# Majority-Vote Modelon a Random Lattice

F.W.S.Lima<sup>1</sup>, U.L.Fulco<sup>1</sup>, and R.N.Costa Filho<sup>2</sup>

The stationary critical properties of the isotropic majority vote model on random lattices with quenched connectivity disorder are calculated by using M onte C aribosimulations and nite size analysis. The critical exponents and are found to be dierent from those of the Ising and majority vote on the square lattice model and the critical noise parameter is found to be  $q_c = 0.117 - 0.005$ .

PACS num bers: 05.70 Ln, 05.50 + q, 75.40 Mg, 02.70 Lq

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Random lattices play an important role in the description of idealized statistical geometry in a great variety of elds[1, 2, 3, 4]. Besides its potential to describe the topology in several condensed matter problems, the com parison of the universality class of systems between random and regular lattices is also the subject of intense research [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The random ness considered in these studies either by random variation of the coupling strengths, random deletion of bonds or sites, or by spatially correlated lattices. In particular, according to the Harris criterion [7] valid for the random -bond paradigm, random disorder is marginally important to the two-dim ensional Ising model since the specic heat critical exponent is = 0. However, this criterion could not be applied to lattices with a non-periodic coordination number. for these models, Luck [10] formulated a criterion to such cases. For example, Janke et al. [11] using the single-cluster M onte C arlo update algorithm [12], reweighting techniques [13] and size scaling analysis [14], simulate the Ising model in a two-dimensional Voronoy-Delaunay random lattice. They calculated the critical exponents and found that this random system belongs to the same universality class as the pure-two dimensional ferrom agnetic Ising m odel.

The Voronoi-Delaunay network is a type of disordered lattice exhibiting a random coordination number that varies from 3 to 1, depending on the number of sites. In addition, the distance r between nearest neighbors changes in a random way from site to site. Lima et al. used a two-dimensional Voronoi-Delaunay lattice to study the ferrom agnetic Ising model [15] and the Potts model [16]. As the bond length between the rst neighbors varies random ly from neighbor to neighbor, they considered a coupling factor decaying exponentially as:

$$J_{ij} = J_0 \exp(ar_{ij}) \tag{1}$$

where  $r_{ij}$  is the relative distance between sites i and j,  $J_0$  is a constant, and a 0 is a model parameter. In [15] they calculated the critical point exponents = , = and , and concluded that this random system belongs to the same universality class as the pure-two dimensional ferrom agnetic Ising model. In [16] they studied the three-state Potts model and found that critical exponents




FIG. 1: M agnetization m (q) as a function of the noise parameter q for several values of the system size N .

and are di erent from the respective exponents of the three-state P ottsm odelon a regular square lattice. However, a ratio = remains essentially the same. They also found numerical evidences that the speci cheat on this random system behave as a power-law for a=0 and as a logarithm ic divergence for a=0.5 and a=1.0.

It has been argued that nonequilibrium stochastic spin systems on regular square lattice with up-down symmetry fall in the universality class of the equilibrium Ising model [17]. This conjecture was found in severalmodels that do not obey detailed balance [18, 19, 20]. Campos et al. [21] investigated themajority-votemodelon smallword network by rewiring the two-dimensional square lattice. These smallworld networks, aside from presenting quenched disorder, also possess long-range interactions. They found that the critical exponents = and = are dierent from the Ising model and depend on the rewiring probability. However, it was not evident that the exponents change was due to the disordered nature of the network or due to the presence of long-range interactions.

Here, we analyze a relatively  $\sin ple$  nonequilibrium model with up-down sym m etry in a random lattice with quenched conectivity disorder (a = 0), namely the isotropic majority vote model [22]. Our main motivation

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ D epartam ento de F sica, Universidade Federal do Piau , 57072-970 Teresina, Piau , Brazil  $^{2}$ D epartam ento de F sica, Universidade Federal do Ceara, 60451-970 Fortaleza, Ceara, Brazil




FIG .2: Log-log plot of the m agnetization at  $q=q_c$  versus L. The solid line is the best twith slope =0:112(4).

is to investigate whether only the presence of quenched lattice disorder is capable ofm odifying the exponents = and = using the Voronoi-Delaunay random lattice. Our numerical results suggest that the critical exponents, in the stationary state, are dierent from those of the Ising model and the isotropic majority vote model on a square lattice. In what follow we will utilize Monte Carlo simulations and nite-size analysis.

### II. MODEL AND SIMULATION

For each point in a given set of points in a plane, we determ ine the polygonal cell that contains the region of space nearest to that point than any other. We considered two cells neighbors when they possess an extrem ity in common. From this Voronoi tessellation, we can obtain the dual lattice by the following procedure.

- (a) when two cells are neighbors, a link is placed between the two points located in the cells.
- (b) From the links, we obtain the triangulation of space that is called the D elaunay lattice.
- (c) The D elaunay lattice is dual to the Voronoi tessellation in the sense that its points correspond to cells, links to edges and triangles to the vertices of the Voronoi tessellation. We consider a two-dimensional Majority Vote model, on this Poissonian random lattice, dened [22, 23] by a set of \voters" or spins variables  $f_{ig}$  taking the values + 1 or 1, situated on every site of a Delaunay lattice with N sites and periodic boundary conditions, and evolving in time by single spin- ip like dynamics with a probability  $w_{ig}$  given by

$$w_{i}() = \frac{1}{2}[1 \quad (1 \quad 2q)_{i}S( \quad _{i+ \text{ delta}})] \quad (2)$$

where S(x) = sign(x) if  $x \in 0$ , S(x) = 0 if x = 0, and the sum runs over all nearest neighbors of i. In this




FIG. 3: Reduced fourth-order cum ulant U (q) as a function of q for several values of N . W ithin the accuracy of our data, all curves intersect at  $q_{\scriptscriptstyle C}=0.117$  (5). The value of U (q) at the intersection is U  $\,=\,0.61$  (2).

lattice, the coordination number varies locally between 3 and 1. The control parameter q plays the hole of temperature in equilibrium systems and measures the probability of aligning antiparallel to the majority of neighbors. For simplicity, the length of the system is dened




FIG. 4: Log-log plot of dU (q)=dq at  $q=q_c$  versus L. The solid line is the best twith slope 1==0.94 (6).

here in terms of the size of a regular lattice,  $L=N^{1=2}$ . We perform simulations for dierent lattice sizes  $N=2^i$ , where i varies from 8 to 14. For each size, we generated 50 random ly chosen lattice realization, where each simulation started with a random con guration of spins. From a given con guration, the next one was obtained as follows: (a) Choose a spin fig at random. (b) Generate a random number runiform ly distributed between zero and one. (c) Flip spin i when  $r < w_i$  (). In our

sim ulations,  $5 \times 10^4$  M onte C arlo steps were required for attaining the stationary state. A fler that, we estim ated the averages, for any lattice size, using  $10^5$  M onte C arlo steps.

We do not he variable m =  $\frac{P}{i=1}$  i=N. In particular, we were interested in the magnetization [22, 24], susceptibility and the reduced fourth-order cumulant:

$$m (q) = [\langle \dot{q} \dot{q} \dot{q} \rangle]_{av}$$
 (3)

$$(q) = N (m^2) < jm j > 2 l_{av}$$
 (4)

U (q) = [1 
$$\frac{\langle m^4 \rangle}{3 \langle jm j \rangle^2} l_{av}$$
 (5)

where < :::> stands for a therm odynam ics average and [:::]\_{av} square brackets for averages over the 50 realizations. We calculated the error bars from the uctuations among realizations. Note that these errors contain both, the average therm odynam ic error for a given realization and the theoretical variance for in nitely accurate therm odynam ic averages which is caused by the variation of the quenched random geometry of the 50 lattices.

These quantities are functions of the noise parameter q and obey the nite-size scaling relations

$$[\langle \dot{\eta}_{m} \dot{\gamma} \rangle]_{av} = L = f_{m} (x) [1 + :::];$$
 (6)

$$= L = f(x)[1 + ...];$$
 (7)

$$\frac{dU}{dq} = L^{1=} f_U (x) [1 + ...];$$
 (8)

where , , and , are the usual critical exponents,  $f_{\!\!\!\!1}\left(x\right)$  are the nite size scaling functions with

$$x = (q q_c)L^{1=} (9)$$

being the scaling variable, and the brackets [1 + :::] indicate corrections-to-scaling term s.

### III. RESULTS

In Fig. 1 the magnetization is shown as a function of the noise parameter (q) for several values of L. As can be noticed there is a phase transition from an ordered state (M  $_{\rm L}$  > 0) to a disordered state (M  $_{\rm L}$  0). This gure displays that for q > q. the magnetization disappears when larger values of L are considered, whereas it reaches a nite value for q < q. In Fig.2 a log-log plot of the magnetization at q = q. versus L is shown. The ratio between the critical exponent = = 0:112 0:004 is the slope of the straight line tted to the data points. W ithin the numerical accuracy, we found that these exponents




FIG. 5: Susceptibility  $\ \, (q) \,$  as function of q for several values of N .

are distinct from the exponents characterizing the class of universality of the equilibrium Ising model [22].

To determ inate the critical point the reduced fourth-order cum ulant U is plotted against q. In Fig. 3, the critical point  $q_{\rm c}$  is estimated when all curves, for different sizes L, intersect in the same point. We get  $q_{\rm c}=0.117-0.005$  and U = 0.61-0.02. The value of U is, considering the error bar, the same as the one obtained for Ising modelon a square lattice with periodic boundary conditions U = 0.611-0.001. A nother way of getting the critical point is through the relation of scale  $q_{\rm max}$  (L) =  $q_{\rm c}$  + aL  $^{1-}$ , where we get  $q_{\rm c}=0.117-0.003$  using = 1.06.

To obtain the critical exponent , we calculated numerically U  $^{\circ}$  (q) = dU (q)=dq at the critical point for each value of L . The results are in good agreement with the scaling relation (7). Then, we plotted lnU  $^{\circ}$  versus lnL, as displayed in Fig 4. The straight line represents the best to the data points. The slope gives 1= = 0:94 0:06, which corresponds to = 1:06 0:08.

In Fig. 5, we have ln (q) as a function of q for several values of L. In order to study the universality of the model, the ratio = was estimated from the log-log plot of the value of the susceptibility ( $q_{\text{c}}$ ) versus L. We estimated the critical exponent = = 1.51 0.04 from the best tof data points, as displayed in Fig. 6.

## IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the majority-vote model on Voronoi-Delaunay random lattices with periodic boundary conditions. These lattices possess natural quenched disorder in their connections. We veried whether only this type of disorder is signicant to obtain critical exponents dierent of those found for this model in the regular lattice (that have the same exponents of the




FIG .6: Log-log plot of the susceptibility at  $q_c$  versus L . From it we estim at the critical exponent = 1:51(4) as the best tof data points.

Ising model in two dimensions). We measure the exponents = , = and  $\cdot$ . The best tofthese exponents

nents provided =  $1.06 \cdot 0.08$ , = =  $1.51 \cdot 0.04$ , and = 0:1120.004 and U = 0.61 0.02. The critical exponents = and = are di erent from the exact values of the Ising model and majority-vote model on a regular square lattice [22]. Our results are in agreem ent with the results obtained by Campos et al. [21] that studied this same model on a small world network presenting quenched disorder and long-range interactions. They found that the critical exponents depends on the shortcuts introduced in the network. In summary we showed here that the presence of quenched connectivity disorder is enough to alter the exponents = and = of the pure model and therefore that disorder is a relevant term to such non-equilibrium phase-transition. However, the critical value of the forth-order cum ulant rem ains the sam e as that of the pure m odel.

#### V. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Marcelo Leite Lyra for valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported by CNPq, FAPEPI and FAPEAL, Brazil.

- [1] J.M. Zim an, in Models of disorder (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1976).
- [2] N. H. Christ, R. Friedberg, and T. D. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B 202, 89 (1982).
- [3] C.M oukarzel and H.J.Herrm ann, J.Stat.Phys. 68, 911 (1992).
- [4] H. Puhl, Physica A 197, 14 (1993).
- [5] M .E.Fisher, J.M ath. Phys. 7, 1776 (1966).
- [6] V. S. D otsenko and V. S. D otsenko, Adv. Phys. 32, 129 (1983).
- [7] A.B.Harris, J.Phys.C 7, 1671 (1974).
- [8] D. Espriu, M. Gross, P. E. L. Rakow, and J. F. Wheather, Nucl. Phys. B 265, 92 (1986).
- [9] W . Janke and R. Villanova, Phys. Lett. A 209, 179 (1995).
- [10] J.M. Luck, Europhys. Lett. 24, 359 (1993).
- [11] W . Janke, M . K atoot, and R . V illanova, Phys. Rev. B , 49 9644 (1994).
- [12] U.W ol, Phys.Rev.Lett.62, 361 (1989).
- [13] R.H.Swendsen and J.S.W ang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 86 (1987).

- [14] K. Binder, in Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (A cademic Press, New York, 1976).
- [15] F.W. S. Lima, J. E. Moreira, J. S. Andrade Jr., U. M. S. Costa, Physica A 283, 100 (2000).
- [16] F.W.S.Lima, U.M.S.Costa, M.P.Almeida, J.S. Andrade Jr., Eur. Phys. J.B 17, 111 (2000).
- [17] G. Grinstein, C. Jayaparakash, and Yu He, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2527 (1985).
- [18] C.H.Bennett and G.Grinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 657 (1985).
- [19] J. S. W ang and J. L. Lebow itz, J. Stat. Phys. 51, 893 (1988).
- [20] M.C.Marques, Phys. Lett. 145, 379 (1990).
- [21] P.R.A.Campos, V.M.Oliveira, F.G.B.Moreira, Phys. Rev.E 67, 026104 (2003).
- [22] M . J. O liveira, J. Stat. Phys. 66, 273 (1992).
- [23] J.F.F.M endes and M.A.Santos, Phys.Rev.E 57, 108 (1998).
- [24] K.Binder, Z.Phys.B 43, 119 (1981).