}.

Since the multiband KL model in the k p approximation is amply used in the current solid state physics, and the understanding of the transport properties in heterostructure systems become of great interest, it is convenient to establish a clear bridge between the rst and the second kind of transferm atrices. To this purpose the analysis of the

perm anent address: Dpto. de F sica Aplicada, Facultad de F sica, Universidad de La Habana, C P.10400, La Habana, C uba.

sym m etry requirem ents on the transferm atrices of the st kind and their relation with the scattering am plitudes and transferm atrices of the second kind, is very much called for. We apply our results to study with clear advantages and transparency the multichannel transport of heavy and light holes through III-V sem iconductor heterostructures^{48,49}. How ever the results that we present here are to some extent also applicable to any EFA model.

A ssum ing the existence of N -coupled-di erential-equations solutions, we de ne in Section II the transferm atrices of the rst and second kind and determ ine the unitary transformation between them. In Section III, we derive the mathematical conditions that the transferm atrices of the rst and second kind have to satisfy to full lithe physical symmetries, and we also recall those for the TM of the second kind that are known. We focus our attention on the time reversal invariance, space inversion symmetry, and the ux conservation principle. In Section IV we consider the (4 d) KL Ham iltonian and derive an explicit representation of the unitary transformation determined in Section II. Next, we discuss the consequences of the various symmetry requirements obtained in Section II on the Ham iltonians and related quantities of the KL (2 d) subspaces. Finally we present some concluding remarks and comments.

II. TRANSFER MATRICES: AN OUTLINE OF BASIC DEFINITIONS

In this Section, we will outline the well known transfer matrix de nitions. Based on these de nitions, we will establish the relation between the transfer matrices of the stand second kind.

Solving the system of equations associated to an EFA Ham iltonian, one obtains a set of linearly independent eigenvectors. It is often convenient to consider, as will be the case here, an orthogonal set of eigenvectors. W ith these eigenvectors we can build the envelope function

$$F(z) = \int_{j=1}^{\frac{p}{2}N} a_{j} f_{j}(z); \qquad (1)$$

where N is the dimension of the system of coupled di erential equations, and the vector

A lternatively, we can write the eigenvectors in the propagating modes representation as

$$f_{j}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1j} \\ g_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ g_{N j} \end{pmatrix}^{I} e^{iq_{j}z} = g_{j} e^{iq_{j}z}$$
(3)

and de ne, in the plane-wave basis, the state vectors

$$(z) = \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ b \\ c \end{array}$$

where i (z) and i (z) represent the right and left moving modes (when q_j is real). Both of them are N-dimensional vectors built in terms of the right- and left-travelling wave functions i_i (z) and i_i (z) in the i-th channel or propagating mode.

It is easy to see that, writing (z) as

$$(z) = \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & &$$

where a and b are N dimensional vectors, and

a simple and obvious unitary transform ation can be established between (z) and (z). Since these vectors are used to de ne the transferm atrices of the rst and second kind, one can determ ine such unitary transform ation, i.e.

$$(z) = N (z)$$
 (7)

which is fundam ental for the purpose of this paper. The specic structure of M depends on the particular H am iltonian.

A. Transferm atrices of the rst kind

Using the function (z) it is common to de ne the transferm atrix of the rst kind M $_{fd}$ such that

$$(z_2) = M_{fd}(z_2; z_1) (z_1):$$
 (8)

A slightly di erent de nition for this type of transferm atrix is the associated transferm atrix T³⁶ de ned by

$$(z_2) = T (z_2; z_1) (z_1)$$
 (9)

where

$$(z) = \begin{cases} F(z) & F(z) \\ G(z) & v(z)F^{0}(z) + u(z)F(z) \end{cases}$$
 (10)

These two matrices are the rst kind of matrices we use.

B. Transfer m atrices of the second kind

A nother TM that has been widely used in the literature that keeps a simple relation with the scattering amplitudes is the matrix de ned by 31

$$(z_2) = M_{sv}(z_2; z_1) (z_1)$$
: (11)

As for the st kind of transferm atrices, there is also a slightly dierent de nition of the transferm atrix, usually called the coe cients transferm atrix de ned by

$$\begin{array}{c} c \\ d \end{array} = Q (z_2; z_1) \quad \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} :$$
 (12)

Based on the previous de nitions and the transform ation (7) it is clear that both types of transferm atrices are related by the system -dependent unitary transform ation

$$M_{sv}(z_{R};z_{L}) = N^{-1}M_{fd}(z_{R};z_{L})N :$$
(13)

This is an important result. Based in the previous unitary transformation it is easy to obtain simple functional relations with the scattering amplitudes. The transformation matrix N, say

 $N = \begin{array}{cccc} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{2N} \\ d_1 g_1 & d_2 g_2 & \cdots & d_{2N} g_{2N} \end{array} ;$ (14)

can be obtained when each linearly independent (LI) solution is written as a (N 1) spinor, with no coordinate dependence (represented here by g_j), times a plane wave. By d_j we denote the coefficient of z in the exponent of the plane waves. In Section IV we will consider a particular KL Ham illionian and we will obtain specific expressions for M fd and M sv and the unitary transformation in (13).

III. GENERAL FC, TRIAND SIIREQUIREMENTS ON M $_{\rm fd}$ AND M $_{\rm sv}$

Let us now recall and deduce the constrains in posed on the transferm atrices by the full lm ent of ux conservation and the physical sym m etries of time reversal and space inversion. For the sake of brevity, we report the most im portant relations and quote just some of the necessary and well known results.

A. Flux Conservation

To obtain the ux conservation requirem ents we need to write the current density

$$j(z) = \frac{i}{2m} yr r r; \qquad (15)$$

in terms of any of the previously introduced 2N -dimensional spinors. The method of derivation follows the usual calculation of the particle current in quantum mechanics. We thus have

$$j(z) = i F^{y}G \quad G^{y}F^{z} \qquad (16)$$

It is found useful to emphasize that in the KL model this form ula reduces to $j(z) = 2 \text{Im } F^{0} v F] 2F^{y} u^{y} F$. In other interesting cases as the Schrödinger equation and the 1-D one-channel and for 3-D multichannel system s^{30} ⁽³³⁾ it reduces to the known expression $j(z) = F^{y} F^{0} F^{0} F$.

W hen ux is conserved, which means $j(z_2) = j(z_1)$ at any two points, we immediately obtain the identity:

$$R^{Y}(z) _{Y}R(z) = M _{fd}^{Y}(z;z_{0})R^{Y}(z_{0}) _{Y}R(z_{0})M _{fd}(z;z_{0});$$
(17)

where

$$R (z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_N & O_N \\ & \vdots \\ & u(z) & v(z) \end{bmatrix};$$

and

 $y = \begin{array}{cc} O_{N} & iI_{N} \\ iI_{N} & O_{N} \end{array}$:

Henceforth O_N/I_N is the corresponding (N N) null/identity matrix. In a completely similar way, one obtains the FC requirement for other transferm atrices. For the transferm atrices of the second kind the current conservation implies

$$dc \quad cd = ba \quad ab$$
 (18)

which, using the transferm atrix de nition, leads to the well known relation

$$z = M \frac{y}{sv}(z;z_0) z M sv(z;z_0);$$
 (19)

where $z = \frac{N}{z} I_N$, is the enlarged (2N 2N) Pauli z matrix (see Appendix B). This condition has been explicitly deduced in various references^{30{32}}</sup>.

B. Tim e R eversal Invariance

TRI in plies that the envelope function fulls the relation

$$K CF (z) = F (z);$$
 (20)

where the usual notation for the com plex-conjugation operator \hat{C} is used, and K is a model-dependent matrix^{27,50}. Using the denition (8) in equation (20) we obtain

$$M_{fd}(z;z_0) = M_{fd}(z;z_0)^{\perp}$$
(21)

w ith

 $= \begin{array}{c} K & O_4 \\ O_4 & K \end{array}$

T , M $_{\rm sv}$ and Q fulls in ilar identities. In the case of the matrix T , we obtain

$$T(z;z_0) = T(z;z_0)^{\perp}$$
: (22)

C oncerning the transferm atrix of the second kind, it is well known that the TRI condition for systems with spinindependent interactions takes the form

$$M_{sv}(z;z_0) = {}_{x}M_{sv}(z;z_0) {}_{x}^{\perp};$$
(23)

while for systems with spin-dependent interactions, this relation changes slightly. The matrix $_x$ is given in the Appendix B. In the particular case of systems of spin 1=2 (see Ref.32), TRI in plies the condition

$$M_{sv}(z;z_0) = K M_{sv}(z;z_0)K^{-1}:$$
(24)

C. Space Inversion

Let us now assume that the system possesses a space inversion symmetry with respect to the origin. It is convenient to emphasize that the space inversion we are interested here is the transformation that changes only the sign to the coordinate perpendicular to the interfaces of the Q 2D system, leaving the signs of the in-plane wave vector components k_x and k_y unchanged. If \hat{S}_1 denotes such space inversion operator, the space inversion invariance implies

$$\hat{S}_{I}F(z) = psF(z) \tag{25}$$

where p = 1 and s is a model-dependent matrix.

As the derivatives change the parity of the functions, the action of the space inversion operator on the bi-eld (z) becomes

$$\hat{S}_{I}(z) = pS(z);$$
 (26)

w ith

$$S = \begin{array}{c} S & O_4 \\ O_4 & S \end{array} \qquad (27)$$

Therefore, the SII requirem ent on the transferm atrix M $_{\rm fd}$ is

$$M_{fd}(z;z_0) = S^{-1}M_{fd}(z;z_0)S:$$
(28)

Sim ilar identities can be obtained for all the transferm atrices. In particular, for M $_{\rm sv}$ we obtain:

$$M_{sv}(z;z_0) = S_{sv}^{-1}M_{sv}(z;z_0)S_{sv}:$$
(29)

In the Appendix B, the explicit expression for S_{sv} is given.

IV . TM PROPERTIES AND SYMMETRY REQUIREMENTS IN THE KOHN-LUTTINGER HAM ILTONIAN

The rst part of this section is devoted to obtain an explicit form for N in the case of the $(4 \ 4)$ KL m odel. In the second part we will focus our attention on the sym metries of the $(2 \ 2)$ subspaces of this model. For the sake of completeness we shall brie y recall some important and well-known results within the $(4 \ 4)$ KL m odel^{1,12{15,51,52}</sup> and we will describe explicitly the consequences of its TRI and SII sym metries on the $(2 \ 2)$ subspaces. These consequences have not received su cient attention, how ever, because of their current interest concerning the elastic and assisted transmission studied within the $(2 \ 2)$ subspaces, deserve further clari cation. We shall discuss these implications for the cases in which the wave vector (de ned in the interface planes) is either equal or di erent from 0.

A. Derivation of the transform ation matrix N in the (4 4) KL model

Dealing with the $(4 \ 4)$ KL model H am iltonian it is usual to block-diagonalize it⁵ and to work then with $(2 \ 2)$ instead of $(4 \ 4)$ H am iltonians. In this way, the mathematical di culties are highly simplied. This method remains, nevertheless, a very useful tool to understand many of the intriguing physical properties of hh and h valence bands near the band edge, and we use it for the derivation of the transform ations (7) and (13) in the $(4 \ 4)$ space as our main objective.

In the block-diagonalizing procedure, a unitary transform ation U performs the splitting of the original (4 4) Ham iltonian \hat{H} into two (2 2) blocks, which are labelled \up"(u) and \low "(1)

$$U\hat{H}U^{Y}UF(z) = \begin{array}{c} \hat{H}_{u}O_{2} & F_{u}(z) \\ O_{2}\hat{H}_{1} & F_{1}(z) \end{array} = E \begin{array}{c} F_{u}(z) \\ F_{1}(z) \end{array} ;$$
(30)

where the blocks are given by

$$\hat{H}_{u} = \frac{A_{1}^{2} + B_{2}\hat{k}_{z}^{2} + V(z)}{C_{xy} + iD_{xy}\hat{k}_{z}} + A_{2}^{2} + B_{1}\hat{k}_{z}^{2} + V(z)};$$
(31)

$$\hat{H}_{1} = \begin{array}{c} A_{2} & ^{2} + B_{1}\hat{k}_{z}^{2} + V(z) & C_{xy} & iD_{xy}\hat{k}_{z} \\ C_{xy} + iD_{xy}\hat{k}_{z} & A_{1} & ^{2} + B_{2}\hat{k}_{z}^{2} + V(z) \end{array}$$
(32)

For the de nitions of A_1 , A_2 , B_1 , B_2 , C_{xy} , D_{xy} and 2 see Appendix A. Thus, for each block and for each slab of material (with certain set of phenom enological parameters⁵³), we have an eigenvalue problem

$$\hat{H}_{u;1} = L_2 F_{u;1}(z) = O_2:$$
 (33)

Solving this equation we have

The spinor components g_{ij} are given in the Appendix A. Here $_j(j)$ stand for the linear combination coe cients of the LI solutions times the corresponding normalization constant in the \up"(\bw") subspaces, respectively. We remark that only two of the values of the momenta q_j are LI. They are obtained from the zeros of the secular fourth-order polynom ial determinant of (33), which is the same for both u and 1H am iltonians and then, the energy eigenvalues of the subspace hole states are the same with di erent spatial trends. This is perhaps the most important consequence of the block-diagonalization (B-D) process from (30).

W hen considering the multichannel-multiband transport of hh and lh through, say, a III-V sem iconductor heterostructures^{48,49}, one should keep in m ind the order of the basis components to assign correctly the transm ission am plitudes. A ssum ing

$$F(\mathbf{r}) = F(\mathbf{z}) \quad u(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i} \sim (x_{\mathbf{x}} + y_{\mathbf{e}_{y}})};$$

we shall choose for the periodic part of the B loch function $u_0(r) = (u_1; u_2; u_3; u_4) = (\frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2$

$$E_{hh} = (_{1} 2 _{2})q_{3}^{2}$$
(35)
$$E_{lh} = (_{1} + 2 _{2})q_{1}^{2};$$

which unambiguously relates the uncoupled levels hh (lh) to q_3 (q_1), respectively.

Before going forward, notice that both transferm atrices M $_{fd}$ and T relate states in the quasi-particle representation characterized by a certain set of quantum numbers and describe the evolution along z of these m odes with no m ention to their propagation direction. Nevertheless, as suggested above we can alternatively describe the system using the propagation m odes representation³², i.e. the representation where right and left m oving states are resolved into separated components. This idea in combination with the m entioned adjustments lead us to express the wave function (34) and its derivative in term s of an eight-component state vector (5)

$$(z) = W \qquad \begin{array}{cccc} G & (G) & i & (z) & O_4 & a \\ i G Q & (i G) & Q & O_4 & i & (z) & b \end{array} ;$$
 (36)

where

$$W = \begin{array}{ccc} V & O_{4} \\ O_{4} & V \end{array}; V = \begin{array}{ccc} e^{L} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{L} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{L} \end{array};$$

being

$$= \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}\right) \arctan\left(\frac{2}{2}\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{2}{2}\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}\right) + \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{2}{2}\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}\right) + \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{2}{2}\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) + \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{2}{2}\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}\right) + \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) + \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{k_x}{k_y}k_x^2\right) =$$

The parameters and are taken in the form required by the unitary transform $ation^5$ U for block-diagonalization (30). Hereafter 1, 2 and 3 are the Luttinger parameters of the layer. We have dened

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_{13} & g_{11} & g_{41} & g_{43} \\ g_{23} & g_{21} & g_{31} & g_{33} \\ g_{23} & g_{21} & g_{31} & g_{33} \\ g_{13} & g_{11} & g_{41} & g_{43} \end{pmatrix}; \text{ and } Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_3 \end{pmatrix};$$

In (36) the (4 1) vectors a (b) contain the corresponding a_j (b_j) linear combination coe cients of the LI solutions times the corresponding norm alization constant of the conguration space in the \up" (\low ") subspaces, respectively. Dening

$$N = W \qquad \begin{array}{c} G & (G) \\ iG Q & (iG) Q \end{array}$$
(37)

we have

$$(z) = \frac{N_{11}}{iN_{11}Q} \frac{N_{12}}{(i)} \frac{i'}{N_{12}Q} \frac{i'}{Q_4} \frac{z}{(z)} = N \quad (z):$$
 (38)

This relation is essential to achieve the purpose posted at the beginning of this section. Thus, from (38), the expression (8) that relates (z) at any two points z_1 and z_2 of the scatterer system can be written as

fN
$$(z_2)g_2 = M_{fd}(z_2;z_2) fN (z_1)g_1$$
: (39)

Hence the state vectors (5) in these regions are connected as

$$(z_{2}) = N_{2}^{-1} M_{fd} (z_{2}; z_{1}) N_{1} (z_{1});$$
(40)

therefore

$$M_{sv}(z_2;z_1) = N_2^{-1} M_{fd}(z_2;z_1) N_1:$$
(41)

The convenience of this (8 8) matrix identity is likely found in the clear advantages of using both types of transfer matrices for the description of tunneling and related properties of particles moving through multilayered structures. This result becomes a protable platform 48,49 to circum vent the usual assumptions and restrictions that the current descriptions $^{11,56(60)}$ face in describing the hole tunnelling in heterostructures.

We emphasize several points here: Attention must be paid to orto-norm alization of the spinor components g_{ij} , content in the (8 8) transform ation matrix N, to prevent prompt failures during numerical simulation of quantum transport phenom ena of heavy and light holes through heterostructures. For system s well-described within the EFA the orto-norm alization procedure is not a trivial question and di ers from routine process, basically, by the presence of linear \hat{k}_z term s in (31,32). A detailed study on that subject is published elsew here^{54,55}.

B. TRI and SII sym m etries for the € 0 case in the (2 2) KL m odel

Let us analyze the implications of the TRI and SII symmetries (in the $(4 \ 4)$ KL model) on some physical quantities dened in the $(2 \ 2)$ subspaces. We shall start with the TRI symmetry. To exhibit this implications on the time reversal operator operator we will use its representation reported by Pasquarello et al. in Ref.1. Before block-diagonalizing the time reversal and space inversion operators, we need to transform them from the Pasquarello's basis representation to the Broido and Sham basis representation⁵. This transform ation is done by means of

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$
(42)

In the following, x; y; z are the three Pauli matrices and the coordinate origin will be placed in the inversion symmetry point (z = 0).

1. Tim e Reversal Invariance

The (4 4) time reversal operator in the Broido and Sham basis is given by

$$\hat{T}_{BS} = K_{BS}\hat{C} = RK_{PB}\hat{C}R^{-1} = R \qquad \begin{array}{c} O_{2} & y \\ y & O_{2} \end{array} R^{T}\hat{C} = \qquad \begin{array}{c} O_{2} & x \\ x & O_{2} \end{array} \hat{C} :$$
(43)

Hereafter subindexes BS and PB stand for Broido-Sham and Pasquarello-Bassani, respectively. A fter applying the transform ation⁵

$$U = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{i} \\ 0 & e^{i} & e^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i} & e^{i} & 0 \\ e^{i} & 0 & 0 & e^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(44)

the time reversal operator $\hat{T}_{\scriptscriptstyle B\,S}$ becomes

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{BS} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{K}_{PB} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{C}} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O}_{2} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{O}_{2} \end{array} \hat{\mathbf{C}} :$$
(45)

As a consequence the TRI requirement in plies for the $(2 \ 2)$ subspace Ham iltonians the full lm ent of the following condition

It is then clear that the TRI of the KL H am iltonian in the $(4 \quad 4)$ space does not imply the TRI of the H am iltonians in the $(2 \quad 2)$ subspaces. It is easy to show also that

$$_{x}F_{u;1}(z) = F_{1;u}(z)$$
: (47)

and hence

$$_{x}M_{u;1}(z)_{x} = M_{l;u}(z);$$
 (48)

here:

$$x = \begin{array}{c} x & O_2 \\ O_2 & x \end{array}$$

As can be seen from previous relations, the considered physical quantities of the subspaces u and 1 should be related to each other in order to satisfy the TRI requirement in the $(4 \ 4)$ space.

2. Space Inversion

It is convenient to emphasize that the space inversion symmetry we are dealing with is the one which changes only the sign to the coordinate perpendicular to the interfaces of the Q2D system. The usual space inversion¹ m akes fx;y;zg! f x; y; zg then, when taking 2D-Fourier transform in the [x;y] plane, change the signs of k_x and k_y also.

As in the case of time reversal, we need to know rst the space inversion operator (25) for the $(4 \ 4)$ KL space in the Broido and Sham basis⁵. This is obtained from

$$\hat{S}_{BS} = R \hat{S}_{PB} R^{-1} = R \qquad \begin{array}{c} z & O_2 \\ O_2 & z \end{array} R^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} I_2 & O_2 \\ O_2 & I_2 \end{array} ;$$
(49)

It is easy to verify that the (4 1) wave function F (z) de ned in (34), under this operator action, satis es the sym m etry condition (25). It can be seen also that the time reversal and space inversion operators satisfy $f\hat{T}_{Bs}$; $\hat{S}_{Bs}g = \hat{0}$,

i.e. they anti-commute. This leads to the existence of an additional symmetry operator $\hat{S}_{BS} \hat{T}_{BS}$ that changes a wave function for a given with a denite parity into the same function of but with opposite parity^{1,13}. The invariance of the wave function F (z) in (34) under space inversion operation (25) in plies

$$\hat{S}_{BS} = \begin{array}{c} F_{1}(z) \\ F_{2}(z) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} p \\ F_{2}(z) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} F_{1}(z) \\ F_{2}(z) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} F_{1}(z) \\ F_{2}(z) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} F_{1}(z) \\ F_{2}(z) \end{array} :$$

Sinœ

$$U \hat{S}_{BS} U^{Y} = \begin{array}{c} O_{2} & x \\ x & O_{2} \end{array} ;$$
 (50)

the space inversion invariance of the KLH am iltonian leads us to

T herefore

$$\hat{H}_{u}(z) = {}_{x}\hat{H}_{1}(z) {}_{x} ;$$

$$\hat{H}_{1}(z) = {}_{x}\hat{H}_{u}(z) {}_{x} ;$$
(52)

For the transferm atrices of the st kind and for the envelope wave functions we have

$$M_{u;1}(z) = {}_{x}M_{1;u}(z) {}_{x};$$
(53)

$$_{x}F_{u;1}(z) = pF_{1;u}(z)$$
: (54)

Here again the transferm atrices and wave functions, appearing in the rst and second mem bers of equations (53) and (54), belong to dierent subspaces. For \neq 0 the space inversion symmetry in the (4 4) space does not imply space inversion symmetry in the (2 2) spaces. Hence, the wave functions in both subspaces does not have a de nite parity.

The m ixing of hh with lh states increases as we go away from the center of the Brillouin Zone, i.e., as di ers from 0. The square m odulus of the wave function $(D_{u;1})$ was evaluated by using the representation for the TM of rst kind for the hole state. Taking advantage of (54) the following useful relation is obtained:

$$D_1(E; ;z) = D_u(E; ;z)$$
 (55)

where $D_{u;1}$ are de ned as

$$D_{u;1}(E; ;z) = M_{12}^{u;1}(z;z_{o})F_{u;1}(z_{o}) M_{12}^{u;1}(z;z_{o})F_{u;1}^{o}(z_{o});$$
(56)

where M $_{12}^{u;1}(z;z_o)$ are blocks of the TM of rst kind M $^{u;1}$, respectively.

C. TRI and SII sym m etries for the = 0 case in the (2 2) KL m odel

At = 0 the hole states decouple in the case of no external eld or no strain, given independent series of levels (35) for the in nite quantum wellboundary conditions. Both \hat{H}_u and \hat{H}_1 are diagonal and thus invariant under time reversal and space inversion operations. The time reversal operator in this case is $_z\hat{C}$, which commute with the H am iltonian, and the wave functions satisfy

$$_{z}F_{u;1}(z) = pF_{u;1}(z);$$
(57)

then the hh and lh components of the vectors $F_{u;1}(z)$ posses de nite but opposite parities. At the point the up and low subspaces satisfy FC, TRI and SII and belong to the symplectic universality class³², as the original (4 4) space of solutions.

We establish the relation between the TM of the rst kind (frequently used to determ ine the energy spectra) and the TM of the second kind (widely used in the scattering approach) in general and for the speci c case of K L H am iltonian m odel. This relation allows us to take the advantage of using the appropriate transferm atrix according to the circum stances one is dealing with. It is possible to avoid the usual assumptions and restrictions that the current descriptions face in describing tunnelling of holes 11,56160.

We deduce new symmetry requirements on the TM of the rst kind M $_{fd}$ and SII requirements on TM of the second kind M $_{sv}$ for N > 1 case of actual interest in quantum -transport problem. Any EFA model having a master equation like that of the References 36,37 are expected to have the same or similar properties. In fact, a great part of the analysis carried out in sections II and III can be extended to those problems with m inor changes.

W e m ade clear that the sym m etry analysis in the reduced $(2 \ 2)$ subspaces of the K L m odel dem and attention to prevent erroneous statem ents¹¹. We show that for ϵ 0 the time reversal and space inversion invariance requirements of the $(4 \ 4)$ K L H am iltonian does not imply the invariance of the up" and \low " H am iltonians and related objects, separately. How ever, to full the whole H am iltonian invariance they have to transform one to the other according to the corresponding expressions obtained here. It has been also deduced that for = 0, the \up" and \low " subspaces recover continuously the time reversal and space inversion sym m etries, as expected.

B esides the basic interest of this topic, the relations obtained here are useful and convenient in controlling bothersom e num erical calculations, as well as in studying the relevant quantities in the multichannel-multiband tunnelling of holes within a more realistic approach. Expressions of section III were veried num erically within computer uncertainty.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e are indebted to F.G arcia-M oliner and F.de Leon-Perez and Herbert Sim an juntak the fruitful discussions with som e of the authors. This work has been perform ed under a CONACyT (M exico) grand NoE120.1781 and received support from Departamento de Ciencias of UAM -A, M exico and from Catedra de Ciencia Contemporanea of \Jaum e I" University, Spain.

APPENDIX A: PARAMETERS OF THE (2 2) \hat{H}_{u} and \hat{H}_{1} KL ham ilton ians

$$k = k_{x} \quad ik_{y}; \qquad 2 = k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}$$

$$A_{1} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 + 2); \qquad A_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2)$$

$$B_{1} = q^{\frac{2}{2m_{0}}}(1 + 2); \qquad B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2)$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2); \qquad B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2); \qquad B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2); \qquad B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2);$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{2}{2m_{0}}(1 - 2); \qquad B_{2} =$$

0

The non-ortonorm alized spinor components gij

$$g_{11} = A_2 \stackrel{2}{} + B_1 q_1^2 + V(z) \\g_{13} = A_2 \stackrel{2}{} + B_1 q_3^2 + V(z) \\g_{22} = (C_{xy} + iD_{xy}q_2) \\g_{24} = (C_{xy} + iD_{xy}q_4) \\g_{31} = A_1 \stackrel{2}{} + B_2 q_1^2 + V(z) \\g_{33} = A_1 \stackrel{2}{} + B_2 q_3^2 + V(z) \\g_{42} = (C_{xy} + iD_{xy}q_2) \\g_{44} = (C_{xy} + iD_{xy}q_4) \\(A 2)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
q_{2} &=& q_{1} \\
q_{4} &=& q_{3} \\
g_{11} &=& g_{12} \\
g_{13} &=& g_{14} \\
g_{22} &=& g_{21} \\
g_{24} &=& g_{23} \\
g_{31} &=& g_{32} \\
g_{33} &=& g_{34} \\
g_{42} &=& g_{41} \\
g_{44} &=& g_{43} \\
\end{array}$$
(A 3)

being

$$g_{1j};g_{3j}$$
 real
 $g_{2j};g_{4j}$ com plex :

9

The Luttinger parameters 1; 2; 3 typify each layer of the heterostructure.

APPENDIX B:TRANSFORMATION SYMMETRY MATRICES

Given the transform ation between the matrices M $_{fd}(z;z_0)$ and M $_{sv}(z;z_0)$, it is easy to see that the FC constrain on M $_{sv}(z;z_0)$ (Sec.III) in plies that

$$z = N y^{J} J_{fd}N :$$
 (B1)

However, it is worth noticing that, whenever the basis of linearly independent KL Ham iltonian eigenvectors, is not an orthogonal basis, we will have

$$J_{fd} = \begin{array}{cc} u^{y}(z) & u(z) & v(z) \\ v(z) & O_{4} \end{array}$$
:

For TRI and SII requirements on the TM M $_{\rm sv}$ (z;z₀) (Sec.III) we used the matrices $_{\rm x}$ and S $_{\rm sv}$, respectively, which read

$$x = N^{-1} N; S_{sv} = N SN^{-1};$$
 (B2)

- ¹ L.C.Andreani, A.Pasquarello and F.Bassani, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5887 (1987).
- ² E.P.O 'Reilly and G.P.W itchlow, Phys. Rev.B 34, 6030 (1986).

- ⁴ S.S.Nedorezov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 12, 2269 (1970) [Sov Phys. Solid State 12, 1814 (1971)].
- ⁵ D.A.Broido and L.J.Sham, Phys.Rev.B 31,888 (1985).

- ⁷ Y ia-C hung C hang and J.N. Schulm an, P hys. Rev. B 31, 2069 (1985).
- ⁸ U.Ekenberg, L.C.Andreaniand A.Pasquarello, Phys. Rev. B 46, 2625 (1992).
- ⁹ Z. Ikonic and V. M ilanovic, Phys. Rev. B 45, 8760 (1992).
- ¹⁰ Z. Ikonic, V. Milanovic and D. Tjapkin, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4285 (1992).
- ¹¹ A.D. Sanchez and C.R. Proetto, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 7, 2059 (1995).
- ¹² G.Goldoniand A.Fasolino, Phys. Rev. B 51, 9903 (1995).
- ¹³ L.D iago-C isneros, H.Rodr guez-C oppola and R.Perez-A lvarez, Rev.Mex.Fis. 46, 337 (2000).
- ¹⁴ G.Goldoniand A.Fasolino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2567 (1992).
- ¹⁵ G.Goldoniand A.Fasolino, Surf. Sci. 305, 333 (1994).
- ¹⁶ F.M imeles and S.Ulloa, Phys. Rev. B 60, 13659 (1999).
- ¹⁷ S.B.Radhia, K.Boujdaria, S.Ridene, H.Bouchriha and S.Fisman, J.Appl.Phys 94, 5726 (2003).

³ G.Schechter, L.D.Shvartsm an and JE.Golub, J.Appl.Phys. 78, 288 (1995).

⁶ J.N.Schulm an and Yia-Chung Chang, Phys.Rev.B 31, 2056 (1985).

- ¹⁸ Shanhui Fan, Pierre R.Villenueve, J.D. Joannopoulos, M.J.Khan, C.Manolatou and H.A.Haus, Phys. Rev. B 59, 15882 (1999).
- ¹⁹ P.Pfe er, Phys.Rev.B 55, R 7359 (1997).
- ²⁰ O.Mauritz and U.Ekenberg, Phys. Rev. B 55, 10729 (1997).
- ²¹ R.Stolle, K.J. Veenstra, F.M anders, Th. Rasing, H. van den Berg and N. Persat, Phys. Rev. B 55, R4925 (1997).
- ²² Ya-Sha Yi, Thie Zheng Quiang and Zhao-Bin Su, Phys. Rev. B 55, 10631 (1997).
- ²³ Takam ichiTerao, Phys. Rev. B 56, 975 (1997).
- ²⁴ J.M.Luttinger and W Kohn, Phys. Rev. 97, 869 (1955).
- ²⁵ W .Kohn and J.M .Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 97, 883 (1955).
- ²⁶ P.Erdos and R.C.Hemdon, Advances in Physics 31, 65 (1982).
- ²⁷ F.Garc a-Moliner and V.R.Velasco, \Theory of Single and Multiple Interfaces", (World Scientic, Singapore), 1992.
- ²⁸ H M .Jam es, Phys.Rev.76, 1602 (1949).
- ²⁹ JM.Luttinger, Philips Res. Rept. 6, 303 (1951).
- ³⁰ R E.Borland, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) 84, 926 (1961).
- ³¹ PA.Mello, P.Pereyra and N.Kumar, Ann.Phys. 181, 290 (1988).
- ³² P.Pereyra, J.M ath.Phys. 36 1166 (1995).
- ³³ P.Pereyra, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 31, 4521 (1998).
- ³⁴ P.Pereyra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2677 (1998).
- ³⁵ H.Rodr guez-Coppola, V.R.Velasco, R.Perez-A lvarez and F.G arc a-Moliner, Physica Scripta 53, 377 (1996).
- ³⁶ R.Perez-A lvarez, C.Trallero-Herrero and F.Garc a-Moliner, European Journal of Physics 22, 275 (2001).
- ³⁷ A.M. Malik, M.J.G odfrey and P.Dawson, Phys.Rev.B 59, 2861 (1999).
- ³⁸ G.Monsivais, F.Garc a-Moliner and V.R.Velasco, J.Phys.: Condens.Matter 7, 5491 (1995).
- ³⁹ D.J.Griths and C.A.Steinke, Am.J.Phys. 69, 137 (2001).
- 40 W $-\!\!D$.Sheng and J-B X ia, J.Phys.Cond.M att. 8, 3635 (1996).
- ⁴¹ W -D Sheng, J. Phys. Cond. M att. 8, 10347 (1997).
- ⁴² R. Perez-A lvarez, F. Garc a-Moliner, C. Trallero-Giner and V. R. Velasco, Journal of Ram an Spectroscopy 31, 421 (2000).
- ⁴³ G.Bastard, \W ave m echanics applied to sem iconductor heterostructures", (Editions de Physique, San Diego), 1989.
- ⁴⁴ W .Kohn and J.M .Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 97, 1721 (1955).
- ⁴⁵ W .Kohn and J.M .Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 98, 915 (1955).
- ⁴⁶ M.R.Geller and W.Kohn, Phys. Rev.B 48, 14085 (1993).
- ⁴⁷ M.R.Geller and W.Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3103 (1993).
- ⁴⁸ L.D iago-C isneros, P.Pereyra, R.Perez-A lvarez and H.Rodr guez-Coppola, Phys. Stat. Sol(b) 1, 125 (2002).
- ⁴⁹ L.D iago-C isneros, P.Pereyra, H.R odr guez-C oppola, R.PerezA lvarez, M icroelectronics Journal 35, 49 (2004).
- ⁵⁰ B.C.Cohen-Tannoudjiand F.Laloe "Quantum Mechanics" Volled John Wiley & Son. (INC Printed, France), 1977.
- ⁵¹ F.Bassaniand G.Pastori-Parravicini, \Electronic states and optical transitions in solids", 1st ed., (Pergam on Press, Oxford), 1975.
- ⁵² G.L.Bir and G.E.Picus, \Symmetry and deformation e ects in semiconductors", (ed.Nauka, Moscu), 1972 (In russian).
- ⁵³ I.Vurgaffm an, J.R.M eyer, and L.R.R am -M oham, J.Applied Phys. 89, 5815 (2001).
- ⁵⁴ Rolando Perez-A lvarez and Federico G arc a-M oliner, \Transfer M atrix, G reen Function and related techniques: Tools for the study of multilayer heterostructures", (ed. U niversitat Jaum e I, C astellon de la P lana, E spara), 2004.
- ⁵⁵ F.T isseur and K.M eerbergen, Society for Industrial and Applied M athem atics 43, 235 (2001).
- ⁵⁶ J.B.Xia, Phys. Rev. B 38, 8365 (1988).
- ⁵⁷ S.L.Chuang, Phys. Rev. B 40, 10379 (1989).
- ⁵⁸ R.W essel and M.A ltarelli, Phys. Rev. B 39, 12802 (1989).
- ⁵⁹ C.Y.Chao and S.L.Chuang, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7027 (1991).
- ⁶⁰ T.Kumar, M Cahay and K.Roenker, Phys.Rev.B 56, 4836(1997).