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#### Abstract

We exam ine an exam ple of spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking in a double-w ell waveguide $w$ th a sym $m$ etric potential. The ground state of the system beyond a critical pow er becom es asym $m$ etric. The e ect is illustrated num erically, and quantitatively analyzed via a Galenkin truncation that clearly show $s$ the bifurcation from a sym $m$ etric to an asym $m$ etric steady state. This phenom enon is also dem onstrated experim entally when a probe beam is launched appropriately into an optically induced photon ic lattice in a photorefractive $m$ aterial.


Introduction. Spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking (SSB) is a ubiquitous phenom enon in $m$ odem physics. $M$ anifestations of the SSB have been found in diverse areas, ranging from liquid crystals [1] to quantum dots [1] and from coupled sem iconductor lasers [3] $\overline{1}$ I to the pattem dynam ics of $D$ ictyostelium discoideum [iti]. Of particular interest is the recent experim ental dem onstration of spatial sym $m$ etry-breaking instability in the interaction of laser beam s in opticalk errm edia [ the tim ehonored history of the SSB in eld theory, see the review s [6]
$T$ here has recently been a huge am ount of activity and m any advances in the study of light dynam ics in photonic structures, such as $m$ aterially fabricated photonic crystals (P C s) and optically induced photonic lattices in non-
 by the enorm ous potential for applications ranging from highly tunable telecom munications elem ents to cavity QED experim ents. Am ong $m$ any phenom ena explored are nonlinear e ects associated w ith propagation, localization, and discretization of light in optically-induced photonic lattioes $\left[\underline{q}_{1}^{2}\right]$, including the form ation of lattice solitons in one $\left.[1],]_{1}^{1} 1\right]$ and two dim ensions $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 2\end{array}, 1\right]$ and discrete vortex solitons $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 \\ 5_{1}\end{array}, 1,1\right]$

In this Letter, our aim is to study a prototypical exam ple of SSB for a setting of the nonlinear Schrodinger $(\mathbb{N} L S)$ type $w$ ith an e ective sym $m$ etric double-w ell potential, i.e., a prototypical \dual-core" photonic lattioe. As the optical power is increased, we identify a sym $m$ etry-breaking bifurcation in the system 's ground state, w ith a transfer of stability to asym $m$ etric states, $w$ ith $m$ ore power concentrated in one core than in the other. This, generally, resem bles theoretically predicted SSB bifurcations in diverse dual-core optical system s of m ore traditionaltypes, such as dual-core bers (see Refs. $\left.\left[1 \overline{7}_{1}\right]\right)$, linearly coupled ${ }^{(2)}$ w aveguides and dual-core ber gratings [1\%]. W e present an analysis using a G alerkin truncation based on the eigenfunction basis of the underlying linear doublew ell problem, which accurately predicts the bifurcation in the present context. Then, we
dem onstrate such SSB experim entally in an opticallyinduced w aveguide lattice in photorefractive m edia. O ur $m$ ethod ofprediction and analysis ofSSB is quite general. For exam ple, N LS equations of the G ross $P$ itaevskii and nonlinear $H$ artree types play a fiundam ental role in the study of B ose E instein condensation [1] [1]. In the latter context, a rigorous variational proof show ing that a SSB transition must occur is given in [20 study of the SSB transition in a special one-dim ensional (1D ) m odelw as developed in Ref. [21].
The presentation is structured as follow s. In section II, we introduce the NLS model and its connection to the optical lattice problem. T he stability of stationary states and the SSB bifurcation are studied num erically. In section III, the nite-m ode ( $G$ alerk in) approxim ation and the prediction of SSB follow ing from it are elaborated. Section IV details the observation of the SSB in the experim ent. Section $V$ contains a sum $m$ ary of our ndings and conclusions.
$M$ odel and $N$ um erical Results. O ur m odel is based on (1D ) equations that describe the propagation of light in a photorefractive crystal $\left.{ }_{[2}^{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$; see also the recent exposition in [2]3]. The 1D version $m$ akes it possible to dem onstrate the SSB in its sim plest/m ost fundam ental form. Specifically, we consider a probe beam that is extraordinarily polarized, while a strong ordinarily polarized beam creates an e ective lattice potential for the probe. T hen, the equation for the spatialevolution of a slow ly varying am plitude $U$ of the probe beam is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{iU}_{\mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{r}_{33} \frac{\mathrm{E}_{0}}{1+\mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{j} \mathrm{~J}^{2}} \mathrm{U}=0: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. [1] $\overline{1})$, $z$ and $x$ are the propagation distance and transverse coordinate, respectively, $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ is the w avenum ber of the probe beam in the vacuum, $n_{e}$ is the refractive index along the extraordinary axis, $r_{33}$ is the electrooptic coe cient for the extraordinary polarization, $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ is the bias electric eld, and $I_{0}(x)$ is the intensity of the ordinarily polarized beam, sub ject to m odulation in the
transverse direction (all intensities are nom alized w ith respect to the crystal's dark irradiance, $I_{d}$ ). M easuring $z_{\text {_ }}$ in units of $2 k_{0} n_{e}$ and $E_{0}$ in units of $1=\left(k_{0}^{2} n_{e}^{4} r_{33}\right)$, Eq. (11) can be cast in a dim ension less form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{iU}_{z}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}} \frac{\mathrm{E}_{0}}{1+\mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{fJ}} \mathrm{U}=0: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

N onlinear bound states of Eq. $(\overline{\operatorname{Z}})$ are localized solutions of the form $U(x ; z)=u(x) \exp (i z)$, where $u$ obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x} \frac{E_{0}}{\left.1+I_{0}(x)+j u\right\}^{2}} u=u: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e consider the case of an e ective symmetric twohum $p$ potential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(x)=V_{0} \exp \frac{(x \quad a)^{2}}{2^{2}}+\exp \frac{(x+a)^{2}}{2^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to a supenposition of two Gaussian beams. Solutions to Eq. ( $\left.\overline{\mathbf{N}} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ w ith $I_{0}(x)$ given by (4) were found via the $N$ ewton's method on a nite-di erence grid. The linear stability of the stationary states is determ ined by the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, $f ;(a ; b) g$ of the linearized equation, obtained by the substitution of $U(x ; z)=$ $\exp (i \quad z) f u(x)+\quad\left[\exp (\quad z) a(x)+\exp \left({ }^{?} z\right) b^{?}(x)\right] g$ into (11.) and linearization in the sm all param eter. For very weak nonlinearity, the pro le of the ground state follow s the sym $m$ etry of the double-w ell potential $\left(\overline{4}_{1}^{-1}\right)$. We searched for a sym $m$ etry-breaking bifurcation as the optical power of the nonlinear bound state, $N=\begin{gathered}\mathrm{R}_{+1} \\ 1\end{gathered} j(x){ }^{f} \mathrm{f} d \mathrm{x}$ was increased.
$T$ he results are sum $m$ arized in $F$ ig. $1 \overline{1}$ (for $\mathrm{E}_{0}=7: 5$, cf. Ref. [2]-]). The top leff panel of the gure show s the am plitude of the solution as a function of . T he solid and dashed lines correspond, respectively, to the sym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric solutions, the latter bifurcating from the form er (SSB) at a critical power $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$. T he bottom left panel displays the real part $r$ of the $m$ ost unstable eigenvalue of the sym $m$ etric solution, which show sthat the sym $m$ etry-breaking bifurcation destabilizes the sym $m$ etric solution. This occurs through the appearance of a pair of realeigenvalues for $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad 0: 15167$, or, equivalently, for $>\mathrm{c}$ 6:94575, when the solution's am plitude ( $m$ axim um value of $j u(x) j$ ) exceeds $0: 06924$. T he asym $m$ etric solution em erging at the bifurcation point is stable. The right panelof $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ in show $s$ details of the relevant solutions and their stability for $>c$ (left) and
< c (right). In fact, tw o asym m etric solutions arise, being $m$ irror im ages of each other, i.e., the bifurcation is super-critical.

The evolution of the stable and unstable stationary solutions was investigated in direct sim ulations of Eq. (Zָ) . Figure $\overline{\text { 2n }}$ displays the results for the unstable sym $m$ etric solution w th $=6: 5$ and stable sym m etric one w th
$=6: 95$. As intial conditions, we took highly accurate num erically obtained stationary states perturbed by


FIG.1: T he sym m etry-breaking_bifurcation which gives rise to asym $m$ etric solutions in Eq. (3) w ith the potential of Eq. ( $4_{1}^{\prime}$ ). The param eters are $=0: 1, V_{0}=(\quad)^{1=2} \quad 1: 784$, $a=3$, and $E_{0}=7: 5$. The solid and dashed lines in the top subplot of the left panel show the am plitudes of the sym $m$ etric and asymmetric (bifurcating) solutions vs. . The bottom subplot displays the unstable real eigenvalue for the sym $m$ etric-solution branch existing past the bifurcation point, at $>6: 94575$. The right panel show $s$, in its top subplots, exam ples of the sym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric solutions (solid and thick dashed lines, respectively) for $=6: 8$ (left) and
$=6: 95$ (right), respectively. In the latter case (i.e., before the bifurcation point), only the sym $m$ etric branch (solid line) exists. The background pro le of $I_{0}(x)$ is indicated by a dashdotted line. The bottom subplots show the respective results of the linear stability analysis around the sym $m$ etric solution in the complex plane ( r ; i) of the stability eigenvalues. An eigenvalue w ith a positive realpart (in the left panel) im plies instability of the solution.
a uniform ly distributed random perturbation of an am plitude 0:0001. In the unstable case, the $m$ anifestation of the SSB is very clear; as a result of the grow th of the unstable eigenm ode triggered by the sm allperturbation, the nearly sym m etric state w ith $=6: 5$ evolves into a stable asym $m$ etric one; see the dashed line in $F$ ig. On the other hand, the stable sym $m$ etric ground state


A nalytical Results. To analytically exam ine SSB in Eq. (Z즈), we develop a G alerkin-type $m$ ethod generally applicable to related m odels. It is convenient to de ne U (x;z) u(x;z) exp (i z), replacing Eq. (Z彐Z) w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{z}=u \quad u_{x x}+\frac{E_{0}}{\left.1+I_{0}(x)+j u\right\}} u: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Num erics corroborate our expectation that, for su ciently large separation a betw een the potential wells, the bifurcation occurs at low powers, i.e., when Eq. (')ㅣㅣ) is close to its linear counterpart. It is therefore natural to seek a representation of $u(x ; z)$ in term $s$ of the the basis of the eigenfunctions of the doublewell potential: ! ${ }_{j} V^{(j)}=V_{x x}^{(j)}+\frac{E_{0}}{1+I_{0}(x)} V^{(j)}$. Num erical solution of this equation reveals two localized eigenstates of the double-w ellpotentialw ith corresponding eigenvalues $!_{0}=6: 95886$ and $!_{1}=6: 98631$. T he form er eigenm ode is even and the latter one is odd.

W e expect that the bifurcation shown in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{111} m$ ay


FIG.2: The pro le of the eld modulus jujfor the sam e propagation distance but di erent sym $m$ etric initial conditions: a stable one (solid line) for $=6: 95$, resulting in the stable sym $m$ etric state, and an unstable one for $=6: 5$, resulting in the asym $m$ etric state shown by the dashed line. The potential is the sam e as for $F$ ig. $1_{1}^{1}$.
occur, in the nonlinear equation (rin $^{\prime}$, at close to ! ${ }_{0}$, and the em erging asym $m$ etric solution $m$ ay be close to a supenposition of the tw o above-m entioned localized linear eigenm odes. W e explore this possibility by $m$ eans of a G alenkin truncation based on these $m$ odes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x ; z)=c_{0}(z) v^{(0)}(x)+c_{1}(z) v^{(1)}(x) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ are assum ed sm all. Substitution of (G) into ( $\overline{5}_{1}$ ), projecting onto the linear eigenm odes and retaining leading-order nonlinear term syields the follow ing nite-dim ensional reduction:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\underline{\underline{c}_{0}}=\left(+!_{0}\right) c_{0} & a_{00} \dot{J}_{0} \tilde{J} c_{0} & a_{01} & 2 \dot{J}_{1} \tilde{J} c_{0}+c_{0}^{?} c_{1}^{2} \\
\underline{\underline{c}_{1}}=(\exists)
\end{array}
$$

where the overdot stands for $d=d z$, $a_{k 1} E_{0}{ }^{R_{+1}}{ }_{1} V^{(k)}(x)^{2} v^{(1)}(x)^{2}\left[1+I_{0}(x)\right]^{2} d x$, and $a_{00} \quad 0: 86302, a_{01} \quad 0: 89647, a_{11} \quad 0: 93958$.

Substituting further $C_{j} \quad j \exp (i j)$, and taking into regard the conservation of the totalnorm, ${ }_{0}^{2}+{ }_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{N}$, we reduce Eqs. $(\underline{1})$ and $\left(\overline{l d}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ to a system of tw o realordinary di erential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -=\quad!+a_{11}{ }_{1}^{2} \quad a_{00}{ }_{0}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& +\mathrm{a}_{01}(2+\cos (2)){ }_{0}^{2} \quad 2 \begin{array}{l}
2
\end{array} \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \quad 0$ and ! ! $1 \quad!_{0}$. Since we are interested in realsolutions of the underlying equation (SW) , we will con ne our considerations to steady states with
$=0(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{od})$. Then, from Eq. ( 1010 one can easily nd that no solution bifurcates from $0=0$; how ever, solutions w th $1 \in 0$ can bifurcate from the sym $m$ etric ones w th ${ }_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{N}$ and $1=0$. These are the solutions that we are interested in, as they $m$ ay account for the SSB , due to the inclusion of the odd eigenfunction $v^{(1)}(x)$
in Eq. (G). The critical value of the norm at which the bifurcation occurs, is found from Eqs. (1) and (1 $\underline{1}^{(1)}$ ) to be

$$
N_{c}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 a_{01} & a_{00} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right)^{1} j!j:
$$

$T$ his sim ple prediction is them ain nding of the analysis. O ne can also nd, from Eqs. (7) and ( $\overline{8} \bar{\prime}$ ), the critical propagation constant c at which the $S S \bar{B}$ is expected,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=!_{0}+a_{00}\left(3 a_{01} \quad a_{00}\right)^{1} j!j: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1-1 $\left.\mathbf{I n}^{-1}\right)$ and (12) nally predict the occurrence of the bifurcation at $N_{c}=0: 01503$ and $c=6: 94589$, in rem arkable agreem ent $w$ th the num erical sim ulations reported above. In particular, the relative error in $N_{c}$ is considerably less than 1\% in the worst case, and the error in the prediction of $c$ is $0: 002 \%$.

E xperim ental Results. E xperim ents were perform ed in a biased photorefractive crystal (SBN : $60,5 \times 5 \times 20 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) illum inated by a partially coherent beam periodically $m$ odulated $w$ ith an am plitude $m$ ask. T his spatially modulated beam is ordinarily polarized, so it experiences only a weak nonlinearity and induces a stable square waveguide lattice in the biased crystal $\left.[1] 3_{1}^{\prime \prime},{ }^{2}-24_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. T he principal axes of the lattice are oriented in horizontal and verticaldirections. A G aussian beam, split o from the sam e laser souroe, is used as a coherent probe beam. C ontrary to the experim ents $w$ th discrete solitons $\left[12,112,11_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, the probe beam is focused inter-site, i.e., betw een tw o lattice sites in the vertical direction (to avoid any anisotropic e ects such as those from selffbending, since the crystalline c-axis is oriented in the horizontal direction). The probebeam is extraordinarily polarized, propagating collinearly w ith the lattice through the crystal. The polarization con guration is chosen so that the probe would experience strong nonlinearity but the induced lattice rem ains nearly undisturbed during propagation [2].]. To dem onstrate the SSB, all experim ental condition's were kept unchanged, except that the intensity of the probe beam was increased gradually. Typical experim ental results are presented in $F$ ig. a lattice of 45 m nearest neighbor spacing. W hen the intensity of the probe beam is low, its energy tunnels into two $w$ aveguides sym $m$ etrically, as seen in the transverse pattems of the probe beam in $F$ ig. threshold value of the input intensity, the intensity pattem of the probe beam at output becom es asym $m$ etric, as shown in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{13}(\mathrm{~d})$. The bifurcation from a sym m etric to an asym $m$ etric output is also clearly visible in the vertical pro les of the probe beam displayed in $F$ ig. $T$ hese results are related to the even $-m$ ode solitons $\left[11_{1}^{1}\right]$, or the tw o parallel in-phase solitons $\underline{2} 55_{1}^{1}$, observed previously in optically-induced lattices, which were found to be unstable. H ere we dem onstrated a clear bifurcation from sym $m$ etric to asym $m$ etric states due to the $S S B$, which is $m$ ore relevant to the sym $m$ etry-breaking instability of a tw o-hum ped, self-guided laser beam, observed in a di erent nonlinear-optical system size that the output pattems in F ig. $\underline{N}_{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ w were all taken at


FIG. 3: Experim ental dem onstration of SSB in an optically induced photonic lattice. From (a) to (d), shown are the transverse intensity pattems of the probe beam (initially a fundam ental G aussian beam) at an intensity (norm alized to the lattice intensity) of $0.1,02,0.3$ and 0.5 . T he bias eld was kept at $2 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, and all other param eters were xed. $T$ he left side show s the corresponding vertical beam pro les.
steady state, and the only param eter we varied w as the intensity of the probe beam.

C onclusion and D iscussion. We have studied num erically and analytically the bifurcation from a sym metric to an asym $m$ etric ground state in an equation of the $N L S$ type. The equation m odels the propagation of a probe beam through an optically-induced periodic lattice in a photorefractive nonlinear crystal. A double-w ell potential locally approxim ates the spatial shape of the lattige
guiding the probe beam . T he ground-state pro le breaks its sym $m$ etry at a critical power, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$. The transition is accom panied by destabilization of the sym $m$ etric state. T he threshold, $N_{c}$, as well as other essential features of the SSB bifurcation, are very well approxim ated by the nite m ode (G alerkin) approxim ation based on a superposition of the sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric linear states of the double-w ellpotential. In parallelto the theoretical analysis, we have reported the experim ental observation ofthese phenom ena in optically-induced photonic lattices in a photorefractive crystal.

T he analysis detailed herein can be adapted to m odels ofG ross $P$ itaevskiior nonlinear-H artree types, which are central to m odeling sym m etry breaking and other phe-
 an analysis when a BEC is loaded into a com bination of a $m$ agnetic trap and an optical lattice.

Finally, although the sim ple tw ofm ode truncation was used here in the one-dim ensional geom etry, generalizations to arbitrary dim ensions and incorporation of higher-order m odes can be developed to describe m ore com plex bifurcations, in both static and tim e-dependent settings, as well as coupling to radiation waves [2\%i]. $T$ hese are directions currently under investigation.
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