K inetic Theory of dilute gases under nonequilibrium conditions

H isao H ayakawa , Taka H . N ishino

Department of Physics, Yoshida-South Campus, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501

and K im Heyon-Deuk

Department of Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502

(April 14, 2024)

Abstract

The signi cance of the recent nding of the velocity distribution function of the steady-state Boltzm ann equation under a steady heat current obtained by K im and H aykawa (J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 1904 (2003)) is discussed. Through the stability analysis, it seems that the steady solution is stable. O ne of possible applications to the nonequiliburium K nudsen e ect in which one cell at equilibrium is connected to another cell under the steady heat conduction is discussed. This solution apparently shows that steady-state therm odynam ics proposed by Sasa and Tasaki cannot be used in a naive setup. The prelim inary result of our simulation based on m olecular-dynam ics for nonequilibrium K nudsen e ect is also presented to verify the theoretical argum ent.

I. IN TRODUCTION

K inetic theory of dilute gases has played crucial roles in the history of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. The theoretical treatment of linear transport problems is well estab-

hisao@ yuragi.jinkan kyoto-u ac.jp

lished including the derivation of N avier-Stokes equation and the relation between Boltzm ann equation and the linear-response theory through a number of studies of Boltzm ann equation [1{3].

The transport problem s under the nonlinear-nonequilibrium circum stance, however, is still controversial. In fact, we know B urnett's classical work in which he obtained an approxim at hydrodynam ic equation at the second order nonequilibrium condition [4], but we realize that hydrodynam ic equation he obtained is unstable for perturbations [5,6]. Therefore, one m ay suggest that the validity of C hpam an-Enskog m ethod is so limited that we should use G rad expansion [7] to discuss the higher order perturbations such as B urnett order and super-B urnett order [6]. On the other hand, when we obtain the steady solution of B urnett equation, the stability of the steady inhom ogeneous solution m ay be di erent from that of dynam ical B urnett equation for hom ogeneous perturbation. Therefore we cannot judge whether the calculation at B urnett order based on C hapm an-Enskog m ethod is useless even when B urnett's hydrodynam ic equation is unstable. Unfortunately, these di culties m ight be regarded as unimportant, because the solution at B urnett order is practically useless except for fiew cases such as the analysis of shock waves.

In these days, however, the solution of Boltzm ann equation at Burnett order has attracted much interest among researchers who try to extend the linear nonequilibrium statistical mechanics to nonlinear nonequilibrium statistical mechanics [8,9]. In fact, since all of attempts are formulated to match them with the linear response theory, their consistency with nonlinear theory like Burnett solution should be important.

Recently, K im and H ayakawa [10] have extended Burnett's classical work on Boltzm ann equation and obtained the explicit steady solution of Boltzm ann equation under the steady heat conduction at second (Burnett) order. The accuracy and numerical stability of this solution is con rm ed by Fushiki [11] from his molecular-dynamics simulation. This stable behavior does not contradict with blown-up tendency of Burnett equation for perturbation of short wavelength or high frequency, because the simulation does not involve any perturbation with short wavelength or high frequency. Their solution is useful [12] to exam ine the

2

validity of nonequilibrium theories such as inform ation theory [8] and the steady-state therm odynam ics (SST) [9] based on therm odynam ic arguments. They also succeed to apply the solution to calculate the rate of them ical reaction under the nonequilibrium circum stance in which the linear nonequilibrium elects are canceled from the consideration of symmetries [13].

The purpose of this paper is to (i) sum marize the achievement of K im and Hayakawa, (ii) to discuss the stability of the steady solution at Burnett order, and (iii) to introduce the result of the molecular-dynamics (MD) simulation for nonequilibrium K nudsen e ect to exam ine SST.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we brie y summarize the outline of the solution obtained by K im and Hayakawa [10]. In section III, we will discuss the stability of the solution. In section IV, we will discuss K nudsen e ect under a nonequilibrium condition based on M D simulation.

II. THE OUTLINE OF THE SOLUTION BY KIM AND HAYAKAWA

In this section, we brie y summarize the solution of steady Boltzmann equation under the heat conduction obtained by K im and Hayakawa [10]. Suppose a system of dilute gas in a steady state whose velocity distribution function is $f_1 = f(r;v_1)$. The steady state Boltzmann equation is written as

$$v_1 \quad r_f = J(f_1; f_2);$$
 (1)

where the collision integral $J(f_1; f_2)$ for hard core molecules is expressed as

$$J(f_1; f_2) = (f_1^0 f_2^0 - f_1 f_2) gbdbd dv_2:$$
(2)

Here the velocity distribution f_1 and f_2 change to f_1^0 and f_2^0 by a binary collision. The relative velocity of the two molecules before and after the interaction has the sam emagnitude $g = jv_1 v_2 j$. The relative position of the two molecules is represented by the impact

parameter b and which represents the angle of the plane to which g and g^0 . The impact parameter b is identical to explicitly determined by specifying the kind of m olecules.

The velocity distribution function f_1 can be expanded as:

$$f_{1} = f_{1}^{(0)} + f_{1}^{(1)} + f_{1}^{(2)} + \frac{(0)}{\overline{1}}f_{1}^{(1)} + \frac{(1)}{1} + \frac{(2)}{1} +); \qquad (3)$$

where the small parameter of the perturbation is K nudsen number, i.e. mean free path of the molecules is much less than the characteristic length of the change of macroscopic variables. $f_1^{(0)}$ in eq.(3) is the local M axwellian distribution function written as

$$f_1^{(0)} = n(r) \frac{m}{2 T(r)} \exp[\frac{m v_1^2}{2T(r)}];$$
 (4)

where m is mass of the molecules. n (r) and T (r) denote the density and the tem perature at position r, respectively. Substituting eq.(3) into the steady state Boltzm ann equation (1), we arrive at the following set of equations:

L
$$[f_1^{(0)}]_1^{(1)} = v_1 \quad r \frac{4^{(0)}}{f};$$
 (5)

for O (K) and

$$L[f_1^{(0)}]_1^{(2)} = v_1 \quad r_1^{(1)} J(f_1^{(1)} f_2^{(1)});$$
 (6)

for O (K 2), where the linear integral operator L [$f_1^{(0)}$] is deneed as

$$L [f_1^{(0)}] X_1 \qquad f_1^{(0)} f_2^{(0)} (X_1^0 X_1 + X_2^0 X_2) gbdbd dv_2:$$
(7)

It is important to consider the solubility conditions of these integral equations, for the steady state Boltzm ann equation, which are given by

$$z_{i}v_{1} = r \frac{\mu}{f} dv_{1} = 0;$$
 (8)

for O (K), where $_{\rm i}$ is one of the collision invariants:

$$_{1} = 1; _{2} = m v_{1}; _{3} = \frac{1}{2}m v_{1}^{2}:$$
 (9)

Substituting eq.(4) into the solubility condition (8), it can be shown that nT is uniform . We use this result in our calculation. The solubility condition for O (K 2) is given by

$$_{i}v_{1} r f^{(1)} dv_{1} = 0$$
: (10)

To make the solutions of the integral equations (6) and (7) de nite, ve further conditions must be specified; we identify the density:

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{1}^{Z} f_{1} dv_{1} = \int_{1}^{Z} f_{1}^{(0)} dv_{1}; \qquad (11)$$

the tem perature:

$$\frac{3n(r)T(r)}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{m v_{1}^{2}}{2} f_{1} dv_{1} = \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{m v_{1}^{2}}{2} f_{1}^{(0)} dv_{1}; \qquad (12)$$

and the mean ow :

n (r)u (r) =
$$v_1 f_1 dv_1 = v_1 f_1^{(0)} dv_1$$
: (13)

Here we assume that no mean ow u = 0 exists in the system.

Ζ

Skipping the derivation of the solution at Burnett order, let us write the explicit form of the steady solution for hard core molecules as under the tem perature gradient:

$$f = f^{(0)} [1 \quad \frac{4q_{x}}{5b_{11}nT} (\frac{m}{2T})^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^{X} r b_{1r} c_{x} (r + \frac{5}{2}) S_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{r}{2}} (c^{2}) + \frac{4096m q_{x}^{2}}{5625b_{11}^{2}n^{2}T^{3}} f_{r=2}^{X} r b_{0r} (r + \frac{3}{2}) S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{r}{2}} (c^{2}) + \prod_{r=0}^{X} r b_{2r} (2c_{x}^{2} - c_{y}^{2} - c_{z}^{2}) (r + \frac{7}{2}) S_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{r}{2}} (c^{2}) q];$$
(14)

where b_{1r} , b_{0r} and b_{2r} for r = 7 can be determined as listed in Tables.I. Note that we have con med the convergence of series b_{kr} by changing r. Here q_k represents x component of the heat-ux in the cell on the right hand side in the nonequilibrium steady state which corresponds to the x component of the heat ux in eq.(17). In eq.(14), (x) is the G am m a function, $c = v = \frac{q}{m} = 2T$, and S_k^p (X) is the Sonine polynom ial de ned by

$$(1 !)^{k} e^{\frac{x!}{1!}} = \int_{p=0}^{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} (p + k + 1)S_{k}^{p} (X)!^{p} :$$
(15)

This kind of analysis, of course, is possible to two-dimensional dilute hard-core gases. K in [14] has already obtained the result to compare his result with MD simulation.

In the derivation the solubility conditions for 0 (K 2) is important. Thus, eq.(10) leads to the condition:

$$r q^{(1)} = 0;$$
 (16)

where $q^{\left(1\right)}$, i.e. the heat $% f_{1}^{\left(1\right)}$ ux for $f_{1}^{\left(1\right)}$ can be obtained as

$$q^{(1)} = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dv_{1} \frac{m v_{1}^{2}}{2} v_{1} f_{1}^{(1)} = b_{11} \frac{75}{64^{2}} \frac{T}{m} r T; \qquad (17)$$

with b_{11} listed in Table I. It must be emphasized that $q^{(2)}$ should satisfy

$$q^{(2)} = 0$$
 (18)

from the symmetry consideration of $f^{(2)}$. Thus, the solubility conditions for $f_1^{(2)}$ of the steady state Boltzm ann equation lead to the fact that the heat ux is constant to the second order. From eqs.(16) and (17), we also obtain an important relation between (r T)² and r ²T as

$$\frac{(r T)^2}{2T} + r^2 T = 0:$$
(19)

From eq.(19), the term of $r^{2}T$ can be replaced by the term s of (r T)².

Am ongst several applications of the solution, in this paper, we emphasize that the solution can exam ine the validity of SST proposed by Sasa and Tasaki [9]. They propose a non-trivial nonequilibrium e ect in a simple setup as follows (Fig. 1). There are two cells whose size along x axis is L_0 . Both of the cells are lled with dilute gases and connected to each other by a small hole at x = 0 whose linear dimension along x axis and its diameter are less than the mean free path. The cell on the left hand side is at equilibrium of tem – perature T_0 , while the cell on the right hand side is in a nonequilibrium steady state under a tem perature gradient caused by the right wall at tem perature T_1 at $x = L_0$ and the thin m id-wall at tem perature T_0 .




FIG.1. Setup of nonequilibrium Knudsen e ect.

Sasa and Tasaki predicted the following results [9]. When the system shown in Fig.1 is in a steady state, the osmosis P

$$P P_{xx} P_{0}; \qquad (20)$$

always positive, where P_0 is the pressure in the equilibrium cell and P_{xx} is the xx-component of the pressure tensor P_{ij} in the nonequilibrium cell which is defined by

$$P_{ij} = dvm (v_i u_i) (v_j u_j) f (v):$$
 (21)

In addition, there is a relation among n (0), $P_{\rm xx}$, n_0 and P_0 as

$$\frac{n(0)}{n_0} = \frac{\partial P_{xx}}{\partial P_0} ; \qquad (22)$$

where n (0) is the density of the cell in the nonequilibrium steady state around the wall and n_0 is the density of the cell at equilibrium .

A nonequilibrium steady state is achieved when the mean mass ux at the hole is zero. Neglecting the Knudsen layer e ect, i.e. the slip e ect around the 'wall' [3,15], we can calculate the balance of mass ux as

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dv_{x} d^{2}v_{2} m v_{x} f_{0} + \int_{1}^{Z_{0}} dv_{x} d^{2}v_{2} m v_{x} f \dot{j}_{x=0} = 0;$$
(23)

where $v_{?}$ represents the components of the velocity which are orthogonal to v_{x} , i.e. v_{y} and v_{z} . From eq.(23), we can obtain the relation between the density of the cell in the nonequilibrium steady state around the hole n (0) and that of the cell at equilibrium n_{0} as

$$n(0) = n_0 [1 + n \frac{m J_x^2}{n_0^2 T^3}];$$
(24)

to the second order, where $_{n}$ ' 8:21 $\,10^{-2}$ in the approximation until 7th order expansion of b_{kr}.

Sim ilarly we can calculate pressure tensor P_{ij} as

$$P_{ij} = nT [_{ij} + \frac{j}{P} \frac{m J_x^2}{n_0^2 T^3}];$$
 (25)

with the unit tensor $_{ij}$ and the number tensor $_{p}^{xx} = 4:600 \ 10^{2}$ and $_{p}^{xy} = 2:300 \ 10^{2}$. From substitution of eqs.(24) and (25) into eq.(22) leads to the relation $_{p}^{xx} = _{n} = 2$ in SST. On the other hand, our results con ict with this relation: our results become $_{p}^{xx} = _{n} = 0:5604$ for hard core molecules. This is a negative result to SST, though the treatment of the wall in our calculation is too primitive. We also indicate that our treatment in eq.(24) assumes that we can use the local distribution function because of sm all K nudsen number, though, for dilute gases with nite temperature gradient, the place with the meanfree path away from the hole has di erent temperature from that at the hole because K nudsen num ber should be nite.

III. STABILITY OF THE SOLUTION

In this section, let us discuss the stability of the second order solution in eq.(14). Honestly speaking, it is di cult to obtain the com plete stability analysis of the problem. Therefore, here, we focus on the stability of ve hydrodynam ic modes which are zero-eigen modes of linearized Boltzm ann operator and most dangerous ones in the stability.

We should recall that the steady solution with the temperature eld T (x) is obtained under the assumption of the zero mean (hydrodynamic) ow. With the aid of eq.(18) one of the hydrodynamic equations corresponding to the solution is the steady di usion equation:

r (rT(x)) = 0; =
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{75}{64^2} \cdot \frac{T}{m}$$
 (26)

where is the heat conductivity. A nother hydrodynam ic equation is nothing but equilibrium condition of hydrostatic pressure:

$$r(n_0T(x)) = 0$$
 (27)

where $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = {R \atop k} dv f$ is the density determined from the solution.

W hen we keep the constraint of the static solubility conditions (16) and (18), there is no room to appear $q^{(2)}$ in the hydrodynam ic equations. Even when the perturbation violates the static solubility condition, the possible second order heat current is only

$$q^{(2)} = \frac{2}{n_0 m T} u_x \frac{d}{dx} T (x)$$
(28)

in the perturbation, where = 45=8, and is the shear viscosity. It should be noted that the above expression is from eq.(15.3.6) in Chapman-Cow ling [1] with neglecting the nonlinear terms of the velocity eld. We also note that the perturbed pressure tensor has the form of N avier-Stokes order, because the velocity eld itself is a perturbed quantity. Thus, the linearized hydrodynam ics has a similar form as that of N avier-Stokes equation except for a term of the heat current in eq.(28).

N evertheless, it is still not easy to discuss the complete stability of hydrodynam ic modes around the steady Burnett solution. Let us discuss the perturbation of density and temperature

$$n = n_0 (1 + (r;t)); = T (x) (1 + (r;t));$$
(29)

where is the temperature after we include the perturbation. Here we keep that the macroscopic velocity does not exist for the sake of simplicity. In this case it is easy to con m that the linearized hydrodynamic equations are reduced to $Q_t = 0$ and

$$\frac{3}{2}n_0 \theta_t = T^{3=2}r^2 - \frac{5}{2}q_x \theta_x - \frac{q_x}{2T}(1 + \frac{q_x}{T})$$
(30)

where the heat conductivity is assumed to be = ${}^{p}\overline{T}$ with = $75b_{11}=(64 {}^{2}{}^{p}\overline{m})$. This equation apparently shows that the solution of is relaxed to zero for all wavelength, as time goes on. Thus, the steady solution of Boltzm ann equation at Burnett order is stable for the perturbation (29) without m acroscopic ow.

IV . M O LECULAR - DYNAM ICS SIM U LATION

In this section, we show our prelim inary result of two-dimensional molecular-dynamics (M D) simulation to exam ine the K nudsen e ect under the heat conduction. A lithough we adopt two-dimensional system s, M D can check the validity of their implicit assumptions of the calculation by K im and H ayakawa [10] where their calculation neglect the elects of the therm alwall at x = 0 and use the distribution function at x = 0 in eq. (23).

W e prepare two situations where $T_1=T_0 = 4$ and $T_1=T_0 = 1=4$. Number of total particles is 10,000 for both cases. Neglecting the non-G aussian correction in velocity distribution function, the mean-free paths $l = 1=(2^{p} - 2n)$ for the center regions of four cells are respectively evaluated as $l_{neq} = 11.24d$ and $l_{eq} = 17.06d$ for the case $T_1=T_0 = 1=4$ and $l_{neq} = 21.40d$ and $l_{eq} = 9.534d$ for $T_1=T_0 = 4$, where l_{eq} and l_{neq} represent the mean-free paths for an equilibrium cell and a nonequilbrium cell, respectively. Figure 2 shows the result of both situations. L_0 ' 1071d and the height H ' 268.6d. The linear dimension along x-axis of the hole is 0.40845 d and the diameter of the hole is 1:113d. This system has the area fraction

= 0:02730. The vertical axis in Fig.2 plots the pressure divided by the density and tem – perature of equilibrium cell T_0 . The horizontal axis shows the time of simulation measured by the number of collisions for each particle. We adopt the di usive boundary condition for the walls at x = 0 and x = L_0 , and the periodic boundary condition at y = H = 2.




FIG.2. The time evolution of pressures in M D simulation where P_{neq1} and P_{eq1} are the result of the simulation for $T_1=T_0 = 4$, and P_{neq2} and P_{eq2} are the result for $T_1=T_0 = 1=4$.

In Fig.2, the succes 1 and 2 in the gure correspond to the cases of $T_1=T_0 = 4$ and $T_1=T_0 = 1=4$. Our result is contrast to those of both SST [9] and the calculation by K in and Hayakawa [10]. Here, the osmosis P depends on the gradient of tem perature in which P becomes positive for $T_1=T_0 = 4$ and P becomes negative for $T_1=T_0 = 1=4$. This simulation suggests that both SST and the calculation by K im and Hayakawa cannot be used for K nudsen e ect in physical situations.

There are two possibilities to have linear dependence of the osmosis P on the tem – perature gradient: (i) K nudsen's layer e ect is important on the therm alwall, and (ii) the assumption of sm all K nudsen num ber is violated. As a result of niteness of K nudsen num – ber which is around 1/50-1/100, the tem perature at the place deviated from the hole by the m ean-free path is significantly different from the tem perature at the hole. We believe that the second possibility plays a dominant role, because the gap of the pressure is enhanced when the nonequilibrium cell has higher tem perature, i.e. larger m ean-free path $l_{heq} = 21:40d$ than that for low tem perature nonequilibrium cell $l_{neq} = 11:24d$. The details of M D simulation will be reported elsewhere.

V.CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have explained our recent noting of a new explicit solution of Boltzm ann equation under the steady heat conduction. We apply the solution to exam ine the validity of SST and information theory, and nd that both of them are not appropriate as they are. We also discuss the stability of the steady solution we have obtained, and con med stability at least for the case of no systematic ow. Through MD simulation of K nudsen e ect under the heat conduction, we have obtained the result that P depends on the direction of the temperature gradient. This indicates that our naive application of the steady solution of Boltzm ann equation to K nudsen e ect is not accurate. This also suggests that the basic assumption of SST cannot be valid if the in uence of physical wall exists.

A cknow ledgm ent: The authors wish to thank M .Fushiki for fruitful discussion and for his sending us the unpublished result of his simulation. This work is partially supported by the G rant-in-A id of M inistry of E ducation, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (M EX T), Japan (G rant No. 15540393) and the G rant-in-A id for the 21st century COE 'Center for D iversity and U niversality in Physics' from M EX T, Japan.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Chapman and T. G. Cow ling, The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases, Third Edition (Cambridge University Press, 1970).
- [2] P. Resibois and M. de Leener, Classical Kinetic Theory of Fluids (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977).
- [3] C. Cercignani, Mathematical Methods in Kinetic Theory (Plenum Press, New York, 1990).
- [4] D. Burnett, The Distribution of Molecular Velocities and the Mean Motion in a Non-Uniform Gas, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 1935, 40 (Nov.15), 382-435.
- [5] A.V.Bobylev, The Chapman-Enskog and Grad Method for solving Boltzmann equation, Sov.Phys.Dokl.1982, 27, 29.
- [6] H. Struchtrup and M. Torrihon, Regularization of G rad's 13 m om ent equation: D erivation and linear analysis, Phys. Fluids, 2003, 15 (9), 2668-2680.
- [7] H. Grad, On the kinetic theory of rare ed gas, Commun. Pure Appl. M ath. 1949, 2 331-407.
- [8] J. Casas-Varquez and D. Jou, Tem perature in non-equilibrium states: a review of open problem s and current proporsal, Rep. Prog. Phys. 2003, 66, 1937-2023.
- [9] S. Sasa and H. Tasaki, Steady state therm odynamics, cond-m at/0411052, 2004. See also their previous version in cond-m at/0108365.
- [10] K im H yeon-D euk and H. Hayakawa, K inetic Theory of a D ilute G as System under Steady H eat C onduction J. P hys. Soc. Jpn. 2003, 72 (8), 1904–1916.
- [11] M. Fushiki, private communications. (and his unpublished result).
- [12] K im H yeon-D euk and H. H ayakawa, Test of inform ation theory on the Boltzm ann equation J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 2003 72, (10), 2473-2476.

[13] K im H yeon-D euk and H. Hayakawa, Contributions of steady heat conduction to the rate of chem ical reaction, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 372, (Nos.3-4) 314-319.

[14] K im H yeon-Deuk, in preparation.

[15] E.M. Lifshitz and Pitaevski, Physical Kinetics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1981).

TABLES

r	b _{0r}	b _{lr}	b _{2r}
0	-	_	3 : 320 10 ²
1	-	1:025	1276 10 ¹
2	4:380 10 ¹	4 : 892 10 ³	6 : 414 10 ²
3	5 : 429 10 ²	3 : 715 10 ³	5 : 521 10 ³
4	4 : 098 10 ³	2 : 922 10 ⁴	4214 10 ³
5	3:184 10 ⁴	2:187 10 ⁵	3.106 10 ⁵
6	2 : 087 10 ⁵	1 : 492 10 ⁶	1 : 861 10 ⁶
7	_	8 : 322 10 ⁸	_

TABLE I. The num ber constants b_{0r} in eq.(14)