D oping dependence of the vortex glass and sublim ation transitions in the high- T_c superconductor La_{2 x}Sr_xC uO₄ as determ ined from m acroscopic m easurem ents

R. Gilardi, S. Streule, and J. Mesot

Laboratory for Neutron Scattering; ETH Zurich and PSIVilligen; CH-5232 Villigen PSI; Switzerland

N.Momono, and M.Oda

Departem ent of Physics; Hokkaido University; Sapporo 060-0810 Japan

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

M agnetization and ac-susceptibility measurements are used to characterize the mixed phase of the high-temperature cuprate superconductor $La_2 \ _x Sr_x CuO_4$ over a large range of doping (0.075 x 0.20). The rst order vortex lattice phase transition line H $_{\rm FOT}$ (T), the upper critical eld H $_{\rm c2}$ (T) and the second peak H $_{\rm sp}$ (T) have been investigated up to high magnetic elds (8 Tesla applied perpendicular to the C uO_2 planes). Our results reveal a strong doping dependence of the magnetic phase diagram, which can mainly be explained by the increasing anisotropy with underdoping. W ithin our interpretation, the rst order vortex lattice phase transition is due to the sublimation (rather than melting) of the vortex lattice into a gas of pancake vortices, whereas the second peak is related to the transition to a more disordered vortex glass state.

PACS numbers: 7425Ha, 7425Qt, 7425Op, 74.72Dn

I. IN TRODUCTION

Despite belonging to the family of the st high-T_c superconductor (HTSC) to be discovered, the m agnetic phase diagram of La_{2 x} Sr_xCuO₄ (LSCO) has not been as intensively investigated as that of other cuprates such as $YBa_2Cu_3O_x$ (YBCO) and $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+x}$ (BSCCO). The LSCO compound has a relative small value of T_c (38.5 K at optim aldoping), but is of high interest because lls the gap between rather 3D system s such as YBCO it. and highly an isotropic 2D system s such as BSCCO. The anisotropy factor ² can be de ned as the ratio between the out-of-plane and the in-plane resistive components (c_{ab}) m easured in the norm alstate^{1,2}. An additional advantage of LSCO is that depends on the Sr content x and allows a study of the magnetic phase diagram over a wide range of an isotropy $(200 < ^{2} < 4000)$ which lies inbetween the values for YBCO ($25 < ^2 < 100$) and BSCCO $(3000 < ^{2} < 30000)$.

The magnetic phase diagram of HTSC cuprates is dom inated by the mixed phase (the lower critical eld H_{c1} (0 K) is about 10 2 T whereas the upper critical eld H_{c2} (0 K) is of the order of 10^2 T), where the m agnetic ux can penetrate into the sample in the form of quantized ux-lines (vortices). Due to the anisotropy and therm al uctuations one observes a num ber of vortex phases, which have been the subject of extended experim ental and theoretical research in the last two decades3. In LSCO one can distinguish between a rst order transition (FOT) line H_{FOT} (T), which has been attributed to them elting^{3,4,5} or sublim ation^{1,2} of the vortex lattice into a vortex uid, and the irreversibility line H_{irr} (T), where reversible m agnetization and resistivity appear^{1,2,6}. Another interesting feature is the so-called shtail e ect, that is an anomalous second peak in the magnetization loops. The origin of the second peak line H $_{\rm sp}$ (T) is controversial, and has been attributed to mechanisms

varying from dimensional crossover⁷, collective pinning⁸, crossover between di erent pinning phases, crossover to a disordered vortex glass^{9,10,11}, etc.

Only recently the vortex lattice (VL) has been directly observed in overdoped LSCO by means of small angle neutron scattering (SANS), revealing a eld-induced transition from hexagonal to square symmetry^{12,13} and the vanishing of the VL signal at tem peratures wellbelow T_{c2}^{14} . In the underdoped regime of LSCO, on the other hand, a more disordered vortex glass has been observed by means of muon spin rotation (SR) experiments¹⁵. Interestingly, recent inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiments indicate a possible interplay between the vortex and copper-spin degrees of freedom. In optimally doped LSCO, sub-gap spin excitations induced by a magnetic eld of 7.5 Tesla have been observed at low-tem peratures¹⁶. M oreover, the spin gap was found to close at the irreversibility temperature rather than at T_{c2}^{16,17}. In underdoped LSCO, eld-induced static incom m ensurate m agnetic peaks have been observed¹⁸, and it has been suggested that these eld-induced magnetic signals arise from antiferrom agnetic order in the vortex cores and in the surrounding regions^{19,20,21}. Enhanced antiferrom agnetic spin correlations in the vortex core region have been indeed observed in NMR experim ents^{22,23}.

In order to understand these experiments performed in the presence of an external magnetic eld, it is crucial to have a good know ledge of the rich and complicated magnetic phase diagram of HTSC. We will present here a detailed study of the doping dependence of the magnetic phase diagram in LSCO single crystals from a macroscopic point of view. The magnetic phase diagram of LSCO has been investigated by means of magnetization (M) and acsusceptibility () measurements. We used a Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurements System (PPMS) up to elds of 8 T applied approximately perpendicular to the CuO₂ planes. The angle between the

eld direction and the c-axis of the sam ples was always smaller than 10 degrees. This precision is good enough for the present study, since the critical lines (e.g. melting line H_m , upper critical eld H_{c2}) are known to be only slightly a ected by small angles (e.g. H_m () H_m (= 0)=cos (), H_{c2} () H_{c2} (= 0)=cos ())^{24,25}. Four high quality LSCO single crystals with di erent doping levels have been m easured. D etails of the sam ple grow th can be found elsew here²⁶. The samples are labeled by the doping region (OD for overdoped and UD for underdoped) together with their T_c , de ned by $^{0}(T_c) =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ °(0 K). The width of the superconducting transition T_c has been determ ined by the 10% -90% criterion. OD -31K is a highly overdoped (x=0.20, T_c = 31.5 K, T_c = 2.8 K) 51 m g crystal. O D -36K is slightly overdoped (x= 0.17, $T_c = 36.2 \text{ K}$, $T_c = 1.5 \text{ K}$) and is a portion of the crystal used forour SANS and INS experiments^{12,17}. W hile most of the measurements on the OD-36K sample have been perform ed on a 293 mg cylindrical crystal, for zero- eld cooled m agnetization m easurem ents the crystal has been cut to a 84 mg plate-like shape with the c-axis parallel to the largest face, in order to reduce the diam agnetic signal. UD-29K is an underdoped (x=0.10, $T_c=29.2$ K, $T_c = 1.3 \text{ K}$) 37 m g plate-like crystal with the c-axis par-

allel to the largest face, which has been cut from a larger crystal used in SR experiments¹⁵. Finally, UD-19K is a highly underdoped (x= 0.075, T_c = 19 K, T_c = 3.8 K) 52 m g plate-like crystal with the c-axis perpendicular to the largest face.

III. RESULTS

W e start with the com plex ac-susceptibility = 0 + i 00 . The samples are placed in an external magnetic eld $H_{ext} = H_{dc} + H_{ac} \cos((t_{ac}t))$, with $H_{ac} = 10$ Oe and $!_{ac} = 10 \text{ Hz}, 0 \text{ T}$ H_{dc} 8 T.A set of eld-cooled (FC) temperature scans (T) for the four LSCO samples in di erent magnetic elds is shown in Fig.1, with the real part ⁰ and im aginary part ⁰⁰ plotted separately. In all sam ples the peak in ⁰⁰ shifts toward low tem peratures and sharpens with increasing magnetic eld. However, the magnitude of the shift is strongly doping dependent: for UD-19K a magnetic eld of 6 T is su cient to shift the peak by 0.85 T, whereas for OD-31K the shift caused by a eld of 8 T is only 0.45 T. The detailed eld dependence will be discussed in Section IV. In Fig 2 a representative curve (T) measured at H_{dc} = 3 T for UD -29K is plotted together with m agnetization curves M (T). One can notice that there is no di erence between the zero-



FIG.1: Real and imaginary part of the ac-susceptibility (T) for OD -31K, OD -36K, UD -29K and UD -19K measured at di erent magnetic elds between 0 T and 8 T. The peak in $^{\circ}$ (T) rapidly shifts toward lower tem peratures with increasing eld.

eld cooled (ZFC) and the FC (T) data, whereas FC and ZFC M (T) curves separate below the irreversibility tem perature $T_{\rm irr}$. Slightly above $T_{\rm irr}$ there is a jump in them agnetization, indicating the presence of a rst order transition (FOT)^{1,2}. Sim ilar data have been obtained for the other sam ples and for other values of H_{dc}. The jump is more pronounced at high m agnetic elds, and in UD-19K only a broad anom aly could be observed (to note is that in this sam ple the loss peaks in ⁽⁰⁾(T) are very broad, as well).

The experimental $T_{\rm irr}$ is often obtained from the boss peak in $^{\rm CD}(T)$, which is directly related to the maximum slope in $^{\rm O}(T)^{27}$. However, in our case, $T_{\rm irr}$ obtained by ac-susceptibility measurements is slightly higher than the "real" $T_{\rm irr}$, and is concomitant to the jump in M (T) at $T_{F\,O\,T}$. The irreversibility line and the FOT line are found to be close to each other in all the sam ples, and are therefore strongly related to each other. In the following we will consider only the FOT line in the phase diagram.

M (T) data provide additional inform ation about the vortex behavior. In the reversible region above $\rm T_{irr}$ a clear



FIG.2: a) Realand b) in aginary part of the ac-susceptibility (T) of UD-29K in an external eld of 3 T. T_{FOT} is determined by the peak position in $^{(0)}(T)$, which corresponds to the maximum slope in $^{(0)}(T)$. c) M agnetization data measured at H $_{dc}$ = 3 T, after subtraction of a linear background taken in the normal state. Below T_{irr} the FC and ZFC M (T) curves separate, whereas at T_{FOT} a jump is observed. T_{c2} is estimated by extrapolation (see text). T_{fluct} is de ned as the tem perature where the data deviates from the horizontal normal state line.

diam agnetic signal is present up to tem peratures larger than T_c . This region is characterized by strong uctuations and there is no well de ned upper critical tem – perature T_{c2} . The tem perature T_{fluct} , at which diam agnetic (superconducting) uctuations appear, has been de-

ned as the tem perature where the data begin to deviate from the horizontal norm al state line (see Fig.2c). The sim plest way to estim ate T_{c2} is to use the extrapolation m ethod based on the linear Abrikosov form ula^{28} . The transition tem perature T_{c2} is derived from the intersection of a linear t with the norm al-state horizontal line, as shown in Fig.2c. It was shown that this procedure is not totally correct for H T SC , where the Abrikosov linear region is limited to a small tem perature range because of the rounding close to $T_{c2}^{29,30}$. Indeed in the underdoped regin e, where uctuations are larger, using extrapola-



FIG.3: ZFC isotherm ic magnetization curves for a) OD –31K and b) UD –29K.For OD –31K, H $_{\rm p}$ and H $_{\rm sp}$ have been determined as indicated by the arrows. For UD –29K, only H $_{\rm sp}$ could be observed. The insets show full hysteresis bops with H $_{\rm irr}$.

tion we get unphysical values for the upper critical eld (positive slope of H $_{\rm c2}$ (T), see Sec. IV). However, treating the data as proposed by Landau and Ott³⁰ one gets more reasonable upper critical lines for all the samples (see Fig.4).

W e also perform ed isotherm ic ZFC M (H) m easurements at di erent temperatures (see Fig.3). In the OD sam – ples we could observe two peaks in the M (H) curves (see Fig.3a for OD-31K). The rst minima H_p in the OD samples is known to be related to surface³¹ and/or geometrical³² barriers. Due to these barriers the eld doesn't penetrate the bulk at the lower critical eld H_{c1} but only at an higher eld H_p . The second (and largest) minim a H sp (second peak) is related to some ux-pinning mechanism, although its origin is controversial^{7,8,9,10}. In UD samples only one peak could be observed. We argue that this is actually the second peak H sp. The penetration eld H_p is most probably hidden, due to the low



FIG. 4: M agnetic phase diagram of the four LSCO sam ples (OD -31K, OD -36K, UD -29K, UD -19K) showing the tem perature dependencies of the second peak eld H sp (T), the FOT line H FOT (T), the upper critical eld H c2 (T) (determined by extrapolation and by the scaling procedure), and the eld H fluct (T) where diam agnetic uctuations set in. In a)-d) the second peak line has been tted by the power law (Eq.(5)), whereas the FOT line has been tted by the sublimation m odel (Eq.(3)). In b) we have attempted to t the second peak line by the decoupling theory (Eq.(4)), while in c) a t of the FOT to the melting theory (Eq.(2)) is also shown.

value of H $_{\rm sp}$. This interpretation is supported by the fact that even in the OD sam ples it is di cult to identify H $_{\rm p}$ at high tem peratures close to T $_{\rm c}$ (where H $_{\rm sp}$ occurs at low elds). Moreover, very accurate SQUID measurements on UD-29K clearly showed the presence of two m inim a at H $_{\rm p}$ and H $_{\rm sp}$ even in the underdoped regim e¹⁵.

W e also perform ed som e full hysteresis bops, as shown in the insets of Fig.3. The ascending and the descending branches m eet at H $_{\rm irr}$, whose values are consistent with those obtained by FC-ZFC M (T) curves.

In order to facilitate the analysis and discussion of the experimental results, the characteristic elds (H_{c2} (T), H_{fluct} (T), H_{FOT} (T) and H_{sp} (T)) of the four samples have been plotted in the H vs T phase diagram s shown in Fig.4. The magnetic phase diagram of LSCO is usually divided in four main phases:

- 1. Above the upper critical $\;$ eld H_{c2} (T), LSCO is in the non-superconducting state and the magnetic $\;$ ux is free to enter the crystal hom ogeneously.
- 2. Between H_{c2}(T) and H_{FOT}(T) (H_{irr}(T)) the magnetic ux is partially expelled from the superconductor. The magnetic eld is present in the sam ple in the form of vortices which are in a reversible regime. In this region the vortices are thermally activated and highly dynam ic.
- 3. Below H_{FOT} (T) (H_{irr} (T)) the vortices are in an inneversible regime, as can be seen by the di erence in the FC/ZFC data or in the hysteresis loops. Here the vortices are frozen in a lattice (VL), which can be directly observed in SANS experiments^{12,13,14}.
- 4. Below $H_p(T)$ (ideally $H_{c1}(T)$) the system is in the M eissner state and the ux is completely expelled from the bulk of the sample.

Indeed we can roughly understand our results in LSCO within this description, even though we have some additional lines in the phase diagram (e.g. H $_{\rm sp}$ and H $_{\rm fluct}$). The rst observation is that the magnetic phase diagram s of OD and UD LSCO are qualitatively similar but quantitatively very di erent. In particular for the UD samples the reversible region is much larger than for OD ones, whereas the second peak line occurs at much lower elds.

IV. DISCUSSION

Before discussing the possible reasons for this strong doping dependence of the phase diagram we want to have a detailed look at the single lines.

We start from the upper critical line H $_{\rm c2}$ (T), which is not well de ned since uctuations are very strong near $T_{\rm c2}$. This is more pronounced in the underdoped regime, where diam agnetic uctuations are present even at tem – peratures $T_{\rm f\,luct}$ m uch larger than $T_{\rm c}$. This anom also behavior in the underdoped regime has also been observed in Nemst^{33,34,35} and scanning SQUID microscopy³⁶ experiments and has been interpreted as being due to vortex-like excitations in the pseudogap region. As a consequence, H $_{\rm c2}$ (T) as determined by extrapolation has an unphysical positive slope. In order to get more reasonable upper critical eld lines, we used the Landau-Ott scaling procedure for magnetization data³⁰, taking the values of

H $_{\rm c2}$ (0 K) listed in Table I. The resulting H $_{\rm c2}$ (T) lines are plotted in Fig.4.

W e turn now to the FOT line H $_{\rm FOT}$ (T), which is usually identied with the melting ${\rm lind}^{,4,5}$, that is the transition of the vortex-solid into a vortex-liquid, in which the VL loses its shear modulus. The temperature dependence of H $_{\rm FOT}$ (T) is predicted by the melting theory to be^{3,4,5}:

$$H_{m elt}(T) = H_{m} - 1 - \frac{T}{T_{c}}^{m}$$
 (1)

The prefactor is known to depend almost only on the anisotropy of the system . In fact, considering H $_{\rm m}$

 $^2\,T_c{}^2~_{ab}{}^4$ ($_{ab}$ is the in-plane penetration depth) and the fact that $T_c{}^2~_{ab}{}^4$ is alm ost constant 39 , one obtains H $_{m}$ 2 . Fitting our data by this model is not satisfactory, since we obtain a huge doping dependence of the exponent m and the prefactor H $_m$ doesn't follow the expected dependence (see Table I). Moreover, in all SANS experiments on HTSC 13,40,41 the ring-like intensity expected between H $_{\rm FOT}$ and H $_{c2}$ for a liquid of straight vortices 42 has never been observed. A more precise melting theory, still based on the Lindemann criterion 43 , predicts a more complicated tem perature dependence of the melting line 3 :

$$H_{m elt}(T) = \frac{4c_{L}^{4} H_{c2}(0) \frac{B}{G} (\frac{T_{c}}{T} - 1)^{2}}{q} \frac{1}{1 + 4c_{L}^{4} \frac{B}{G} (\frac{T_{c}}{T} - 1) \frac{T_{c}}{T}}^{2}}$$
(2)

where G = $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_B T_c}{(4 = _0) H_c^2 (0) \frac{3}{ab} (0)} \right)^2$ is the G inzburg num – ber ($_0$ is the perm eability of free space, k_B is the Boltzm ann's constant, H_c is the therm odynamic critical eld, and $_{ab}$ is the in-plane coherence length), B 5.6 and c_L is the Lindem ann number. However, even this form ula doesn't describe our data very well, since the tted curves are unsatisfactory (see for example Fig.4c for UD -29K), c_L is doping dependent and in som e cases higher than the expected values ($c_L = 0.1-0.2$).

A n alternative m odel to the m elting transition is given by the sublimation theory^{1,2}, based on the strong anisotropy originating from the layered structure intrinsic to all HTSC.W ithin this scenario the melting is accompanied by the simultaneous decoupling of the vortex lines into 2D pancake vortices (vortex gas). The phenom enological scaling law which applies to all HTSC is given by:

$$H_{subl}(T)[De] = 2.85 \quad {}^{2}s^{1} \quad \frac{T_{c}}{T} \quad 1$$
 (3)

where s is the distance between the CuO_2 layers (6.6 10^8 cm in LSCO). This formula has been used in order to explain the FOT transition and nicely ts our data. is the only free parameter, and the tted values are in good agreement with the measured values of the anisotropy (see Table I).

It remains to discuss the second peak line H $_{\rm sp}$ (T) which has been explained on the basis of the thermal decoupling theory 45,46,47 , which predicts the suppression of

TABLE I: Characteristic parameters for LSCO as a function of the Sr concentration x. The values of the upper critical eld H $_{\rm c2}$ (0 K)⁶, of the penetration depth $_{\rm ab}{}^{37}$, and of the anisotropy 2,38,44 have been extrapolated from experimental values found in the literature. H $_{\rm m}$ and m have been obtained by tting the data by Eq.(1), the Lindem ann number $c_{\rm L}$ using Eq.(2). $_{\rm subl}$ and $_{\rm dec}$ are the anisotropies obtained by tting our data using the sublimation, respectively decoupling m odels. Finally, the exponent n has been obtained by tting the data with Eq.(5).

LSCO	0 D –31K	0 d –36K	u d –29K	U D –19K
х	0.20	0.17	0.10	0.075
T _c	31 . 5 K	36 . 2 K	29 . 2 K	19 K
Τ _c	2 . 8 K	1.5 K	1.3 K	3 . 8 K
H $_{\rm c2}$ (0 K)	45 T	60 T	45 T	35 T
ab	1970 A	2400 A	2800 A	3000 A
	20 (2)	20 (2)	45 (5)	60 (5)
H m	30 T	28 T	15 T	15 T
m	1.7	1.8	3.3	6.1
$C_{\rm L}$	0.28	0.29	0.20	0.16
subl	20	22	47	64
dec	12	13	40	85
n	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.5

long-range order in the direction of the applied $\,$ eld due to therm al $\,$ uctuations. The expected tem perature dependence is 47

$$H_{dec}(T) = H \qquad \frac{T_c}{T} \qquad 1 \qquad (4)$$

with H = ${}^{3}_{0}$ = (16 3 ek_B ${}_{0}$ s 2 T_{c ab} (0)²), where ${}_{0}$ is the ux quantum and e 2.718 is the exponential num ber. This function doesn't twellour data, as shown in Fig.4b for OD-36K. M oreover, the estimated values for , obtained by substituting the known values of s, T_{c} and $_{ab}(0)$ in the theoretical expression for B , are not satisfactory com pared to the experim entalvalues (see Table I). M oreover recent SANS m easurem ents13 indicate that the di raction signal from the vortex lattice persists up to ${\rm H}_{\rm \,F\,O\,T}$ (T) and therefore discredit the decoupling theory. The origin of the second peak is most probably to be found in some change of the pinning mechanism. It has been often suggested that this feature is related to the transition to a m ore disordered vortex glass phase^{9,10}, and very recent experimental results con m this interpretation^{11,15}. Our experimental data are better tted by a power law^{48}

$$H_{sp}(T) = H_0 - 1 - \frac{T}{T_c}^{n}$$
 (5)

as can be seen in Fig.4 and Fig.5. The value of the exponent is close to n=2 in all samples (see Fig.5 and Table I). Interestingly, the value of H₀ seems to be proportional to ³, even though (up to our knowledge) no



FIG. 5: Temperature dependence of H $_{\rm sp}$ ³ plotted in a double logarithm ic scale. All the data measured in samples with di erent doping levels collapse on one line with slope 2. This indicates that the power law (Eq.(5)) has an exponent n 2 and H $_0$ / ³. We have used the values of obtained by tting our data using Eq.(3) ($_{\rm subl}$ in Table I).

theory predicts such a dependence. However, a large anisotropy naturally renders the vortex system more susceptible to disorder. The observed anisotropy depen-

- ¹ T. Sasagawa, K. Kishio, Y. Togawa, J. Shim oyama, K. Kitazawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 4297.
- ² T.Sasagawa, Y.Togawa, J.Shim oyama, A.Kapitulnik, K. Kitazawa, K.Kishio, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 1610.
- ³ For a review, see G. Blatter, M. V. Feigelman, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, and V. M. Vinokur, Rev. Mod. Physics 66 (1994) 1125.
- ⁴ E.H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 1106.
- ⁵ A.Houghton, R.A.Pelcovits, and A.Sudbo, Phys.Rev. B 40 (1989) 6763
- ⁶ Y.Ando, G.S.Boebinger, A.Passner, L.F.Schneem eyer, T.Kimura, M.Okuya, S.Waatuchi, J.Shimoyama, K. Kishio, K.Tamasaku, N.Ichikawa, and S.Uchida, Phys. Rev.B 60 (1999) 12475.
- ⁷ T. Tam egai, Y. Iye, I. O guro, and K. Kishio, Physica C 213 (1993) 33
- ⁸ L. Krusin-Elbaum, L. Civale, V.M. Vinokur, and F. Holtzberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2280.
- ⁹ T.G iam archi and P.Le Doussal, Phys.Rev.B 55 (1997) 6577.
- ¹⁰ A E.Koshelev and V M.Vinokur, Phys.Rev.B 57 (1998) 8026.
- ¹¹ D.G iller, A.Shaulov, R.Prozorov, Y.Abula a, Y.W olfus, L.Burlachkov, Y.Yeshurun, E.Zeklov, V.M.Vinokur, J. L.Peng, and R.L.Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2542.

dence of H $_{\rm sp}$ (T) is therefore in qualitative agreement with a scenario where the second peak line is related to a eld-induced vortex glass transition.

V. CONCLUSION

А rst look at the magnetic phase diagram s shown in Fig.4 could indicate that the vortex matter in LSCO is strongly doping dependent. This is true from a quantitative point of view, but qualitatively all samples display the same transitions (second peak, irreversibility, FOT and upper critical lines). The quantitative doping dependence of the magnetic phase diagram can mainly be explained by the di erent degree of an isotropy: H_{sp} is 3 and H_{FOT} to 2 . The found to be proportional to interpretation of the second peak in LSCO is still controversial but our data seem to favor the vortex glass scenario, whereas the FOT line is consistent to the sublimation theory rather than to the melting theory. Moreover, strong superconducting uctuations above T_c have been observe in the underdoped regime.

VI. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W ewould like to thank C D. Dew hurst for valuable discussions. This work was performed at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland, and was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation and the M inistry of Education, Technology and Science of Japan (NM, MO).

- ¹² R.Gilardi, J.M. esot, A.D. rew, U.D. ivakar, S.L.Lee, E. M. Forgan, O. Zaharko, K.Conder, V.K.A.swal, C.D. Dew hurst, R.Cubitt, N.M. om ono, and M.Oda, Phys.Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 217003.
- ¹³ R. Gilardi, J. Mesot, A.J. Drew, U. Divakar, S.L. Lee, N.H. Andersen, J.K ohlbrecher, N.M om ono, and M.Oda, Physica C 408-410 (2004) 491.
- ¹⁴ R.G ilardi, S.Streule, J.M esot, A.J.D rew, U.D ivakar, S. L.Lee, S.P.Brown, E.M. Forgan, N.M om ono, and M. O da, Int.J.M od.Phys.B 17 (2003) 3411.
- ¹⁵ U.Divakar, A.J.D rew, SL.Lee, R.Gilardi, J.M esot, F. Y.Ogrin, D.Charalam bous, EM.Forgan, G.I.M enon, N. M om ono, M.Oda, C.D.Dew hurst, and C.Baines, Phys. Rev.Lett. 92 (2004) 237004.
- ¹⁶ B. Lake, G. Aeppli, K. N. Clausen, D. F. M cM onrow, K. Lefm ann, N. E. Hussey, N. M angkomtong, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, T. E. Mason, A. Schrder, Science 291 (2001) 1759.
- ¹⁷ R.Gilardi, A.Hiess, N.Momono, M.Oda, M.Ido, and J. Mesot, Europhys.Lett. 66 (2004) 840.
- ¹⁸ B. Lake, H. M. R. nnow, N. B. Christensen, G. Aeppli, K. Lefmann, D. F. McMorrow, P. Vorderwisch, P. Smeibidl, N. Mangkomtong, T. Sasagawa, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and T. E. Mason, Nature 415 (2002) 299.
- ¹⁹ D P. Arovas, A J. Berlinsky, C. Kallin, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2871.
- ²⁰ E.Dem ler, S.Sachdev, and Y.Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87

(2001) 067202.

- ²¹ J.P. Hu and S.-C. Yhang et al., J. Phys. Chem. Sol. 63 (2002) 2277.
- ²² V F. M itrovic, E. E. Sigm und, M. Eschrig, H. N. Bachman, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. Kuhns, and W. G. Moulton, Nature 413 (2001) 501.
- ²³ K. Kakuyanagi, K. Kumagai, Y. Matsuda. and M. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 197003.
- ²⁴ U.Welp, W.K.Kwok, G.W.Crabtree, K.G.Vandervoort, J.Z.Liu, Phys. Rev. B 40 (1989) 5263.
- ²⁵ A.Schilling, U.W elp, W.K.Kwok, and G.W.Crabtree, Phys.Rev.B 65 (2002) 054505.
- ²⁶ The TSFZ-m ethod was used for the crystal growth, see eg.T.Nakano, N.M om ono, M.O da, and M.Ido, J.Phys. Soc.Jpn., 67 (1998) 2622.
- ²⁷ F.Gomory, Supercond.Sci.Technol.10 (1997) 523.
- ²⁸ A A . A brikosov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 32 (1957) 1442.
- ²⁹ Z.Hao, JR.Clem, MW.McElfresh, L.Civale, A P.Malozem o, and F.Holtzberg, Phys. Rev. B 43 (1991) 2844.
- ³⁰ IL.Landau and H R.Ott et al, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 144506.
- ³¹ L. Burlachkov, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. E. Koshelev, A. I. Larkin, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 16770.
- ³² E. Zeldov, A. Larkin, V. B. Geshkenbein, M. Konczykovski, D. Majer, B. Khaykovich, V. Vinokur, H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1428.
- ³³ Y.Wang, N.P.Ong, Z.A.Xu, T.Kakeshita, S.Uchida, D.A.Bonn, R.Liang, and W.N.Hardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 257003.
- ³⁴ Z A . Xu, N . P. Ong, Y . W ang, T . K akeshita, S. Uchida, Nature 406 (2001) 486.
- ³⁵ H.H.W en, Z.Y.Liu, Z.A.Xu, Z.Y.W eng, F.Zhou, Z. X.Zhao, Europhys. Lett. 63 (2004) 583.
- ³⁶ I. Iguchi, T. Yam aguchi, and A. Sugim oto, Nature 412

(2001) 420.

- ³⁷ C.Panagopoulos, B.D.Rainford, J.R.Cooper, W.Lo, J. L.Tallon, J.W. Loram, J.Betouras, Y.S.W ang, and C. W.Chu, Phys. Rev. B 60 (1999) 14617.
- ³⁸ M. W illemin, C. Rossel, J. Hofer, H. Keller, A. Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) R717.
- ³⁹ This assumption has been established by SR studies which show that T_c is proportional to the muon-spin relaxation rate ² (0) in any compound, see e.g. Y.J. U em ura et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2317 and Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 2665.
- ⁴⁰ R. Cubitt, E. M. Forgan, G. Yang, S. L. Lee, D. McK. Paul, H. A. Mook, M. Yethira j. P. H. Kes, T. W. Li, A. A. Menovsky, Z. Tamawski, and K. Mortensen, Nature 365 (1993) 407
- ⁴¹ C M .A egerter, S.T. Johnson, W .J.Nuttall, S.H.Lloyd, M.T.W ylie, M.P.Nutley, E.M.Forgan, R.Cubitt, S.L. Lee, D.McK.Paul, M.Yethiraj and H.A.Mook, Phys. Rev.B 57 (1998) 14511
- ⁴² H.Nordborg and G.B latter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1925.
- ⁴³ F.Lindem ann, Phys. Z.11 (1910) 69
- ⁴⁴ T.Kimura, K.Kishio, T.Kobayashi, Y.Nakayama, N.Motohira, K.Kitazawa, K.Yamafuji, Physica C 192 (1992) 247.
- ⁴⁵ L.J.G. lazm an and A.E.K oshelev, Phys. Rev. B 43 (1991) 2835
- ⁴⁶ L.L. Daemen, L.N. Bulaevskii, M.P. Maley, and J.Y. Coulter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1167
- ⁴⁷ I. M. Sutjahja, A. A. Nugroho, M. O. T jia, A. A. M enovsky, and J. J. M. Franse, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 134502
- ⁴⁸ Y.Kodam a, K.Oka, Y.Yam aguchi, Y.Nishihara, and K. Kajim ura, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 6265.