The Single H istogram M ethod and the Quantum H arm onic O scillator: A ccuracy Lim its

W F.O quendo and JD.M $unoz^y$

Grupo Simulacion de Sistemas Fsicos, Departamento de Fsica

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Carrera 30 No. 45 - 03, Ed. 404, Of. 348, Bogota, Colombia.

(Dated: 14th April 2024)

In a recent work, M. Troyer, F. A let and S.W essel [1] proposed a way to extend histogram m ethods to quantum systems in the W orld Line Q uantum M onte C arlo (W LQMC) form ulation. The strategy, also proposed in [2], allows to compute quantum averages on a narrow temperature range from a single M onte C arlo run at xed temperature. This is achieved by xing N, the number of temporal divisions in the Trotter-Suzuki expansion of W LQMC, and by changing = 1= (N k_B T). In this work we apply this strategy to construct a single histogram M onte C arlo m ethod for a canonical ensemble of one-dimensional quantum harm onic oscillators and we explore its accuracy limits. We obtain that xing N imposses a limit of m inim al temperature to the properly perform ance of the m ethod, which is $T_{m \ in} = 1.9$ (2) N $^{0.80\,(6)}$ in our example. This limit is a consequence of the fact that the Trotter-Suzuki expansion fails for large values, and, therefore, should be taken into account in all applications of this histogram m ethod for quantum systems.

PACS num bers: 02.70.Ss, 02.70.It, 02.70.Uu, 05.10.-a, 05.10Ln Keywords: Quantum M onte Carlo; H istogram M ethod; C om putational Physics

IN T R O D U C T IO N

Histogram Monte Carlo methods [2, 3, 4] are powerful and robust techniques to investigate classical system s, both with discrete or continuous degrees of freedom . Such m ethods have m any advantages over traditional M onte C arlo ones. For exam ple, one can obtain m ean values of therm odynam ical quantities over a broad range of tem peratures by using data from a single run. A lso it is possible to compute therm odynam ic potentials, like the free energy, that are not accessible by other methods. All these methods bases on de ning a density of states, let say g(E), i.e. the num ber or states with the sam e energy. O noe obtained, q (E) allow s to com pute averages like the m ean energy and the speci c heat at any desired tem perature. Therefore, the problem of averaging over all states is transform ed into a problem of counting to nd g(E). Dierent histogram methods dier in the way they obtain the density of states.

There are histograms methods for analysis and histogram s m ethods for sam pling. Som e exam ples of the rst ones are the Single Histogram Method (SHM), rst proposed by Z.W. Salsburg in 1959 [5] and popularized by A.M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen in 1988 [6]; the Broad Histogram Method (BHM) formulated in 1996 by P.C.M. de Oliveira, T.J.P.Penna and H.J.Hermann [7], and the Transition Matrix Monte Carlo method (TMMC) proposed by J.S.W ang, T.K. Tay and R.H. Swendsen in 1998 [8, 9], which reduces to BHM when a single energy jump is chosen. In the second class we nd, for example, the Multicanonical Method, proposed by B.A.Berg[10] (also formulated by J.Lee as the Entropic Sam pling m ethod [11]), the Flat-H istogram method of W ang and Lee [12, 13] and the W ang-Landau m ethod [14]. These m ethods take sam ples uniform ly distributed on the energy axis.

Recently, M. Troyer, F. A let and S. W essel proposed a way to extend histogram M onte C arlo m ethods to canonicalem sem bles of quantum systems, in the world line quantum M onte Carlo (W LQMC) formulation [1, 15]. In contrast with previous proponsals [16, 17], this idea, also proposed in [2] resembles the fullpower of the clasical histogram methods, since it is able, for instance, to calculate mean values on a narrow tem perature range from a single M onte C arlo run at a xed tem perature (SHM). The idea bases on the observation that interactions in time and space in the equivalent classical system of W LQMC are weighted by the tem perature in di erent ways, as we will illustrate below. Thus, it is useful to de ne two new quantities, k_1 and k_2 , which sum marize spatial and tem poral interactions, and also a density of states $q(k_1; k_2)$ on them. Such density can be computed by using any histogram method and allows to obtain averages at different tem peratures from a single run. This strategy has been used by Troyer, A let and W essel to investigate the three-dimensional quantum Heisenberg model [1], with excellent results.

In this work we illustrate this strategy by studying a much more simple system : a canonical ensemble of noncoupled one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillators. By doing so, interesting aspects of the method like its range of accuracy and its dependence on the simulation parameters will be explored. The article is organized as follows. First, the W orld Line Q uantum M onte C arb method is revised. Next, the quantities k_1, k_2 and $g(k_1;k_2)$ for a quantum single-particle system are de ned, and it is shown how this density of states allows to compute expectation values for the kinetic, potential and total energy operators at any desired tem perature. Then, we im – plement the SHM for the one-dimensional quantum harm onic oscillator, and we investigate how the tem perature range of accuracy is a ected by the number of divisions on the axis of imaginary time. Finally, we summarize our main results and conclusions.

THE W ORLD LINE QUANTUM MONTE CARLO METHOD

The W orld Line Quantum M onte Carlo m ethod (W LQMC) was formulated in 1982 by J. E. Hirsch, R.L.Sugar, D.J.Scalapino and R.B lankenbecler [18]. It has been used to study one particle systems as well as m any particle systems, like interacting bosons and system of strong correlated fermions. The W LQMC em – ploys path integrals to change the partition function of a quantum system to the partition function of an equivalent classical system in one higher dimension [19]. To illustrate the m ethod, let us consider a quantum system with a separable H am iltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}(\hat{P}) + \hat{V}(\hat{X}) = \frac{\hat{P}^{2}}{2m} + \hat{V}(\hat{X}); \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{V}(\hat{X})$ and $\hat{T} = \hat{P}^2 = 2m$ are the potential and kinetic energy operators respectively. In the canonical ensemble, the partition function is [20]

$$Z = Tr[e^{H}]; \qquad (2)$$

where $=\frac{1}{k_B T}$ is the imaginary time, \hat{H} is given by (1) and k_B is the Boltzm ann constant.

Since \hat{X} and \hat{P} do not commute, the exponential in (2) cannot be separated into a product of exponentials. Therefore, the imaginary time is written as = N, and a Trotter{Suzuki approximation is used [21, 22, 23] to write the partition function (2) as

$$Z = \lim_{\substack{N \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2} \ 0}} Z_{tr} = \lim_{\substack{N \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2} \ 0}} Tr[(e^{-\hat{T}} e^{-\hat{V}(\hat{X})})^{N}]: (3)$$

To compute (3), the trace is expressed as a sum over a complete set of position eigenstates, and a new completeness relation is introduced between each of the N factors in that expression, obtaining

$$Z_{tr} = \frac{m}{2} D_{x} \exp[H(x)];$$
 (4)

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{1}^{N_{\mathbf{x}}} V(\mathbf{x}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2} m \int_{1}^{N_{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{1+1}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1+1}}{\mathbf{x}_{1+1}} \right)^{2}; \quad (5)$$

where $D = dx_0 dx_1$ Ndx. The partition function for the quantum system is now expressed as a multidimensional integral over classical variables. The expression (5) can be interpreted as the energy of a chain of N classical oscillators with potential energy V (x) coupled through springs of constant $k = m = {}^2$. In this case, $x = fx_0 \, ; x_1 \, ; : : : ; x_N \, _1 \, g \ w \, ill \, denote \, a \, \, speci \, c \, \, con \, \, guration \, of this classical system .$

By following the same procedure described above, one obtains

$$h\hat{V} i_{tr} = \frac{R}{\frac{D_{x} V (x_{0}) \exp[-H (x)]}{P}};$$
 (6)

$$\hat{\text{hr}}_{tr} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{2^2} \frac{R}{2} \frac{D x_{R} (x_{0} - x_{1})^{2} \exp[-H_{0}(x_{0})]}{D x \exp[-H_{0}(x_{0})]}; \quad (7)$$

for the expectation values of the potential and kinetic energy operators respectively, where x_0 and x_1 can be replaced by any pair $(x_i; x_{i+1})$ of neighboring classical oscillators. For the total energy we have hf $i_{tr} = hf i_{tr} + h\hat{V} (\hat{X}) i_{tr}$.

Expressions (6) and (7) show that the expectation values for quantum operators are now expressed as multidim ensional integrals over the conguration space of the classical system. This integral can be computed by using standard M onte C arb methods. For example, we can use the M etropolis algorithm to compute the total energy average hf i_{tr} as follows. First, an oscillator i in conguration x is chosen at random and its position x_i is changed to a new value $x_i + r$, where r is a uniform ly distributed random number in [1;1]. Next, this new conguration x^0 is accepted with rate A (x^0 jx) = minfl; e $(H(x^0) H(x))$ g. Finally, energy averages are computed as arithmetic means over the sample set.

The tem perature can be xed by varying either N or , since = $1=k_B T = N$. When N is xed and varies to x the tem perature, errors in the Trotter{Suzukiapproxim ation are greater at low tem peratures.

H ISTOGRAM METHODS FOR QUANTUM SYSTEMS IN THE W LQMC FORM ULATION

For a classical system in the canonical ensemble, the average energy at temperature T is given by

$$hE i_{T} = \frac{P}{\frac{x}{P} E_{x} e^{\frac{E_{x}}{k_{B}T}}}_{x} e^{\frac{E_{x}}{k_{B}T}} = \frac{P}{\frac{E}{P} g(E) E e^{\frac{E}{k_{B}T}}}; \quad (8)$$

where g(E) is the number of congurations with the same energy E. If g(E) is known, one can use 8 to obtain hE i_T as a continuous function of temperature. So, the problem of summing over all states has been transformed into a problem of counting to nd g(E).

In the classical SHM, samples are taken with probability proportional to $e^{E_x=k_B T}$ (canonical distribution) at xed temperature T, and a histogram of visits V (E) is accumulated as the number of samples with energy E. From such histogram, the density of states g(E) is

approxim ated as

g(E) '
$$\frac{V(E)}{N} \exp [E = k_{\rm B} T];$$
 (9)

where N is the total number of samples. By replacing (9) in (8), we can obtain averages at temperatures $T^0 \in T$.

To extend histogram m ethods to quantum system s, one has to de ne a density of states. As proposed in [1, 2], this is achieved by de ning two quantities in the W LQM C classical system, which resum as the interactions in space and time directions:

$$k_{1} = \bigvee_{l=0}^{N_{X} 1} V(x_{1}); \quad k_{2} = \bigvee_{l=0}^{N_{X} 1} (x_{1} x_{l+1})^{2}; \quad (10)$$

with periodic boundary conditions. The partition function (4) and the averages (6) and (7) can be written in term s of k_1 and k_2 as

$$Z_{tr} = \frac{m}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{N=2} dk_1 dk_2 g(k_1; k_2) e^{-H(k_1; k_2)}; \quad (11)$$

$$h\hat{V} i_{tr} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\frac{R}{R} \frac{dk_1 dk_2 g(k_1; k_2)k_1 e^{-H(k_1; k_2)}}{dk_1 dk_2 g(k_1; k_2) e^{-H(k_1; k_2)}}; \quad (12)$$

$$h\hat{T}i_{tr} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{m}{2N^{-2}} \frac{\frac{R}{p}dk_{1}dk_{2} g(k_{1};k_{2})k_{2}e^{-H-(k_{1};k_{2})}}{dk_{1}dk_{2} g(k_{1};k_{2})e^{-H-(k_{1};k_{2})}};$$
(13)

where H $(k_1;k_2) = k_1 + m k_2=2^2$, and $g(k_1;k_2)$ is the number of con gurations $x = fx_0; x_1; :::; x_{N-1}$ g of the classical system with same values of k_1 and k_2 for xed N.

Expressions (11) { (13) are continuous equivalent to those of classical systems (8). We can use any histogram method to compute $g(k_1;k_2)$. Let us use the SHM to illustrate this point. First, we x the simulation tem – perature $T_{sim} = 1$ -N sim by choosing N and the initial sim . Then, samples are taken with probabilities proportional to e H(x) by using the M etropolis algorithm described above, and an histogram of visits V ($k_1;k_2$) is cum ulated as the number of samples with values k_1 and k_2 . Next, the density of states $g(k_1;k_2)$ is estimated as

$$g(k_1;k_2)' \frac{V(k_1;k_2)}{N} \exp[H(k_1;k_2)]:$$
 (14)

THE SHM FOR THE ONE-D IM ENSIONAL QUANTUM HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

The system we chose is a canonical ensemble of noncoupled one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillators.



Figure 1: A verage potential energy for the one{dim ensional quantum harm onic oscillator at various simulation tem peratures.



Figure 2: A verage kinetic energy for the one{dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator at various simulation temperatures.

For this system, k_1 takes the form $k_1 = \frac{1}{2}m !^{2} \prod_{l=0}^{P} x_1^2$, with m the mass and ! the frequency. The exact expressions for the expectation values are [20, 24]

$$h\hat{H} = 2h\hat{T} = 2h\hat{V} = -! \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{-!} 1} :$$
 (15)

Figures 1, 2 and 3, shows the expectation values of the potential, kinetic and total energy, at three di erent simulation tem peratures, T_{sim} . The parameters for each simulation are shown in table I. In all cases, the total number of sam ples was 60000, $k_B = 1$, 30000 m css were discarded before sam pling, and 10 m css were perform ed between successive sam ples. To m ake statistic, the whole procedure was run ten times with di erent seeds of the random number generator.

Errors in the Trotter{Suzukiaproxim ation are proportional to 2 [19]. Since the SHM xesN and changes the

Table I: Simulation Parameters

N	sim	T_{sim}	CPUtime(s)
40	0.25	0.10	412,294
30	0.09	0.37	312.607
10	0.10	1.00	117.532



Figure 3: A verage total energy for the one{dim ensional quantum harm onic oscillator at various simulation tem peratures.

tem perature by variying , the errors will be greater for lower tem peratures. There is a minimum tem perature, $T_{m\ in}$, where the simulation results includes the theorical ones between the error bars. Such a $T_{m\ in}$ is a function of N . By looking at the total energy, we found for the SHM

$$T_{m in} = 1:9(2)N^{0:80(6)}$$
 : (16)

Following the same procedure for the W LQMC we found

$$T_{m in} = 2:0(6)N^{0:81(6)}$$
: (17)

This two results are very similar and are plotted in Figure 4. They actually show that the minimum tem – perature in SHM is a consequence of the errors in the Trotter{Suzuki aproximation. This limit is important for the correct performance of the method and should be taken into account for all applications of histogram methods for quantum systems in the W LQMC formulation.

Increasing N ordecreasing gives exacter results at low tem peratures, but at price of longer C P U times, just because correlation times increases with decreasing [19]. Nevertheless, the use of SHM spares large amounts of C P U times. For example, it takes 118 secons a Pentium 4 at 1.6 G Hz to compute h \hat{H}_{1T} over the whole temperature range 0:7 T 1:7, at simulation temperature $T_{sim} = 1:0$, in contrast with the 35 sec of a single point by W LQMC.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have employed the extension of histogram methods for quantum systems in the W LQMC formulation proposed in [1, 2] to the case of a canonical ensemble of one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillators. By doing so, we have explored the ranges of accuracy of the method as a function of the number of divisions N in time direction. A ctually, since the method xes N and varies to change T, the corrections in the Trotter-Suzuki expansion xes a minimum temperature for the correct performance of the SHM. We



Figure 4: $T_{m \ in}$ as a function of N for both SHM and W LQMC in log log scale.

obtained that the m ethod is accurate for tem peratures above $T_{m\ in}=1.9\,(2)N^{-0.80\,(6)}$. That is corroborated by direct W LQMC simulations, equation 17. This $T_{m\ in}$ can be lowered by increasing N , but at cost of larger CPU times, because correlation times grow with decreasing . Perhaps SHM needs sm aller CPU times than W LQMC.

The de nitions of k_1 and k_2 and the density of states $g(k_1;k_2)$, expressions (10) and (14), allow to apply any histogram M onte C arlo m ethod to quantum systems in the W LQMC formulation, with all the power and advantages that histogram m ethods have deserve for classical ones. However, the restrictions founded here applies to all of them, because they relies on the fail of the T rotter-Suzuki expansion for low er values, and should be always taken into account. Further analysis, like the theoretical study of the errors in this m ethod, are interesting areas of future w ork.

The strategy of extending histogram m ethods to quantum systems in the W LQMC formulation is completely general, and is able to give accurate results for m any kinds of systems. W e expect that our work w ill be useful to the right use of the m ethod for m any systems in the future.

The authors would like to thank very specially R.T. Scalettar for introducing us to Quantum M onte Carlo and F. A let for useful discussions. A lso, J.D.M unoz thanks A.M uram atsu for creative discussions on the extension of histogram M onte C arlo m ethods for quantum system s. This research has been supported by the Bogota's R esearch D ivision of the N ational U niversity of C olom bia (D IB), through the grant 803755.

em ail at: woquendo@ gm ail.com

- $^{\rm Y}\,$ em ail at: jdm unozc@ unal.edu.co
- [L] M. Troyer, F. Alet, and S. W essel, Braz. Jour. of Phy. 34, 377 (2004).
- [2] J. D. Munoz, PhD. thesis, Universidad de Stuttgart (2001), published by Logos Verlag, Berlin.
- [3] K. Binder and D. Herrmann, Monte Carlo Simula-

- [4] D. Landau and K. Binder, A Guide to Monte Carlo Methods in Statistical Physics (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [5] Z.W. Salsburg, J.D. Jacobson, W. Fickett, and W.W. Wood, J.Chem. Phy. 30, 65 (1959).
- [6] A.M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2635 (1988).
- [7] P. de O liveira, T. Penna, and H. Herrm ann, Braz. J. of Physics 26, 677 (1996), also in arxiv:/cond-m at/9610041.
- [8] J. S. W ang, T. K. Tay, and R. H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 476 (1999), also in arxiv:/condm at/9809181 Version 1.
- [9] J.S.W ang and R.H. Swendsen, J.Stat.Phys.106, 245 (2002), also in arxiv:/cond-m at/0104418 Version 1.
- [10] B.A.Berg, Int.J.M od.Phy.C 3, 311 (1992).
- [11] J.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett 71, 211 (1993).
- [12] R.H.Swendsen, B.D. iggs, J.S.W ang, S.T.Li, C.Genovese, and J.B.Kadane, Int.J.M od.Phys.C 10, 1563 (1999).
- [13] J.S.W ang and L.W .Lee, Comp. Phys. Commu. 127, 131 (2000).

- [14] F.W ang and D.P.Landau, Phys.Rev.Lett.86, 2050
 (2001).
- [15] M. Troyer, S.W essel, and F.Alet, Phys, Rev. Lett. 90 (2003).
- [16] C. L. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1870 (1999), also in arxiv:/cond-m at/9808144 Version 1.
- [17] C.Yam aguchi, N.Kawashima, and Y.Okabe, p.6 (2003), arxiv:/cond-m at/0312645 Version 1.
- [18] J. E. Hirsch, R. L. Sugar, D. J. Scalapino, and R.Blankenbecler, Phys. Rev. B 26, 5033 (1982).
- [19] R.T.Scalettar, in NATO Science Series, edited by M.P. N ightingale and C.J.Um rigar (K luwer A cadem ic Publishers, 1999), vol. 525 of C: M athem atical and Physical Sciences.
- [20] L. E. Reichl, A Modern Course in Statistical Physics (John W iley & Sons, 1998), 2nd ed.
- [21] M .Suzuki, Phy.Lett.113A, 209 (1985).
- [22] H.F.Trotter, Proc.Am.Math.Soc.10, 545 (1959).
- [23] R.M.Fye, Phy.Rev.B 33, 6271 (1986).
- [24] F.Reif, Fundam entals of Statistical and Therm alPhysics (M ac G raw H ill, 1968), 2nd ed.