Zero-tem perature criticality in the two-dim ensional gauge glass m odel

Lei-Han Tang¹ and Peiqing Tong^{1;2}

¹D epartm ent of Physics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kow bon Tong, Hong Kong SAR, China

²Department of Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210097, China

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The zero-tem perature critical state of the two-dimensional gauge glass model is investigated. It is found that low-energy vortex con gurations a ord a simple description in terms of gapless, weakly interacting vortex-antivortex pair excitations. A linear dielectric screening calculation is presented in a renorm alization group setting that yields a power-law decay of spin-wave sti ness with distance. These properties are in agreement with low-temperature speci c heat and spin-glass susceptibility data obtained in large-scale multi-canonical M onte C arbo simulations.

PACS num bers: 75.50 Lk, 64.60 Ak, 74.78.-w, 75.10 Hk

R igidity is a key concept that uni es description of long-ranged order in m agnets, super uids, superconductors, and crystalline solids. [1] For two-dimensional (2D) systems with an O (2) symmetry, the helicity modulus provides a quantitative measure of rigidity, and assumes a nite value in a pure sample at su ciently low temperatures.[2, 3] There are how ever a number of experimental situations, notably granular thin Im sofhigh- T_c superconductors, where one has to deal with quenched disorder that introduces random frustration and ground state vortices into the system .[4, 5, 6] Understanding how rigidity weakens or disappears altogether is crucial for interpreting the offen com plex equilibrium and dynam ic behavior of these system s at low temperatures.[7]

The gauge glass model[8,9] in two dimensions o ers a good exam ple where the nature of com plexity and glassiness associated with strong disorder can be examined in quantitative detail. Two competing scenarios regarding the low-tem perature phase diagram have been proposed: i) The system is disordered at any temperature T > 0, but critical at T = 0, as characterized by a power-law diverging glass correlation length $_{\rm G}$ ' T with a rather large exponent ' 2:5. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] ii) There is a glassphase of frozen vortices that undergoes a continuous transition at T_c ' 0.2J.[14, 15, 16] D irect m easurem ent of rigidity in terms of spin-glass susceptibility has not been able to di erentiate the two scenarios unam biguously due to the relatively sm all system sizes exam ined in simulations. The dom ain-wall energy analysis[9] has provided som e insight on the characteristics of low -lying excitations, although their true identity rem ains mysterious.

In this paper, we describe results of extensive num erical investigation of the 2D gauge glass model and the related C oulom b gas problem. We show that, despite strong correlation in vortex positions and the collective nature of the ground state, low energy excited states of this model take the form of a dilute gas of ferm ion-like vortex-antivortex pairs which have a gapless, excitation spectrum. B ased on the existence of such elementary excitations, we present a phenom enological renorm alization group (RG) calculation that explains the power-law decay of spin-wave sti ness J(R) R with distance R in the ground state. Evidence for gapless pair excitations is seen in the linear speci c heat at low temperatures. The sti ness exponent is estimated from the strength of the screened disorder potential in the ground state of the C oulom b gas problem, and from the spin-glass susceptibility data obtained in large-scale multicanonicalM onte C arb simulations of the gauge glass model. In both cases, we obtain ' 0:45, in approximate agreement with earlier studies.

The gauge glass model is de ned by the Ham iltonian,

$$H = \int_{\text{hiji}}^{X} \cos(i j A_{ij}); \quad (1)$$

where $_{i}$ is the phase variable on site i, J is the coupling constant, and A_{ij} are quenched random variables uniform by distributed on [;). Sum mation is over near-east neighbor pairs of sites, here on a square lattice. Decom posing the lattice gradient of the phase eld $_{i}$ into rotation-free and divergence-free com ponents, one arrives at the follow ing C oulom b gas model of vortices, [17]

$$H_{v} = \bigvee_{i}^{X} (m_{i}^{2}E_{c} + m_{i}V_{i}) = 2 \int_{i < j}^{X} m_{i}m_{j}\ln\frac{r_{ij}}{a}: (2)$$

Here m_i is the vortex charge on site i, E_c is the vortex core energy, a is the lattice constant, and r_{ij} is the distance between sites i and j. The random potential V_i can be expressed as a sum of dipolar potentials produced by the random phase shifts A_{ij} . Its statistics is specified by a logarithm ically growing variance $hV_i^2 i' 2 J^2 \ln (L=a)$, and logarithm ic spatial correlations $h(V_i V_j)^2 i' 4 J^2 \ln (r_{ij}=a)$. For the gauge glass model, we have $= {}^2=3$ and $E_c ' 5J$, such that approximately one third of the sites are occupied by either a vortex or an antivortex in the ground state.

Due to the high density of vortices and antivortices, the RG analysis [18, 19] developed for a dilute C oulom b gas can not be applied here. We have investigated num erically the minimal energy states of the C oulom b gas H am iltonian (2) using a greedy algorithm, restricting vortex charges to $m_i = 0$; 1. Such states are constructed through successive addition and rem oval of vortex-antivortex pairs, each time picking a pair state of the lowest energy. (Note that moving a vortex to a vacant site can also be realized by such a move.) The process term inates when the system energy can not be lowered further through single pair addition or rem oval. In an improved ground state search, we allow the process to continue until a previous vortex con guration is revisited. Our experience shows that, for system s up to a linear size L = 16, the algorithm is able to nd the ground state typically in 100 or fewer trials of random initial conditions.

Figure 1 illustrates results of our nding for a 16 16 system . The ground state vortex (solid circle) and antivortex (open circle) con quration $fm_i^0 q$ is shown in F iq. 1 (a). A s expected, there is no apparent order of the vortices and antivortices. The same is true also for other low energy states obtained under the greedy algorithm . However, when the excess charge fm $_{i}$ m i $m_{i}^{0}q$ of these states is plotted, as shown in Figs. 1(b)-(d), we see clearly that the low energy states overlap strongly with the ground state. The excess charges can be identi ed as elementary excitations that include single vortex/antivortex m ovem ent to a new position (e.g., vortex at site A to site B, vortex at site C to a neighboring site, antivortex from site G to a neighboring site, and antivortex from site H to site I), and insertion (pair D) or deletions (pairs E and F) of vortex-antivortex pairs.

R epeated num erical experim ents of this type led to the follow ing general observations: i) The ground state contains a high density of vortex-antivortex pairs of all sizes. ii) Low energy excited states can be described as a dilute gas of excess vortices from the ground state. iii) M ost of the excess vortices in ii) form closely bound vortex-antivortex pairs. How ever, pairs of larger size [e.g., the vortex-antivortex pair at H and I in Fig. 2(d)] m ay also be present. They usually form a complex with sm aller pairs that provide screening of the C oulom b interaction.

To characterize the energetics of low energy pair excitations, we rewrite Eq. (2) in terms of the excess vortex charge $m_i = m_i$ m_i^0 ,

$$H_{v} = E_{0} + \int_{i}^{X} (m_{i}^{2}E_{c} + m_{i}V_{i}) - 2 \int_{i < j}^{X} m_{i}m_{j}\ln\frac{r_{ij}}{a};$$
(3)

Here E₀ is the energy of the ground state fm ${}^{0}_{i}g$, and ∇_{i} is an elective potential at site i that includes the original disorder potential V_{i} as well as the potential produced by the ground state vortex population fm ${}^{0}_{i}g$.

In the original model, di erent Fourier components of the disorder potential are gaussian distributed and statistically independent of each other. Their variance is given by hV (k)V (k)i = N (2 $J_{T}^{2}G$ (k), where

FIG.1: (a) Vortices (solid circles) and anti-vortices (open circles) in the ground state. (b)-(d) Excited states shown in terms of excess vortices (solid circles) and antivortices (open circles) from the ground state. Energies are in units of J.

 $N = L^2$ is the total number of sites and G (k) = $1=[4\sin^2(k_x=2)+4\sin^2(k_y=2)]$ is the lattice G reen's function. Figure 2(a) shows $h\tilde{V}(k)\tilde{V}(k) = G(k)$ at $k_y = 0$ and $k_x = 2 = L; 4 = L; ...;$, for ground states obtained under the greedy algorithm and averaged overm any disorder realizations. All energies are in units of J. Statistical errors are sm aller or com parable to sym bol size. It is evident that, unlike the bare disorder potential V_{i} , long wavelength components of \tilde{V}_i are much reduced in strength by the ground state vortices. In fact, the data can be tted well by assigning a k-dependent coupling constant J (k_x ;0) $jsin(k_x=2)j$, with = 0:45, as illustrated by the dashed line in the gure.

W e have also exam ined the size-dependence of the gap

between the lowest and second lowest values of \mathbb{V}_i in a given disorder realization. This quantity of ers a measure of the spectral density of \mathbb{V}_i close to its minimum value, which governs vortex movement on scale L. Figure 2(b) shows the distribution of for three di erent system sizes, which is Poisson like. The inset shows a scaling plot of P (), assuming a power-law width $_L$ $L^{0:45}$. A nearly perfect data collapse is seen.

To explain the aforem entioned behavior, we consider a dielectric screening model of vortex-antivortex pairs in a phenom enological RG setting. Let R be the running length scale in an RG scheme applied to (2), and J (R) be the renorm alized interaction strength affer pairs of



(a) E_{i}

(c) $\Delta E = 0.44$

= -323.96



FIG.2: (a) Scaled power spectrum of the e ective disorder potential against k_x for three di erent system sizes. The dashed line indicates a power-law twith an exponent 2j j= 0.9. (b) D istribution of the gap energy between the low est and second low est values of the e ective potential. Inset shows the scaling plot of the distribution with a sti ness exponent = 0.45.

size less than R have been integrated out. In an in nite system, the energy of pair states in the size range R to R + dR form sa continuum that, for the gauge glassm odel, extends over both positive and negative values. A lthough

lling of states with < 0 is a collective process, the pairpair C oulom b interaction V_{pp} r^2 is not strong enough to generate a gap at the \Ferm i level" $_F = 0.[20]$ C onsequently, we expect treating pairs as independent ferm ions is qualitatively correct. An external perturbing eld E shifts the energy of state i by an am ount E_{ip} where $p_i = r_i^+$ r_i is the dipole m om ent of the pair in state i. In the neighborhood of $_F$, occupied and unoccupied pair states m ay switch under the perturbation. The induced polarization is easily calculated, from which one obtains the dielectric susceptibility for such a medium at T = 0,

$$_{\rm R} = {\rm R}^2 {}_{\rm R} (0) \quad (d{\rm R} = {\rm R}):$$
 (4)

Here $_{R}$ (0) (dR = R) is the density of pair states per unit area at = 0. Let dl = dR = R, change in J (R) due to this group of vortex-antivortex pairs can be expressed as [19],

$$dJ^{1} = dl = 4^{2} (0):$$
 (5)

where we have introduced the rescaled density of states $^{(0)}$ R² _R (0). Since J (R) is the only energy scale at zero tem perature, we may write, on dimensional grounds,

$$^{(0)} = \alpha J^{1};$$
 (6)

where c is some constant.[21] C om bining Eqs. (5) and (6), we obtain a power-law decay of the coupling constant J (R) = $J_B R$ in the ground state, with = $4^2 c$. At a nite temperature T, Eq. (6) must be modil ed to take



FIG.3: Speci c heat versus tem perature for a 48 $\,$ 48 system . D ashed line show s a quadratic $\,$ t to the low tem perature data.

into account therm ally excited pairs when one reaches a scale $_{\rm G}$ (T) set by J($_{\rm G}$) 'T. This yields a correlation length $_{\rm G}$ T^{1=j j} beyond which rigidity disappears.

W e have carried out extensive sin ulations of the gaugeglass model using a multi-canonical M onte C arlo sam – pling scheme that allows one to equilibrate systems of size up to L = 48 down to T = 0.05. C om putation were performed on a PC cluster using up to 64 nodes. The parallel setup is ideal for calculating quantities involving the overlap q N¹ $_{j} \exp[i(a_{j}^{a} b_{j}^{b})]$ of con gurations from two di erent replicas a and b under the same disorder. D etails of our sin ulation algorithm will be reported elsewhere.

Figure 3 shows the speci c heat data for the L = 48 system, averaged over 26 disorder realizations. C om parison with data at smaller L (not shown) indicates that no signi cant nite-size correction is present. D ata on the low temperature side can be tted to the formula $a_V = 0.5 + 0.32T + 0.8T^2$, which has a simple physical interpretation. The constant term is due to spin-wave contributions. The linear part con ms presence of gapless vortex-antivortex pair excitations in the system.

W e have also measured the k-dependent spin-glass susceptibility $% \mathcal{T}_{k}^{(m)}(x)$

$$S_{\rm SG}(k) = C_{\rm SG}(r_{\rm ij}) \exp(ik if); \qquad (7)$$

where $C_{SG}(r_{ij}) = h e^{i(\frac{1}{2}-j)^2}$ i is the correlation function of the glass order param eter. Here the overline bar denotes them alaverage and h i denotes average over the disorder. Figure 4(a) shows $_{SG}(0) = N hjgj$ against T for eight di erent system sizes. In agreem ent with previous studies, the glass phase correlation grows rapidly at low temperatures. For the largest system shown at L = 48, crossover to nite-size dom inated regime takes place already at T ' 03.

Since spin-wave uctuation introduces a power-law decay of C_{SG} (r) at short distances with a



FIG. 4: (a) Spin-glass susceptibility versus temperature for various system sizes L. (b) Temperature derivative of the susceptibility ratio at $j_{k,j} = 0$ and 2 =L versus T=J(L) = TL for six sizes ranging from L = 6 to 32. See text for details.

tem perature-dependent exponent (T), extracting $_{G}$ (T)from the nite-size scaling ansatz[12] $_{SG}$ (k;T;L) = L^2 ^(Lk; _G=L) is somewhat am biguous. Instead, one may consider the ratio (T) $_{SG}$ (jkj=2 =L)= $_{SG}$ (0) which tends to 1 for $_{\rm G}$ L and 0 for $_{\rm G}$ L.Crossover between the two regimes takes place at $_{G}$ = L. Figure 4(b) shows the derivative d (T)=dln T for six different system sizes ranging from L = 6 to 32. The peak position of these curves yields a quantitative m easure of $_{\rm G}$. P lotting the data against the scaling variable T=J(L) = T=L, we obtain the best collapse at = 0:45, in agreem ent with the zero tem perature analysis presented above.

To sum m arize, the picture that emerges from our study of the 2D gauge glass model is a ground state that supports gapless, ferm ion-like vortex-antivortex pair excitations. The energy scale of these excitations decays as a power-law of pair size | a consequence of dielectric screening by pairs of sm aller size. The hierarchical organization of low energy vortices, with dim inishing energy scales on increasing length scales, o ers a new paradigm of zero-tem perature criticality. This description is fully consistent with previous num erical work as well as our low-tem perature speci c heat and spin-glass susceptibility data obtained under a multicanonical M onte C arlo sam pling schem e.

The composite nature of the low energy vortexantivortex pairs of large size, with smaller and localized pairs providing screening of the long-ranged C oulom b potential, suggests possible glassy dynam ic behavior. In a nonequilibrium context, the e ective energy scales associated with long-distance vortex motion may be much higher than the equilibrium ones that require screening vortices to nd their ideal positions. P revious simulation work on the relaxational dynam ics of the gauge glass model indicates a rich behavior with multiple sizedependent time scales.[7, 13] It would be interesting to re-analyze the data in light of the new insight on the energetics of elementary vortex excitations.

W e are grateful to T.K.Ng and S.L.W an for helpful discussions. LH would like to thank Hao Liat UC San Francisco for hospitality, where the work was com pleted. Research is supported in part by the Research G rants C ouncil of the Hong Kong SAR under grants HKBU 2061/00P and HKBU 2017/03P, and by the HKBU under grant FRG /01-02/II-65. C om putations were carried out at HKBU 's High Perform ance C luster C om puting C entre Supported by D ell and Intel.

E lectronic address: lhtang@ hkbu.edu.hk

- P.W. Anderson, Basic Notions of Condensed Matter Physics, 2nd Ed., Westview Press, Boulder, 1997.
- [2] M .E.Fisher, M .N.Barber, and D.Jasnow, Phys.Rev. A 8,1111 (1973).
- [3] D.R.Nelson and J.M.Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1201 (1977).
- [4] C.Dekker, P.J.M.W ltgens, R.H.Koch, B.W. Hussey and A.Gupta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2717 (1992).
- [5] M.P.A.Fisher, Phys.Rev.Lett. 62, 1415 (1989); D.S.
 Fisher, M.P.A.Fisher, and D.A.Huse, Phys.Rev.B 43, 130 (1991).
- [6] R. S. Newrock, C. J. Lobb, U. Geigenmuller, and M. Octavio, in Solid State Physics, Vol. 54, edited by F. Seitz et al. (A cadem ic Press, New York, 2000).
- [7] R.A.Hyman, M.Wallin, M.P.A.Fisher, S.M.Girvin, and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. B 51, 15304 (1995).
- [8] C. Ebner and D. Stroud, Phys. Rev. B 31, 165 (1985).
- [9] M.P.A.Fisher, T.A.Tokuyasu and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev.Lett. 66, 2931 (1991).
- [10] J.D.Regerand A.P.Young, J.Phys. A 26, 1067 (1993).
- [11] N.Akino and J.M.Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054536 (2002).
- [12] H.G.Katzgraber, Phys. Rev. B 67, 180402 (R) (2003).
- [13] M. Nikolaou and M. Wallin, Phys. Rev. B 69, 184512 (2004).
- [14] M.Y.Choiand S.Y.Park, Phys.Rev.B 60, 4070 (1999).
- [15] B.J.K im, Phys.Rev.B 62, 644 (2000).
- [16] P.Holme, B.J.Kim, and P.M innhagen, Phys. Rev. B 67, 104510 (2003).
- [17] M. Rubinstein, B. Shraim an, and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 27, 1800 (1983).
- [18] T.Natterm ann, S.Scheidl, S.E.Korshunov, and M.S. Li, J.Phys. I (France) 5, 565 (1995); S.E.Korshunov and T.Natterm ann, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2746 (1996); S. Scheidl, Phys. Rev. B 55, 457 (1997).
- [19] L.H. Tang, Phys. Rev. B 54, 3350 (1996).
- [20] B. I. Shklovskii and A. L. E fros, Electronic Properties of D oped Sem iconductors (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [21] Eq. (6) is expected to follow from a more complete calculation which also yields a prescription for c. Such a strong-coupling theory lies outside our present scope. Nevertheless, a case can be made for the niteness of c by noting that pair states of negative energy in the speci ed size range can not exceed a few in an area R².

[22] B. A. Berg and T. Neuhaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 9 (1992).