

SIZE DEPENDENCE, STABILITY, and the TRANSITION to BUCKLING in MODEL REVERSE BILAYERS.

by

J. Stecki

Department III, Institute of Physical Chemistry

Polish Academy of Sciences

ul. Kasprzaka 44/52, 01-224 Warszawa, Poland

April 14, 2024

Abstract

Molecular Dynamics simulations of a model bilayer made of surfactant dimers in a Lennard-Jones solvent are reported for three sizes of the system up to an area of 100×100 and for a large interval of the specific areas: from hole formation under tension to the floppy state of a buckling compressed bilayer. The transition to the floppy state appears quite abrupt and discontinuous; in the floppy state the lateral tension is negative.

Lateral tension and the structure factor were determined for all 3 sizes and all areas; the apparent rigidity constant and apparent surface tension are determined and correlated with the specific area and the finite size. The replacement of the $1/q^2$ capillary-wave divergence by a pole is accounted for and explained.

I. INTRODUCTION .

The selfassembly of amphiphilic molecules dissolved in a solvent, leads to formation of bilayers. The properties of these two-dimensional sheets are of great interest because of their role in living matter. But it is also of interest to investigate the conditions for their formation and equilibrium existence as well as the limits of their stability. In particular, the liquid bilayer need not be necessarily formed by long-chain molecules; bilayers have been obtained in simulations with amphiphilic chains 4 segments long [1,2] and even have been formed by dimers – the shortest chain possible [3]. Also, trimers in vacuum have been shown to form a stable sheet of a bilayer [4]. In [5] we obtained bilayers formed by amphiphilic dimers, of a new kind, namely "reverse" bilayers, so named by analogy with reverse micelles. Though it may appear that a bilayer can be very easily formed but that is not so: in each of these systems the bilayer is formed only within limits of temperature, density, and for given interparticle and intermolecular interactions. Not much about these limits is known. Always in the same system micelles of various shapes can be formed, or the amphiphilic molecules may disperse as a solution in the liquid solvent, if the thermodynamic state favors either. Thus the limits of existence of bilayers and their stability are of interest. Moreover, we have briefly reported [6] for bilayers made of chain molecules unexpected discontinuities in the transitions between the extended and floppy states and it is of interest to check if such discontinuities also appear in the bilayers made of dimers. Those findings [6] for length $l = 8$ segments were confirmed since for $l = 4$ [7].

In this paper our previous work on bilayers formed by the shortest chain-molecule possible, the dimer [5], is extended to much bigger systems in order to study the size dependence. The size dependence study includes the structure factor $S(q)$, describing the shape fluctuations of the bilayer. The presence of regions of the wave-vector q for which $S(q)$ would have to be negative, and the related disappearance of the capillary wave divergence, is explained and resolved.

In Section II the rather extensive results on the lateral tension are reported

and discussed. The transition to floppy buckling bilayer is also examined. The example of the apparent discontinuity in the intermolecular energy is given. In Section III – the results on the structure factor are given. Section IV is devoted to discussion and summary.

The details of the model and the parameters of the simulations are given in Appendix A.

II. THE LATERAL TENSION AND THE SIZE DEPENDENCE.

The lateral tension of a bilayer depends on its area A . As the bilayer is contained in a square box of volume $V = L_z L_x^2$ with periodic boundary conditions, the only area available for external control is $A = L_x L_y = L_x^2$, the edge of the box. A is often called the "projected area". The quantity γ is the free energy increase due to area increase at constant volume V , $\gamma = \partial F / \partial A$. It is computed by the same molecular formula as the surface (interfacial) tension between liquid and vapor (or any other two fluid phases) – i.e. by the Kirkwood-Buff formula [1-7,8,9] as rederived for deformations of a parallelepiped [9]. Constant temperature T and particle numbers N ; N_d being understood,

$$(\partial F / \partial A)_V : \quad (2.1)$$

However, the properties of γ are very much unlike those of the surface tension and, partly for that reason, it is called the lateral tension. The specific area per surfactant head is defined as

$$a = 2A / N_d ; \quad (2.2)$$

where N_d is the number of surfactants. Not only does γ depend on a , but also it can take negative values or zero; the state (i.e. the particular area $a_0 = 2A_0 / N_d$) at which $\gamma = 0$, is the "tensionless state". In view of the definition (2.1), at the tensionless state

$$(\partial F / \partial A)_{A=A_0} = 0 : \quad (2.3)$$

Stability requires (at constant $T;V;N$ again)

$$d^2F/da^2 > 0 \quad (2:4)$$

or

$$\partial^2 F / \partial A^2 > 0: \quad (2:5)$$

This derivative is related to bilayer lateral (inverse) compressibility [1], K_A , formally defined as

$$K_A = a (\partial^2 F / \partial a^2) > 0: \quad (2:6)$$

It must be positive. But itself can be positive, null, or negative; at positive the slope of F favors smaller areas (the familiar situation for interfaces with surface tension) whereas for negative the bilayer prefers to expand towards the tensionless state, (which is the state of lowest free energy, at least locally).

In our previous simulation work [5] we have produced the values of and thus the function (a) in series of simulations with different areas, at constant volume and at the same thermodynamic state. Unlike in earlier investigations[1,2,3,10], we explored[5] negative lateral tensions along with the usual zero and positive tensions in a range of areas as large as possible, i.e. often within the entire range of stability of the bilayer. The results produced[5] curious and unusual shapes of the curves (a). Also, in the transition range (near the tensionless area a_0) there were hints of inflexions in the $F(a)$ curves obtained by numerical integration. It was therefore imperative to find which of these new features would be present in larger systems, and to study quantitatively the size dependence by extending our earlier work to much larger systems.

Fig. 1 shows the three plots of (a) for three systems of nominal sizes $L_x = 36$, $L_x = 55$, and $L_x = 100$ (the unit of length being σ , the collision diameter). Hence all areas are in units of σ^2 . The units used and the parameters are given in all detail in Appendix A.

Remarkably, positive 's fall on a common curve; there is no visible effect of the size. For small areas another region is obtained with a very small, almost

negligible, positive slope and a negative γ . In the latter region a clear size dependence can be seen: smaller j for larger system. The density profiles, i.e. the z dependence of concentrations, and the direct imaging of the system spatial conformation, show a kind of a rough, wavy, fuzzy, crumpled, foamy, or buckled, state of the bilayer in this region. Often the bilayer is full of solvent particles which suddenly find it possible to penetrate into the wavy bilayer.

The conclusion is that there are two regions, (E) and (C). In (E), the region of large specific areas a , the bilayer is extended and gently undulating, the system is stable, $\gamma > 0$, the slope $d\gamma/da > 0$ is positive, large, and common to all sizes. No visible size dependence in $\gamma(a)$ is seen as the data points fall on a common curve. In (C), the region of small specific areas a , the bilayer is compressed and wavy, the lateral tension is negative $\gamma < 0$. The derivative $d\gamma/da > 0$ throughout the entire range. This implies stability. In few instances, at the very lowest investigated values of a , the sign of the derivative was uncertain in the largest system, still practically vanishing within the scatter of the data points.

At each size there is a smooth transition between (E) and (C), as can be seen in Fig.1. This transitional region is rather narrow.

The scaling with size of negative tension data in region (C), was found surprisingly unambiguous.

The area dependence in (C) is very weak; a plateau value γ_p of γ can be assigned to each size. When plotted against the system size, a very satisfactory scaling is obtained in Fig.2. For the measure of the size one can take the edge $L_x = L_y$, or its square, the area $A = L_x L_y$, or $N_d = 2A/a$. Clearly γ_p tends to zero. Moreover it does so along a straight line $\gamma_p = \text{const.}/A$.

There is one calculation [11] which explicitly predicts a negative lateral tension and its saturation to the lower bound given by

$$\alpha_{in}^2 + \gamma = 0: \quad (2:7)$$

Taking for q_{min}^2 the lowest possible Fourier vector $q_{min}^2 = (2\pi/L_x)^2$ we obtain

$$l_{ib} = \frac{4\pi^2 A}{8\pi^2 N_d a} \quad (2.8)$$

For an individual system $N_d = \text{const.}$ and $\text{const.} = a$; otherwise the size dependence at each specific area a is $l_{ib} = N_d$. This is very satisfactory as all these predictions of the theory are confirmed by our data. The quantity l_{ib} is the theoretical microscopic bending (rigidity) coefficient of the membrane, devoid of any surface tension in this theoretical picture. The scaling (2.8) was obtained independently by Ohter[7].

There are other quantities which show a similar kind of discontinuous jump. Most obviously, the lateral inverse compressibility K_A jumps from a high value in region (E) – proportional to a as the slope is common to all sizes and constant within (E) (see Fig.1) – through a smooth transition to a very low value, still positive in the region (C) of the floppy bilayer.

The intermolecular energy is another example. Fig.3 shows just one plot of U vs. a for the largest system; The plot is in accordance with those of (a) in Fig.1: two regions of (almost linear) variation of $U(a)$ are joined by a transition. The region (C) of negative const. corresponds to the region of negative slope dU/da here and the region (E) of positive const. corresponds to the region of positive slope dU/da . When comparing different sizes, no trend was detected in the slopes nor in their difference. The latter appeared to be independent of the bilayer size.

Also histograms of the angle between the axis of the surfactant dimer and the z axis vary with a with some (rounded) discontinuity. Further examples are found with the parameters of the structure factor $S(q)$ in section III.

In small systems the tensionless state $(a_0) = 0$ belongs to the region (E) and the curve (a) crosses the ordinate axis with little change in slope. Also K_A varies little and its value at the tensionless state can be used for prediction of (a) at a range of values $a > a_0$. It is not so for large systems, where the tensionless state falls within the transition region and the derivative $d\text{const.}/da$ changes very fast

in the immediate neighbourhood of the tensionless state. This can be seen again in Fig.1.

The apparent transition merits investigation. The derivative of the lateral tension w.r.to the area, $\sigma = d\gamma(a)/da$, undergoes a discontinuous jump, just like an order parameter would in a first-order transition. This jump is apparent from Fig.1 and 2 and can also be seen from plots of the computed σ from raw data points.

However, for a first-order transition the rounding – as resulting from the finite size – should disappear with size increasing indefinitely. The area a_0 of the tensionless state should stabilize to a definite limit. The point of maximum curvature (maximum change in slope) should merge with the tensionless point a_0 .

Fig.4 shows the hypothetical diagram of σ vs. a at constant $T;V;N$ in which rounding disappeared. The plot reduces then to two straight lines meeting at a transition point $(a_t; \sigma_t)$. A straight line $\sigma(a) = \sigma_t + s(a - a_0)$ with positive slope s for $a > a_0$ (region E) is continued down to the transition point $\sigma_t; a_t$ with $a_0 > a_t, \sigma_t < 0$. The other line may be a constant or may follow the prediction of eq.(2.8) as $\sigma = \gamma/a$ with $\gamma > 0$. This plot would be correct for a classical first-order transition, sharp in the limit of macroscopic system.

The derivative σ may be described by an interpolation formula

$$f(a) = (f_1 + f_2)/2 + (f_2 - f_1) \tanh(c(a - a_0)/2) \quad (2.9)$$

Here $f_1, f_2; c; a_0$ are parameters and c describes the sharpness of the transition. Integration of $f(a)$ produces a function for fitting $\gamma(a)$

$$g(a) = g_0 + (f_1 + f_2)(a - a_0)/2 + (f_2 - f_1) \log[2 \cosh(c(a - a_0)/2)]/2c \quad (2.10)$$

The function $g(a)$ produced very good least-square fits of $\gamma(a)$ for all three sizes with c and a_0 as free parameters.

However, the sharpness parameter c did not vary significantly with size. The other parameters varied very little with size either. Such patterns are not expected

for finite-size scaling of first-order transitions for which the sharpness parameter increases monotonously with size.

It therefore appears that (2.9) and (2.10) are just interpolation formulae for each individual system.

The formulae of Reference [11] which successfully predicted the saturation of χ to negative values in the floppy regime of the bilayer, may also be used for the entire region of specific areas. The smoothed mesoscopic area (as opposed to theoretical intrinsic area A_0 of the membrane) cannot be different from $A = L_x L_y$ as our bilayer is enclosed in a box with periodic boundary conditions. With this simplification, but without any change of physical meaning of all quantities, we can reproduce not too badly the curves (a) as shown in Fig.1. In nondimensional form, appropriate for discussion of size effects, eq (14) of Reference [11] reads:

$$u = 1 + u = v_2 = (1/c) \log \left[\frac{q_{max}^2 +}{bu +} \right]$$

with the abbreviations

$$u = a/a_0 ; c = 8 ; b = 8^2 = a N_d :$$

It is an equation to be solved for $a = a(\chi)$ or $\chi = \chi(a)$. The parameter $1 = v_2 > 0$ makes the fixed intrinsic area a slightly elastic. There is no doubt that of [11] is our χ as it is coupled to $A = L_x^2$.

The relevant parameters have reasonable values: $kT = 5 \cdot 10^{-21} (2.5 \cdot 5) kT$, $a = 2A/N_d = a_{fixed} = 1.13$, $q_{max}^2 = w$ with the width $w = 4.5, 5$, or 6 , q_{min} as explained above $q_{min}^2 = 8^2 = N_d a$, the elasticity parameter is large $v_2 = 30 \cdot 40$.

However, the agreement is only rough; the transition predicted theoretically is much too smooth. The excellent fit of the floppy region (C) provides reliable values of the bending constant but then a straight line in region (E) is impossible to obtain with small values of χ . Conversely, large values of χ , implying a rigid membrane, can produce a straight line in region (E) but then agreement in region

(C) is lost. The best overall reproduction of data was obtained for the smallest system. Also, we ran into numerical contradictions at the tensionless state; there the equations predict a steady shift of a_0 to lower values with the slope at the tensionless state decreasing to zero and our data do not fit this pattern.

Hopefully, the theory sketched in Reference [11] can perhaps serve as a starting point for improvement and also for a prediction of the structure factor.

It is known [12,13] that many transitions leave a signature on C_v . The heat capacity C_v and the function $C_v(a)$ were also extracted from our data. We find a constant C_v within the scatter of data, independent of a , for all three sizes.

III. THE STRUCTURE FACTOR AND ITS POLES.

A. Extracting and fitting $S(q)$.

The shape fluctuations of the two dimensional bilayer sheet immersed in three dimensions, are similar to capillary waves and it is expected that the capillary wave theory may be applicable. However, at the tension free state the lateral tension vanishes. It was demonstrated [1], in a simulation experiment, that the capillary wave divergence

$$S(q) \sim kT = q^2 \quad (3.1)$$

is replaced by a stronger divergence

$$S(q) \sim kT = q^4 \quad (3.2)$$

ruled by the rigidity coefficient. This important result [1] was obtained for the tension-free state $\gamma = 0$. In our work [5], we have investigated states with non-zero γ and have represented the divergent term as

$$S(q) \sim 1/(kx^2 + gx) \sim x \sim q^2 \quad (3.3)$$

The structure factor [1,5,7,13,14,15] for the bilayer is related by Fourier transformation to the correlation $\langle h(x;y)h(x^0;y^0) \rangle$.

The function $h(x,y)$ describes the shape of the bilayer by giving the "height" or the z coordinate, as a function of the position in the x,y plane. The latter is the plane of a perfectly flat bilayer. Now one must define what is meant by the position h . To avoid a certain degree of arbitrariness involved in any smoothing, we use the actual positions r of each head of the amphiphilic molecule, as

$$r_i = (x_i; y_i; z_i) = (x_i; y_i; h(x_i; y_i)):$$

Therefore in

$$S(q) = \langle h_q h_{-q} \rangle \quad (3.4)$$

we take

$$h_q = \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_j \exp[iqR_j] z_j \quad (3.5)$$

Here $R_j = (x_j; y_j)$ is a two-dimensional position vector of the dimer j , z_j its "height", the z coordinate. $q = (q_x; q_y)$ is the two-dimensional Fourier vector. Care is taken of the translational invariance and periodic boundary conditions.

Unlike here (see also [5,6,7]), in some simulation work on bilayers the x,y grids were introduced together with a recipe by which the local height $h(x_n; y_n)$ would be computed [1,14,15].

The average $\langle \dots \rangle$ in (3.4) is the time average over a Molecular Dynamics run.

We found earlier [5] and we find now that the divergent term (3.3) must be supplemented by terms describing the smooth and regular background. There are bulk contributions which extend down to $q > 0$.

The structure factor $S(q)$ determined from the simulation was fitted to the semiempirical formula

$$S = 1/(kx^2 + gx) + p/x + w_0 + w_1x + w_2x^2 \quad (3.6)$$

with $p = 0$ or not and with other constants sometimes put to zero. We introduce the term p/x after Reference [1] where the form $1/kx^2 + p/x$ was used. Our results

for $S(q)$ were obtained along with the results for the lateral tension (see preceding Section), in the same Molecular Dynamics runs. We show $S(q)$ for nominal sizes 36, 55, and 100. Formula (3.6) represents very well all data obtained so far. Formula (3.6) is semi-empirical in the sense that the first term results from the single-mode approximation to the capillary wave hamiltonian [13,16], whereas the polynomial is there to represent the non-singular bulk-like background of $S(q)$ in the bulk including the liquid solvent. Also we must not forget that at or near $q = 2 = \pi/a$ (i.e. near $x = 40$) there appears the nearest-neighbour peak. The nearest-neighbour peak is also present in a distorted form in the height-height correlation functions. The rise in $S(q)$ towards that peak begins quite early, already near $x = 4$ or even less. The polynomial in (3.6) takes care of that, within the limited q range of our data.

The size dependence of $S(q)$ and the lack of it, are illustrated by Fig.5, Fig.6, and Fig.7. These are plots of $S(q)$ against $x = q^2$, each for the three sizes at equal areas per head a . Fig.5 is for such a 's that $\gamma = 0.84$; the systems are clearly inside the region (E), as defined in Section II. Fig.6 is for the tensionless states, or almost tensionless, and Fig.7 is for small a , negative γ , thus deep inside region (C) of floppy bilayers.

These three Figures demonstrate the behaviour of the singular term (3.3). As reported below, k is always positive and $k > 1$. Then for positive g as $x \rightarrow 0$ the curve flattens a little as it crosses over from $(kx^2 + gx)^{-1}$ to $1/gx$ (see Fig.5). For g nearly vanishing (see Fig.6) this flattening disappears and the rigidity divergence $1/kx^2$ dominates. For $k > 0; g < 0$ (see Fig.7) there is a pole on the real axis at

$$x = x^y \quad (g=k) : \quad (3.7)$$

Then $S(x)$ diverges faster than it did, aiming at infinity at the asymptote $x = x^y$. This is what we see in Fig.7 where each system, depending on its size, has its own asymptote. Otherwise at higher values of x , the size appears to affect $S(q)$ very little. The poles of (3.3) and (3.6) are discussed further below in subsection B.

The data on $S(x)$ were fitted to (3.6). Since one has to be careful with least-square fits, as a precaution we have used several versions of (3.6) for each set of data, (a) with $p \neq 0$ and with $p = 0$, (b) with w_2 either zero or not (c) with w_1 zero or not.

Fits proved to be robust in most cases but gave scattered results for the coefficients k and p for systems with large a and area a . One firm conclusion is that always the coefficient k was positive $k > 0$; not a single instance was ever found with $k = 0$ or $k < 0$. Thus k can be interpreted as a rigidity coefficient (up to a constant).

Parameter p was sometimes erratic but other parameters, representing in (3.6) the smooth background, did vary smoothly with a and not much. Protrusions, accounted for as $p=x$, are small-wavelength fluctuations and physically a protrusion may be hardly distinguishable from a nearest-neighbour interaction. That may explain a correlation of the least-square p with w_i 's.

Fig 8. shows the variation of the least-square parameter k with the area a . Referring to the two regions (C) and (E) described in section II, we can distinguish the (E) region of fast increase of k with a , so that the stiff bilayer of high a at large a has a high rigidity, and the buckling floppy bilayer at low a and negative p in region (C) has a relatively low k . This seems plausible. In region (C) the low k stays constant whereas in region (E) it increases overall, in some fits linearly, in some very little. Eliminating a we obtain the variation of least-square parameters with p ; Fig.9 shows the overall increase of $k(p)$.

Fig.10 shows how closely the least-square coefficient g follows p . Nevertheless consistently $g > p$, suggesting these are two different quantities. In fact, it may be argued that g describing the spontaneous distortion-fluctuation of the interface, is coupled to the hypothetical "true area", whereas p is coupled to the "projected area" fixed by the experimentalist. This issue was discussed also recently [7]. These considerations go back to the distinctions between different molecular ex-

pressions[9] for the surface tension of the liquid interface. It appears[17] that in a "normal" interface γ and in a membrane-like sheet of a bilayer, γ from $S(q)$ need not be equal to γ . Consistently, as the Figure shows, $\gamma > \gamma$.

B. The presence of a pole on the q axis.

Returning now to Fig.7 which shows $S(q)$ for negative γ we notice that increasing the size essentially increases the range as the asymptote at $x = x^y$ is pushed to the left to lower values. All the divergences are well handled by (3.3) and (3.6), but for $\gamma < 0; k > 0$ the x axis is divided into two parts $0 < x < x^y$ and $x^y < x$. For $x > x^y$ all is well, but for $0 < x < x^y$ the expressions for $S(q)$ become negative and $S \rightarrow -\infty$ as x tends to x^y from below. Clearly in the interval $0 < x < x^y$ the expression for S is unphysical as the very definition of the scattering factor S makes it a positive quantity. The interval $0 < x < x^y$ must be excluded. As a consequence, we cannot consider the limit $q \rightarrow 0^+$ or $x \rightarrow 0^+$, as is usual for a discussion of the capillary waves and of the "capillary divergence".

The resolution of these points comes from the realization that in defining $S(q)$ as the Fourier transform of a correlation function in the r space, in fact we are dealing with a Fourier series. Indeed the positions r vary continuously but the q vector, $(q_x; q_y)$, is restricted to

$$q_x = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L_x}\right)n_x \quad (n_x = 0; 1; 2; \dots) \quad (3.8)$$

and similarly for q_y . The case $n_x = n_y = 0$ being excluded, the lowest possible value of $|q|$ is $q_0 = \frac{2\pi}{L_x}$ and x cannot be smaller than

$$x_0 = \frac{4\pi^2}{L_x L_y} \quad (3.9)$$

Thus the size of the system is ever present in $S(q)$. For positive k and γ one can speak of the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, but for negative γ this is not correct.

Knowing that for given size x must fulfill $x > x_0$ we must check that the asymptote $x = x^y$ is always beyond reach, i.e. that

$$0 < x^y < x_0 = \frac{4\pi^2}{L_x L_y} \quad (3.10)$$

is always fulfilled. This is the case with all our data and with all our least-square fits. Never any least-square fit had to be rejected because the pole would fall in the physical region $x_0 < x$.

Now we consider the size dependence of the position of the pole. As the parameters k and g vary smoothly (allowing for scatter) so does x^y and therefore the calculated values of $(g=k)$ for some small positive g are also included.

Of great significance is the plot in Fig.11 of x^y against y because here the different sizes fall on a common curve which, with reasonable accuracy, aims at the point $(0,0)$. This is very satisfactory: it means that with the increased system size the position of the asymptote $x = x^y$ tends to 0^+ . Thus the unphysical region $0 < x < x^y$ shrinks to zero with the increased system size.

Such behaviour appears very satisfactory and also in agreement with the content of Section II.

In this way we have resolved a serious difficulty which was either ignored or misinterpreted in the past.

IV . S U M M A R Y and D I S C U S S I O N .

We used atomistic simulations of dimer molecules forming reverse bilayers in a solvent. We obtained results on the bilayer isotherm (a), the apparent buckling transition, the scaling the negative lateral tension of the floppy bilayer, the size dependence of the structure factor $S(q)$, and on the new divergence of S .

These results emphasize again the deep differences between the membrane-like two-dimensional sheets of surfactants and the transition region between two coexisting fluid phases that is given the name of an interface.

The bilayer peculiarities were studied in Section II; the lateral tension of a stable bilayer though given by (2.1) can be null or negative. This is possible owing to the existence of a proper or intrinsic area A of the membrane, constant in a first approximation. In addition to the thermodynamic parameters, the state of

a bilayer depends on the externally enforced area – the edge of the box in the simulation. The function $\gamma(a)$ is the bilayer isotherm.

The few simulations that have gone beyond the tensionless state and have determined the bilayer isotherm for a large range of areas [2,4,5,6,7], all found interesting and nontrivial behaviour. We find size-independent linear $\gamma = 26:(a - a_0)$ for large $a > 0$. In the Lennard-Jones units (Appendix A) the slope is $26: = 4$. In small systems [1,2,5] the isotherm continues down with little change in slope, but in bigger systems there is a very quick change of slope and a transition to a floppy state of a buckling bilayer with negative γ of a at isotherm saturating to the size dependence (2.8). The constraint of constant intrinsic area makes the bilayer to buckle. Negative γ 's can be extremely close to zero.

The bilayer together with the solvent fluctuates owing to thermal motion. In larger systems the undulations destroy the extension of the linear portion of the isotherm into negative γ 's; the tensionless state lies in the region of fast change of the slope. It is an unwelcome piece of news. It means that the inverse compressibility K_A taken at the tensionless state, not only is not easily determined accurately but moreover is not representative – unless the system is small enough. Rather, the slope of the linear part, common to different sizes, is representative.

We also find that that changeover, from region (E) of the common slope to region (C) of negative γ , is very sudden and abrupt, suggestive of a buckling transition [18]. However, such a transition, in the strict meaning of a mathematical singularity, has not been predicted for a bilayer. We find no definite increase in sharpness with size. In bilayers made of long-chain surfactant molecules [6,7], the transition of smaller systems was sudden and appeared truly discontinuous; for large bilayers the shape of $\gamma(a)$ became the same as in Fig.1. A picture emerges of a discontinuous transition which is destroyed by the increase in size of the bilayer.

The structure factor $S(q) \propto \langle h_q h_{-q} \rangle$ was independent of size as long as $a > a_0$; in the region of floppy bilayer the strong size dependence appears and the

new pole at $q > 0$ replaces the capillary wave divergence at $q \rightarrow 0^+$. This issue is now satisfactorily resolved (see Section IIIB).

The theoretical picture leading to good predictions[11] for the floppy bilayer, is very attractive in its simplicity: as the area A is constant, there is no surface tension contribution, only bending. Free energy expressions i.e. mesoscopic hamiltonians are constructed building on such ideas[11]. However it is only but a first step; the predicted transition is too smooth, not all size dependence is correctly obtained (see Section II), and the structure factor $S(q)$ is not known; it ought to appear with another coefficient larger than (see Fig.10).

Finally, we remark that the relations between χ (i.e. k) and the inverse compressibility K_A , taken from the theory of elasticity of plates[1], seem to do well in bilayers made of long chains[1,7] but fail for dimers studied here.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

This work was financially supported in part by the Komitet Badan Naukowych grant Number 4T 090A -05025. The author is indebted to Docent A .P .P oniewierski and Professor R .Holyst for early discussions.

V I. A P P E N D I X A .

The units are those commonly used for systems with Lennard-Jones (6-12) potential

$$u_{00}(r) = 4 \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 \right) \quad (A.1)$$

The unit of energy is ϵ and the unit of length is σ . Areas A or a are given in units of σ^2 , free energy and energy in units of ϵ , reduced temperature $T = kT/\epsilon$ where k is the Boltzmann constant, reduced lateral tension $\gamma = \gamma\sigma^2/\epsilon$, reduced pressure $p = p\sigma^3/\epsilon$, compressibility modulus K_A in units of ϵ/σ^2 . In the text all quantities are reduced quantities and the asterisk is dropped from the notation.

In this work all constituent particles are spherical and interact according to (A.1). The system contains N_a "a" solvent particles and N_d surfactant dimers made of N_d further "a" particles and of N_d "b" particles. The constituents of the surfactant "a-b" dimer are bound by an unbreakable but extensible chemical bond. Particles are of equal sizes and have equal masses. The potentials are cut and shifted [19,20]; for a pair

$$u_{ab}(r) = (u_{00}(r) - u_{00}(r^c)) H(r^c - r) \quad (A.2)$$

where $\sigma_{ab} = \sigma_a, \sigma_b$ and $\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_a, \epsilon_b$. The Heaviside function is $H(x) = 1$ or 0 if $x > 0$ or $x < 0$. For like particles, $\sigma_{aa} = \sigma$, the cutoff distance is taken at

$$r^c = 2.5 \sigma \quad (A.3)$$

(in units of σ). For $\epsilon_{ab} \neq \epsilon$, i.e. for "a,b" pairs

$$r^c = r^* 2^{1/6} \quad (A.4)$$

makes the potential purely repulsive in the spirit of WCA [3,5,6,19].

The bilayers studied in this work are "reverse" bilayers so named in analogy to the reverse micelles. The reverse bilayers were constructed and found to be stable [5]; are formed not by entropic effects in conjunction with the hydrophobic

effect, but primarily by energetic preference. The latter is achieved by making the "b-b" attraction much stronger. To achieve this, we modify the energy depth parameter ϵ_{bb} for the pairs "b-b" (only), keeping the common value of ϵ_{aa} for all other pairs. That is

$$\epsilon_{bb} = S \epsilon_{aa} : \quad (A.5)$$

where the strength parameter S is to be chosen $S \gg 1$. Then the "b"-particles, the "b"-ends of the surfactant dimers, make the strongly cohesive core of the bilayer whereas the weakly attracting "a"-ends stay outside in contact with the "a"-solvent.

The Molecular Dynamics simulations were done in a well-established manner: at constant volume V , and particle numbers $N; N_d$, by using Verlet leap-frog algorithm and Nose-Hoover thermostat [19,20]. In all series quoted here the reduced temperature was $T = 1.9$ and the overall density was a reasonably high liquid-like density of $\rho = N/V = 0.89204$. The augmented "b-b" strength parameter was $S = 4$: The total number of particles N contained N_d dimers that is N_d "b" particles all of them bound in "a-b" dimers, N_d "a" particles also permanently bound in "a-b" dimers, and $N - 2N_d$ free "a" particles which were the solvent. Given the numbers $N; N_d$ and the above density the volume V was fixed; once the area A was chosen, $A = N_d a$ followed, hence $L_x = L_y$ as the square root, whereas L_z was adjusted to fit the volume $V = A L_z$. The areas a , as shown in Fig.1 were chosen to be in the interval of stable bilayers.

For the size (iii) (nominally of area 36 - 36)

$$N = 40000; N_d = 2238; N - 2N_d = 35524; 33. < L_x < 35.5; 41.2 < L_z < 36.6$$

For the size (ii) (nominally of area 55 - 55)

$$N = 160000; N_d = 5760; N - 2N_d = 148480; 51. < L_x < 57.8; 69. < L_z < 53.5$$

For the size (i) (nominally of area 100 - 100)

$$N = 281344; N_d = 18720; N - 2N_d = 243904; 93. < L_x < 105.; 36.5 < L_z < 30.:$$

The proper normalization of the structure factor $S(q)$ leads to the prediction

$\langle h_q h_{-q} \rangle = \hat{S}(q) = (kT/L_x L_y) \frac{1}{kx^2 + q_x}$ for the singular part of S . The size-independent quantity is $A \hat{S}$. The Fourier components of $h(x,y)$ were calculated for each monolayer separately according to (3.5); the number of molecules in either will vary with time.

V II. R E F E R E N C E S .

- [1] G . G o m p p e r, R . G o e t z, and R . L i p o w s k y, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82, 221 (1999);
- [2] R . G o e t z and R . L i p o w s k y, *J. Chem . Phys.* 108, 7397 (1998).
- [3] B . S m i t, *Phys. Rev. A* 37, 3431 (1988).
- [4] O . F a r a g o and P P i n c u s, *J. Chem . Phys.* 120, 2954 (2004); for de nition of the m o d e l see O . F a r a g o, *ibid.* 119, 596 (2003).
- [5] J . S t e c k i, *J. Chem . Phys.* 120, 3508 (2004).
- [6] J . S t e c k i, *J. Chem . Phys. Com m* 122, 111102 (2005).
- [7] W . K . d e n O t t e r, *J. Chem . Phys.* 123, 214906 (2005).
- [8] J . G . K i r k w o o d and F . P . B u , *J. Chem . Phys.* 17, 338 (1949); since, the formula has been used and rederived m a n y t i m e s; for an application to bilayers w i t h e x i b l e c h a i n s, see [2]; for interface elasticity, see J . S t e c k i and P . P a d i l l a, *J. Chem . Phys.* 104, 7249 (1996); for an extension to higher orders see J . S t e c k i, *M o l. Phys.* 100, 2555 (2002). There is not a slightest doubt that it is the correct recipe for computing the tension coupled to the edge of a parallelepipedian box, $L_x L_y$.
- [9] J . S . R o w l i n s o n and B . W i d o m , *M o l e c u l a r T h e o r y of C a p i l l a r i t y* (C l a r e n d o n, O x f o r d, 1982). For a derivation of the K . B . formula see page 89.
- [10] s i m u l a t i o n s o f m o d e l b i l a y e r s w e r e m o s t l y d o n e f o r m o d e l l o n g - c h a i n m o l e c u l e s and m o d e l s o f l i p i d s, producing or a t t e m p t i n g to produce the tensionless state. For a rare exam p l e o f a (a) dependence see S . E . F e l l e r and R . W . P a s t o r, *J. Chem . Phys.* 111, 1281 (1999), *ibid.* 103, 10267 (1995); [2], reference [14], [15] below .
- [11] J . B . F o u m i e r, A . A d j a r i, and L . P e l i t i, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 4970 (2001), where also references to older theoretic considerations can be found.
- [12] D . N e l s o n, in D . N e l s o n, T . P i r a n, and S . W e i n b e r g, Eds., *Statistical M e c h a n i c s o f m e m b r a n e s and S u r f a c e s* (W o r l d S c i e n t i f i c, Singapore, 1989).

- [13] P. M. Chaikin and T. Lubensky, *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 1995) page 628.
- [14] E. Lindahl and O. Edholm, *Biophys. J.* 79, 423 (2000).
- [15] S. J. Marrink and A. E. Mark, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 105, 6122 (2001).
- [16] see e.g. J. Stecki, *J. Chem. Phys.* 114, 7574 (2001); *ibid.* 108, 3788 (1998).
- [17] Our old liquid-vapor simulation data [12] produced the surface tension from the Zwanzig-Triezenberg formula [9] equal to within 0.01 percent to from the virial (i.e. Kirkwood-Buff) expression. Here the constraint of constant or almost constant membrane area prevents this equality. How the constraint modifies the capillary waves is not known [11], but $g >$ systematically (see Section II).
- [18] The established terms such as "Kosterlitz-Thouless transition", "melting transition", ..., indicate a mathematical singularity or discontinuity, whereas, on the other hand, "transition to ..." such as "transition to buckled state", can refer to a smooth change. For those reasons we avoid the syntax "buckling transition" because a true singularity, especially in large systems, appears elusive.
- [19] S. Toxvaerd (University of Copenhagen), private communication; see also L. C. Akkermans, S. Toxvaerd and W. J. Briels, *J. Chem. Phys.* 109, 2929 (1998).
- [20] see e.g. S. Toxvaerd, *Mol. Phys.* 72, 159 (1991); *Phys. Rev. E* 47, 343 (1993).

V III. F I G U R E C A P T I O N S .

Caption to Fig.1

The lateral tension plotted against area per dimer head, a , for three sizes : size (i) with diamonds, size (ii) with plus signs, size (iii) with squares. All points are at $T = 1.9$, high liquid-like density $\rho = 0.89204$; size (i) is that of an area about 100×100 ; size (ii) - of an area about 55×55 ; and size (iii) - of an area about 36×36 . See the Appendix for further details. A is total area, N_d is the number of dimer heads, a area per head. Note common curve for large $a > 0$.

Caption to Fig.2

The plateau of $\langle a \rangle < 0$ at small a , plotted against $1/A \propto L_x^{-2}$ is shown to follow the line $y = 300x$. See text. The near-constant plateau tends to zero with increased system size. Logarithmic plots confirm this Figure. For system parameters see Appendix A.

Caption to Fig.3

Total intermolecular energy per particle, U , plotted against a , area per dimer head, for the largest system of nominal size 100×100 . Note a discontinuity, though rounded, in the derivative.

Caption to Fig.4

The $\langle a \rangle$ plot for a hypothetical first-order transition in a virtually infinite system : fall in with decreasing a towards a_0 in region (E); a discontinuous change of slope to a near-vanishing value in region (C). See text.

Caption to Fig.5

The structure factor $S(q)$ plotted against $x \propto q^2$ for three system sizes at the same area per head $a = 1.09$: Diamonds - size (i) 100×100 ; plus signs - size (ii)

55 55; square boxes – size (iii) 36 36. The line shows the fit of the function (3.6) to data on system of size (i). The size dependence is seen to be negligible, except for the extension of range of q^2 . The lateral tensions are strongly positive = 0.85–0.90.

Caption to Fig.6

The structure factor $S(q)$ plotted against $x = q^2$ for three system sizes at the same area per head $a = 1.05$: Diamonds – size (i) 100 100; plus signs – size (ii) 55 55; square boxes – size (iii) 36 36. The line shows the fit of the function (3.6) to data on system of size (i). The size dependence is seen to be negligible, except for the extension of range of q^2 . The lateral tensions are near zero: $\sigma = 0.02-0.05$. Compare with preceding and following Figure.

Caption to Fig.7

The structure factor $S(q)$ plotted against $x = q^2$ for three system sizes at negative and in a floppy state (area per head $a = 0.973–0.993$). Diamonds – size (i) 100 100; crosses – size (ii) 55 55; square boxes – size (iii) 36 36. The thick line shows the fit of the function (3.6) to data on system of size (i); the two other lines are there to guide the eye. The size dependence is seen to be negligible, except for the extension of range of q^2 . The lateral tensions are all negative but in the crupling floppy state (C), depend now on size. Compare with preceding two Figures.

Caption to Fig.8

The variation of the least-square parameter k with the area a . Note $k > 0$. Diamonds – size (i) $L_x = 100$, Crosses – (ii), 55, Squares – (iii), 36. Note the two regions (E) and (C); (C) buckling floppy bilayer with low and constant k and (E) extended tensioned bilayer with k roughly linear with area per head a .

Caption to Fig.9

The variation of the least-square parameter k with the lateral tension σ . Diameters – size (i) $L_x = 100$, Crosses – (ii), 55, Squares – (iii), 36. Note overall increase of k with σ .

Caption to Fig.10

The variation of the least-square parameter g with the lateral tension σ . Diameters – size (i) $L_x = 100$, Crosses – (ii), 55, Squares – (iii), 36. Note how closely g follows k though systematically g is the larger of the two.

Caption to Fig.11

Pole position x^y plotted against the lateral tension σ . Diameters – size (i) $L_x = 100$, Crosses – (ii), 55, Squares – (iii), 36. See text.

end of Captions. end of paper.





















