Uni ed Treatment of Quantum Fluctuation Theorem and Jarzynski Equality in terms of microscopic reversibility

T M onnai Department of Applied Physics, W aseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

There are two related theorems which hold even in far from equilibrium, namely uctuation theorem and Jarzynski equality. Fluctuation theorem states the existence of symmetry of uctuation of entropy production, while Jarzynski equality enables us to estimate the free energy change between two states by using irreversible processes. On the other hand, relationship between these theorems was investigated by Crooks[1] for the classical stochastic systems. In this letter, we derive quantum analogues of uctuation theorem and Jarzynski equality in terms of microscopic reversibility. In other words, the quantum analogue of the work by Crooks[1] is presented. Also, for the quasiclassical Langevin system, microscopically reversible condition is con rmed.

PACS numbers: 05.70 Ln,05.40 -a,05.30 -d

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery by Evans et al.[2], many types of uctuation theorem has been presented both for determ in istic [2][3][4] and stochastic system s[1] [5][6][7][8][9][10]. Experimental veri cation of uctuation theorem was also performed [11]. Though the systems, the denition of entropy production, and interpretations (such as distinction of transient or steady state) are dierent, uctuation theorems have a following universal form:

$$\frac{P(S=A)}{P(S=A)}'e^{\frac{S}{k_B}}$$
 (1)

Here, S is the entropy generated, and P (S = A) is the probability for S = A. A few quantum analogues of uctuation theorem has been proposed [12][14][15]. In [12] the probability for the energy change between initial and nal states is considered, so we need to perform observations twice, while in [14] the spectrum distribution of work operator is considered and we need to perform only one observation. In [15], probability for heat exchanged between two systems is considered, and we need to perform observations twice. The features of the two times measurement approach and the work operator approach are discussed in [21].

In 1997, Jarzynski presented nonequilibrium equality for free energy di erence (Jarzynski equality) [16] which is a generalization of the minimum work principle. Jarzynski equality states that the change of free energy F is calculated by taking the sample average of exponentiated work done on a system e^{W} along irreversible processes which has a following form:

$$F = \frac{1}{-loghe} \log W \quad i \tag{2}$$

Here, F is the free energy dierence, is the inverse temperature, W is the work done on a system along some irreversible process, and hi denotes the sample average. The remarkable feature of this equality is that the change of equilibrium quantity can be estimated by using the irreversible process. Jarzynski equality was experimentally con med by RNA stretching experiment[17]. Quantum analogues of Jarzynski equality was proposed by Mukamel[18] and by Yukawa[19]. Both quantum analogues employ the situation where a system is initially in them alequilibrium and obeys the canonical ensemble, and the time evolution is described by the time dependent Hamiltonian H (t). In [18] Jarzyski equality is derived in terms of master equation, while in [19] the density matrix approach is considered. Here we propose a derivation based on the microscopic reversibility (8).

For classical stochastic system s, C rooks[1] derived classical uctuation theorem and Jarzynski equality in terms of m icroscopic reversibility. In this letter, we give a uni ed derivation of the quantum analogue of uctuation theorem

and Jarzynski equality along the thought of Crooks[1]. The ratio of the probability distributions of entropy generated between time forward and time reversal process is considered, and we de ne the entropy production analogous to that of [1]. So, uctuation theorem derived here can be considered as a quantum extension of that of [1].

Our emphasis is on the uni ed treatment of these theorems in terms of microscopic reversibility. This condition is derived for a quasiclassical Langevin system in the appendix.

II. QUANTUM FLUCTUATION THEOREM

A. the case of therm ally isolated system

In this subsection, we derive a quantum analogue of uctuation theorem for a system which couples to an external driving, therm ally isolated and whose H am iltonian H (t) is invariant under time reversal. In order to perform this, we derive the relation (3). In [13] for the case of time independent system, the relation (3) was discussed, however, this relation is necessary for the derivation of uctuation theorem here, we show the derivation.

Let the unitary time evolution operator between t=0 and t=T be \hat{U}_T . And let the density matrix at t=0 be (0) and de ne (T) \hat{U}_T (0) \hat{U}_T^{γ} . Consider some arbitrary observables \hat{A} and \hat{B} whose eigenstates j_{a_n} i and j_{b_n} i form the normalized orthogonal complete set.

Then, we perform the measurements about \hat{A} at t = +0 and about \hat{B} at t = T. Firstly, we show the following relation.

$$\frac{P_{F}\left(j_{a_{n}}i \mid j_{a_{n}}i\right)}{P_{R}\left(j_{a_{n}}i \mid j_{a_{n}}i\right)} = e^{\log ha_{n}j\left(0\right)j_{a_{n}}i \log hb_{m}j\left(T\right)j_{a_{n}}i}$$

$$(3)$$

Here, P_F ($j_{a_n}i$! $j_{a_n}i$) is the probability that the forward process whose observed states at time t=0 and t=T are $j_{a_n}i$ and $j_{a_n}i$ occurs. is the antilinear time reversal operator, and P_R ($j_{a_n}i$! $j_{a_n}i$) is the probability that the time reversed process occurs, namely at t=0, we perform the measurement about \hat{B} and not the initial state as $j_{a_n}i$ according to the ensemble with the time reversed density matrix (T) and at t=T perform the measurement about \hat{A} and not the nal state as $j_{a_n}i$. We note that in [13] the average over P_F ($j_{0}i$! $j_{T}i$) of the logarithm of the ratio $\frac{P_F(j_{0}i!,j_{T}i)}{P_R(j_{T}i!,j_{0}i)}$ is shown to be equal to the microcanonical entropy change in the case $j_{0}i$ and $j_{T}i$ are macro states provided the Ham iltonian is time independent.

The derivation of (3) is as follows. Because the probability we not the initial state as j_{n} i is h_{n} j (0) j_{n} i, one has

$$\frac{P_{F}(\beta_{n}1 : \beta_{m}1)}{P_{R}(\beta_{n}1 : \beta_{m}1)} = \frac{h_{n}j(0)\beta_{n}i\beta_{m}jU_{T}\beta_{n}i\beta}{h_{m}j(T)\beta_{m}i\beta_{m}jU_{T}\beta_{m}i\beta} = e^{\log h_{n}j(0)\beta_{n}i\log h_{m}j(T)\beta_{m}i)}$$
(4)

Here, as mentioned above, \hat{U}_T is the unitary time evolution operator and its time reversal is \hat{U}_T , express that antilinear operator acts to the left, and we used the relation for unitary operator h_n \hat{U}_T $\hat{U}_$

$$\begin{array}{lll} ha_n \ j & \hat{U}_T & ja_n \ i \\ = \lim_{N \ : \ 1} ha_n \ j & \hat{U}_1 \hat{U}_2 \ : \hat{U}_N & jn \ i \\ = hb_m \ j\hat{T}_T \ ja_n \ i \end{array} \tag{5}$$

Here, $\hat{U_k}$ denotes the unitary time evolution operator between time $\frac{k-1}{N}T$ and $\frac{k}{N}T$.

Then one has the quantum analogue of uctuation theorem for the system without heat bath as shown below. We do not a counterpart of the entropy production S analogous to the classical entropy production do not in [1].

O fcourse this de nition of entropy production depends on the choice of the observables \hat{A} and \hat{B} . The state is projected into other basis by measurement and dierent ways of measurements may cause dierent entropy productions, unlike

in classical system where all observables commute with each other and the value of the entropy production is unique. We note that S is clearly considered as entropy production when these observables diagonalize the density matrices (0) and (T) respectively. For example, when the system is in equilibrium at initial and naltime and we choose A and B as H am iltonian, this condition is satisfied. We treat this case in the section V. In such cases, S is considered as entropy production in the sense of [1], namely S $k_B \log_f + k_B \log_i \frac{Q}{T_B}$. Here, $i_{i=f}$ is probability density of initial/naltimes, Q is the heat transferred into the system from the heat bath, T_B is the temperature of the heat bath. In comparison to the diagonal representation of von Neumann entropy $S = Tr(\log_f f) = \frac{Q}{T_B}$ is the entropy production of the heat bath. From the assumption that the system is thermally isolated, heat transferred to the system Q is 0. Then, one obtains a quantum analogue of uctuation theorem:

Here, P_F (S) (P_R (S)) is the probability that the counterpart of entropy production along the forward (backward) process is S.

B. the system with heat bath

In this subsection, we consider a system coupled to a heat bath whose total H am iltonian is H (t) = H $_{\rm S}$ (t) + H $_{\rm B}$ + H $_{\rm i}$. Here, H $_{\rm S}$ (t), H $_{\rm B}$, and H $_{\rm i}$ are the H am iltonian of system, heat bath and interaction respectively. Through out this subsection, we choose and B as system H am iltonian H $_{\rm S}$ (0) and H $_{\rm S}$ (T) respectively. And we denote the n'th eigenvalue of H $_{\rm S}$ (t) as E $_{\rm n}$ (t) and corresponding eigenvector as jn;ti. We restrict ourselves to the system where the m icroscopic reversible condition is satisfied.

$$\frac{P_F (j_1;0i! j_m;Ti)}{P_R (j_m;Ti! j_1;0i)} = e^{Q}$$
(8)

Here, P_F (\dot{p} ;0i! \dot{m} ;Ti) denotes the conditional probability that the system is initially in the energy level \dot{p} ;0i and after some duration time T \dot{p} jumps to the level \dot{p} ; Ti. The distinction of time forward and reversed processes is the same as previous section. Q is the heat absorbed by the heat bath. This relation plays an essential role in [1] for the derivation of Fluctuation Theorem . Also in quantum system, there are many situations where the microscopic reversibility does hold. In appendix, as an physically important system which satis es this relation, we con m this microscopic reversibility for the so-called quasiclassical Langevin system [23]. The rest of this section is devoted for the derivation of uctuation theorem for the system with the heat bath in terms of the relation (8). The total probability P_F^{Tot} (\dot{p} ;0i! \dot{p} ;7i) that the system is initially in \dot{p} ;0i and after some duration T found in \dot{p} ; Ti is given as the product of initial state probability and conditional probability.

$$\frac{P_{F}^{Tot}(\dot{\mathfrak{p}};0i! \dot{\mathfrak{p}};Ti)}{P_{R}^{Tot}(\dot{\mathfrak{p}};Ti! \dot{\mathfrak{p}};0i)} = \frac{\ln;0j_{s}(0)\dot{\mathfrak{p}};0i}{\ln;Tj_{s}(T)\dot{\mathfrak{p}};Ti} e^{Q} = e^{\frac{S}{k_{B}}}$$

$$\frac{S}{k_{B}} \quad loghn;0j_{s}(0)\dot{\mathfrak{p}};0i \quad loghm;Tj_{s}(T)\dot{\mathfrak{p}};Ti \quad Q: \tag{9}$$

Here, Q is the heat transferred to the system from the heat bath, and de ned the reduced density matrix $_{\rm S}$ (t) Tr $_{\rm B}$ ($_{\rm Tot}$) as a system density matrix .

Then one has quantum analogue of uctuation theorem for the system with a heat bath

$$\begin{split} &P_{F} \text{ (S)} & \underset{n,m}{\text{ }} P_{F}^{\text{Tot}} \text{ (jn;0i! } \text{ jn ;Ti) } \text{ (} \frac{S}{k_{B}} \text{ (loghn;0j_s(0)jn;0i } \text{ loghm;Tj_s(T)jn;Ti } \text{ Q))} \\ &= e^{\frac{S}{k_{B}}} \underset{n,m}{\text{ }} P_{R}^{\text{Tot}} \text{ (jn ;Ti! } \text{ jn;0i) } \text{ (} \frac{S}{k_{B}} \text{ + (loghm;Tj_s(T)jn;Ti } \text{ loghn;0j_s(0)jn;0i+ Q))} \\ &P_{R} \text{ (S)} e^{\frac{S}{k_{B}}} \text{ :} \end{split}$$

This relation corresponds to the classical uctuation theorem derived in [1].

In this section, we derive quantum Jarzynski equality in terms of microscopic reversibility (8).

At rst, we set up the fram ework on which we discuss here. We assume that the heat bath is large enough and interaction with the system is weak enough so that the following conditions do hold. As the system density matrix, we use the reduced density matrix $_s$ (t) Tr_B ($_{Tot}$ (t)). In order to discuss the free energy change between two equilibrium states, we require that the density matrices of the system $_s$ (0) and $_s$ (T) are canonical distribution at the same temperature. We set observables \hat{A} and \hat{B} as the system Ham iltonian H_s (0) and H_s (T) as previous section and denote the eigenstate of H_s (0) and H_s (T) as \hat{D} (T) as \hat{D} (T) as \hat{D} (T). Then

Here, Z_1 and Z_2 are the partition functions for S_1 (0) and S_2 (T). Therefore, the relation (9) becomes as

$$\frac{P_{R}^{T \text{ ot}}(\dot{n};0i! \dot{m};Ti)}{P_{R}^{T \text{ ot}}(\dot{m};Ti! \dot{n};0i)} = \frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{1}} e^{(\text{lm};T\dot{H}_{s}(T)\dot{m};Ti \, \text{ln};0\dot{H}_{s}(0)\dot{n};0i \, Q)} = e^{(E_{m}(T) \, E_{n}(0) \, Q) \, F)}$$
(12)

Here, F $\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}$ is the change of Helm holtz free energy. We note that W E_m (T) E_n (0) Q is considered as work externally done on the system . So one has

$$\frac{P_{F}^{Tot}(\dot{p};0i! \dot{m};Ti)}{P_{R}^{Tot}(\dot{m};Ti! \dot{p};0i)} = e^{(W F)} :$$
 (13)

Taking average of both sides, one has

he (W F)
$$i = {}_{n,m} P_F^{\text{Tot}}(jn;0i! jm;Ti) \frac{P_R^{\text{Tot}}(jn;Ti! jn;0i)}{P_F^{\text{Tot}}(jn;0i! jn;Ti)}$$

$$= 1: (14)$$

Here, h:i denotes the average over the probability P_F^{Tot} (jn;0i! jn;Ti). Therefore, one has

$$F = \frac{1}{-loghe} \quad i \tag{15}$$

This is the relation that we call the quantum Jarzynski equality.

In sum mary, we derived a quantum extension of Jarzynski equality and uctuation theorem in terms of microscopic reversibility (8). And this relation (8) is con med for quasiclassical Langevin system. This unied treatment is the quantum version of Crooks' derivation of uctuation theorem and Jarzynski equality for classical system.

A cknow ledgm ents

The author is grateful to Professors S.Tasaki and H.Hasegawa for fruitful discussions. This work is supported by JSPS Research Fellow ships for Young scientists, a Grant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research (C) from JSPS, by a Grant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research of Priority Areas "Control of Molecules in Intense Laser Fields" and 21st Century COE Program (Holistic research and Education Center for Physics of Self-Organization Systems) both from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

As an physically important system which satisfy the microscopic reversibility (8), we con much this relation for the so-called quasiclassical Langevin system [23]. This section is devoted for the derivation of relation (8) for the system which obeys the quasiclassical Langevin equation. For the classical Langevin system, microscopic reversibility was derived by Narayan and Dhar [7]. Here, we utilize their result for quantum Langevin system in semiclassical regime. To do this, it is most convenient to use the quantum noise theory by Gardiner [24]. Because a straightforward derivation of Langevin equation is known [24], we consider a system which interacts with a harmonic reservoir. Let the total Ham iltonian be

H (t) =
$$\frac{p^2}{2m}$$
 + V (q; (t)) + $\frac{1}{2}$ d ((p q)² + !²q²) (A1)

Here, q;p are the canonical coordinates of system and q;p are those of heat bath. (t) in the potential term is the control parameter corresponding to the external agent. Note that for simplicity, we consider the coupling between position and momentum, this is equivalent to the position-position coupling, which might be rather natural form. The system is assumed to be initially uncorrelated to the heat bath and the initial density operator of the heat bath B is assumed to be canonical. ((0) = $\frac{1}{2}$ B, $\frac{1}{2}$ B = $\frac{1}{2}$ C = $\frac{1}{2}$

$$Tr_s(Y(t)_s) = Tr_s(Y(t))_B$$
 (A2)

The equation of motion for (t) (adjoint equation) is given as

$$_{(t)} = A_0 (t) + (t)A_1 (t)$$
 (A3)

where A_0 (t) $\frac{1}{z}$ [H $_s$; (t)] + $\frac{1}{2z}$ [[g_t (t)] + $_t$ q] , A_1 (t) $\frac{1}{z}$ [g_t ; (t)] and (t) (t) $\frac{1}{2}$ [(t); (t)] . (t) $\frac{1}{2}$ [(t);

h[(t); (
0
)], $i = \frac{2^{-}}{2^{-}}$ d!! $oth(~!) cos! (t t^{0})$; (A 4)

where the average h:::i Tr_B (::: $_B$) denotes the average over the bath variables. This adjoint equation is rewritten in the form of K ram ers equation. Suppose the W igner function corresponding to (t) is W (q;p;t) $\frac{r}{2}$ if (t) if $\frac{r}{2}$ is $\frac{r}{2}$. Then one has

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{p}{m} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (V^{0}(x; (t)) + \frac{p}{m} (t)) \right) W + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{i^{2}}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{\partial^{2n+1}}{\partial x^{2n+1}} V^{2n+1}(x; (t)) \right) W$$
 (A 5)

Due to the associative nature of (t), the rst term of this equation is equivalent to the following c-number quasiclassical Langevin equation. Note that up to 0 (~) the second term is negligible and further more, this approximation becomes better in the large friction limit.

$$\underline{x} = \frac{p}{m}$$

$$\underline{p} = V^{0}(x;) \qquad \frac{p}{m} + \sim (t)$$

Here \sim (t) is the c-number stochastic process whose all the moments are equal to that of (t) respect to the thermal average.

$$h\sim(t)\sim(t^0)i=Tr_B((t)(t^0)_B)$$
 etc: (A 6)

In particular, under the de nition of therm al noise operator (t) and the assumption that $_{\rm B}$ is canonical, we can show that $^{\sim}$ (t) is G aussian process. Thus the mean and variance are sulcient to determ ine the stochastic feature of $^{\sim}$ (t).

$$\begin{array}{ll} h_{\sim}(t)i = 0 \\ h_{\sim}(t) \sim (t^{0})i = -\frac{Z_{1}}{U} \\ \end{array}$$

$$d! ! \infty th (\sim!) \infty s! (t t^{0})$$

We derived quusiclassical Langevin equation, and then we can use the result of Narayan and Dhar[7] to obtain the relation (8). From the Gaussian nature of \sim (t), one has the probability functional P_{F=R} [\sim (t)] for each realization of \sim (t)

$$\begin{split} P_{F=R} \left[\sim (t) \right] &= C \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} \, {}^{R}_{t} \, ds} \, {}^{R}_{t} \, ds^{0} \sim (s) \, (\frac{-}{u} \, {}^{R}_{0} \, d! \, ! \, coth \, (\, \sim ! \,) \, cos! \, (s \, s^{\, 0}))^{-1} \sim (s^{\, 0}) \\ &= C \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} \, {}^{R}_{0} \, ds} \, {}^{R}_{0} \, ds^{0} \sim (s) \, (\frac{-}{2} \, (s \, s^{\, 0}) + O \, (\sim^{\, 2})) \, (\tilde{s}^{\, 0}) \end{split}$$

A $(s;s^0)^{-1}$ denote the inverse of A $(s;s^0)$ as a kernel. Here the distinction of time forward and reverse F=R means that the time reversal of the control parameter (t) is also considered. As mentioned above, we consider up to 0 (~) (sem i-classical regime) and thus the 0 (~) term is omitted. Then after the same discussion of [7], one has the following relation.

$$\frac{P_{F} (q_{f}; p_{f}; p_{i}; p_{i})}{P_{R} (q_{i}; p_{i}; p_{f}; p_{f})} = e^{-(H_{s}(q_{f}; p_{f}; (t)) H_{s}(q_{i}; p_{i}; (0)) W)}$$
(A7)

Here, $P_{F=R}$ $(q_f; p_f; p_i; p_i)$ is the probability that the initial and nal states for the quasiclassical Langevin equation are $(q_i; p_i)$ and $(q_f; p_f)$ respectively. $H_s(q; p_f; (t)) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q; (t))$ is the system Hamiltonian at time t and $W = \int_0^1 ds \frac{\theta}{\theta} V(q; (s)) - (s)$ is the work externally done through the control parameter (t). Then we choose the initial and nal points of the phase space according to the initial and nal Wigner functions. And the probability that the initial and nal states are p_i 0 and p_i 1 is calculated as

Here, $q(t;q_i;p_i)$ and $p(t;q_i;p_i)$ are the solution of the quasiclassical Langevin equation with the initial condition $(q(0);p(0)) = (q_i;p_i)$ and hi denotes the average over \sim (t). W $_n$ $(q_i;p_i;0)$ and W $_m$ $(q_i;p_i;T)$ are the initial and m nal W igner functions. In order to utilize the result for the classical system (A7), we note that the W igner function is very

localized in sem iclassical regime, (in fact for the harm onic potential case is proportional to $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^2}{km}+\frac{p}{km}q^2)}$ where k is the strength of the potential). Therefore one may take a following view. Only the neighborhood of energy surfaces $H(q_i;p_i;(0))=E_n(0)$ and $H(q_f;p_f;(T))=E_m(T)$ does main contribution to the integration above. The work done on the system W for long enough time duration is nearly constant irrespective of the initial condition q(0);p(0). And the exponent of (A7) is within this approximation independent from the path \sim (t). Thus one can nally con more than icroscopic reversibility (8) for quasiclassical Langevin system.

$$\frac{P_{F}(\dot{n};0i! \dot{m};Ti)}{P_{R}(\dot{m};Ti! \dot{n};0i)} = e^{(E_{m}(T)E_{n}(0)W+O(\sim))} \cdot e^{Q}$$
(A 9)

Here the rst equality results from the above view point and the 0 (~) deviation is caused by quasiclassical approximation due to the symmetrization procedure such as qp! $\frac{1}{2}$ (qp + pq) and this result is enough for the purpose of derivation of (8).

^[1] G E.C moks, Phys.Rev.E 60.2721(1999)

^[2] D J. Evans, E G D. Cohen and G P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71. 2401. (1993)

^[3] G G allavotti and E G D C ohen, PhysRev Lett.74,2694 (1995)

^[4] C Jarzynski, J. Stat Phys. 98.77 (2000)

^[5] JK urchan, JP hysA 31 3719 (1998)

^[6] J.L. Lebow itz, H. Spohn, J. Stat Phys. 95,333 (1999)

^[7] O nuttom Narayan and Abhishek Dhar, JPhysA Math Gen 37.63-76 (2004)

^[8] Takaaki Monnai, JPhysA Math Gen 37 L75-79 (2004)

^[9] G E.Crooks, PhysRevE.61, 2361-2366(2000)

^[10] Pierre Gaspard, J.Chem Phys.120,19 (2004)

^[11] G M W ang, E M Sevick, E M ittag, D J. Searles and D J Evans, Phys R ev Lett.89.050601 (2002)

^[12] Jorge Kurchan, e-print cond-mat/0007360v2

^[13] I.C. allens, W. D. e. Roeck, T. Jacobs, C. M. aes, K. N. etocny, Physica D. 187, 383-391 (2004)

^[14] T M onnai, S. Tasakie-print cond-m at/0308337

^[15] Christopher Jarzynski and Daniel K. Wojcik, Phys Rev Lett. 92.230602 (2004)

- [16] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 2690.(1996); J. Stat. Phys. 95.367 (1999)
- [17] J.Liphardt, S.Dum ont, S.B.Sm ith, I.Tinoco, Jr., and C.Bustam ante Science 296, 1832-1835 (2002)
- [18] ShaulM ukam el, PhysRevLett.90(17).170604.(2003)
- [19] Satoshi Yukawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69. 2367-2370 (2000)
- [20] J.L. Lebow itz, Physica A 263.516-527 (1999)
- [21] A E A llahverdyan and ThM Neuwenhuizen, e-print cond-m at/0408697
- [22] G W .Ford, M .K ac and P.M azur, J.M ath. Phys. 6.504(1965)
- [23] C W Gardiner, IBM JRESDEVELOPVOL 32 NO 1 (1988)
- [24] C W G ardiner, Quantum Noise, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991) Chap 3,4.
- [25] R G raham and H Haken, Z Physik 243 289-302 (1971)