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## A bstract

Belief P ropagation (BP) decoding of LD PC codes is extended to the case of Joint Souroe-C hannel coding. The uncom pressed source is treated as a M arkov process, characterized by a transition $m$ atrix, $T$, which is utilized as side inform ation for the Joint schem e. T he $m$ ethod is based on the ability to calculate a D ynam ical B lock P rior (D B P ), for each decoded sym bol separately, and re-estim ate this prior after every iteration of the BP decoder. $W$ e dem onstrate the im plem entation of this $m$ ethod using M ack ay and N eel's LD PC algorithm over GF (q), and present sim ulation results indicating that the proposed schem e is com parable w ith Separation schem e, even when advanced com pression algorithm $s$ (such as AC, PPM) are used. The extension to 2D (and higher) arrays of sym bols is straightforw ard. The possibility of using the proposed schem e w thout side inform ation is brie $y$ sketched.

## 1. IN TRODUCTION

T he Shannon separation theorem [ī1, iñ, states that source coding and channel coding can be perform ed separately and sequentially, whilem aintaining optim al斗y. H ow ever, this is true only in the case of asym ptotically long blocks of data. Thus, considerable interest has developed in various schem es of joint sourœechannel coding, where the inherent redundancy of the source is utilized for error correction, possibly $w$ th the aid of som e side inform ation (see, for instance, [3] ${ }^{1}$ ) . Com bining the tw o processes $m$ ay be $m$ otivated by reducing the total com plexity of the procedure, and by som e gain in the overall perform anc. M oreover, som e uncom pressed les (e.g. bilm ap, text) are expected to be resilient to single bit errors, which $m$ ay corrupt entire blocks in the case of the Separation schem e.

Shannon's low er bound for the channel capacity of a binary sym $m$ etric channel (BSC) w ith ip probability $f$, bit error rate $p_{b}$ and source entropy H (src) per bit

[^0]is given by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}11 \\ 17 \\ ]\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{1 \quad \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{f})}{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{SrC}) \quad \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{2}(x)=x \log _{2}(x) \quad(1 \quad x) \log _{2}(1 \quad x)$, is the entropy of $x$, and the capacity, $C$, is the $m$ axim al ratio betw een the source length $k$ and the transm itted length m.

In this paper we propose an extension of the Low Density Parity-C heck codes (LD P C ) [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$ decodeing algorithm , prim arily designed for i.i.d. sequences, to the case of uncom pressed data. O ur approach is to regard the source sequence, $f s_{n} g$, as driven from som emem oryless stationary $M$ arkov process w ith a nite alphabet $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}} 2 \mathrm{f0} ; 1 ; 2:: \mathrm{q}$ 1g, and transition $m$ atrix T ofdim ensions q $q$, that describes the probability of transition from symbolito symbolj: $t_{i j}=P\left(s_{n+1}=j j s_{n}=i\right)$. $T$ he $M$ arkov E ntropy (per sym bol) of such a process is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H=X_{i=1}^{X^{q}} P(i){ }_{j=1}^{X^{q}} P(j j i) \log _{2} \mathbb{P}(j j i)\right] ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ (i) is the stationary solution of the $M$ arkov process. T he entropy per bit, $\mathrm{H}=\log _{2} \mathrm{q},\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{q}\right.$ being the number of bits in the binary representation of a sym bol) can be utilized as H (src) in Eq. (III) .

N eighboring sym bols in a M arkov sequence are correlated. H ence, inform ation about sym bols $S_{n} 1$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, im m ediately im plies som e know ledge about $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}$, too. The m ain contribution of this w ork, is a m ethod of incorporating this additionalknow ledge into the Belief $P$ ropagation decoding schem e.

## 2. $\mathrm{M} N \mathrm{~N}$ A G ORITHM

O ur joint source-channelschem e isbased on $M$ ackay and $N$ eel's algorithm (a thorough introduction $m$ ay be found in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\underline{1}-1} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$, a variant of the earlier $G$ allager code [4] ]. A though originally proposed for the binary eld, extending the MN algorithm to higher nite elds is straight-forw ard as dem onstrated in [id]. The original


Figure 1: The D ynam ic Block Prior visualized as a third layer attached to the bipartite graph of the LD P C code.
$m$ otivation form oving to higher elds was reducing the num ber of edges (and short loops) in the code's graph. For our purpose, this enables us to treat $M$ arkov sequences with a richer alphabet, consisting of $q=2^{i}$ sym bols (i being an integer). T he algorithm consists of two sparse $m$ atrices know $n$ both to the sender and the reœiver: A $\left(\begin{array}{ll}m & k\end{array}\right)$, and B ( $m \quad m$ ), where $k$ is the source block length, $m$ is the transm itted block length, and the code rate being $R=k=m$. All non-zero ele$m$ ents in $A$ and $B$ are from $f 1 ; 2::: q \quad 1 g$, and $B$ must be invertible. Encoding of a source vector $s$ into a codew ord $t$ is perform ed (all operations are done over GF (q)) by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=B^{1} \quad A \quad s: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t$ is converted to binary representation and transm itted over the channel. D uring transm ission, noise $n$ is added to $t$, therefore the received vector is $r=t+n$. Upon receipt, the decoder reconverts $r$ back to the original
eld, and com putes the syndrom evector $z=B \quad r . T$ he receiver then faces the follow ing decoding problem :

$$
\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{B} \quad(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{B} \quad\left(\mathrm{~B}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{n}\right)=[\mathrm{A}]
$$

$w$ here square brackets denote appending of $m$ atrices, and $x$ is a concatenation of $s$ and $n$. The decoding problem can be visualized as a bipartite graph ( $F$ ig ${ }_{\underline{1}-1}^{-1}$ ), the elem ents of $x$ (circles) and $z$ (squares) are term ed "variable" and "check" nodes, respectivly. T he edges of the graph correspond to the nonzero elem ents in [AB]. For the M N algorithm, one should further distinguish betw een "source variables" - the $s$ elem ents in $x$ ( led circles), and "noise variables" - the n elem ents in x (em pty circles). The decoding problem $m$ ay be solved using the Belief $P$ ropagation (BP) (or sum - product) algorithm [9,


Figure 2: K anter-Saad $\left[{ }^{[10}{ }_{1}^{-1}\right]$ construction of the $m$ atrices A, B, B ${ }^{1}$ for rate $1=3$, black regions denote nonzero elem ents.
algorithm with two altemating steps, horizontal pass (check! variable m essages) and verticalpass (variable ! check $m$ essages). D uring the vertical pass, som e prior know ledge is assigned to each decoded sym bol, according to the assum ed statistics (for the i.i.d. case this would sim ply be: Pr(s $=j$ ) $=1=q$ for all the source sym bols). The key point here is that one can re-estim ate and re-assign these priors after every iteration individually for each decoded sym bol [ $\underline{I}_{1}$ ]. The outcom e of each iteration is an a-posteriori probabilty $Q_{i}^{a}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i}=a\right)$, for each sym bol (both source and noise). The M N decoder is linear in the size of the source block, $k$, w ith com plexity O (kqu) (per iteration), w here $u$ is the average num ber of checks per sym bol $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1,1 \\ 1,191 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$.
$A$ proper construction of the $m$ atrices $A$ and $B$ is crucialin order to ensure nearly capacity-achieving perform ance. In this w ork we follow the $K$ anter and Saad (K S ) constructions $\left[101,1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, which are very sparse, sim ple to construct, and preform very close to the bound. $T$ he $B$ m atrix has a system atic construction: diagonal and sub diagonal, which sim pli es com putation tasks存, is schem atically displayed in $F$ ig. note nonzero elem ents. Extending the construction to higher GF (q) is done by random ly replacing the nonzero elem ents $w$ ith elem ents of the corresponding
eld. A lthough constructed originally for i.i.d. sources, we successfully used K S matrices for uncom pressed sources, how ever, we m ention the possibility of im proving the perform ance by devising better codes.

The M N algorithm is also applicable for the case of an A dditive W hite G aussian Noise (AW GN) channel $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. The binary transm itted vector (assum ed for simplicity to be 1) is corrupted by noise with zero $m$ ean and variance ${ }^{2}$, hence, the received vector, $r^{R}$, is real valued. The binary received vector, $r$, is deter$m$ ined by hard-decision, nam ely, $r_{i}=+1$ if $r_{i}^{R}>0$. $T$ he probability of the transm itted bit $t_{i}=1$ is given
by:

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(t_{i}=1 j_{i}^{R}\right) & =\frac{e^{\left(t_{i} r_{i}^{R}\right)^{2}=2^{2}}}{e^{\left(t_{i} r_{i}^{R}\right)^{2}=2^{2}}+e^{\left(t_{i}+r_{i}^{R}\right)^{2}=2^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+e^{2 t_{i} r_{i}^{R} e^{2}}} ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the probability of an error in the $i^{\text {th }}$ hard-decision bit is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(n_{i}=1 \dot{f}_{i}^{R}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{2 \dot{f}_{i}^{R} j^{2}}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ( $\bar{G}$ ) is used for calculating a prior for each noise variable. A part of these modi cations, the M N algorithm for an AW GN channel is identical to the BSC case.

The channel capacity for AW GN is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{src})} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forbinary sourcem essages, (rather then realsource $m$ essages), how ever, there exist a tighter bound, [1] [1]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\frac{1}{Z}\left({ }^{Z} d y P(y) \log P(y)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad d y P\left(y \dot{x}=x_{0}\right) \log P\left(y \dot{x}=x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where x is the transm itted bit, $\mathrm{x}_{0}=1$ and y is the received (cormupted) bit, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(y)=\frac{p}{2}_{2 \frac{1}{2}^{h}} e^{(y x)^{2}=2^{2}+e^{(y+x)^{2}=2^{i}}:} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. DERIVING THE DYNAM ICAL BLOCK PRIORS

In every iteration of the $\mathrm{M} N$ algorithm, a better estim ate of each variable node is attained (on average). In this section we shalldescribe ourm ethod of inconporating the statistical know ledge about the source, and these local estim ates. C onsider three successive sym bols $S_{n} \quad 1 ; S_{n} ; S_{n+1}$ in a sequence generated by a $M$ arkov process $w$ ith transition $m$ atrix $T$ and alphabet GF (q). The probability of a triplet $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$; c is given by $[\underline{1} \overline{3} 1 \mathrm{l}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P(a ; b ; c) & =P(a ; b) \quad P(c \dot{a} ; b)=P(a ; b) P(c b) \\
& =\frac{P(a ; b) P(b ; c)}{P(b)} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been $m$ ade of the B ayes R ule: $P(x ; y)=$ $P(x) \quad P(y \dot{j})$, and the fact that the process is $m$ em oryless. N ow, given the a-posteriori probabilities for
the rst and last symbols in the triplet: $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{1}=$ $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{a}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{c}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{c}\right)$, one can calculate a prior for the probability that $s_{n}=b$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{Z} P(b)^{1}{ }_{a=1}^{X^{q}} P(a ; b) Q_{n}^{a} \quad{ }_{c=1}^{l} P(b ; c) Q_{n+1}^{c} ;(11)
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ here Z is a norm alization constant such that: $\underset{\mathrm{b}=1}{\mathrm{q}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{b}\right)=1 . \mathrm{W}$ e term Eq. (11 $\left.\mathbf{I n}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ the D ynam icalB lock Prior (DBP).

The extension of the MN algorithm to the joint source-channel case consists of the follow ing steps:

1. A binary sequence of $k \quad \log (q)$ bits is converted to $k$ GF (q) sym bols.
2. The encoder $m$ easures $T$ and $P$ (a) for all the $q$ sym bols over the source, and transm its reliably this side inform ation to the decoder.
3. The source is encoded according to ( ${ }_{-1}\left(\frac{1}{1}\right.$ ), then reconverted to binary representation and transm itted over the B SC .
4. The decoder $m$ aps the received signal back to GF (q), and perform s the regular decoding ( (4) but after every iteration of the BP, the prior for each source sym bol is recalculated according to [ ${ }_{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) .
The com plexity of calculating the $q$ priors for a single sym bol according to the posterions of its neighbors is reduced from $q^{3}$ in the naive calculation, to $q^{2}$ by Eq. (121). The decoder's com plexity rem ains linear, $w$ ith total com plexity of $O$ ( $k q u+k^{2}$ ) per iteration. $T$ he above-m entioned procedure $m$ ay be thought of as adding a layer to the bipartite random graph represented by the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A} B]$ : The DBP's, Eq. [111), are $m$ essages passed only am ong source variable nodes, which are spatially related. In $F$ ig. 歪, the diam onds represent this new (directional) layer, which connects neighboring source nodes. $W$ e note that the possibility of extending this schem e to $G$ allager codes is an open question, since the source is not explicitly represented in the graph.

## 4. SIM ULATION RESULTS

W e report here results for a $B S C$ with rate $R=1=3$, and for an AW GN w th rate $1=4$, using the corresponding $K S$ constructions for $A$ and $B$ devised in [1], $\left.111_{1}^{1}\right]$. O ther rates, constructions and block length were aiso

Table 1: Sim ulation results for BSC w ith rate $1=3$.

| q | k | H | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Sh}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5000 | $0: 49$ | $0: 266$ | $0: 215$ | $0: 244$ |
| 8 | 3333 | $0: 471$ | $0: 271$ | $0: 223$ | $0: 243$ |
| 16 | 2500 | $0: 49$ | $0: 266$ | $0: 21$ | $0: 236$ |

checked. R andom vectors oflength $L=10^{4}$ bits (9;999 for $q=8$ ) were generated by the $M$ arkov process, then $m$ apped to a vector in GF(q) w ith length $k=L=\log _{2}[q]$, and were encoded and decoded as described in the previous section. For each reported result, at least 1000 sam ple vectors w ere generated and transm itted.

### 4.1. E stim ating The C ode's Threshold

The threshold for in nite source length, k ! 1 , is estim ated from the scaling argum ent of the convergence tim $e$, which was previously observed for $q=2$ [10, , $\left.11_{2}^{1}\right]$. The convergence tim $e$, $m$ easured in iterations of the M N algorithm, is assum ed to diverge as the level of noise approaches the threshold from below. M ore precisely, we found that the scaling for the divergence of $t_{m}$ ed is independent of $q$ and is consistent $w$ ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\text {m ed }}(f) / \frac{1}{f_{1} f} \quad ; \quad t_{\text {med }}() / \frac{1}{1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a BSC, and an AW GN channel, respectivly.
$T$ his extrapolation is independent of $k$ [ 14 ] (for $k \gg 1$ ), so by $m$ onitoring $t_{m}$ ed, for $m$ oderate $k$, the threshold can be found by a linear $t$. (see the inset of Fig. $\left.\frac{1-3}{\overline{1}}\right) \mathrm{N}$ ote that the estim ation of $t_{m}$ ed is a sim ple com putationaltask in com parison $w$ ith the estim ation of low bit error probabilities for large $k$, especially close to the threshold. W e also note that the analysis is based on $t_{n}$ ed instead of the average num ber of iterations, since we w ish to prevent the dram atic e ect of a sm all fraction of sam ples w ith slow convergence or no convergence.

### 4.2. B SC Sim ulations

Som e selected results are presented in Table colum ns correspond to: the eld size q; the source length in sym bols, $k$; the entropy (perbit) of the source H $\quad\left[\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$; and the corresponding $m$ axim alnoise $f_{\text {Sh }}$ (Eq. $\overline{I I}_{1}()$ ); the critical noise, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$, up to which the bit error rate $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad 10{ }^{5}$; and the threshold, $\mathrm{f}_{1}$, Eq. ( $\left.\overline{12}_{12}{ }^{1}\right)$.

In order to com pare the joint and the Separation schem es, the generated sam ples were concatenated to strings of size $L=10^{5} \quad 10^{6}$ bits, and com pressed using

$F$ igure 3: B it error rate $p_{b}$ vs noise level $f$, triangles for $q=8$, squares for $q=4$, lled sym bols: use of all elem ents of $T$ as side inform ation, em pty sym bols: use of only $q$ dom inant elem ents of $T$. Inset: scaling behavior form edian convergence tim e $t_{m}$ ed. $f_{1}=0: 242$, is found by linear $t$.
tw o advanced com pression algorithm s: P rediction by PartialM atch (PPM) [1] $]$, and A rithm etic C oder (A C ) [1] 1]. In Table, whe preset the com pression ratio of the source vectors using each method (\%AC; \%PPM); the ratio betw een the com pressed sequences and the transm itted blocks, $m$, (for the com parison, we use the sam e transm itted size as for the Joint schem e, $m=3 \mathrm{k}$ ); and the $m$ axim al noise level for this new rate for i.i.d. source, $f_{\text {AC }} ; f_{\text {PPM }}$. Since we assum e an optim al decoder, these noise levels should be com pared to $f_{1}$ for the joint scheme. In all cases, the threshold of the proposed Joint schem e for $k$ ! 1 is com parable w th the Separation schem e. O ne should recall that these results $m$ ay be im proved by advanced codes. In Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1}{ }_{1}^{1}, p_{b}$ is plotted against the noise level of the channel, $\bar{f}$, for the exam ples of $T$ able ${ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1 . \mathrm{F}$ illed triangles represent $q=8$; lled squares represent $q=16$; the em pty symbols in this gure refer to an approxim ation that w ill be described in the follow ing section. The dashed (fill) arrow $m$ arks $f_{S h}$ for $q=8$ (16). The inset of $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \underline{1} 1$ dem onstrates the extrapolation of $f_{1}$ from the convergence time Eq. (12 $\left.\overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ : for $q=8, f\left(t_{m}\right.$ ed $)$ is plotted against $1=t_{m ~ e d}, f_{1}$ is then recovered by a linear $t$.

### 4.3. AW G N Sim ulations

$B$ inary sequences of length $k=10^{4}$ w ere generated using the follow ing transition $m$ atrix:

$$
T=\begin{array}{ll}
0: 89 & 0: 11  \tag{13}\\
0: 11 & 0: 89
\end{array}
$$

having $M$ arkov Entropy $H$ (src) $=0: 5$. For rate $R=$ $1=4$, this entropy corresponds to m axim al noise, Eq. (8), $\mathrm{sh}=2: 298$, ( $4: 2 \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{b})$. The sequences were

Table 2: C ritical noise level for Separation schem e using A rithm etic $C$ oder and $P$ rediction by $P$ artial $M$ atch com pression algorithm s. $f_{A C}$ and $f_{P P M}$ should be com pared to $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ in Table ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{1} \cdot$

| $q$ | $\circ A C$ | $R_{A C}$ | $f_{A C}$ | $\circ$ PPM | $R_{P P M}$ | $f_{P P M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $58: 4 \%$ | $0: 195$ | $0: 247$ | $56: 6 \%$ | $0: 189$ | $0: 25$ |
| 8 | $58: 1 \%$ | $0: 194$ | $0: 248$ | $55: 5 \%$ | $0: 185$ | $0: 253$ |
| 16 | $60: 5 \%$ | $0: 201$ | $0: 243$ | $59: 4 \%$ | $0: 198$ | $0: 245$ |



Figure 4: Scaling behavior for AW GN channel: is plotted vs. $1=t_{m}$ ed for various eld sizes, $q$.
transm itted over an AW GN channel, using three different elds: GF (2); GF (4); GF (8). Fig. 'if presents the scaling behavior, Eq. (12 는), for these elds (triangles, squares, and circles, respectively). The sym bols $m$ ark working points w th $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad 10{ }^{5}$, and were used for estim ating the corresponding thresholds: 1 ( $q=$ $2)=2: 08 ; 1(q=4)=2: 14 ; \quad 1 \quad(q=8)=2: 17$. It is evident that as q increases, both $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{q})$ and 1 (q) im prove.
5. REDUCINGTHEAMOUNTOFSDE $\mathbb{I N}$ FORMATION

T he results of the previous sections indicate that the perform ance of the presented joint coding is not too far from Shannon's low er bound and, m ost probably, using an optim ized code, the channel capacity can be nearly saturated. H ow ever, for a nite block length, the $m$ ain draw back of our algorithm is the overhead of the header (i.e. the transm itted side inform ation) which $m$ ust be encoded and transm itted reliably. O ne has to rem em ber that the size of the header, (T), scales $w$ ith $q^{2}$ where the precision of each elem ent is of the
order O (log k). T his overhead is especially intolerable in the $\lim$ it where: $q^{2} \log (k)=k \quad O(1) . N$ ote that th is is indeed the situation even for very large $m$ essages, $\mathrm{k}=10^{6}$, and a sym bolsize of 8 bits (a "char", $q=256$ ).
$T$ his point $m$ ay be tackled by observing that for a process with low entropy, characterized by enhanced repetitions and correlations, T is dom inated by a sm all num ber of elem ents, while the rest of the elem ents are negligible. W e therefore repeated our sim ulations, us ing only the $q$ largest elem ents in $T$ as side inform ation. The decoder would then set all other elem ents in each fow of $T$ equally, to obey the nom alization condition ${ }_{j} T_{i j}=1$. In $F$ ig. $\overline{\underline{N}} \mathbf{1}$ the em pty squares/triangles represent working points for the algorithm with $q=8=16$. In both cases, the critical noise level $f_{c}$ is only slightly decreased, but the size of the side inform ation becom es considerably sm aller.
6. JO IN T SOURCE-CHANNEL COD IN G W ITH THELACK OFSDE INFORMATION

In this section we describe how the $M$ arkovian decoder can be im plem ented w ithout any transm ission of side inform ation. The key points are the special properties of the KS construction ( F ig. ${ }^{1} \overline{1}$ ) : the rst k row s ofA are characterized by one non-zero elem ent per row and colum $n$, where the nst $k$ row sof $B$ are characterized by 2 non-zero elem ents. Furtherm ore, due to the system atic form of $B$, each row cannot be written as a linear com bination of the other row s . H ence, the rst k bits of the syndrom e vector $z$, are equal (up to a sim ple perm utation) to the source, $w$ ith an e ective ip rate, $f_{e}$. For GF (2) for instance, $z_{j}=s_{i}+n_{j}+n_{j+1}$ ( $i$ $m$ arks the position of the nonzero elem ent in the $j^{\text {th }}$ row of $A)$, and $f_{e}=2 f\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & f\end{array}\right)$. The rst $k$ symbols of $z$ are therefore a result of a hidden $M$ arkov $M$ odel (HMM). T he underlaying transition $m$ atrix, $T$, generating the source sequence, can be estim ated by $m$ eans of the EM algorithm [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$, which is a standard tool for solving such $P$ aram etric E stim ation problem $s, w$ th linear com plexity. H aving $T$ (approxim ately) revealed, the D BP's can be calculated as described in Eq. (1111).

For the general construction of the M N algorithm one adds/subtracts row $s$ of the concatenated $m$ atrix [AB] and the corresponding sym bols in $z$, such that a situation is nally reached as follow $s$ : $T$ he rst $k$ row $s$ ofA are the identity $m$ atrix, regardless of the construction of the rst $k$ row $s$ of $B$. From the know ledge of the noise levelf and the structure of $i^{\text {th }}$ row of $B$ one can now calculate the e ective noise, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}}$, of the $i^{\text {th }}$ received source sym bol. Since all $f_{i, e} g$ are functions of a unique noise levelf, one can estim ate the param eters of the $M$ arkovian process using som e variants of
the EM algorithm . N ote, that in the general case the rst $k$ row s of $B$ contain loops, hence ffi,e $g$, are correlated. H ow ever, these correlations are assum ed to be sm all as the typical loop size is of $(\log (k))[1][$ did.

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The only rem aining major drawback of the presented decoder is that the com plexity (per iteration), scales as $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{kq}^{2}\right)$, this $m$ ay considerably slow down the decoder even for $m$ oderate alphabet size. N ote how ever, that for large $q$, such that $q^{2} \quad k$, and low entropy sequences, the transition $m$ atrix, $T$, is expected to be very sparse, and dom inated by elem ents of (1). Taking advantage of the sparseness of $T$, the com plexity of the decoder can be further reduced.

The one-dim ensional M arkovian decoder can be easily extended to coding of a two-dim ensional array of sym bols or even to an array of sym bols in higher dim ensions [1] culation scales as $k^{d} q^{2 d+1}$, where $k^{d}$ is the number of blocks in the array, and d denotes the dim ension. U sing $M$ arkovian and Bayesian assum ptions, the com plexity can be reduced to $O\left(k^{d} q^{2}\right)$.
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