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#### Abstract

W e propose to observe A nderson localization of ultracold atom $s$ in the presence of a random potential m ade of atom s of another species and trapped at the nodes of an optical lattice, w ith a lling factor less than unity. Such system $s$ enable a nearly perfect experim ental control of the disorder, while the possibility ofm odelling the scattering potentials by a set of point-like ones allow s an exact theoretical analysis. This is illustrated by a detailed analysis of the one-dim ensional case.


PACS num bers: $05.30 \mathrm{Jp}, 71.23 \mathrm{An}, 03.75 \mathrm{Fi}, 02.70 \mathrm{Ss}$

A nderson localization $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ is an interference phenom enon occurring in w aves propagating in a static disorder: rather than spreading, the w ave rem ains localized in a portion ofspace. For classicalw aves, localization w as observed in 2D water waves [1] and in 1D [-3 light beam s. W hen the wave corresponds to a quantum particle w avefunction, localization in a disordered potential (of in nite spatial extension) corresponds to the existence of square integrable stationary states at energies for which the classicalm otion is not bounded ['⿹\zh4山ld.

Indirect evidence of A nderson localization of electrons in condensed $m$ atter system $s$ (e.g., the conductance dependence on the tem perature) was obtained in 2D and 3D and also in thin $w$ ires, $w$ ith an interpretation $m$ ade di cult by interaction e ects and the presence of a therm al bath $[1] 1 \mathrm{I}$. Truly 1D condensed m atter system s are ordinarily sub jected to strong interactions, and there is presently an active debate about the role of interactions in 2D initiated by the observation of a $m$ etal-insulator transition [id] in 2D electron gases.

On the other hand, ultracold atom ic gases appear as very favorable system $s$ for experim ental study of A nderson localization ofm atterw aves. T hese system s have the advantage of being very exible: due to a very weak coupling to the environm ent they are virtually im $m$ une to unw anted decoherence while enabling the possibility of coupling to a speci cally engineered therm albath [T] or an e ective $m$ agnetic eld for the aim of probing their e ect on the localization. The Feshbach resonance $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ allow sa controllable introduction of interactions whose strength can be chosen at will [10], and therefore opens the possibility ofexperim ental tests for interactionlocalization e ects as appeared in models [11] which, although analytically solvable, were considered unrealistic until now. Finally, the dim ensionality of the gas is also adjustable by the use of tailorable optical potentials [1] 른].

A naturalway of producing a disordered potential for atom s is by using a laser speckle $\left.[1], 14]_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. This requires how ever a very careful control of the speckle, to ensure that the absence of spreading of a $m$ atterw ave is not due to a trapping of an atom in a local potentialm inim um,
which is particularly challenging in 3D where only the low est energy states $m$ ay be localized. A lso, com parison w ith theory generally requires a num erical, rather than analytical, solution of Schrodinger's equation.

In this work, we propose a w ay to create an alm ost perfectly well controlled disordered potential for an atom ic $m$ atterw ave, which can even be determ ined by a direct m easurem ent. M oreover, this potential can be justi ably m odelled by point-like scatterers which allow s an analytical, and often exact $\left.[1],]_{1}^{-1} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ study of the localization and its observability. A though we focus on 1D, our schem e is applicable to any dim ension. In fact, in 2D it can be sub jected to an exact analysis [1"] of a magnetic eld.

W e consider a gas of atom $s$ trapped at the nodes of
 is cooled down to the ground vibrational state of the 0 calm icro-well; each node is occupied by an atom with probability $p$ independently of the other nodes, m ultiple occupancies assum ed not to occur; tunnelling betw een neighboring sites is m ade negligible by choosing them odulation depth of the optical lattice $m$ uch larger than the energy $\sim^{2}(=b)^{2}=m_{s}$; where $m_{s}$ is the trapped atom $m$ ass, to ensure that the spatial con guration is static [1]

The set of trapped atom $S$, designated below as scatterers', will act as a random potential for atom $s$ of another species or of the sam e species but in another internal state, denoted as test particles'. O ne should ensure that the test particles (unlike the scatterers) will not be trapped by the optical lattice. This can be achieved by using two di erent species w ith su ciently di erent resonance frequencies [191]. In w hat follow s, we assum e that the test particles experience as an extemalpotentialonly the interaction potentialw the scatterers. To ensure elastic scattering we also require that the incom ing kinetic energy of a test particle is less than the levelspacing $\sim!$ s of a trapped scatterer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sim^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}} \quad \sim!_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k$ and $m_{t}$ are the $w$ avevector and the $m$ ass of the


F IG . 1: A tom s ( scatterers') trapped at the nodes of a periodic optical lattice and cooled to the vibrationalground state. $T$ he average occupancy is $p(1=3$; here). The test particle experiences only the delta potential (in black) created by the scatterers and is blind to the periodic optical one (in grey).
test particle. !s is the oscillation frequency of a scatterer in the localm icrow ell of the lattice. Eq. (11) ensures, by energy conservation, that at the end of a scattering event the scatterer is not left in an excited vibrational level: hence, the disordered potential is static.

Focusing on 1D we assume that the test particle is strongly trapped in a $m$ atterw ave guide, $w$ ith a quantum of vibrational energy $\sim!_{t} \mathrm{~m}$ uch larger than the longitudinal kinetic energy, so that its transverse $y-z \mathrm{~m}$ otion is frozen in the ground vibrational state of the guide. W e then introduce the $m$ odel $H$ am iltonian for the quantum $m$ otion of a test particle along the lattice direction $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p_{x}^{2}}{2 m_{t}}+{ }_{j=1}^{x^{N}} g \quad\left(x \quad x_{y}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere the $x_{j}$ are the positions of the occupied $m$ icro-w ells, all integer m ultiple of the lattice spacing b. The e ect of each scatterer is represented by a D irac delta potential $w$ th a coupling constant $g$. This assum ption is reasonable when the wavevector $k$ of the test particle is sm all enough: assum ing for sim plicity that $m_{s}=m_{t}=m, 1=k$ should be larger than the sizes $a_{s, t}^{\text {ho }} \quad\left(\sim=m!_{s ; t}\right)^{1=2}$ of the harm on ic oscillator ground state of a scatterer in a m icrowell and of the transverse guide ground state of the test particle $\left[20_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, conditions already ensured by the elasticity condition Eq. (III) and by the 1D nature of the m otion of the test particle. A scatterer $m$ ay then be $m$ odelled by a zero range potential. $W$ hen the 3D scattering length, a; describing the free space interaction betw een a scatterer and a test particle is much sm aller than the ham onic oscillator lengths $a_{s, t}^{\text {ho }}$ one is in the so-called B om regin e and the 1D coupling constant $g$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=4 \sim \frac{!_{t}!_{s}}{!_{\mathrm{s}}+!_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{a}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

O urm odel Ham iltonian was shown in [21] to lead to localization as a consequence of a theorem derived in $R$ ef. [2'ㄹㄴ]. A $n$ acceptable quantitative $m$ easure of localization, that we adopt in this paper, is the decay length of the transm ission coe cient: taking all the scatterers to be in the half space $\mathrm{x} \quad 0$ and introducing the transm ission am plitude $t_{v}(k)$ of an incom ing plane wave of $m$ om entum $k>0$ through a set of $N$ scatterers, we de ne the
localization constant (k) as [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=\lim _{N} h+1 \frac{\log \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{A}}(k) j^{\prime}}{x_{N}} \mathrm{X}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he average h::i is over allpossible realizations of the disorder; although, strictly speaking it is not required since $\log \ddagger_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{k}) j$ contrarily to $\ddagger_{N}(\mathrm{k}) j$ itself, is a self-averaging quantity for $N$ ! + 1 [25]. The transm ission and re ection am plitudes are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{N}=1=\left(R_{N}\right)_{11} \quad x_{N}=t_{N}=\left(R_{N}\right)_{21} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R_{N}$ is related to the transfer $m$ atrix of the $m$ atterw ave through $N$ scatterers, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$; through the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=\mathrm{G}_{0} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right){ }^{1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \\
& 1)^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{G}_{0}:::: \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{G}_{0}:
\end{align*}
$$

$G_{0}$ is the transfer $m$ atrix of a single scatterer at $x=0$ :

$$
G_{0}={ }^{1} \begin{align*}
& i  \tag{7}\\
& i
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $=\mathrm{mg}=\left(\sim^{2} \mathrm{k}\right)$; and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})$ is the transferm atrix corresponding to a free propagation over an abscissa $x$ :

$$
T(x)=\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i k x} & 0  \tag{8}\\
0 & e^{i k x}
\end{array}
$$

 $\ddagger_{N}(k) j$ jout sim pli es the calculations, $\operatorname{since} R_{N}$ depends only on the variables $x_{i+1} \quad x_{i}$, which are independent random variablesw th a com $m$ on probability distribution given by: $P\left(s_{i}\right)=p\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & p\end{array}\right)^{s_{i}}{ }^{1}$ where $s_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{i+1} & x_{i}\end{array}\right)=b$ :

W e calculated the localization constant num erically, by a M onte C arlo averaging over the disorder, taking a large enough num ber of scatterers to ensure convergence in Eq. ( (4'). W hen expressed in units of $1=\mathrm{b}$, depends on three dim ensionless param eters: the lling factor $p$, the reduced m om entum kb and the reduced coupling constant $m g b=\sim^{2}$. A ssum ing for sim plicity that $!_{s}=!_{t}=!$, so that the harm onic oscillator lengths also coincide, $a_{s}^{\text {ho }}=a_{t}^{\text {ho }}=a^{\text {ho }}$, and introducing the recoilenergy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ $\sim^{2}(=b)^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, we nd $\mathrm{mgb}=\sim^{2}=2 \quad\left(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{ho}}\right)\left(\sim!=2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{1=2}$. Typically, $\sim!<10 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$ : Since we required a=a ${ }^{\text {ho }} \quad 1$, one should therefore have $\mathrm{mb}=\sim^{2}$ 15. In allour num erical calculations $m \mathrm{gb}=\sim^{2}=2: 278$.

Figure $\overline{1}=1$ show s the localization constant dependence on the $m$ om entum $k: F i g$. $\overline{\text { Fra }}$ a for lling factor $p=0: 9$ (solid lines) and $p=1$ (dashed line), and $F$ ig. ,izh for lling factor $p=0: 1$ (solid lines). In the case $p=1$ where the scatterers form a nite periodic chain, allow ed bands (where $=0$ corresponding to in nite localization length of the B loch waves) are separated by forbidden gaps. The $p=0: 9$ case corresponds to a perturbation of the periodic chain by an occasional appearance ofem pty sites. N ow takes non-zero values in the form er allow ed
bands while its value in the form er forbidden gaps is only weakly a ected by the disorder. For $\mathrm{p}=0: 1$ the band structure of the periodic chain is washed out, except for the vanishing of in the points where kb is an integer multiple of (corresponding to band edges in the periodic case). The peaks and steps on the curves ( $m$ arked by arrow s) will be interpreted analytically below, in term $s$ of a phase shift being a rationalm ultiple of .

A t a given scattering energy, $m$ easuring a nite value of
is, strictly speaking, not a proofof localization but m ay be due sim ply to the presence of a spectral gap $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1}]$ as is the case in periodic system s . To con m the existence of localized states one should calculate the density of states and check that it is also nite. We perform ed such a num erical check, (shown in the upper fram es of Figs. 'i, a and box of size $x_{N} \quad x_{1}$; and averaging over disorder.

W e also perform ed an analytic calculation of in several lim ting cases. The rst lim ting case is the p! 0 lim it for a xed value of kb and can be treated along the lines of $R$ ef. [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Th }\end{array}\right]$. By expanding the $m$ atrix product involving the two factors $G_{0} T\left(x_{N} \quad X_{N} \quad 1\right)^{1}$ and $R_{N} \quad 1$ in Eq. ( $\mathbf{\sigma}_{1}$ ), one obtains the recursion relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \tau_{N} j= & \log \tau_{0} t_{N} \quad 1 j \\
& \left.\log 1+r_{0} r_{N} \frac{t_{N}}{1} \frac{1}{t_{N}} e^{2 i k\left(x_{N}\right.} \quad x_{N} \quad 1\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $r_{0}$ and to are the re ection and transm ission am plitu des for the transfer $m$ atrix $\mathrm{G}_{0}$. W hen p tends to zero, the accum ulated phase shift kbs (w ith P (s) = $p(1 \quad p)^{s}{ }^{1}$ as above) betw een two successive scatterers is uniform ly distributed betw een 0 and 2 (modulo 2 ), as long as kb is not a rationalm ultiple of . Noting that $R_{2}$
0 $d$ log jl zè $j=0$ for $\dot{j} j<1$; we obtain
which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=(1=2) p \log \left(1+{ }^{2}\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 except for the peaks at $k b==(s+1)$ and the steps at $\mathrm{kb}=2=(2 \mathrm{~s}+1)$, for s integer. The calculation is readily extended to the p! 1 lm it, by considering the defects in the chain as scatterers on top of a periodic background: the propagation of the $m$ atter $w$ aves in betw een tw o consecutive defects of distance sb is given by the transfer m atrix $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{sb})=\left(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{b})^{1} \mathrm{G}_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{s}}$; and the scattering over a defect corresponds to the transfer $m$ atrix $G_{0}=T(b)^{1}$. A ssum ing that the incom ing energy is in an allow ed band of the periodic lattice, the $m$ atrix $T$ (b) ${ }^{1} G_{0}$ has eigenvalues of the form $e^{i}$. W hen is not a rationalm ultiple of , the procedure of the previous paragraph can be reused, replacing $G_{0}$ by $G_{0}$ and $T$ (sb) by $T$ (sb). We then get a form ula sim ilar to Eq. (1-1 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, w ith $t_{0}$ replaced by $t_{0}$, which


FIG. 2: The localization constant as a function of the incom ing $w$ avevector $k$, for average occupancies (a) $p=0: 9$; (b) $p=0: 1$. The coupling constant is $\mathrm{mgb}=\sim^{2}=2: 278$. B lack solid lines: num erics. Red thin line: analytical result for 1 p 1 (a), and p 1 (b). P eaks and steps in $m$ arked by arrow $s$ in the inset of (a) and in (b), w ith the corresponding values of for (a) and ofkb for (b) being rationalm ultiples of . B lue line in upper fram es of (a), (b): density of states. $D$ ashed lines in (a): for the periodic case $p=1$.
is the transm ission am plitude for the transfer $m$ atrix $G_{0}$ in the basis where $T(b)$ is diagonal. $T$ his leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { b } \quad\left(1 \quad \text { p) } \log \frac{\exp (i k b) \quad \exp (\quad i k b)}{1}\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=j \exp (i) \quad$ ( $1 \quad$ i $) \exp (i k b)^{2} j 2$ and where is the solution of $\cos =\cos (\mathrm{kb})+\sin (\mathrm{kb})$ for $<1$ : $T$ his expression agrees well w ith num erics, see Fig. iza, except for the peaks: as show $n$ in this gure, these indeed correspond to values of $=$ that are rationals.

The third lim it we investigated analytically is that of a narrow distribution of the phase shift $=$ skb betw een tw o consecutive scatterers: denoting its average by $h i$; it is assum ed that the probability of nding $h$ i out of an intervalofsize 1 is sm allso that $\mathrm{kb}<1$ and that the variance ${ }^{2}=(1 \quad \mathrm{p})(\mathrm{kb}=\mathrm{p})^{2}<1$ : A long the lines of $\left[{ }^{2}-1\right]$ we expand the relation (derived in $\left[21^{1}\right]$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \quad() \operatorname{hlog} \mathbb{M}_{11}() e^{i}+\mathrm{M}_{12}() e^{i} \ddot{\ddot{H}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

betw een and the invariant (H aar) m easure ( ) which is a solution to the D yson-Schm idt equation:
( ) = h [ ( ; ) ]@ ( ; )i
where $M()=G_{0} T\left(k^{1}\right)^{1}:(;)$ is the argum ent of the com plex num ber $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ ( $) e^{i}+\mathrm{M}_{12}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}$. It is useful to note [2] that Eqs. [13 independent $S U(1 ; 1)$ sim ilarity transform ation $M()!$ D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{M}$ ( )D. A ssum ing the energy is in an allow ed band ofa periodic chain ofscatterers ofperiod $h i=k=b=p$; the transfer $m$ atrix $M$ ( h i) has then unim odular eigenvalues and we choose $D$ so that $D{ }^{1} M$ (hi)D is diagonal. We then expand all functions of in powers of ( $h$ i) up to fourth order, which requires an expansion of ( ) and
to rst and second orders in ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
()={ }^{(0)}()+(1)()+::: ; \quad{ }^{(0)}+{ }^{(1)}+(2)+::: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne has ${ }^{(0)}()=1=(2)$ and ${ }^{(0)}=0$. Denoting $a_{r}$


$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{(1)} b=\frac{p(1 \quad \mathrm{p})^{2}=2}{1 a_{1}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~kb}}{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& { }^{(2)} \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{p}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{p}^{2}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+{ }^{2}=2}{1} \frac{a}{1}_{2}^{\mathrm{kb}}{ }^{4}\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \frac{p(1 \quad p)}{12}\left(p^{2} \quad 9 p+9\right)^{2} \frac{3 a_{i}^{2}+a_{r}^{2} 1}{\left(1 a_{ \pm}^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{k b}{p}{ }^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In conclusion, we proposed a w ellcontrolled w ay ofproducing a disordered potential for atom ic $m$ atter waves, by the scattering of test particles on scatterers trapped at the nodes of an optical lattice. W e showed how a transm ission experim ent through such a one-dim ensional disordered chain provides a clear direct evidence of A nderson localization at energies w here the density of states is appreciable. The proposed experim ent is one of several possibilities, such as the $m$ easurem ent of the (absence of) spreading of a wavepacket initially prepared inside the disordered m edium which is linked to other exactly calculable $\left[\overline{1} \bar{G}, \bar{r}_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$ aspects of localization: the nite retum probability and nite inverse participation ratio. The proposed schem e is extendable to higher dim ensions and enables a controlled experim ental study of how the localization is a ected by the introduction of engineered
 tions am ong test particles [1] [1], or several coupled channels for the transverse $m$ otion of the test particle [28].
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