

# The Gap Function $\Delta(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$ for a Two-leg t-J Ladder and the Pairing Interaction

Didier Poilblanc

Laboratoire de Physique Theorique CNRS-UMR 5152,  
Universite Paul Sabatier, F-31062 Toulouse, France

D. J. Scalapino<sup>y</sup>

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 USA

(Dated: March 22, 2004)

## Abstract

The gap function  $\Delta(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$ , determined from a Lanczos calculation for a doped 2-leg t-J ladder, is used to provide insight into the spatial and temporal structure of the pairing interaction. It implies that this interaction is a local near-neighbor coupling which is retarded. The onset frequency of the interaction is set by the energy of an  $S = 1$  magnon-hole-pair and it is spread out over a frequency region of order the bandwidth.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Mg

---

Electronic address: didier.poilblanc@irsam.cups-tlse.fr

<sup>y</sup>Electronic address: djs@vulcan2.physics.ucsb.edu

The momentum and frequency dependence of the superconducting gap function  $\Delta(k; \omega)$  provides information on the spatial and dynamic structure of the pairing interaction. Traditionally, electron tunneling has been used to explore the frequency dependence of the gap [1]. Presently, angular resolved photoemission spectroscopy [2] (ARPES) and scanning tunneling microscopy [3, 4] (STM) open the possibility of obtaining both  $k$  and  $\omega$  information about the superconducting gap. However, approaches to extract this information on  $\Delta(k; \omega)$  from such experiments are still being explored. As has been previously discussed, a  $k$  and  $\omega$  dependent gap function for finite  $t$ - $J$  ladders can be obtained using Lanczos exact diagonalization [5, 6]. Here, using results for  $\Delta(k; \omega)$  obtained for a doped 2-leg  $t$ - $J$  ladder, we explore what can be learned once data for  $\Delta(k; \omega)$  becomes available.

The Hamiltonian for a 2-leg  $t$ - $J$  ladder can be written as

$$H = J_{\text{rung}} \sum_i S_{i1} \cdot S_{i2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i n_{i1} n_{i2} + J_{\text{leg}} \sum_i S_{i+1;1} \cdot S_{i;1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i n_{i+1;1} n_{i;1} \\ + t_{\text{rung}} \sum_i c_{i;1}^\dagger c_{i;2} + \text{h.c.} + t_{\text{leg}} \sum_i c_{i+1;1}^\dagger c_{i;1} + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)$$

where  $c_i$  are projected hole operators (spin indices are omitted) and  $i = (1; 2)$  labels the two legs of the ladder. We will consider the isotropic case in which  $J_{\text{rung}} = J_{\text{leg}} = J$  and  $t_{\text{rung}} = t_{\text{leg}} = t$  and our calculations will be carried out for a periodic  $2 \times 12$  ladder at  $1/8$  and  $1/6$  hole doping.

As previously discussed, a gap function can be extracted by combining Lanczos results for the usual one-electron Green's function  $G(k; \omega)$  with the Fourier transform of Gorkov's off-diagonal Green's function

$$F(k; t) = i \hbar T \langle c_k; (t=2) c_k; (t=2)^\dagger \rangle \quad (2)$$

Here, for a finite system, this expectation value is taken between the ground states for  $N$  and  $N+2$  particles and we choose the phase of  $F$  to be zero. We will take  $N = 22$ ,  $N+2 = 20$  corresponding to an average filling  $n = \frac{21}{24} = 0.875$ . The Dyson equations [7] relating  $G$  and  $F$  are

$$[\omega - \epsilon_k + X(k; \omega)] G(k; \omega) = 1 - \Delta(k; \omega) F(k; \omega) \quad (3)$$

$$[\omega - \epsilon_k + X(k; \omega)] F(k; \omega) = -\Delta(k; \omega) G(k; \omega) \quad (4)$$

with  $Z$  and  $X$  the usual Nambu self energies and  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$  the gap function. Then, using the even  $(k \rightarrow -k)$  parity of  $Z(k; i\omega)$ ,  $X(k; i\omega)$ ,  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$  and the even  $\omega$  dependence of  $Z(k; i\omega)$ ,  $X(k; i\omega)$  and  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$ , we have

$$\Delta(k; i\omega) = \frac{F(k; i\omega)}{F^2(k; i\omega) + G(k; i\omega)G(k; -i\omega)} : \quad (5)$$

Alternatively,  $Z(k; i\omega)$  can be eliminated to obtain an expression for the superconducting gap

$$\Delta(k; i\omega) = \frac{\Delta(k; i\omega)}{Z(k; i\omega)} = \frac{2iF(k; i\omega)}{G(k; i\omega) - G(k; -i\omega)} : \quad (6)$$

From a numerical calculation of  $G(k; i\omega)$  and  $F(k; i\omega)$ ,  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$  has been obtained for a 32-site,  $t$ - $J$  cluster [5]. This extended previous work by Ohta et. al [8] who fit the spectral weight  $\text{Im} F(k; i\omega)$  to a  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  BCS-Bogoliubov quasiparticle form in which the frequency dependence of the gap was neglected.

In contrast to the long range order of the superconducting ground state of a 2D lattice, a 2-leg ladder exhibits power law pair field correlations [10, 11] which decay as  $x^{-1}$ . Here,  $\chi$  is the Luttinger liquid parameter associated with the massless charge mode. This implies that for a ladder of length  $L$ , the off-diagonal Green's function  $F(k; i\omega)$  decays [12, 13, 14] as  $(\omega L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Here, the coherence length is proportional to the inverse of the gap. For our doped ladder  $L = 12$  is of order this coherence length so that we can probe the internal  $k$  structure of a pair.

For  $J/t = 0.4$  and  $1/8$  doping, the  $k$ -dependence of the zero frequency gap  $\Delta(k_x, k_y; i=0)$  is plotted in Fig. 1 for the bonding ( $k_y = 0$ ) and antibonding ( $k_y = \pi$ ) bands. For comparison, the solid curves correspond to  $\Delta_0 (a \cos k_x - \cos k_y)$  with  $\Delta_0 = 0.3$  and  $a = 0.8$ . This d-wave-like  $k$ -dependence of the gap function is similar to the behavior found in previous studies of both the  $t$ - $J$  [8] and Hubbard ladders [9]. It implies that the spatial structure of the pairing interaction is dominantly a near-neighbor interaction.

The frequency dependence of the real and imaginary parts of  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$  are shown in Fig. 2 for  $k$  values which are near the Fermi surface of the bonding (red) and antibonding (green) bands respectively. In general, the gap function  $\Delta(k; i\omega)$  is a complex frequency-dependent function  $\Delta_1 + i\Delta_2$  with the imaginary part associated with dynamic decay processes. For our doped 2-leg ladder with  $J/t = 0.4$ , the magnitudes of the zero frequency gaps  $\Delta_0 = |\Delta(k_F; 0)|$  obtained from eq. (6), for both the bonding and antibonding Fermi points, are of order  $0.15t$ .

The gap,  $\Delta_0$ , is reduced from  $\Delta(k_F; 0)$  due to the renormalization factor  $Z$ . The onset of the imaginary part of  $\chi_2(k; \omega)$  seen in Fig. 2 (b) appears to occur somewhat below  $3\Delta_0$ . In addition, the peaking in  $\chi_2(k; \omega)$  and the rapid rise in  $\chi_1(k; \omega)$  as this onset frequency is approached suggest that a particular excitation mode occurs at a frequency  $\omega$  such that  $\omega = \Delta_0 + \dots$  determines the onset of  $\chi_2(k; \omega)$ .

The occurrence of an onset peak in  $\chi_2(k; \omega)$  at threshold and the short-range nature of the interaction involving scattering of pairs from the bonding to antibonding band ( $q_y = \dots$ ), imply that the pairing in this energy regime is mediated by an  $S = 1$  channel. This follows from the form of the coherence factor which varies as  $\frac{1}{2} [1 - \frac{(p+q; \omega)(p; \omega)}{E(p+q)E(p)}]$ ; with the plus sign associated with the charge channel and the minus sign with the  $S = 1$  spin channel. For a "d-wave-like" gap with  $q = (k_F \text{ (bonding)} - k_F \text{ (antibonding)}; \dots)$  and  $\omega$  near threshold, the coherence factor goes to 1 for the spin channel and vanishes for the charge channel.

A plot of the low-energy  $S = 1$  excitations for a doped ladder is shown in Fig. 3 (a). The solid diamonds show a collective  $S = 1$  bound magnon-hole-pair [15, 16] mode and the open symbols the  $S = 1$  particle-hole continuum. A measure of the spectral weight of the  $S = 1$  channel which couples to  $\chi(k; \omega)$  is given by the d-wave projection of the spin fluctuation spectral weight

$$V_d(\omega) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k, k^0} (\cos k_x - \cos k_y) (\cos k_x^0 - \cos k_y^0) S(k - k^0; \omega) \quad (7)$$

with

$$S(q; \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ S_q \frac{1}{\omega + E_0 + i\eta} S_q \right] \quad (8)$$

Here  $S_q$  is the Fourier transform of the spin component. A plot of  $V_d(\omega)$  versus  $\omega$  is shown in Fig. 3 (b). The peak at  $\omega \approx 0.15t$  arises from the bound magnon-hole-pair mode and the high frequency weight comes from the particle-hole continuum. We believe that the onset of  $\chi_2(k; \omega)$  seen in Fig. 2 is due to a process in which a single particle excitation with energy  $\omega = \Delta_m + \Delta_0$  emits a bound magnon-hole-pair and drops down to the gap edge while the remaining  $\chi_2(k; \omega) \neq 0$  region arises from a coupling to the  $S = 1$  continuum.

In order to further characterize the dynamic nature of the pairing interaction, we introduce

$$I(k; \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_0^Z d!^0 \frac{\chi_2(k; \omega^0)}{\omega^0} \quad (9)$$

Then, since the gap function satisfies a dispersion relation, one has

$$\Delta_1(\mathbf{k}; 0) = I(\mathbf{k}; \omega = 0) + \Delta_{\text{static}}(\mathbf{k}) : \quad (10)$$

Here,  $\Delta_{\text{static}}(\mathbf{k})$  represents a non-retarded contribution. For example, if one were to make a mean-field approximation in which

$$J = S_i S_j = \frac{1}{4} n_i n_j \quad \rightarrow \quad J = \hbar \omega_i \omega_j + \text{h.c.}$$

one would have an effective attractive pairing interaction which is independent of frequency which would contribute to  $\Delta_{\text{static}}$ . In Fig. 4 (a), we have plotted  $I(\mathbf{k}; \omega) = \Delta_1(\mathbf{k}; 0)$  versus  $\omega$  and one sees that for  $J/t = 0.4$ ,  $I(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  saturates at over 80% of  $\Delta_1(\mathbf{k}; 0)$ . This implies that the dominant part of the pairing interaction comes from dynamic processes. Also shown, Fig. 4 (b), are similar results for  $J/t = 0.8$ . In this, unphysically large,  $J/t$  regime only 60% of the  $\omega = 0$  gap function is associated with a dynamic pairing interaction. This is similar to earlier results for the spatial structure of a pair [17, 18] in which near-neighbor sites for the two holes making up a pair were favored for large values of  $J/t$ . For physical values of  $J/t$ , next-near-neighbor (diagonal) hole-hole occupation was favored. The diagonal structure arises dynamically and reflects the retarded nature of the pairing interaction for physical values of  $J/t$ .

In summary, knowledge of the  $\mathbf{k}$ - and  $\omega$ -dependence of the gap function provides information on the spatial and temporal structure of the pairing interaction. Numerical solutions for the two-leg ladder show that the pairing interaction has a short-range, near-neighbor form, leading to momentum transfer processes which scatter pairs between the bonding and antibonding states. The d-wave-like momentum dependence of the gap function and the  $\omega$  onset of  $\Delta_2(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  imply that the pairing interaction in this energy regime is mediated by the  $S = 1$  channel. This channel contains both a bound magnon-pair state and a continuum of particle-hole excitations. We believe that the magnon-pair mode is responsible for the onset behavior seen in  $\Delta_2(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$ . The dispersion relation for  $\Delta_1(\mathbf{k}; 0)$  shows that for physical values of  $J/t$ , the dominant part of the interaction is dynamic with contributions coming from both the magnon-hole-pair mode and the particle-hole continuum.

Acknowledgments

DJS would like to acknowledge support under NSF Grant # DMR02-11166. DP thanks IDRIS (Orsay, France) for use of supercomputer facilities.

- 
- [1] W.L. McMillan and J.M. Rowell, *Superconductivity I*, Chap. 11, ed. by R.D. Parks, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (1969).
  - [2] A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z.X. Shen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 473 (2003).
  - [3] J.E. Hoffman, E.W. Hudson, K.M. Lang, V. Madhavan, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and J.C. Davis, *Science* 295, 466 (2002).
  - [4] C. Howald, H. Eisaki, N. Kaneko, M. Greven, and A. Kapitulnik, *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 14533 (2003).
  - [5] D. Poilblanc and D.J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 052513 (2002).
  - [6] D. Poilblanc, D.J. Scalapino, and S. Capponi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 137203 (2004).
  - [7] In general, the self-energy is decomposed as  $\Sigma(k;!) + ! (1 - Z(k;!))$ . Although the 2-leg ladder is a Luttinger liquid, the Green's functions for the finite  $2 \times 12$  lattice that we have studied can be parameterized in terms of  $Z(k;!)$ ,  $X(k;!)$ , and  $\chi(k;!)$ .
  - [8] Y. Ohta, T. Shimozato, R. Eder, and S. Makiawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73, 324 (1994).
  - [9] T. Dahm and D.J. Scalapino, *Physica C* 288, 33 (1997).
  - [10] E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, *Science* 271, 618 (1996).
  - [11] C.A. Hayward, D. Poilblanc, R.M. Noack, D.J. Scalapino, W. Hanke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75, 926 (1995).
  - [12] S. Capponi and D. Poilblanc, *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 180503(R) (2002).
  - [13] J. Voit, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 5, 505 (1998); F.J.L. Essler and A.M. Tsvelik, *cond-mat/0205294* (2002).
  - [14] A bosonization calculation shows that  $\chi(k;!)$  given by eq. (6) factors into an  $L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  factor times a function of  $!$  and  $k$  which is independent of  $L$ . See E. Ognac and D. Poilblanc, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 052504 (2003).
  - [15] D. Poilblanc, O. Chiappa, J. Rivera, S.R. White, and D.J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. B* 62, R14633 (2000). See also W. Zheng and C.J. Hamer, *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 085112 (2002).




FIG. 1: The  $k_x$  dependence of  $\Phi(k_x, k_y; \mathbf{r} = 0)$  for the bonding  $k_y = 0$  (red) and antibonding  $k_y = \pi$  (green) bands. For comparison, the solid curves show  $\Phi_0(a \cos k_x - \cos k_y)$  with  $\Phi_0 = 0.3$  and  $a = 0.8$ .

[16] D. Poilblanc, E. O. Rignac, S. R. White, and S. Capponi, Phys. Rev. B 69, 220406(R) (2004).

[17] D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1477 (1994).

[18] S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 55, 6504 (1997).




FIG. 2: Real (a) and imaginary (b) parts of the gap function  $\Phi(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  versus  $\omega$  for a 2D 12 ladder at values of  $\mathbf{k}$  near the bonding (red) and antibonding (green) fermi momenta  $[(\frac{2}{3}; 0)$  and  $(\frac{1}{3}; \pi)$  respectively] for  $J/t = 0.4$ . Here,  $\Delta_0 = \Phi(\mathbf{k}_F; 0)$  and  $\Omega_m$  is the minimum energy for a bound magnon-hole-pair excitation.






FIG .3: (a) Low-energy electron-hole  $S = 1$  excitations for a 1=8-doped  $J/t = 0.4$  ladder for  $q_y = 0$  (circles) and  $q_y = \pi$  (diamonds) momenta. The solid diamonds denote a bound magnon-hole-pair collective mode [6]. (b) "d-wave" projection of the spin fluctuation spectral weight with  $\Omega_m$  the minimum energy of the bound magnon-hole-pair collective mode (1=6 doping).




FIG .4: The integrated contribution of  $I_2(k;!) = I_1(k;0)$  to  $I_1(k;0)$  for the bonding (red), antibonding (green)  $k$ -values shown in Fig. 2. (a) for  $J/t = 0.4$  and (b) for  $J/t = 0.8$ . The non-retarded contributions are shown by arrows.