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#### Abstract

We show that a Bose-condensed gas under extreme rotation in a 2D anisotropic trap, forms a novel elongated quantum fluid which has a roton-maxon excitation spectrum. For a sufficiently large interaction strength, the roton energy reaches zero and the system undergoes a second order quantum transition to the state with a periodic structure - rows of vortices. The number of rows increases with the interaction, and the vortices eventually form a triangular Abrikosov lattice.


PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 05.30.Jp, 67.40.Db.

Rotating Bose Einstein condensates (BEC) of trapped atoms constitute a novel many-body system where nucleated quantized vortices form a triangular lattice 1], and a fast rotation is expected to change dramatically the properties of the gas. In a harmonically trapped BEC rotating at a frequency $\Omega$ close to the trap frequency in the rotation plane $\omega_{\perp}$, the vortex lattice can melt when the number of vortices approaches the number of particles [2, 3]. In this respect, there is an analogy with type-II superconductors, which undergo a transition to the normal phase above a critical value of the magnetic field [4]. Possible signatures of melting of the vortex lattice in rapidly rotating BEC's were observed in experiments at JILA and at ENS [5]. The proposals that are put forward to describe the state of the rapidly rotating Bose gas include yrast states [6], correlated quantum Hall states of bosons [3, 7], and a giant vortex state [8].

In this letter we show that an anisotropy in the trapping potential can drastically change the picture. As found at ENS [9], a Bose gas under critical rotation $\left(\Omega \sim \omega_{\perp}\right)$ can become very elongated in one direction in the rotating frame. We focus on this case and assume that $\Omega$ is close to the smallest of the trap frequencies in the rotation plane. Then the condensate becomes free along the direction of the weaker confinement and forms a novel quantum fluid in a narrow channel. The excitation spectrum of this fluid has a "roton-maxon" character and becomes unstable at a critical interaction strength. This instability leads to the formation of a periodic structure which represents vortex rows. An increase in the interaction (decrease in the anisotropy) increases the number of rows and reduces the correlation length, and the gas ultimately enters the strongly correlated regime.

We consider a two-dimensional (2D) Bose-condensed gas at zero temperature, rotating with frequency $\Omega$ and harmonically trapped with frequencies $\omega_{x, y}=\omega_{\perp} \sqrt{1 \mp \epsilon}$ along the $x, y$ axes in the rotating frame. The Hamiltonian of the system in this frame reads (see [10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\int d^{2} r \tilde{\Psi}^{\dagger}\left[\frac{(-i \hbar \vec{\nabla}+m \vec{r} \times \vec{\Omega})^{2}}{2 m}+V_{e f f}(\vec{r})+\frac{g}{2} \tilde{\Psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\Psi}\right] \tilde{\Psi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \tilde{\Psi}$ are bosonic field operators, $m$ is the particle mass, $g$ is the coupling constant for the mean-field interaction [11], and the effective trapping potential is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e f f}(\vec{r})=(m / 2)\left[\left(\omega_{x}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(\omega_{y}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) y^{2}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian (11) is analogous to that of charged particles in the magnetic field, and in this respect the quantity $m \vec{r} \times \vec{\Omega}$ is the gauge field. We consider the limit of extreme rotation, where $\Omega=\omega_{x} \equiv \omega_{\perp} \sqrt{1-\epsilon}$ and the gas becomes free along the $x$ direction. Then, assuming that in this direction the atoms are confined in a large rectangular box of size $L$, the gas becomes a long cigar. After the gauge transformation $\tilde{\Psi}=\Psi e^{i m \Omega x y / \hbar}$, the Hamiltonian in the Landau gauge can be written as:

$$
H=\int d^{2} r \Psi^{\dagger}\left[\frac{\left(p_{x}+2 m \Omega y\right)^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{m \omega_{-}^{2} y^{2}}{2}+\frac{g}{2} \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi\right] \Psi,(3)
$$

where $\omega_{-}=\omega_{\perp} \sqrt{2 \epsilon} \ll \Omega$, assuming a small ellipticity $\epsilon$.
Omitting the interaction term, the single particle eigenstates are the Landau levels [12] separated from each other by an energy gap $\sim 2 \hbar \Omega$. In the dilute limit, where the mean field interaction $g n_{2 D} \ll \hbar \Omega$, $\left(n_{2 D}\right.$ is the 2D density), we may restrict our discussion within the lowest Landau level. Then the field operator can be written in the form $\Psi=\sum_{k} \phi_{k} a_{k}$, where $a_{k}$ is the creation operator of a particle with momentum $k$ along the x direction and $\phi_{k}$ is the corresponding eigenfunction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k}(x, y)=\frac{\exp (i k x)}{\left(\pi l_{0}^{2} L^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y}{l_{0}}+\frac{\Omega k l_{0}}{\tilde{\Omega}}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}=\sqrt{\Omega^{2}+\omega_{-}^{2} / 4}$, and $l_{0}=(\hbar / 2 m \tilde{\Omega})^{1 / 2}$. Then, after the spatial integration of Eq. (3), we obtain an effective one-dimensional (1D) Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\sum_{k}\left(\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 m^{*}\right) a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k}+\left(g^{*} / 2 L\right) \sum_{k, k^{\prime}, q} a_{k+q}^{\dagger} a_{k^{\prime}-q}^{\dagger} a_{k} a_{k^{\prime}} \\
& \exp \left\{-l_{0}^{2}\left[\left(k-k^{\prime}+q\right)^{2}+q^{2}\right] / 2\right\} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g^{*}=g / \sqrt{2 \pi} l_{0}$ is an effective 1D coupling constant. The Hamiltonian (5) describes particles with a large effective mass $m^{*}=m\left(2 \tilde{\Omega} / \omega_{-}\right)^{2} \gg m$. The fact that $m^{*}$ is not infinite and the kinetic energy term is still present originates from the asymmetry of the trapping potential. This asymmetry leads to a small difference between the frequencies $\Omega$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$. However, once the finite kinetic energy term is extracted one may put $\tilde{\Omega}=\Omega$, and we have done this in the second term on the rhs of Eq. (5).

The momentum dependence of the interaction term in the Hamiltonian (5) originates from the presence of the gauge field. The wavefunctions of particles which have opposite momenta in the $x$ direction are shifted in opposite directions along the $y$ axis, which decreases their overlap and reduces the interaction amplitude.

The behavior of the system is governed by the particles with momenta $k \lesssim l_{0}^{-1}$, for which the extension of the wave function in the $y$ direction is $l_{0}$. If the 1 D density $n=N / L$ ( N is the total number of particles) satisfies the condition $n l_{0} \ll 1$, then we are dealing with a 1 D Bose gas. In this case, characteristic momenta that are important are at least of the order of $n^{-1}$ or smaller. They satisfy the condition $k l_{0} \ll 1$, and the exponential term in Eq. (5) is equal to unity. Then the Hamiltonian (5) corresponds to the Lieb-Liniger model for the 1D Bose gas, well described in literature 13].

We, therefore, focus on the other extreme, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n l_{0} \gg 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the system can be viewed as a 2D gas in a narrow channel. The 2 D density is $\sim n / l_{0}$ and the interaction energy per particle is $I \sim n g / l_{0}$. The characteristic kinetic energy of a particle at the mean distance from other particles is $K \sim \hbar^{2} n / m^{*} l_{0}$, and for $K \gg I$ the wave function of the particle at such interparticle distances is not influenced by the interactions. The gas is then in the weakly interacting mean-field regime. The criterion of weak interactions takes the form (see, for example 14]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{*} g / \hbar^{2} \ll 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation length is $l_{c} \sim \hbar / \sqrt{m^{*} g^{*} n}$, and for $l_{c} \gg l_{0}$ the gas enters the 1D regime. In this case the 1D criterion of weak interactions, $m^{*} g^{*} / \hbar^{2} n \ll 1$, is automatically satisfied under the conditions (6) and (7).

For the weakly interacting 2D Bose gas in a narrow channel, as well as in the 1D Bose gas, for a sufficiently large size $L$ the ground state can be a quasi-condensate. In this state the density fluctuations are suppressed, but the phase fluctuates in the $x$ direction on a distance scale greatly exceeding $l_{c}$ 14]. However, locally the quasi-condensate is indistinguishable from a true BEC. As follows from the analysis of hydrodynamic equations in the density-phase representation 14], the excitation spectrum is the same as the one obtained in the Bogoliubov approach assuming that most particles are in the
condensate. Employing this approach we first reduce the Hamiltonian (5) to a bilinear form:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{b} & =\sum_{k}\left[\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 m^{*}+2 n g^{*} \exp \left\{-k^{2} l_{0}^{2} / 2\right\}\right] a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k} \\
& +\left(n g^{*} / 2\right) \sum_{k} \exp \left\{-k^{2} l_{0}^{2}\right\}\left(a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{-k}^{\dagger}+a_{k} a_{-k}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, diagonalizing the Hamiltonian (8) we obtain the excitation spectrum:

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^{2}(k)= & {\left[\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 m^{*}+g^{*} n\left\{2 \exp \left(-k^{2} l_{0}^{2} / 2\right)-1\right\}\right]^{2} } \\
& -g^{* 2} n^{2} \exp \left\{-2 k^{2} l_{0}^{2}\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The key feature of the excitation energy (9) is the momentum dependence of the interaction terms proportional to $g^{*}$. The structure of the spectrum depends on the ratio of the mean-field interaction to the kinetic energy at momentum $k \approx 1 / l_{0}$. This ratio can take both small and large values and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{n g^{*}}{\hbar^{2} / 2 m^{*} l_{0}^{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\frac{m^{*} g}{\hbar^{2}}\right) n l_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In units of $m \hbar \tilde{\Omega} / m^{*}$, the excitation energy is a universal function of $\beta$ and $k l_{0}$. For small $\beta$, the interaction terms proportional to $g^{*}$ in Eq.(9) are important only at $k \ll 1 / l_{0}$, where they become momentum independent. Then Eq.(9) gives the ordinary Bogoliubov spectrum, with a small sound velocity $c_{s}=\sqrt{n g^{*} / m^{*}}$.


FIG. 1: Excitation energy (in units of $m \hbar \tilde{\Omega} / m^{*}$ ) versus $k l_{0}$.
For $\beta \gtrsim 1$ the situation drastically changes. The interaction is already important for momenta $k \gtrsim 1 / l_{0}$, where the interaction dependent terms in Eq.(9) decrease with increasing $k$. For this reason the spectrum develops the roton-maxon structure for $\beta>2.6$ (see Fig.1). This structure is well-known in the physics of superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ and is predicted for trapped dipolar condensates [15] and studied for lattice bosons with long-range interactions [16]. The roton minimum is located at $k \sim 1 / l_{0}$ and the corresponding excitation energy decreases with increasing $\beta$. For a critical value $\beta=4.9$, the roton minimum reaches zero at $k=k_{c}=1.6 / l_{0}$ and a further increase in $\beta$ makes the system unstable. We thus conclude that our weakly interacting 2D Bose-condensed gas in a narrow
channel is stable for $\beta \leq 4.9$, exhibiting a roton-maxon spectrum in the range $2.6<\beta<4.9$. For $\beta>4.9$, where the Bose-condensed state is unstable, one has to find a new ground state.

At the instability point the excitations with momenta $\pm k_{c}$ can be excited without any cost of energy. This indicates that the ground state macroscopic wavefunction can contain several momentum components. A general form of this type of wavefunction reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sqrt{N}\left[C_{0} \phi_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(C_{k_{i}} \phi_{k_{i}}+C_{-k_{i}} \phi_{-k_{i}}\right)\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absence of current in the $x$-direction requires $\left|C_{k_{i}}\right|=$ $\left|C_{-k_{i}}\right|$, and the normalization condition reads $\left|C_{0}\right|^{2}+$ $2 \sum_{i}^{j}\left|C_{k_{i}}\right|^{2}=1$. Note that Eq.(11) gives two possibilities: a $(2 j+1)$ component wavefunction with $C_{0} \neq 0$, and a $2 j$ component wavefunction for which $C_{0}=0$.

To understand the instability of the single component state, we consider a wavefunction with three components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sqrt{N}\left[C_{k} \phi_{k}+C_{0} e^{i \theta} \phi_{0}+C_{-k} \phi_{-k}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here all the $C$-coefficients are real, and we may put $C_{k}=C_{-k}$. The quantity $\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}$ represents the occupation number of the mode with momentum $k$. Normalization of the wavefunction requires $2\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}+C_{0}^{2}=1$. We then find the critical value $\beta_{c}$ of the parameter $\beta$, above which this 3 -component state has lower energy than the single component one. The wavefunction (12) leads to $a_{0}=C_{0}$, $a_{ \pm k}=C_{k}$ in the Hamiltonian(5), and we obtain the energy per particle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[C_{k}, k\right] / N=A(k)\left|C_{k}\right|^{4}+B(k)\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}+g^{*} n / 2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(k)=g^{*} n\left[3-8 t+2 t^{4}-4 t^{2} \cos (2 \theta)\right]  \tag{14}\\
& B(k)=\hbar^{2} k^{2} / m^{*}-g^{*} n\left[2-4 t-2 t^{2} \cos (2 \theta)\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $t=\exp \left\{-k^{2} l_{0}^{2} / 2\right\}$. For $\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}<1 / 2$, the energy is minimized for $\cos (2 \theta)=-1$, and we have $A(k)>$ 0 . Minimizing $E$ with respect to $\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}$, yields $\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}=$ $-B(k) / 2 A(k)$. The energy of the 3 -component state is

$$
E / N=g^{*} n / 2-B^{2} / 4 A
$$

and it is lower than the energy of the single component state. The physically acceptable solution requires $B(k)<0$. Therefore, the transition from the single to 3 component state occurs when the minimum value of $B(k)$ reaches zero. Using Eq.(15) we find that this happens at $k=k_{c}$ and $\beta=\beta_{c}=4.9$. So, the 3 -component solution becomes the ground state for $\beta>4.9$. This breaks the translational symmetry and gives rise to a modulation of the density along the $x$-axis with a period of $2 \pi / k_{c}$, which


FIG. 2: The number of components $\left(N_{r}\right)$ in the ground state macroscopic wavefunction for various values of $\beta$.
is similar to a scenario proposed for superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ flowing along a capillary with a velocity exceeding the critial Landau velocity [17].

A 3-component wavefunction can be viewed as two vortex rows along the $x$-axis. The nodes in the $x, y$ plane are obtained straightforwardly, and near the transition point they are very far from the line $y=0$. The transition from the single to 3 -component wavefunction can be treated as a second order quantum transition. The energy and chemical potential $\mu$ change continuously, whereas the compressibility undergoes a jump at the transition point. For the 3 -component state it is smaller by an amount

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n}\right)=\frac{2 g^{*}\left[1-t_{c}\right]^{4}}{\left[3-8 t_{c}+4 t_{c}^{2}+2 t_{c}^{4}\right]} \approx 0.5 g^{*} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{c}=\exp \left\{-k_{c}^{2} l_{0}^{2} / 2\right\} \approx 0.28$.
Near the transition point quantum fluctuations increase due to the vanishing excitation energy at the roton minimum. This energy can be expressed as

$$
\epsilon(k)=\left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m^{*} l_{0}^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{2}{\beta_{c}}\left(\beta_{c}-\beta\right)+\gamma l_{0}^{4}\left(k^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.12$. For the mean square fluctuations of the density we then obtain:

$$
\left(\frac{\delta n}{n}\right)^{2}=\int \frac{d k}{2 n \pi}\left[\frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m^{*} \epsilon(k)}-1\right] \approx \frac{1}{n l_{0}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{c}-\beta}\right)
$$

These fluctuations become large for $\delta \beta=\left(\beta_{c}-\beta\right) \lesssim$ $\exp \left(-n l_{0}\right)$. Thus, the transition from a single to 3 component wavefunction occurs in the interval $\delta \beta$, which is exponentially narrow due to the inequality (6). The behavior of the system in this region requires a special investigation and is beyond the scope of this paper.

It is important that already for $\beta=5.4$ the ground state wavefunction changes from 3 to 2 -component, and it again becomes 3 -component at $\beta=20$. With increasing $\beta$ (either increasing $g^{*} n$ or decreasing $\omega_{-}$), more momentum states are macroscopically occupied. This is because an increase of $\beta$ is equivalent to increasing the effective mass $m^{*}$, which makes momentum states in the lowest Landau level (LLL) more degenerate.

Our results for the number of momentum components in the ground state wavefunction are displayed in Fig.2.


FIG. 3: Density profile of 2-component (I), 3-component (II), and 5 -component (III) states. (Lengths are in units of $l_{0}$ ).

These states describe one or several vortex rows along the x-axis. For example, a two component state represents one vortex row (see Fig. 3 I). Eventually, a triangular Abrikosov vortex lattice 18] is formed when increasing $\beta$ to very large values. It should be mentioned here that the structure of vortex rows has been obtained in the studies of type-II superconductors [19] and in the studies of condensates in rotating anisotropic traps [20].

In the presence of many rows of vortices, we may rely on an average vortex description in the LLL. Using the relation $<\phi_{k}\left|y^{2} / l_{0}^{2}\right| \phi_{k}>=k^{2} l_{0}^{2}+1 / 2$, we write the energy functional of the system in the form: $E[\rho]=$ $\int d^{2} r\left[m \omega_{-}^{2} y^{2} \rho+g \rho^{2}\right] / 2$. Minimizing the energy we obtain the coarse grained density $\rho(y)=\frac{1}{g}\left[\mu-\frac{1}{2} m \omega_{-}^{2} y^{2}\right]$, which smooths out density modulations introduced by vortex rows. The size of the vortex core is always $\sim l_{0}$ and the number of the vortex rows is $\sim \beta^{1 / 3}$. The energy per particle is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{N} \approx \hbar \tilde{\Omega}\left(\frac{\omega_{-}}{2 \Omega}\right)^{2} \frac{3}{5}(3 \sqrt{2 \pi} \beta / 4)^{2 / 3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result of Eq.(17) deviates by less than $10 \%$ from the energy obtained by the full numerical minimization.

The vortex lattice is expected to melt when the number of vortices $N_{v}$ approaches the number of particles $N$ [3, 21]. In our case this condition leads to $m^{*} g / \hbar^{2} \sim n^{2} l_{0}^{2}$. However, our approach of the weakly interacting gas requires $m^{*} g / \hbar^{2} \ll 1$ and, as we are considering large values of $n l_{0}$, it breaks down before the melting transition. Therefore, the description of this transition requires a more elaborate treatment of quantum fluctuations.

In conclusion, we have shown that a 2D BEC at extreme rotation frequency in an elliptic trap forms a novel quantum fluid in a narrow channel. The behavior of this fluid is determined by the parameter $\beta$ Eq.(10) which increases with the interaction strength and effective mass $m^{*}$. For $\beta<\beta_{c}=4.9$, the excitation spectrum of the fluid has a small sound velocity and exhibits a rotonmaxon character. At a critical interaction strength $\beta_{c}$, the roton energy reaches zero and the uniform (in the long direction) ground state becomes unstable. The system then undergoes a second order quantum transition to the state with a periodic structure which can be viewed
as rows of vortices. For $\beta>\beta_{c}$, with increasing the interaction parameter $\beta$, more vortex rows are nucleated. Finally the vortices form the Abrikosov lattice which can melt due to quantum fluctuations.
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