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#### Abstract

The approach of an idealgas to equilibrium is sim ulated through a generalization of the E hrenfest ball-and-box m odel. In the present m odel, the interior ofeach box is discretized, i.e., balls/particles live in cells whose occupation can be either m ultiple or single. M oreover, particles occasionally undergo random, but elastic, collisions betw een each other and against the container walls. I show, both analitically and num erically, that the num ber and energy of particles in a given box eventually evolve to an equilibrium distribution $W$ which, depending on cell occupations, is binom ial or hypergeom etric in the particle num ber and beta-like in the energy. Further$m$ ore, the long-run probability density of particle velocities is M axw ellian, whereas the Boltzm ann entropy $\ln W$ exactly reproduces the ideal-gas entropy. B esides its ow $n$ interest, this exercise is also relevant for pedagogical purposes since it provides, although in a sim ple case, an explicit probabilistic foundation for the ergodic hypothesis and for the $m$ axim um -entropy principle of therm odynam ics. For this reason, its discussion can pro tably be included in a graduate course on statisticalm echanics.


PACS num bers: 02.50 G a, 02.50 Ng g, 05.20 D d
KEY W ORDS: Um models; M axwell-Boltzm ann velocity distribution; Boltz$m$ ann entropy.

## 1 Introduction

A fter the pioneering work of Boltzm ann, there is now a general consensus on the idea that a dynam ically chaotic $m$ otion generically leads, in system $s$ of very $m$ any particles, to therm odynam ic behaviour. A general proof of this statem ent is however lacking, and one's intuition usually appeals to sim pli ed dynam ical m odels which allow for som e analytic treatm ent. Statistical toy m odels that illustrate how them odynam ic equilibrium is established in practice are especially helpfulfor educationalscope, since they supply students w ith a plain justi cation and a direct understanding of the basic assum ptions of therm odynam ics and statisticalm echanics. In particular, an intuitive picture of the em ergence of the Second Law of them odynam ics from mechanics is provided by the behaviour of stochastic um models, where balls/particles are sub jected to a probabilistic evolution which, eventually, drives the system tow ards a stationary state $\uparrow \overline{1} 1]$. O bviously, this stochastic ( M arkovian) dynam ics is only a caricature ofthe \real" ( $N$ ew tonian) dynam ics; it is $m$ uch like an e ective dynam ics which em erges after averaging over $m$ any instances of the com plicated short-tim e m otion.

In the Ehrenfest model, N num bered balls are distributed into two ums; at each tim e step, a num ber betw een 1 and $N$ is extracted at random, and the ball w ith that label is m oved from the $u m$ where it resides to the other. Eventually, the average num ber ofballs in each um becom es equal to $N=2$, with relative uctuations around the $m$ ean that are negligible in the large-N lim it. This stochastic process, which K ac considered as \probably one of the m ost instructive m odels in the whole of Physics" [2], gives an illustration of the irreversible di usive dynam ics oftwo dilute gases of the sam e species, hosted in two com m unicating, but globally isolated, vessels of equal volum e. A s we leam from them odynam ics, and is con m ed by experience, the two gases eventually attain an equilibrium state being characterized by an equal num ber of particles in the two vessels.

In order to include also energetic considerations into the description, I consider a generalization of the Ehrenfest $m$ odel where the balls/particles are endow ed with both a discrete position and a continuous velocity. To be speci c, we are given two boxes, 1 and 2, and N labelled particles distributed between the boxes. Box 1 (2) is divided into $V_{1}\left(V_{2}\right)$ identical cells, $V=V_{1}+V_{2}$ being the total œell num ber. The occupation number c of the th cell ( $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{V})$ can be either Bose-like ( $c=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ ) or Ferm i-like ( $c=0 ; 1$ ), w th both possibilities being considered in the follow ing. The velocity of the a-th particle ( $a=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ ) is $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$, a three-dim ensional vector w ith com ponents $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ak}} ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2 ; 3$.

To make some progress in the analytic treatm ent of the model, a drastic simpli cation is $m$ ade, nam ely that the position dynam ics is totally decoupled from the velocity dynam ics. This is obtained by an independent and altemate updating of positions and velocities, in such a way that free di usive m otion and collisions w ill run in parallel, yet staying separate. In particular, the equilibrium of one set of variables can be analysed w ithoutm aking reference to the other. The
assum ption of decoupling between position and velocity updating is tantam ount to the hypothesis that 1) the $M$ arkov tim e step, while being $m$ uch longer than any m icroscopic collision tim e , is nevertheless shorter than the tim e needed for the velocity distribution to relax (i.e., to reach equilibrium ); 2) velocity relaxation occurs on a time scale that is also well separated from (typically much longer than) the equilibration tim e of the num ber density.

I argue, and indeed is veri ed a posteriori, that this m odelgives a representation of the ideal-gas dynam ics once de ning the entropy with the logarithm of the probability density ofm acrostate variables. H ence, by this route one arrives at a novel (i.e., not based on the $m$ icrocanonicalensem ble) $m$ icroscopic foundation of the ideal-gas expression for the entropy and, at the sam e tim e, at a probabilistic justi cation of them axim um -entropy principle of them odynam ics. Furtherm ore, this sim ple m odel gives the opportunity to discuss at length the issue of ergodicity of a probabilistic evolution and its relevance for the actual determ in istic dynam ics of a $m$ any-particle system. This point is usually hardly understood by graduate students in statistical physics, who nd it rather obscure. The present m odel could com e in useful for providing an easy access to such basic theoretical questions.

The outline of this paper is the follow ing: in Sections 2 and 4, I describe the stochastic dynam ics of particle positions and velocities, respectively. Section 3 is an interm ezzo, $m$ ainly of ilhustrative value, where I show an exam ple of exact derivation of a m acro-variable (coarse-grained) evolution from the $m$ icroscopic dynam ics. Further com $m$ ents and conclusions are given in Section 5.

## 2 U pdate of positions

Let us rst suppose that each cell in the boxes can host whatever number of particles. A positions update consists of 1) choosing at random one particle, $a_{r}$, and one cell, $r$; and 2) m oving particle $a_{r}$ into œell $r$. In term $s$ of the $m$ acrovariable $n$, which counts how $m$ any particles are currently found in box 1 , this de nes a stationary stochastic process of the $M$ arkov type, being characterized by the follow ing conditional (or transition) probabilities:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
T(n+1 & n) & P(n+1 ; t+1 \eta ; t)=\frac{(N \quad n) V_{1}}{N V} ; \\
T\left(\begin{array}{lll}
n & n
\end{array}\right. & \mathrm{n}) & P(n \quad 1 ; t+1 \eta ; t)=\frac{n V_{2}}{N V}: \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

In Eq. $(\underset{1}{1}), t=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ is a discrete tim $e$. The ensuing $m$ aster equation for $n$ reads:

$$
P(n ; t+1)=\frac{(N \quad n+1) V_{1}}{N V} P\left(\begin{array}{l}
n \quad 1 ; t)+\frac{(n+1) V_{2}}{N V} P(n+1 ; t), ~
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\quad 1 \frac{(\mathbb{N} n) V_{1}}{N V} \frac{n V_{2}}{N V} P(n ; t): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is $\mathrm{m} m$ ediate to realize, by direct inspection, that Eq. (2) adm its the bino$m$ ialdistribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(n)=N_{n}^{!}{\frac{V_{1}}{V}}^{n}{\frac{V_{2}}{V}}^{N n^{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as unique stationary distribution. Since the $M$ arkov chain is ergodic (i.e., there is a path connecting every ( $m$ acro) state $n$ to every other $n^{0}$ ), any initialdistribution $P(n ; 0)$ will converge, in the long run, to $W(n)$. Observe that $W(n)$ gives the chance that, upon random ly distributing N labelled particles into tw o boxes, w th di erent a priori probabilities for the boxes, the num ber of particles in box 1 be $n$. M oreover, the multiplicity of $m$ acrostate $n$, i.e., the num ber of com plexions ( $m$ icrostates) ofN distinguishable particles in the boxes, such that box 1 contains n particles, is $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{n})$. H ereafter, I list a num ber of properties which hold for the dynam ics ruled by Eq. (

First, I calculate the average n and $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ at any tim e by explicitly evaluating the two sum s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{hni}(t+1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{X^{N}} n P(n ; t+1)=\frac{V_{1}}{V}+1 \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{hni}(t) ; \\
& { }^{D} n^{2}{ }^{E}(t+1) \quad x_{n=0}^{N} n^{2} P(n ; t+1) \\
& =\frac{V_{1}}{V}+1 \frac{2}{N}{ }^{D} n^{2}{ }^{E}(t)+1 \frac{1}{N} \frac{2 V_{1}}{V}+\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{hni}(t):(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

The rst of the di erence equations, (4) adm its a solution hni (t) $=a+b \neq$, for suitable a;b, and $x$. O ne easily nds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hni}(t)=\frac{N V_{1}}{V}+\operatorname{hni}(0) \frac{N V_{1}}{V} \quad 1 \frac{1}{N}^{\mathrm{t}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., an irreversible exponential approach to $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}$, a value corresponding to having the sam e density of particles in every box. Sim ilarly, the second ofE qs. ( $\overline{4}$ ) has a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{D^{2}}(t)=a^{0}+b^{0} 1 \frac{1}{N}^{t}+c^{0} 1 \frac{2}{N}^{t} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $a^{0}=N V_{1}=V+N(N \quad 1)\left(V_{1}=V\right)^{2}$. $W$ hence the variance of $n$ scales, in the in nite-timelim it, as $N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{hn}^{2} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{hn}^{2}}{\mathrm{hni}}}{\mathrm{hn}}!\mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathrm{N}}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing $n ; N \quad n ; V_{1} ; V_{2}=O(N) \quad 1$ and using the Stirling approxim ation $\ln N!=N(\ln N \quad 1)+O(\ln N)$, the equilibrium entropy $S(n)$, de ned as the logarithm of the multiplicity $V^{N} W(n)$, tums out to be additive over the boxes and extensive w th n :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(n) \quad n \ln \frac{n}{V_{1}} \quad(N \quad n) \ln \frac{n}{V_{2}}+N \ln N ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $m$ axim um for $n=N V_{1}=V$ (subextensive term $s$ in ( $\bar{\delta}_{-1}$ ) are ignored). $N$ ote that the superextensive $N \ln N$ term tums into an extensive constant ifV ${ }^{N} W$ ( $n$ ) is m ultiplied by the G ibbs factor of $1=\mathrm{N}$ !, w hose origin is quantum m echanical (正 arises as a required correction to the partition function of a system of identical particles in the classical lim it, i.e., under the hypothesis of low particle density at all tem peratures). In Eq $\left(\overline{8}_{1}\right)$, we recognize the volum e contribution to the ideal-gas entropy. T herefore, the equilibrium (and asym ptotic) value of $n$ is the outcom e of the entropy $m$ axim ization, as indeed prescribed by therm odynam ics.

It easily follows from Eq. (הָהַ) that the pro le of W ( $n$ ) around the maxim um is G aussian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln W(n)=\text { const: } \quad \frac{V^{2} n^{2}}{2 N V_{1} V_{2}}+O \frac{n^{3}}{N^{2}} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N} V_{1}=\mathrm{V}$ being the deviation from the abscissa of the mpaxim um. T he last term in Eq. ( $(\overline{9})$ is negligible for standard deviations $n=O(\bar{N})$.
$N$ othing changes in the asym ptotics if $r$ is forbidden to be the sam e original cell of $a_{r}$. In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}+1 \quad \mathrm{n})=\frac{(\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{V}_{1}} \underset{\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~V}}{1}\right) \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{n} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{n})=\frac{\mathrm{nV} 2}{\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~V}} 1\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the form of $W$ ( $n$ ) is unchanged. H ow ever,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hni}(t)=\frac{N V_{1}}{V}+\operatorname{hni}(0) \quad \frac{N V_{1}}{V} \quad 1 \quad \frac{V}{N(V \quad 1)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is slightly di erent from $\stackrel{(1}{(1)})$, though sharing the sam e lim it $N V_{1}=V$ for $t!1$ •
W hile all of the above sounds quite \standard", novel results are those I obtain for the case of single-occupation cells. N ow, at each step of the process, the selected particle $a_{r}$ is $m$ oved into a cell $r$ that is chosen at random am ong the vacant sites. T he transition probabilities now read (w th $V_{1} ; V_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ):

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}+1 & \mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{n})\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}\right)}{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~N})} ; \quad \mathrm{T}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n} & 1 & \mathrm{n} \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n}\right)}{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{~N}\right) ;
$$

yielding a hypergeom etric stationary distribution for variable $n$ :

$$
W(n)=\begin{array}{ccccc}
V^{!} & 1 & V_{1}^{!} & & V_{2}  \tag{13}\\
N & & n & N & n
\end{array}
$$

The Vanderm onde identity，

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
X^{N} & V_{1}! & V_{2}!  \tag{14}\\
\mathrm{n}=0 & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{n}
\end{array}=\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2}^{!} \\
\mathrm{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

ensures that Eq．（ī⿱一⿱㇒⿴囗⿱一一⿰亻⿱一𫝀口1）is norm alized correctly．W（ n ）gives the chance that，upon random ly choosing N cells（i．e．，the occupied ones）am ong a total of $V$ distin－ guishable sites，the num ber of particles in box 1 be $n$ ．Stated it di erently，${ }_{n}^{N}$ is the num ber of $w$ ays $n$ num bered particles can be sorted out from a set of $N$ ， while
is the number of ways these n particles can be allocated in box 1 （the other $N \quad n$ being attributed to box 2 instead）．The product of ${ }_{n}^{N}$ by $(\underline{1}(\overline{1}$ again $W$（ $n$ ）．F inally，the multiplicity of state $n$ ，i．e．，the number of ways $N$ indistinguishable particles can be arranged into $V$ distinguishable cells，in such a way that $n$ particles reside in box 1 ，is equal to ${\underset{N}{1}}_{V_{1}}^{V_{N}}{ }_{N}^{V_{2}}{ }_{n}=\underset{N}{V} W(n)$ ．
$T$ he average $n$ and $n^{2}$ at tim et are given by：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{hni}(t)=\frac{N V_{1}}{V}+\operatorname{hni}(0) \frac{N V_{1}}{V} \quad 1 \quad \frac{V}{N(V \quad N)}{ }^{t} ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\left.a^{0}=\mathbb{N} V_{1} V_{2}+N^{2} V_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}V_{1} & 1\end{array}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}N & \left.\left(\begin{array}{l}V\end{array}\right)\right] \text { ．In the in nite－time lim it，the }\end{array}\right.$ relative deviation from the average

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q \overline{\mathrm{hn}^{2} i} \mathrm{hni}^{2}}{\mathrm{hni}}!p_{\overline{\mathrm{N}}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{u}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}_{2}(\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\mathrm{N})}{\mathrm{V}_{1}(\mathrm{~V} \quad 1)}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon assum ing $n ; N \quad n ; V_{1} \quad n ; V_{2} \quad N+n=O(N) \quad 1$ ，the equilibrium entropy becom es：

being $m$ axim $u m$ for $n=N V_{1}=V$ ．Equation（ī）is nothing but the them ody－ nam ic entropy of two ideal lattice gases that can $m$ utually exchange energy and particles．

Finally, it im m ediately follow sfrom Eq. (13) that the pro le ofW ( $n$ ) around the $m$ axim um is $G$ aussian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln W(n)=\text { const: } \frac{V^{3} n^{2}}{2 N\left(V N_{V} V_{2}\right.}+0 \frac{n^{3}}{N^{2}}+0 \frac{n^{3}}{\left(V N^{\prime}\right.} \text { ! } ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N} \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}$ being the deviation from the abscissa of the $m$ axim um. Apgain, the last tw $O$ term $\sin \mathrm{Eq} .(\underline{\overline{1}} \overline{\mathrm{I}})$ are negligible for standard deviations $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{O}(\overline{\mathrm{N}})$.

## 3 D erivation of a coarse-grained dynam ics from the $m$ icrostate dynam ics

For a speci cinstance of stochastic dynam ics of cell occupation num bers, I provide in this paragraph the detailed derivation of the coarse-grained evolution of a m acro-variable (i.e., the num ber $n$ of occupied cells in box 1).

In the exam ple considered here, the occupation num bers $c=0 ; 1$ are $m$ ade to evolve according to the follow ing rules: at each tim e step, 1) tw o cells are chosen at random (either in the sam ebox or in di erent boxes), and 2) their occupation num bers arem utually $\{$ and unconditionally \{ exchanged (observe that the overall number N of occupied cells is conserved by the dynam ics). The ensuing M onte C arlo/M arkovian evolution is thus speci ed by the transition probabilities
where the constant prefactor in $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{0})$ ) ensures the correct norm alization, nam ely that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & (\mathrm{f} \ell g \\
\mathrm{fc} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{g}} & \mathrm{fog})=1: \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

Taken (fog; t) to be the probability for the occurrence of the m icrostate fog at tim $e t$, the $m$ aster equation ofm icro-evolution form ally reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f c^{\circ} g ; t+1\right)=\underbrace{x}_{f \circ g} \quad(f d g \quad \text { fog }) \quad(f \circ g ; t): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

U pon plugging Eq. ( $(\underline{2} \overline{0} \overline{0})$ into Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, the latter equation becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(::: ; c ;::: ; c ;::: ; t+1)=\frac{2}{V(v ~ 1)}_{<}^{x}(::: ; c ;::: ; c ;::: ; t) ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which adm its the constant ${\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{V}}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ as a stationary solution.

Let the V cells be num bered in such a way that the rst $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$ œlls in the list do belong to box 1, while those from $V_{1}+1$ to $V$ belong to box 2 . The probability of observing the $m$ acrostate $n$ at tim $e t$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n ; t)=\mathrm{fog}_{\mathrm{fog}}^{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{n}}{ } \quad(\mathrm{fcg} ; \mathrm{t}): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

I aim at nding an equation of evolution for this $P$, nam ely a $m$ aster equation that is valid at a less fundam ental, coarse-grained level of description.
$F$ irst, I note that every sum over alldistinct pairs of cells (like that appearing on the rh. s. ofE q. ( $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) can be decom posed into three sum $\mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{V}_{1}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{V}_{1}<+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{V}_{1} \ll$, the three partial sum s being denoted as A;B, and C, respectively. A lso observe that, for $<\quad V_{1}$ or $V_{1} \ll$, the value of $n$ is left unchanged by the exchange of $c$ and $c$, and the sam e happens for $\quad V_{1}<$ provided $c=c$. C onversely, for $\mathrm{V}_{1}<, \mathrm{n}$ increases (or decreases) by 1 when $\mathrm{c}=0$ and c = 1 (or the other way around).

Let $S_{n}$ be the set of all the ${ }_{n}^{V_{1}}{ }_{N}^{V_{2}}{ }_{n} m$ icrostates for such that ${ }^{P} \stackrel{V_{1}}{=} C=n$. Upon summ ing the lh.s. of Eq. ( $(2 \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ over $S_{n}$, the net result is, by de nition, $P(n ; t+1)$. Sim ilarly, sum $m$ ing $A$ and $C$ over the sam em icrostates gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \quad 1\right)}{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{t}) \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{V}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \quad 1\right)}{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{t}) \text {; } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. A s to the pairs < contributing to $B$, i.e., satisfying $\quad V_{1}<$, the $m$ icrostates of $S_{n}$ are classi ed in four categories, according to the values of c and C. Calling N ; the total num ber of pairs of each type, one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{C}=1 \quad: \quad \mathrm{N} \stackrel{(1)}{;}=\mathrm{n}(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{n}) \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{C}=0 \quad: \quad \mathrm{N} \stackrel{(2)}{;}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right. & \mathrm{n})\left(\mathrm{V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n}\right) ; ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{c}=1 \text { and } \mathrm{c}=0: \mathrm{N}^{(3)}=\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n}\right) \text {; } \\
& c=0 \text { and } c=1 \quad: \quad N_{i}^{(4)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n)
\end{array}(\mathbb{N}\right. & n):
\end{array}\right. \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the coe cient of $P(n ; t)$ in the $m$ aster equation for $n$ should be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{V(N \quad 1)} \frac{V_{1}\left(V_{1} \quad 1\right)}{2}+\frac{V_{2}\left(V_{2} \quad 1\right)}{2}+n(\mathbb{N} \quad n)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(V_{1}\right. & n)\left(V_{2}\right. & N+n)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =1 \frac{2\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}\right)(\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{n})+2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n})}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~V} \quad 1)}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow ${ }_{P}$ observe that, for each $m$ icrostate of $S_{n}$, there are exactly $N{ }_{;}^{(3)}$ term s in
 the coe cient ofP ( $n \quad 1 ; t$ ) in the $m$ aster equation (i.e., the num ber of tim es any

is given by the product of $N{ }^{\text {(3) }}$; tim es the num ber of $S_{n} m$ icrostates, divided by the total num ber of $S_{n} 1 \mathrm{~m}$ icrostates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{V}_{1}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{V}_{2}}}{\substack{\mathrm{~V}_{1} \\ \mathrm{n} 1 \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{n}+1}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}+1\right)(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{n}+1) ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h i c h$ is also the number of $S_{n} m$ icrostates that can be originated from any speci cm icrostate of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ by $m$ oving a particle from box 2 to 1.

A sim ilar calculation for the coe cient of $P(n+1 ; t)$ yields:

In the end, the com plete $m$ aster equation for $n$ reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(n ; t+1)=\frac{2\left(V_{1} n+1\right)(N \quad n+1)}{V(V \quad 1)} P(n \quad 1 ; t) \\
& +\frac{2(n+1)\left(V_{2} \quad N+n+1\right)}{V(V \quad 1)} P(n+1 ; t) \\
& +1 \frac{2\left(V_{1} \quad n\right)(\mathbb{N} \quad n)+2 n(\mathbb{Z} \quad N+n)}{V(V \quad 1)} P(n ; t): \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can easily extract from the above equation the expression of the transition probabilities, w the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}+1 \quad \mathrm{n})=\frac{2\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{n}\right)(\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{n})}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~V} \quad 1)} ; \quad \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{n})=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{n}\right)}{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~V} \quad 1)}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he latter probabilities, although not identical to the ( $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, nonetheless lead to the sam e stationary distribution ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, as can be checked directly. This is not strange, since the transition probabilities ( (İ) for the constant factor $2 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~N})=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{V} & (\mathrm{V} & 1)] \text {. }\end{array}\right.$

## 4 U pdate of velocities

The collision dynam ics of a set of equalm ass particles can be schem atized, at the roughest level of description, as a succession of random binary events which are nevertheless required to obey energy and $m$ om entum conservation [3] ]. On the $m$ acroscopic side, such collision rules go along w ith the conservation of total kinetic energy and totalm om entum, thus being appropriate only to a very dilute (gaseous) system of particles. If, m oreover, we want to drop the $m$ om entum constraint, provision should be m ade also for elastic collisions of particles against the (cubic) container walls, causing the reversal of one com ponent only of the
velocity of the hitting particle (say, the x com ponent if the collision occurs against the wall that is orthogonal to the x axis) .

A s far as the $m$ utual collisions are concemed, the conservation law s by them selves require that the velocities of the colliding particles, say a and b, be updated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}!\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}+(\mathrm{v}) \hat{\mathrm{r}} ; \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}!\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \text { (v) } \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}=\binom{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}} \hat{\mathrm{r}}$, and all that we know about the unit-length vector $\hat{\mathrm{r}}$ is that it form $s$ an acute angle $w$ ith $v_{b} \quad v_{a}$ (i.e., $v>0$ ). In particular, note that a general property of an elastic collision is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathrm{j}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \quad v_{b}^{0} j=\dot{j}_{a} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}} j: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The full speci cation off would require m ore know ledge about the collision (i.e., the peculiar geom etry of the im pact and the exact law of interaction betw een the particles). Instead, the collision rules considered here are such that the outcom e of a $m$ utual collision is as $m$ axim ally random as possible: that is, at each step of the gam $e, \hat{r}$ is picked up at random from the em isphere of unit vectors form ing an acute angle w ith $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$. N ote that in one dim ension only is the vector $\hat{r}$ nonetheless univocally determ ined: this is consistent with the known fact that, for particles $m$ oving on a straight line and colliding elastically, the conservation law s su ce to determ ine the post-collision velocities from the in itialones. I point out that the duration of velocity relaxation in a real system is rather sensitive to the peculiarities of the interaction betw een particles. H ow ever, this $m$ ay not be the case for the asym ptotic shape of the velocity distribution, which is only aw are of the conservation law s that rule the outcom e of an individual collision.

If collisions against $w$ alls and betw een particles occur at a rate of $1 \quad p$ and $p$, respectively (w here $p$ is any num ber betw een 0 and 1), the $m$ aster equation for the velocities reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \left(f v^{0} g ; t+1\right)=d^{3 N} v \quad\left(f v^{0} g \quad f v g\right)(f v g ; t) ;  \tag{34}\\
& \text { where }=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & p
\end{array}\right)_{1}+p_{2} \text { and }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Y } \quad{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{5} \text { : }  \tag{35}\\
& \text { cf } a ; b
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the two kemels 1 and 2 are separately norm alized. In particular, the factor $1=\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & j_{a} & v_{b}\end{array}\right)$ is the outcom e of a six-dim ensional integration of
delta functions, which is perform ed by substituting $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ th the auxiliary variables $s=\left(v_{a}^{0}+v_{b}^{0}\right)=2$ and $t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}v_{a}^{0} & v_{b}^{0}\end{array}\right)=2$ (the jacobian for thistransform ation is 8 ):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& z d^{3} v_{a}^{0} d^{3} v_{b}^{0}{ }^{3}\left(v_{a}^{0}+v_{b}^{0} \quad v_{a}\right. \\
= & \left.v_{b}\right) \\
= & \left(v_{a}^{\infty}+v_{b}^{\infty}\right.
\end{array} \mathrm{v}^{2} \quad \mathrm{v}^{2}\right)
$$

U sing Eqs. ( $(\overline{3} \overline{3})$ and ( $(\overline{3} \overline{\bar{G}})$ ), it is easy to prove that a stationary solution to Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ is, for any regular and properly norm alized function F :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(f v g)=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}^{2}+{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{2} \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{v}\right. \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

An outstanding exception is $p=1$, where the $m$ ore general stationary solution to Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ is instead $F\left(v_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{N}^{2} H G v\left(v_{1}+\quad{ }_{N}+\right.\right.$, tror arbitrary $F$ and $G$ functions.

N ext, I consider the one-and tw o-body velocity distributions at tim et. These are $m$ arginaldistributions that are built over (fvg; t ):

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}\left(v_{1} ; t\right) & ={ }_{z}^{z} d^{3} v_{2} d^{3} v_{3}::: d^{3} v_{N} \quad(f v g ; t) ; \\
f_{2}\left(v_{1} ; v_{2} ; t\right) & =d^{3} v_{3}::: d^{3} v_{N} \quad(f v g ; t):
\end{align*}
$$

Seeking for an exact equation of evolution for $f_{1}$, $I$ calculate $f_{1}\left(v_{1} ; t+1\right)$ by inserting Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ into the rst of Eqs. $(\overline{1}(\overline{-} \bar{\beta})$. W hile the term arising from 1 can be easily worked out, less straightforward is the derivation of the other one, involving 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{z} d^{3} v_{2}::: d^{3} v_{N}{ }^{Z} d^{3 N} v^{0}{ }_{2}\left(f v g \quad f v^{0} g\right)\left(f v^{0} g ; t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{z} d^{3} v_{b}{ }^{3}\left(v_{1}+v_{b} \quad v_{1}^{0} \quad v_{b}^{0}\right) \quad\left(v_{1}^{2}+v_{b}^{2} \quad v_{1}^{Q} \quad v_{b}^{\infty}\right) ; \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where the latter sum is actually $m$ ade of $N \quad 1$ identical contributions. Then,
 the rh.s. of Eq. ( $\left(\frac{3}{3} 9{ }_{-1}\right)$ and to arrive at the nal equation for f , whose status is akin to that of the fam ous Boltzm ann equation in the kinetic theory of gases:
$f_{1}\left(v_{1} ; t+1\right)=(1 \quad p) \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{N} \quad f_{1}\left(v_{1} ; t\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{3 N}\left[f_{1}\left(V_{x} ; v_{1 y} ; v_{1 z} ; t\right)+f_{1}\left(v_{1 x} ; ~ V_{y} ; v_{1 z} ; t\right)+f_{1}\left(v_{1 x} ; v_{1 y} ; V_{z} ; t\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{2}}{2}+; \frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2}}{2} \text {; } \mathrm{t} \text { : } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

W hile it seem s problem atic to derive a sort of H theorem from Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{0})$, a m ore easy program to ful lis to nd tim e-independent solutions for this equation. It is im $m$ ediate to chedk that the ansatz $f_{2}^{(e q)}\left(v_{1} ; v_{2}\right)=\left(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)$ gives a stationary solution to Eq. ( 4 ĪO_) for any appropriate function (for $p=1$, the $m$ ore general tim e-independent solution is instead $\left.\left(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)\right)$. H ow ever, in case of an isolated system w ith total energy U [⿶凵1], the only adm issible solution ( $\overline{3} \overline{\bar{T}})$ is the $m$ icrocanonical density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{fvg})=\frac{(3 \mathrm{~N}=2)}{3 \mathrm{~N}=2} \mathrm{U} \quad\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{~N}}{2} \text { 1) }\left(V_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{N}^{2}+\mathrm{wU}\right):\right. \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the function can be explicitly worked out by transform ing to hyperspherical coordinates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{(3 N=2)}{(3(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)=2)}(\mathrm{U})^{3} 1 \frac{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}!\frac{3(\mathbb{N} 2)}{2}}{U} 1 \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$S_{n}(R)=2^{n=2} R^{n}{ }^{1}=(n=2)$ being the area of the $n$-dim ensional hyperspherical surface of radius R. A sim ilar calculation leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{(e q)}\left(V_{1}\right)=\frac{(3 \mathrm{~N}=2)}{(3(\mathrm{~N} \quad 1)=2)}(\mathrm{U})^{\frac{3}{2}} 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1}^{2}!\frac{3(\mathrm{~N} 1)}{2} 1}{\mathrm{U}} \text {; } \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the nite $N$ M axwell-Boltzm ann (MB) distribution,'[íb]. In theN; U ! 1 lim it (w ith $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}(1)$ ), one recovers from Eq. ( $(\underline{1}-\overline{1})$ ) the m ore fam iliar G aussian form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{(e q)}(v)=-e^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{v^{2}} \text {; } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $=3 \mathrm{~N}=(2 \mathrm{U})$, corresponding to an average $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{N}$ for all a . N ote that fiull independence of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, nam ely $f_{2}^{(e q)}\left(v_{1} ; v_{2}\right)=f_{1}^{(e q)}\left(v_{1}\right) f_{1}^{(e q)}\left(v_{2}\right)$, requires the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{N}!1$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N})$.

A s a further com $m$ ent, Iem phasize that a distribution like ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \bar{N}_{1}\right)$ is a m eaningful

velocity distribution need not necessarily correspond to a single m icrostate or to a $m$ ixture of $m$ icrostates all having the sam e energy $U$. It is an equally valid possibility that the initial state encom passes a whole distribution of $m$ icrostate energies. In this case, and taken for granted that the evolution is ergodic, the collisionsw illeventually suppress any di erence in weight betw een them icrostates having the sam e energy, but preserving the overall frequency of occurrence of every energy value in the $m$ ixture.

A norm alized w distribution of the form $\left(\overline{3} \overline{T_{1}}\right)$ requires that $F$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \mathrm{dU} F(\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{U}^{\frac{3 \mathrm{~N}}{2}}{ }^{1}=\frac{(3 \mathrm{~N}=2)}{3 \mathrm{~N}=2}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

U pon observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(V_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{N}^{2} H=V_{0}^{Z+1} d U F(U) \quad\left(V_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{N}^{2}+v U\right) ;\right. \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

the two-and one-body velocity distributions will read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}^{(e q)}\left(v_{1} ; V_{2}\right)=\frac{\frac{3(N 2)}{2}}{(3(\mathbb{N} 2)=2)}{ }_{v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}}^{Z} d U F(U)\left(U \quad V_{1}^{2} \quad V_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3(N 2)}{2}}{ }^{1} \text {; } \\
& f_{1}^{\text {(eq) }}\left(v_{1}\right)=\frac{\frac{3(\mathbb{N} 1)}{2}}{(3(\mathbb{N} 1)=2)}{ }^{Z} v_{1}^{2} d U F(U)\left(U \quad V_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3(\mathbb{N} 1)}{2}}{ }^{1}: \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The above distributions have not generally a G aussian pro l, even in the them odynam ic lim it (an exception isF (U) = ${ }^{3 N=2} \exp (U)$, which leadsto $f_{1}^{(e q)}\left(V_{1}\right)=$
${ }^{3=2} \exp \left(V_{1}^{2}\right)$ and $\left.f_{1}^{(e q)}\left(v_{1} ; V_{2}\right)={ }^{3} \exp \left(V_{1}^{2} V_{2}^{2}\right)\right)$.
I have carried out a com puter sim ulation of the evolution encoded in Eq. (了̄/ָ̄) in order to check whether the stationary distribution (4) is also an asym ptotic, $t$ ! 1 solution to Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$, as one $m$ ay surm ise (at least for $0<p<1$ ) from the likely ergodic character of its kemel . First, I set $N=3$ and $U=0: 06$, $w$ ith $p=0: 5$ (note that the choice of $U$ is rather im material, it just sets the range of uctuations of a single velocity com ponent to approxim ately a value of $2 \mathrm{U}=(3 \mathrm{~N}))$. Starting from a system of velocities in any particular $m$ icrostate of energy $U$, I collect in a hystogram the values, at regular tim e intervals, of the three com ponents of, say, the velocity of particle 1. A look at Fig. 1 indeed shows that this hystogram has, in the long run, the nite N MB form. This is indirect evidence that the simulation trajectory sam ples uniform ly, at least e ectively if not literally, the 3 N -dim ensional hypersurface of energy U. I note that ergodicity does not hold for $\mathrm{p}=0$ (i.e., when collisions against the walls are the only present), whereas the stochastic evolution for $\mathrm{p}=1$ (i.e., only mutual collisions present) retains $m$ em ory of the initial value of the total $m$ om entum .

A fterwards, I take $\mathrm{N}=1000$ and $\mathrm{U}=20$ (i.e., sam e $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{N}$ as in the case before), and follow the evolution of the sam e hystogram as above, now starting
from velocity values that are random ly extracted from e.g. a (bounded) uniform one-particle distribution of zero average and variance equal to $U=(3 \mathrm{~N}$ ) (I have checked that nothing changes in the results if the shape of the initial one-velocity distribution were di erent, e.g. truncated quadratic). A fter discarding the intitial part of the sim ulation trajectory, the long-run distribution of values for velocity no. 1 now compares well w th a Gaussian (see Fig.2), that is with the largeN form of the MB distribution. In fact, also the instantaneous velocities of all particles are asym ptotically distributed, for large $N$, according to the sam e G aussian (see Fig.3). This indicates that: 1) the vast $m$ a jority of points in the energy hypersurface is $m$ ade of \typical" states, i.e., $m$ icrostates that look m ore or less sim ilar as far as low-order, $m$ arginal distributions like $f_{1}$ are concemed; 2) the $m$ icrostate at which the evolution ( $\left(\frac{3}{4} \overline{4}\right)$ was started is actually untypical; and 3) this evolution $m$ oves eventually the initial state into the $m$ anifold of typical m icrostates. It is believed that such features of the stochastic dynam ics ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})-(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}$ - $)$ are ow ned also by the determ inistic dynam ics of a typicalm any-particle system . In particular, it is in the weak ore ective sense being clari ed in point 3) above that the ergodic hypothesis of statisticalm echanics $m$ ay actually be relevant for m echanical system s (and are the m ost) that are not strictly ergodic $\underline{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1}, \mathrm{l}$.

For a given num ber $n$ of particles in box 1, the equilibrium probability density $W_{n}(u)$ of their totalenergy $u$ can be calculated exactly forw (fvg) / (tid + $v_{N}^{2} \quad U$ ), by evaluating the probability that the num ber $V_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{n}^{2}$ bevoom prised in an interval (a;b), with a>0. Once again, this probability is calculated by transform ing to hyperspherical coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(v_{1}^{2}+\quad{ }_{n}^{2} \mathbb{Z}(t a ; b)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the nal result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}(u)=\frac{(3 N=2)}{(3 n=2) \quad(3(\mathbb{N} \quad n)=2)} U^{\left(\frac{3 N}{2} 1\right) u^{\frac{3 n}{2}}{ }^{1}(U \quad u)^{\left.\frac{3(N)}{2}\right)}{ }^{1} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the variable $u=U$ is beta-distributed $w$ th an average ofn $=\mathrm{N}$ and a variance of $(n=N)(1 \quad n=N)=(3 N=2+1)$ (which is $O\left(N^{1}\right)$ for $\left.n=O(\mathbb{N})\right)$. O falln-velocity $m$ icrostates, the fraction of those states whose energy lies betw een $u$ and $u+u$ is $W_{n}(u) u$ (for $u \quad u$ ). In particular, the Boltzm ann entropy associated $w$ ith Eq. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-})$ is, for $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln W_{n}(u) \quad \frac{3 n}{2} \ln \frac{n}{u} \quad \frac{3(N \quad n)}{2} \ln \frac{N}{U} \quad n \quad+\frac{3 N}{2} \ln \frac{N}{U} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when inchuding also the con gurational term ${ }_{(1 / \overline{1})}$ or ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, gives back the correct expression of the entropy of the ( $m$ onoatom ic) ideal gas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}}=\mathrm{N} \ln \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~N}}+\frac{3 \mathrm{~N}}{2} \ln \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{~N}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 C onclusions

Sim ple, yet non trivial, theoretical m odels hold a prom inent place in our own understanding of the physical reality, since they help in corroborating in ourm ind the generalabstract principles. This is especially true for the leaming ofstatistical $m$ echanics, where the appeal of students to their physical intuition, which is grounded on every-day experience, is not as easy as for classical $m$ echanics and therefore the convinced acceptance of basic principles by them would require a proper $m$ ediation.

An exam ple is the hypothesis of equal a prioriprobability of allm icrostates, which is crucial for getting at the $m$ icrocanonical and canonical ensembles. In this paper, I have introduced a stochastic process of the Ehrenfest type which, am ong other things, provides a m icroscopic justi cation for the expression of the therm odynam ic entropy of an ideal gas, i.e., w ithout relying on any ergodic hypothesis. Rather, the validity of this hypothesis, at least in an e ective sense, arises autom atically from the stochastic dynam ics itself. H ow ever, in order to $m$ ake the asym ptotics of the present $m$ odel solvable a rather strong assum ption was m ade, i.e., that positions and velocities actually behave as uncorrelated random variables. This is only justi ed so long as the two sets of variables relax on very di erent tim e scales, which is a fair assum ption only for low-density gases (i.e., for particles undergoing only sporadic encounters). T he proposed derivation of the ideal-gas entropy som ehow recalls the heuristic estim ate of the $m$ ultiplicity by $\left[\frac{8}{\mathbf{8}}, 1,[\overline{9}]\right.$, though being de nitely m ore rigorous.

In them odynam ics, the Second Law requires the maxim izization of the total entropy $S$ under the given constraints (here, the total num ber of particles $N$ and the totalenergy $U$ of tw o ideal gases being in grand-canonicalcontact w ith each other) in order to nd the equilibrium state of an overall isolated system. In the present m odel, this very sam e prescription em erges naturally, when de ning the entropy a la Boltzm ann, as the condition upon which the partition of $N$ and $U$ between the gases be, in the long-tim e regin $e$, the (overwhelm ing for $U$; $N \quad 1$ ) $m$ ost probable. H opefilly, a discussion of this $m$ odel $w$ ith the students can serve to deepen their com prehension of the hypotheses underlying statisticalm echanics.
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## FIGURECAPTIONS

Fig. 1 : Num erical sim ulation of Eq. ( $\left(\frac{1}{3} \overline{-1}\right)$. Top: H ystogram of velocity values for particle 1 ( 4,2 , and correspond to the x;y, and $z$ com ponent, respectively). Here, $N=3$ and $U=0: 06$. A fter rejecting a total of $10^{5}$ collisions per particle (CPP) (so as to sweep aw ay any mem ory of the intial state), as many as $10^{7} \mathrm{CPP}$ are produced. The p value is 0.5 , held xed during the sim ulation. D ata (in form of frequencies of occurrence) are grouped in bins of width $v=2 \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{N}=31$. A fter equilibration, the hystogram is updated every 10 CPP. The fullcurve is the theoretical, niteN M B distribution per single velocity com ponent, which is appreciably di erent from the in nite N lim it (i.e., the Gaussian $=\exp \left(v^{2}\right)$, with
$=3 \mathrm{~N}=(2 \mathrm{U})$ \{ broken curve). Bottom : Here is plotted the di erence betw een the hystogram and the niteN MB distribution.

Fig. 2 : Num erical sim ulation of Eq. ( $\overline{(1-\overline{4})} \mathbf{- 1})$. Top: H ystogram of velocity values for particle 1 (sam e sym bols and notation as in F ig.1). N ow, $\mathrm{N}=1000$ and $U=20$ (i.e., same $U=N$ as in $F$ ig.1). Initially, the $v_{a}$ vectors are extracted from a uniform one-particle distribution having zero average and a variance of $U=(3 N)$ (hence the $m$ axim $u m$ speed $v_{m a x}=\overline{U=N}$ ). Then, velocities are rescaled to $t$ the chosen $U$ value. A fter discarding 10 CPP , a huge num ber of collisions is perform ed ( $10^{6}$ per particle, w ith $\mathrm{p}=0: 5$ ). Sim ilarly to $N=3$, data are grouped in bins of $w$ idth $v=2 v_{n a x}=31$ and the hystogram is updated every 10 CPP. The full curve is the theoretical distribution, that is the Gaussian $=\exp \left(v^{2}\right)$, w ith $=3 \mathrm{~N}=(2 \mathrm{U})$. B ottom: D i erence betw een the hystogram and the above G aussian.
 of the sim ulation run for $N=1000$ and $U=20$ (se Fig.2, caption; sam e sym bols and notation as in Fig.1). The distribution ofall-particle velocities at a given time strongly resembles the same Gaussian as in F ig. 2 (fiull curve). B ottom : D i erence betw een the above hystogram and this $G$ aussian law (note the change of scale w ith respect to $F$ igs. 1 and 2).
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