## Comment on \Charge expulsion and electric eld in superconductors"

Tom io Koyam a Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980–8577, Japan

M arch 22, 2024

## A bstract

We propose a generalized London theory that can correctly describe the longitudinal and transverse responses of conventional superconductors to an electrom agnetic eld. The continuity equation is satis ed by use of a special gauge for the scalar and vector potentials. Our phenom enological theory provides a sim ple exam ple of the Anderson-Higgs m echanism.

In ref.[1] H irsch claim ed that hole superconductors should carry a surface charge in the ground state even without an applied electric eld and calculated phenom enologically the inhom ogeneous charge appearing inside a superconductor. In this comment we point out that the phenom enological calculation given in ref.[1] for the charge distribution is not correct, which includes a m isunderstanding of the electrodynamics of a superconductor. Since a similar m isunderstanding is also seen in recent articles [2, 3], it will be worth presenting the correct phenom enological theory based on the generalized London theory for the electrodynamics of a superconductor.

In ref.[1] the charge density (r) in a superconductor is assumed to satisfy the equation,

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4 - \frac{2}{L}} \mathbf{A}_{0}(\mathbf{r}); \qquad (1)$$

under the Lorenz gauge  $\tilde{r} = \tilde{A}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{c} \theta_t A_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ with  $(A_0; \tilde{A})$  being the scalar and vector potentials.

In eq.(1)  $_{\rm L}$  is the London penetration depth, which indicates that the screening length of a longitudinalelectric eld in the superconducting state is equal to the London penetration depth, not the Thomas-Ferm i screening length TF. This point is stressed in ref.[1,2,3] as the rem arkable electric properties in the superconducting state. How ever, eq.(1) cannot be accepted, because it is against the general understanding that the charge screening length is still given by  $_{TF}$  in the superconducting state. The charge density (r;t) induced by an external electric eld in the superconducting state can be calculated m icroscopically within the linear gesponse theory, using the formula,  $(r;t) = e^{2} dt^{0} dr^{0} K (r r^{0}; t t^{0}) A_{0} (r^{0}; t^{0}),$ where K  $(r r^0; t t^0)$  is the density-density correlation function, i.e., K  $(r r^0; t t^0) =$ i (t t<sup>0</sup>) <  $[\hat{n}(\mathbf{r};t);\hat{n}(\mathbf{r}^{0};t^{0})] > .$  In the lowest order (single-loop) approximation for K ( $r r^0$ ; t t<sup>0</sup>) of a s-wave BCS superconductor one obtains the equation in the static lim it.

$$(\mathbf{r}) = e^{2} \mathbf{K} (\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{A}_{0} (\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}; \qquad (2)$$

where

$$K (q) = \frac{X}{E_{k}E_{k+q}} \frac{E_{k}E_{k+q} + 2}{E_{k}E_{k+q}(E_{k} + E_{k+q})}; \quad (3)$$

with 
$$E_k = \frac{p_{\frac{2}{k}+2}}{k}$$
. From eq.(3) it follows

$$K(0) = \frac{X}{R} \frac{2}{E_{k}^{3}} = 2N(0); \qquad (4)$$

in the limit q! 0, where N (0) is the density of states at the Ferm i level. Note that the gap function disappears after the k-integration. Then, one nds the equation valid in the long wavelength region,

$$(\mathbf{r}) = 2e^{2}N(0)A_{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4 \frac{2}{TF}}A_{0}(\mathbf{r}):$$
 (5)

Note that the charge screening length coincides with the Thom as Ferm i length  $^2_{\rm TF} = 1 = (8 \ e^2 N \ (0))$ . From this observation one understands that the phenom enological theory should be constructed in terms of eq.(5) instead of eq.(1), together with the London equation. In this case the problem is that what gauge should be chosen, since the continuity equation is not fulled if one uses the Lorenz gauge, which indicates that the Lorenz gauge should be abandoned. Note that the substitution of eq.(5) and the London equation into the continuity equation,  $e_t + \tilde{r} = 0$ , leads to the equation as follows,

$$\tilde{r} \quad \tilde{A}(\mathbf{r};t) + \frac{\frac{2}{L}}{\frac{2}{\Gamma_{F}}} \frac{1}{c} \Theta_{t} A_{0}(\mathbf{r};t) = 0:$$
 (6)

W e propose that eq.(6) should be regarded as the gauge condition for  $(A_0; \tilde{A})$ . Notice that the ratio of the screening lengths in eq.(6) can be rewritten as  $^2_L = ^2_{T\,F} = c^2 = \frac{1}{3}v^2_F$   $c^2 = v^2_{G\,B}$ , with  $v_F$  being the Ferm i velocity, if one assumes  $_{\rm TF}$  and  $_{\rm ib}$  in the free electron m odel. N ote that  $v_{\text{G}\ \text{B}}$  $v_F = 3 \cosh \theta$ cides with the velocity of the Goldstone boson in the superconducting state. The gauge function '(r;t), which may be considered as the phase of the order parameter, i.e., the Goldstone boson, can be introduced by the gauge transform ation,  $\tilde{A} ! \tilde{A} = \frac{hc}{e} r'$ and  $A_0 ! A_0 + \frac{h}{e} Q_t'$  with e = 2e so that the new gauge eld also satis es eq.(6). It is also noted that the M axwell-London equations in our theory can be derived from the gauge-invariant Lagrangian,

$$L = \frac{1}{8 e^{2} \frac{2}{L}} n \frac{c^{2}}{v_{GB}^{2}} e A_{0} + h \theta_{t}'^{2}$$
$$e A h c \tilde{r}'^{2} + \frac{E^{2}}{8} \frac{B^{2}}{8}$$
(7)

This Lagrangian describes the massive plasm a modes. Under the gauge condition (6) one can obtain the dispersion relations,  $!_{\rm T} (p^2) = !_p^2 (1 + !_L^2 p^2)$  for the transverse one and  $!_L (p^2) = !_p^2 (1 + (v_{GB}^2 = c^2) !_L^2 p^2)$  for the longitudinal one with  $!_p = c = L$ . In the neutral case i.e., e = 0, the Lagrangian is reduced to the one for the masslessiscalar eld,  $L = hc^2 = (8 e^2 !_L^2) v_{GB}^2 !_C t'^2 !_T t'^2$ . Then, eq.(7) indicates that the Goldstone boson ' is absorbed into the gauge eld  $(A_0; A)$ , i.e., the Anderson-Higgs mechanism [4]. Thus, our phenom enological theory provides the consistent description for the electrodynam ics of a superconductor, in which the charge screening length is given by the Thom as Ferm i length. The gauge condition given in eq.(6) was proposed in ref.[5] and is called the phason gauge.

## References

- [1] J.E.Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 68 184502 (2003).
- [2] J.G ovaerts, D.Bertrand and G.Stenuit, Supercond.Sci.Technol. 14 463 (2001).
- [3] J.E.Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 69 214515 (2004).
- [4] See, for example, S. W einberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996)chapt 21.
- [5] H.M atsum oto and H.Um ezawa, Fortschr. Phys. 24 357 (1976).