## Hydrodynam ic singularities and clustering in a freely cooling inelastic gas

Efrati, Eli Livne and Baruch Meerson

Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

W e employ hydrodynam ic equations to follow the clustering instability of a freely cooling dilute gas of inelastically colliding spheres into a well-developed nonlinear regime. We simplify the problem by dealing with a one-dimensional coarse-grained ow. We observe that at a late stage of the instability the shear stress becomes negligibly small, and the gas ows solely by inertia. As a result the ow form ally develops a nite time singularity, as the velocity gradient and the gas density diverge at some location. We argue that ow by inertia represents a generic intermediate asymptotic of unstable free cooling of dilute inelastic gases.

PACS num bers: 45.70 Q j, 47.70 N d

Ε

A gas of inelastically colliding m acroscopic particles is a simple paradigm of granular matter [1, 2, 3, 4], and it appears in num erous applications, from astrophysics and geophysics to materials processing. One of the many fascinating phenomena in a freely cooling gas of inelastic particles is clustering instability [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. This instability attracted much attention from physicists to rapid granular ow [3, 14]. The clusters form an intricate cellular structure [6, 7, 8, 9, 11]. Though molecular dynamics (MD) simulations provide a valuable insight into the complicated dynam ics of clustering, a better understanding requires a continuum theory. In this Letter we consider a freely cooling dilute gas of identical inelastic hard spheres. In this case a continuum theory is derivable system atically from a kinetic equation, leading to hydrodynam ic equations with a heat loss term caused by the inelasticity of particle collisions [1, 15, 16, 17]. Hydrodynam ics is expected to be an accurate leading order theory when the mean free path of the particles is much less than any length scale, and the mean tim e between two consecutive collisions is much less than any time scale, described hydrodynam ically. Linearizing the hydrodynam ic equations around a hom ogeneous cooling state (HCS), one nds two di erent linearly unstable modes: the shear mode and the therm al, or clustering, m ode [6, 7, 8]. G row th of the shear m ode corresponds to production of vorticity, while the clustering mode governs cluster form ation. Nonlinear evolution of the clustering instability is a hard problem . Firstly, one has to deal here with nonlinear coupling of the shear and clustering m odes. Secondly, as the local density grows, the hydrodynam ic description becom es less accurate. It breaks down completely when the density approaches the point of the disorder-order transition in the gas of hard spheres.

In this Letter we follow the clustering instability into a well-developed nonlinear stage by circum venting these two di culties. Firstly, we put the particles into a long two-dimensional (2D) box,  $L_x = L_y$ , so that the shear modes are strongly over-damped, and all coarse-grained quantities depend only on x. Secondly, we consider the limit of a very sm all area fraction of the particles. In this case, despite clustering, the gas density remains sm all, com pared with the freezing density, for a very long time. In portantly, this lim it does not preclude arbitrarily high density contrasts in the system . We solve the hydrodynam ic equations num erically and observe that, at a late stage of the dynam ics, the shear stress becomes negligibly small. As a result, the gasm oves only by inertia, and the ow formally exhibits a nite time singularity. This singularity has a universal character if the initial mean velocity prole is smooth. We argue that ow by inertia is a generic intermediate asymptotic in more general multi-dimensional freely cooling granular ows, and that the nite time singularities form the skeleton of the later dynamics, when nite-density elects in the clusters come into play.

Let each of N hard disks have a diam eter and m ass m = 1. Let the inelasticity of particle collisions be  $q = (1 \ r)=2 > 0$ , where r is the (constant) coe - cient of norm al restitution. Hydrodynam ics deals with three coarse-grained elds: the number density n (x;t), the m ean velocity v(x;t) and the granular temperature T (x;t). We employ scaled variables  $n ! n=n_0$ , T !  $T=T_0$ , v !  $v=T_0^{1=2}$ , x !  $x=L_x$  and t !  $tT_0^{1=2}=L_x$ , where  $n_0 = N = (L_xL_y)$  and  $T_0$  are the average number density and the initial temperature of the gas, respectively. In the dilute lim it, granular hydrodynam ic equations [1, 15, 16, 17] read:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} + n\frac{\vartheta v}{\vartheta x} = 0; \quad (a) \qquad n\frac{dv}{dt} \quad \frac{\vartheta P}{\vartheta x} = 0; \quad (b) \qquad (1)$$

$$n\frac{dT}{dt} = P\frac{\theta v}{\theta x} + K\frac{\theta}{\theta x} T^{1=2}\frac{\theta T}{\theta x} - \frac{8q}{K}n^2T^{3=2}; \quad (2)$$

where d=dt = @=@t + v@=@x is the total time derivative,  $K = (2^{=}) (L_x n_0)^{-1}$  is the Knudsen number which, up to a constant factor of order unity, is the ratio of the m ean free path of the particles to  $L_x$ , and  $P = nT + (K = 4) T^{1=2} (@v=@x)$  is the stress eld. The validity of Eqs. (1) and (2) requires K 1 (scale separation), n<sup>2</sup> 1 (dilute limit), and q 1 (nearly elastic collisions).

The HCS is described by Ha 's cooling law T (x;t) =  $(1 + t=t_0)^2$ , where  $t_0 = K = 4q$  [1]. A linear stability

analysis of the HCS, analogous to that of Refs. [6, 7, 8], predicts clustering instability of the HCS if K  $k_x < 2q^{1=2}$ , where  $k_x$  is the (scaled) wave num ber of a sm all sinusoidal perturbation around the HCS.Thewave number is quantized by the periodic boundary conditions:  $k_x = 2 k_r$ where  $k = 1; 2; \dots$  is the mode number. Therefore, the kth mode is linearly unstable if  $kK < q^{1-2}$  [18]. The rest of the parameters xed, the instability occurs when  $L_x$  is su ciently large. The number of the unstable modes in the system  $k_{max}$  can serve as a measure of the instability m agnitude. The growth/decay of sm all perturbations is algebraic. The density perturbations grow. The tem perature and velocity perturbations decay, but the decays are slow compared to Ha 's cooling law. As a result, the ow tends to become supersonic [8].

1

W e followed the clustering instability with  $k_{max}$ into a strongly nonlinear regime by solving Eqs. (1) and (2) num erically. W e used a Lagrangian schem e [19] with periodic boundary conditions. The Lagrangian description allowed us to resolve steep velocity gradients and high density peaks with good accuracy until close to sinqularities, see below. The st series of hydrodynam ic simulations dealt with generic initial conditions of the form n(x;t=0) = 1 + n(x), T(x;t=0) = 1 + T(x)and v(x;t = 0) = v(x), where each of the small term s n(x), T(x) and v(x) is a sum of a few hundred Fourier modes with random small amplitudes, of which a few dozen modes are linearly unstable. In all these simulations we observed strong clustering: developm ent of multiple high and narrow density peaks, accompanied by steepening velocity gradients, as the gas tem perature continues to decay. The gas density in the peaks grows without limit, until the time when our nite-di erence scheme is unable to accurately follow the density growth in the highest density peak. The temporal growth of

the density peaks, and of the velocity gradients, accelerates rapidly, im plying a nite-tim e singularity. Figure 1 shows a typical snapshot of the system close to the time of singularity. A convenient integral measure of the unstable cooling

dynam ics is the total energy of the system :

$$E (t) = \int_{1-2}^{2} nT + \frac{1}{2}nv^{2} dx; \qquad (3)$$

where nT is the therm all energy density, and  $nv^2=2$  is the macroscopic kinetic energy density. A plot of E (t) is shown in Fig. 2. As expected, E (t) follows Ha 's law at early times, but deviates from it at later times. Figure 2 elucidates the role of each of the two terms in Eq. (3). B oth the therm alenergy, and the m acroscopic kinetic energy initially decay with time; the therm alenergy decays faster. At later times the kinetic energy approaches a constant. As a result, E (t) is dominated by the therm al energy at early times and by the kinetic energy at later times. Remarkably, the therm all energy continues




FIG. 1: The density and velocity pro les at scaled time t = 7.043, shortly before the major density peak develops singularity. The parameters  $K = 4 \quad 10^4$  and  $q = 10^2$  correspond to 79 linearly unstable Fourierm odes. 2000 Lagrangian m esh points are used, so the m a jor density peak includes m ore than 50 m esh points above the density value of  $n = 10^2$ . The inset shows an earlier density history (at t = 2, 3 and 4) of a region around the major density peak.

to follow Ha 's law until the time of singularity.




FIG.2: The total energy of the system E (thick solid line), the therm all energy (circles), the macroscopic kinetic energy (squares) and Ha 's cooling law (thin solid line) versus time for the simulation shown in Fig. 1.

The nite-time singularities of the velocity gradient and the density strongly indicate that, at late times, the stress tensor P becomes negligibly small, and the gas

ows by inertia only. An additional evidence for the ow by inertia is the constancy of the macroscopic kinetic energy at late times. The ow by inertia is described by the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{4}$$

and Eq. (1)a. This problem is soluble analytically [20]:

$$v(x;t) = v_0();$$
 (a)  $n(x;t) = \frac{n_0()}{1 + tv_0^0()};$  (b) (5)

where  $v_0^0$  () = d $v_0$  ()=d, while  $v_0$  () and  $n_0$  () are the velocity and density of the gas, respectively, at som e \initial" moment of time (which should be late enough so

that the ow by inertia has already set in). The relation between Eulerian coordinate x and Lagrangian coordinate is the following:  $x = + v_0$  ()t. The nite-time singularities of both the velocity gradient

$$\frac{\vartheta v(x;t)}{\vartheta x} = \frac{v_0^0()}{1 + t v_0^0()};$$
(6)

and the density, Eq. (5)b, occur when the denom inator in Eq. (6) becomes zero for the nst time. We compared these predictions with a numerical solution of the full hydrodynamic equations (1) and (2), for the same parameters K = 4 10<sup>4</sup> and  $q = 10^{-2}$ , but with simpler initial conditions: n(x;t = 0) = T(x;t = 0) = 1, and a single Fourier mode for the velocity:

$$v(x;t=0) = a \sin(2x); a = 0.05:$$
 (7)

In this case only one singularity develops (at x = 0). Figure 3 shows the gas velocity v versus = x + tv(x;t) at di erent times. The di erent curves collapse into a single curve with an accuracy better than 1.5%. Additional tests deal with the behavior of the low in the close vicinity of x = 0, as the singularity time is approached. For this smooth symmetric low we can write  $v_0() = = + C^{-3} + O(^{-5})$ , where t = is the time of singularity in the low-by-inertia model, and C > 0 is a constant. In the Eulerian coordinates this yields a solution in an implicit form. In the leading order

$$x = t^{0}v(x;t^{0}) C^{4}v^{3}(x;t^{0});$$
(8)

where  $t^0 =$ t is the time to the singularity. Not too close to the singularity point x = 0 one obtains  $(x)^{=3}$ . As the velocity pro le (8) is self-similar: 77  $v(x;t^{0}) = (t^{0})^{1=2} V x = (t^{0})^{3=2}$ , the velocity gradient is  $@v=@x = (t^0)^{-1} dV = dw$ , where  $w = x = (t^0)^{3=2}$ . The shape function V (w) is determined by the equation C  ${}^{4}V{}^{3}$  + V + w = 0. W hat is the density behavior close to the singularity? Very close to x = 0 the density grows inde nitely:  $n_0(0)(1 t=)^1$ ; outside of that region (but still close enough to x = 0) n (x;t) approaches a univer- $\dot{\mathbf{x}}\dot{\mathbf{j}}^{2=3}$  [21]. We veri ed these properties salpro le n num erically, see examples in Fig. 4. Im portantly, for a strong instability, k<sub>m ax</sub> 1, the system freezes up",and the motion by inertia sets in very rapidly. Indeed, the scaled velocity pro le in Fig. 3 is very close to the initial pro le (7). Building on this simpli cation, we can expand Eq. (7) in the vicinity of x = 0 and predict the time of singularity: =  $(2 \frac{1}{2})^{1}$  ' 3:18 which agrees within 2% with the simulation result, see Fig. 4a. In addition, the linear time dependence of the quantities, shown in the inset of Fig. 4a, sets in already at early tim es.

Therefore, a strongly nonlinear regime of the quasione-dimensional clustering instability in a dilute granular ow is describable by a simple ow by inertia, until the




FIG.3: The numerically computed velocity is shown versus x (a) and versus = x vt (b) at times 1, 2 and 3:225 (the proles in gure a steepen as the time progresses). Also shown in gure b is the initial prole (7). All the curves in gure b coincide within 1.5%. The simulation parameters are  $K = 4 = 10^4$  and  $q = 10^{-2}$ .




FIG. 4: Numerically computed values of  $j^{0}v=0xj$  (lled squares) and n (empty circles) at x=0 versus time (a). The inset shows the respective inverse values. Figure b depicts the spatial proles of n at time moments 3209 and 3225. The straight line is a  $x^{2=3}$  dependence; it is given for reference. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 3.

m om ent of singularity [22]. In a related work Ben-Naim et al. [23] investigated the dynamics of point-like particles, inelastically colliding on a line. The strictly onedim ensional setting of Ref. [23] makes a hydrodynamic description problem atic. Still, Ben-Naim et al. observed that the Burgers equation with vanishing viscosity is a proper continuum model for their system. It remains to be seen whether the Burgers equation or some other saturation mechanism applies to our quasi-one-dimensional model at a later stage of the dynamics, when nitedensity e ects come into play. We stress that the (hydrodynamic) density singularities are entirely di erent from inelastic collapse [24] (divergence of the particle collision rate at some locations) which is a discrete-particle e ect. We also note in passing that statistical properties of the

ow by inertia (for example, the dynam ics of the structure function) are well understood [25].

W hat can be said about a fully multi-dimensional strongly unstable cooling ow, when unstable shear and clustering modes are coupled? A natural assumption, motivated already by the linear theory of the clustering/shearing instabilities of the HCS [6, 7, 8], is that the stress tensor \freezes up", and ow by inertia sets in here as well. A possible counter-argum ent involves viscous heating of the system by the unstable shear modes. The heating e ect is absent in the linear regime of the instability (as the viscous heating is of the second order with respect to the perturbation am plitude), but it comes into play in the nonlinear regime. The present state of theory makes it dicult to prove that the viscous heating cannot arrest, in some locations, the freezing of the stress tensor. How ever, MD simulations in 2D strongly indicate that the freezing continues unarrested. For example, N ie et al. [12] observe that, at late times, \the therm alenergy becom esm uch sm aller than the (m acroscopic) kinetic energy". B ased on this evidence we argue that, in the dilute regime, this strongly supersonic ow should be describable by multi-dimensional ow-by-inertia equations

$$@v=@t+(v r)v=0; @n=@t+r(nv)=0:(9)$$

This ow also exhibits nite-time singularities [21, 26], and the singularities form cellular structures, most of the material being concentrated along the cell boundaries [21]. This picture resembles the density distribution of granular clusters observed in MD simulations of freely cooling gases of inelastic hard spheres in 2D [6, 7, 8, 9, 11]. Interestingly, them ulti-dimensional singularities of Eqs. (9) were studied previously in an entirely dierent context: in the so called Zeklovich approximation of theory of formation of structure in an expanding universe [21].

We stress that there are important dierences between the multi-dimensional clustering instability and the Zeldovich model. In the process of clustering instability of inelastic gases a considerable vorticity is generated, while in Zeldovich model the ow is assumed to be potential [21]. Still, it was found, in a rare treatment of a more general (non-potential) velocity eld, that \high-density regions should be high-vorticity regions" [27]. This nding appears to agree with MD simulations of freely cooling granular gases in 2D [7].

In sum m ary, by following the unstable cooling dynam ics of a dilute inelastic gas we identied an important new interm ediate asymptotic regime: a nonlinear ow by inertia. W e argue that high-density regions in the gas, which are precursors of densely packed granular clusters, are caused by the ow by inertia, rather than directly by the pressure gradient. Our results indicate that the role of the clustering and shearing instabilities of the free cooling is \m erely" to produce a long-lived spatially non-uniform supersonic velocity eld needed for the developm ent of the high-density regions by the ow by inertia. Therefore, a due account of the ow-by-inertia regime will be im portant in the future theory of \life after singularity", where the singularities are sm oothed by nite-density effects in the clusters, and a coarsening process develops [11]. No rst-principles coarse-grained description of that nal stage is yet available.

W e thank A .P uglisi, P.V. Sasorov and S.F. Shandarin for useful discussions. This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

- [1] P.K.Ha, J.Fluid Mech. 134, 401 (1983).
- [2] C.S.Cam pbell, Annu.Rev.Fluid Mech. 22, 57 (1990).
- [3] L.P.Kadano , Rev.M od. Phys. 71, 435 (1999).
- [4] I.Goldhirsch, Annu.Rev.Fluid Mech. 35, 267 (2003).
- [5] M A. Hopkins and M Y. Louge, Phys. Fluids A 3, 47 (1991).
- [6] I. Goldhirsch and G. Zanetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1619 (1993); I. Goldhirsch, M.-L. Tan, and G. Zanetti, J. Sci. Computing 8, 1 (1993); N. Sela, I. Goldhirsch, and S.H. Noskovicz, Phys. Fluids 8, 2337 (1996).
- [7] S.M cN am ara, Phys. Fluids A 5, 3056 (1993); S.M cN am ara and W R.Young, Phys. Rev. E 53, 5089 (1996).
- [8] P. Deltour and J.-L. Barrat, J. Phys. I. France 7, 137 (1997).
- [9] R.Brito and M.H.Emst, Europhys.Lett. 43, 497 (1998).
- [10] J.J.Brey, M J.Ruiz-M ontero, and D.Cubero, Phys. Rev. E 60, 3150 (1999).
- [11] S.Luding and H.J.Herrm ann, Chaos 9, 673 (1999).
- [12] X B.Nie, E.Ben-Naim and S.Y.Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 204301 (2002).
- [13] Sim ilar condensation instabilities in optically thin plasm as are driven by radiative cooling. For a review see B. M eerson, Rev. M od. Phys. 68, 215 (1996).
- [14] H M . Jaeger, S R . N agel, and R P . Behringer, Rev. M od. Phys. 68, 1259 (1996); Physics Today 49 (4), 32 (1996).
- [15] J.T. Jenkins and M W .R ichm an, Phys. Fluids 28, 3485 (1985).
- [16] N.Sela and I.Goldhirsch, J.Fluid Mech. 361, 41 (1998).
- [17] J.J. Brey, J.W. Duffy, C.S. K in , and A. Santos, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4638 (1998).
- [18] To suppress shear modes and clustering modes in the ydirection, we impose relecting boundary conditions at y = 0 and  $y = L_y$  and dem and  $q^{1=2}$  (=4)K ( $L_x = L_y$ ).
- [19] R D. Richtm yer and K W. Morton, Dierence Methods for Initial Value Problems (Interscience, New York, 1967).
- [20] G B. W hitham, Linear and Nonlinear W aves (W iley, New York, 1974), Chapter 2.
- [21] SF.Shandarin and Ya.B.Zeldovich, Rev.Mod.Phys. 61, 185 (1989).
- [22] The quasi-one-dimensional regime can be probed in MD simulations in 2D. The parameters must be chosen so that the gas is dilute, the clustering instability in the xdirection is strong, and the shear/clustering instabilities in the y-direction are strongly suppressed [18].
- [23] E.Ben-Naim, S.Y. Chen, G D. Doolen, and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4069 (1999).
- [24] S. M CN am ara and W R. Young, Phys. Rev. E 50, 28R (1994).
- [25] SN.Gurbatov, AN.Malakhov, and A.I.Saichev, Nonlinear Random W aves and Turbulence in Nondispersive Media: W aves, Rays, Particles (M anchester University Press, M anchester, 1991), Chapter 4.
- [26] V J. Amold, Catastrophe Theory (Springer, Berlin, 1992); JW. Bruce, J. London M ath. Soc. 33 (2), 375 (1986).

[27] JD.Barrow and P.Saich, Class. Quantum Grav. 10, 79 (1993).